www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(5): 4301-4304 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 01-02-2023 Accepted: 04-03-2023

Amit

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Rajesh Yadav

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Harvana. India

Ravika

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Deepak Kaushik

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Kalpana Yadav

Department of Vegetable, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Navreet Kaur Rai

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Harvana. India

Corresponding Author: Ravika Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar,

Haryana, India

Field pea is a multi-functional crop that may be used as green forage, forage dry matter, forage meal, silage, haylage, immature grain, mature grain, straw and green manure (Mihailovic and Mikic (2010) ^[13] and Ravindranet al. (2010) ^[20]. India occupies sixth place in field pea production with an area of 6.4 lac hectares, production 8.8 lac tons and productivity of 1375 kg/ha in 2020-21 (Anonymous, 2022)^[2]. Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, Odisha, Manipur, Assam, Bihar, West Bengal, Chhattisgarh and Rajasthan are the major field pea growing states in India. Unfortunately, the productivity of field pea is very low in India as compared to the world's productivity due to the narrow genetic base and use of limited variability to improve the local varieties (Kumar et al., 2004)^[11]. There is urgent need to increase the seed yield though yield is a multiplex trait that depends upon a number of other contributing traits and their association (Rao et al., 1990) [19]. Thus information regarding genetic architecture between yield and its attributes will help in determining the selection criteria to improve yield, thus enhancing scope of success of breeding programs (Sunayana et al., 2017)^[26]. Thus, knowledge about the magnitude of genetic variability present for different traits along with its heritable component is of prime importance for achieving the desired goals of any breeding programme. As, for the development of stable varieties, there must be a presence of large genetic diversity in the populations under study (Gupta et al., 2022)^[7].

Genetic variability analysis for various morphological traits in field pea (Pisum sativum L.)

Amit, Rajesh Yadav, Ravika, Deepak Kaushik, Kalpana Yadav and Navreet Kaur Rai

Abstract

Field pea (Pisum sativum L.) oldest cultivated, most important nutritious food legume of the world and a major pulse crop of winter season. The present investigation was carried out to access genetic variability parameters for better understanding of genetic architecture of seed yield and its attributes in field pea. Twelve parents (9 lines + 3 testers) of field pea were crossed in L x T mating design and the parents along with their 27 hybrids were grown in randomized block design with three number of replication during rabi 2020-21. Narrow differences between PCV and GCV of different traits implying apparent variability present among genotypes not only due to genetic factor but also environment play a crucial role in expression of these traits. Low heritability coupled with low genetic advance were observed for most of traits expect days to maturity, height of first pod and plant height suggesting that these traits are genetically controlled by non-additive gene action and heterosis breeding would be rewarding for these traits.

Keywords: Genetic advance, GCV, heritability, PCV, seed yield

Introduction

Field pea (Pisum sativum L., 2n=2x=14) is one of the most important food legume crop of family Leguminosae; tribe Fabeae that is widely grown throughout the world including India, North America, Australia, Pakistan, West Europe and South America (Saxesena et al., 2013) ^[22]. It is a self-pollinated crop and its centre of origin is not precisely known but it is considered to be the native of Ethiopia, the Mediterranean and Central Asia (Blixt, 1970)^[4]. It is extensively grown pulse crop in temperate climates due to its high nutritional profile such as a rich source of protein (25.10%) coupled with slowly digestible starch (61.80%), essential minerals (Ca, P and Fe), high fibre content (13.40%), and low fat content (Sharma et al., 2023; Haque et al., 2015) ^[23, 8]. As field pea is a leguminous crop, it maintains soil fertility by coexisting symbiotically with Rhizobium species of bacteria and fixing atmospheric free nitrogen into the soil (Rohilla et al., 2022)^[21]. In many parts of the world, it plays a significant role in agro-ecological cropping systems as a relay crop (Ali and Sarker, 2013)^[1]. Therefore, it is imperative to agricultural systems from an economic as well as agronomic perspective (Yang et al., 2018)^[27].

Heritability provides the information about index of transmissibility and measures the selection value for a trait in different progenies, while genetic advance is useful in determining the actual gain anticipated under selection (Ogunniyan and Olakojo, 2015) ^[14]. Hence, the current investigation was undertaken to estimate the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advances among yield and yield contributing traits for field pea genotypes.

Materials and Method

Nine female lines (Pant P-243, DDR-23, HFP 715, IPF 14-13, IPF 14-16, RFP 2009-2, Pant P-200, RFPG 79, Aman) were crossed with three male testers (HFP 1545, HFP 1426, GP02/1108) in line \times tester design during *rabi* 2019-20. The 12 parents along with their 27 crosses were evaluated for thirteen quantitative traits in Pulses Research Area. Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during rabi 2020-21. The experimental site situated at 29.10° N latitude and 75.46° E longitude at an elevation of 215. 2 meter above mean sea level is characterized by extreme temperatures (low and high), dryness and scanty rainfall. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design with three replicates, with plot size of a single row of 4m length with 45 x 15cm spacing. Data on number of primary and secondary branches plant⁻¹, nodes plant⁻¹, plant height of 1st pod, plant height, pods plant⁻¹, seeds pod⁻¹, 100-seed weight, biological, seed yield and harvest index were recorded on five competitive randomly selected

plants while on days to flowering and maturity, the observations were recorded on the plot basis. The analysis of variance for each character was carried out by using the method described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) ^[15]. The genetic variability parameters *i.e.* genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) were calculated as per Burton and de Vane (1953) ^[5]. Heritability in narrow sense for each character was calculated according to Singh and Chaudhary (1985) ^[7], whereas expected genetic advance was calculated at 5 per cent selection intensity for each character as per Johnson *et al.* (1955) ^[10]. Data were analyzed using statistical software INDOSTAT.

Results and Discussion

The mean squares werehighly significant (P < 0.01) for each of the thirteen variables studied suggesting sufficient amount of variability present in the experimental material. The conscientious study of analysis of variance, mean, standard errors and critical difference (CD) revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all thirteen traits of field pea indicating thereby existence of considerable genetic variability (Table 1). So, the vast range of variation present among these genotypes gives ample scope to plant breeder for selection of superior and desired genotypes for continued crop improvement. Singh *et al.*, 2017 ^[24]; Pratap *et al.*, 2021 ^[17]; Bahadur and Devi, 2021 ^[3] also reported significant variation among field pea genotypes for different traits.

Table 1: Analysis of variance for 13 quantitative traits in field pea

	Range					Source of variation and mean squares			
Characters	Mean	Min.	Max.	S.E	CD (5%)	CD (1%)	Replication DF (2)	Treatment DF (38)	Error DF (76)
DF	76	70	93	0.41	0.83	1.09	0.179	30.29**	0.258
DM	124	120	140	0.68	1.36	1.79	11.61**	20.95**	0.69
NPB	2.59	1.8	3.33	0.22	0.44	.0.58	0.60**	0.25**	0.73
NSB	1.34	0.4	3.47	0.34	068	0.90	0.34	1.34**	0.17
NN	13.19	10.87	16	0.87	1.74	2.30	13.20**	4.52**	1.14
Ht. of 1 st pod	37.44	18.33	56	2.79	5.57	7.38	138.64**	373.81**	11.72
PH	69.27	30	109	4.91	9.80	13.02	96.444	1978.30**	36.33
100-SW	15.79	12.65	18.12	0.36	8.73	0.96	0.91*	4.17**	0.2
NPP	25.04	15.93	42.53	2.64	5.26	6.97	1.56	99.70**	10.46
NSP	4.89	3.87	5.8	0.25	0.51	0.67	0.22	0.77**	0.1
BY	29.77	13.37	49.5	2.50	4.48	5.94	1.482	183.41**	7.6
SYP	13.97	5.7	24.77	1.15	2.28	3.03	3.309	46.43**	1.98
HI	47.05	31.27	57.61	2.38	4.73	6.27	20.07	105.03**	8.47

*, ** significant at 5% and 1%, respectively, DF-Days to 50% flowering; DM-Days to maturity; NPB-Number of primary branches per plant; NSB- Number of secondary branches per plant; NN-Number ofnodes per plant; Ht. of 1st pod-height; Height of first pod; PH-Plant height; 100-SW-100-seed weight; NPP-Number of pods per plant; NSP-Number of seeds per pod; BY-Biological yield per plant; SYP-Seed yield per plant; HI- Harvest index.

Genetic variability analysis

The overall magnitude of GCV was observed lower than that of PCV for all 13 traits with varying degree implying that environment has a role in expression of these traits among the studied genotypes. Earlier, similar results of slightly higher PCV than GCV were observed by Meena *et al.*, 2017 ^[12]; Pathak *et al.*, 2019 ^[16]; Bahadur and Devi, 2021 ^[3] in field pea. As per Deshmukh *et al.* (1986) ^[6] a value greater than 20% of PCV and GCV is considered to be high, whereas value 10-20% and < 10% are to be regarded as medium and low, respectively. Based on this categorization, a close observation of experimental results revealed a high estimate of PCV and GCV, respectively for number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (50.03%, 46.66%), plant height (37.07%, 29.35%), height of first pod (29.82%, 36.73%), seed yield plant⁻¹ (28.16%, 21.78%), biological yield plant⁻¹ (26.26%, 25.71%) and number of pods plant⁻¹ (23.02%, 27.55%). However, a moderate PCV were observed for harvest index (12.58%), number of primary branches plant⁻¹ (11.08%) and number of seeds pod⁻¹ (10.4%), whereas only one trait (harvest index) showed moderate GCV with a value of 12.06%. A low value of PCV and GCV, respectivelywere exhibited by number 100-seed weight (7.47%, 7.28%), days to 50% flowering (4.21%, 4.19%), days to maturity (2.13%, 2.09%) and number of nodes plant⁻¹ (8.05%, 9.31%).

Narrow sense heritability (H²_{ns}) represents the genetic

strength of the traits and indicates the efficiency of selection, whereas genetic advance in percentage (expected) of mean (GAM) serve as indicator of efficacious and systematic progress of selection. As per Johnson *et al.* (1955) ^[10] heritability in narrow sense and the expected genetic advance as percentage of mean has direct correspondence with the magnitude of additive gene effects, therefore, simple selection would be effective for all the traits having high heritability. The heritability and GAM in the present investigation varied from 0.16% to 82.92% and 0.05% to 16.88%, respectively as shown in Table 2. Low magnitude of H^2_{ns} coupled with low GAM were observed for most of traits except days to

maturity, height of first pod indicating pre-dominant control of non-additive gene action and hence heterosis breeding would be rewarding for their genetic improvement whereas, moderate H^{2}_{ns} along with low GAM were observed for days to maturity and height of first pod while plant height exhibited high heritability with moderate GAM indicating that these characters might be governed by non-additive gene action and high heritability might be exhibited due to favourable influence of environment.Similar studies on heritability and genetic advance in field pea made by Jeberson *et al.*, 2016 ^[9]; Pathak *et al.*, 2019 ^[16]; Pratap *et al.*, 2021 ^[17]; Pujari *et al.*, 2021 ^[18] were in partial agreement with our findings.

		Variance		Coefficient of	variation (%)		Genetic advance as 5% of mean	
Character	σ²p	$\sigma^2 g$	$\sigma^2 e$	Genotypic	Penotypic	Heritability(n.s)	Genetic advance as 5% of mean	
DF	10.099	10.012	0.086	4.19	4.21	16.26	0.67	
DM	6.985	6.754	0.231	2.10	2.13	55.10	1.29	
NPB	0.082	0.058	0.024	9.31	11.08	0.16	0.01	
NSB	0.446	0.388	0.058	46.66	50.03	9.38	0.09	
NN	1.507	1.127	0.380	8.05	9.31	6.44	0.12	
Ht. of 1st pod	124.603	120.697	3.907	29.35	29.82	45.90	5.09	
PH	659.432	647.322	12.110	36.73	37.07	82.92	16.80	
100-SW	1.389	1.323	0.067	7.28	7.47	6.48	0.11	
NPP	33.232	29.747	3.485	21.78	23.02	22.15	1.55	
NSP	0.258	0.226	0.033	9.72	10.4	6.88	0.05	
BY	61.137	58.605	2.532	25.71	26.26	20.70	1.68	
SYP	15.478	14.818	0.660	27.55	28.16	10.36	0.46	
HI	35.009	32.185	2.824	12.06	12.58	6.12	0.48	

*, ** significant at 5% and 1%, respectively, DF-Days to 50% flowering; DM-Days to maturity; NPB-Number of primary branches per plant; NSB- Number of secondary branches per plant; NN-Number of nodes per plant; Ht. of 1st pod-height; Height of first pod; PH-Plant height; 100-SW-100-seed weight; NPP-Number of pods per plant; NSP-Number of seeds per pod; BY-Biological yield per plant; SYP-Seed yield per plant; HI- Harvest index.

Conclusion

The understanding of variability present among the genotypes of a crop species is of utmost importance for their genetic improvement to produce high yielding cultivars. Thus we investigated 39 genotypes for 13 quantitative traits to examine the genetic variability present, phenotypic and genotypic associations and various traits contributing directly or indirectly to yield in field pea. High magnitude of PCV and GCV was observed for number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ followed by plant height, height of 1stpod, seed yield plant⁻¹, biological yield plant⁻¹ and number of pods plant⁻¹ implied that adequate variability is present for almost all the traits. Low heritability coupled with low genetic advance were observed for most of traits expect days to maturity, height of first pod and plant height suggesting that these traits are genetically controlled by non-additive gene action and heterosis breeding would be rewarding for these traits. The present study's findings on genetic advance, heritability, and variability showed potential for increasing field pea yield through selection using variables like the genetic coefficient of variation, heritability, and GA. These results have significance when creating an effective breeding programme because breeders may employ additive gene effects, transgressive segregation, and heterosis to increase yield when there is enough genetic variability.

References

 Ali M, Sarker A. More benefit from less land: a new ricepea-rice cropping pattern for resource-poor farmers of Bangladesh. J Agric. Sci. Technol. B. 2013 Mar 1;3(3B):204.

- Anonymous. Project Coordinators's Report, AICRP on MULLaRP (*Rabi* Crops), IIPR, Kanpur 2022; Accessed 21 February 2022.
- 3. Bahadur L, Devi B. Estimation of correlation and path analysis coefficient among yield and yield attribution trails of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). J pharmacogn. phytochem. 2021;10(1):1696-9.
- 4. Blixt S. Pisum. In genetic resources in plants-Their Exploration and Conservation. OH Frankel and E. Bennet (eds.). Int. Biol. Programme, 1970.
- 5. Burton GW, Devane DE. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. J Agron. 1953 Oct;45(10):478-81.
- 6. Deshmukh SN, Basu MS, Reddy PS. Genetic variability, character association and path coefficients of quantitative traits in Virginia bunch varieties of groundnut. Indian J Agric. Sci. 1986;56:816-821.
- Gupta V, Kumar M, Singh V, Chaudhary L, Yashveer S, Sheoran R, *et al.* Genotype by Environment Interaction Analysis for Grain Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* (L.) em. Thell) Genotypes. Agriculture. 2022 Jul 11;12(7):1002.
- 8. Haque SR, Akter N, Khan MA, Kabir K, Islam MM. Yield potential of garden pea varieties at varied harvesting dates. Bangladesh agron. J. 2014;17(2):21-8.
- 9. Jeberson MS, Shashidhar KS, Iyanar K. Estimation of genetic variability, expected genetic advance, correlation and path analysis in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Electron. J Plant Breed. 2016;7(4):1074-8.

- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. J Agron. 1955;47(7):314-8.
- 11. Kumar S, Gupta S, Chandra S, Singh BB. How wide is the genetic base of pulse crops? In: Masood Ali BB, Singh, Shiv Kumar and Vishwa Dhar (eds), Pulses in new perspective. Indian Society of Pulses Research and Development. IIPR, Kanpur, India. 2004, 211-221.
- 12. Meena BL, Das SP, Meena SK, Kumari R, Devi AG, Devi HL. Assessment of GCV, PCV, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its components in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Int. Jcurr. microbiol. appl. sci. 2017;6(5):1025-33.
- Mihailović V, Mikić A. Novel directions of breeding annual feed legumes in Serbia. In Proceedings, XII International Symposium on Forage Crops of Republic of Serbia, Kruševac, Serbia 2010;1:81-90.
- 14. Ogunniyan DJ, Olakojo SA. Genetic variation, heritability, genetic advance and agronomic character association of yellow elite inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Niger. J Genet. 2014 Jul 1;28(2):24-8.
- 15. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 1954.
- Pathak VN, Pandey RK, Verma SP, Ray J, Singh B, Jee C. Studies of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield contributing traits in field pea (*Pisum sativumL*.). J pharmacogn. phytochem. 2019;8(4):2587-2589.
- 17. Pratap V, Sharma V, Kamaluddin GS. Assessment of genetic variability and relationship between different quantitative traits in field pea (*Pisum sativum* var. arvense) Germplasm. Legum. Res. 2021;1:6.
- Pujari PK, Salam JL, Sao A, Mandavi NC, Singh DP. Study of genetic variability in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). J pharmacogn. phytochem. 2021;10(1):1053-1058.
- Rao DS, Harbir S, Singh B, Khola OP, Faroda AS. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of seed yield and its components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research. 1990;20(4):273-6.
- Ravindran G, Nalle CL, Molan A, Ravindran V. Nutritional and biochemical assessment of field peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) as a protein source in poultry diets. Poult. Sci. J. 2010 Jan 25;47(1):48-52.
- Rohilla V, Yadav RK, Poonia A, Sheoran R, Kumari G, Shanmugavadivel PS andPratap A. Association mapping for yield attributing traits and yellow mosaic disease resistance in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Front. Plant Sci. 2022;12:749439.
- 22. Saxesena RR, Vidyakar V, Vishwakarma MK, Yadav PS, Meena ML, Lal GM. Genetic variability and heritability analysis for some quantitative traits in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). The Bioscan. 2014 May 28;9(2):895-898.
- 23. Sharma A, Yadav R, Sheoran R, Kaushik D, Mohanta TK, Sharma K, *et al.* Estimation of Heterosis and the Combining Ability Effect for Yield and Its Attributes in Field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Using PCA and GGE Biplots. Horticulturae. 2023 Feb 14;9(2):256.
- 24. Singh BK, Sutradhar M, Singh AK, Singh SK. Evaluation of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficients analysis for yield attributing traits in field pea [*Pisum sativum* (L.) var. arvense]. Res. on Crops.

2017;18(2):316-21.

- 25. Singh RK, Chaudhry BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Pub. Ludhiana. New Delhi, Revised Ed. 1985; 318.
- 26. Sunayana, Yadav R, Punia MS, Ravika. Genetic divergence studies in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) using morpho-physio and molecular markers to identify drought tolerant genotypes. Indian J Genet. Plant Breed. 2017;77(04):574-578.
- Yang C, Fan Z, Chai Q. Agronomic and economic benefits of pea/maize intercropping systems in relation to N fertilizer and maize density. Agronomy. 2018; Apr 18;8(4):52.
- 28. Yang X, Yang J, He Y, Zong X, Min G, Lian R, et al. Performance of Different Varieties of Spring Field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under Irrigated and Rainfed Environments in North China. J Agron. 2022 Jun 23;12(7):1498.