www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(3): 310-312 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 14-01-2023 Accepted: 27-02-2023

D Sowmya

M.Sc., Department of Agronomy, S.V. Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

S Hemalatha

Professor and Head, Department of Agronomy, Sri Mekapati Goutham Reddy Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Udayagiri, Andhra Pradesh, India

U Vineetha

Senior Scientist and Head, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural Regional Station, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India

A Prasanthi

Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: S Hemalatha

Professor and Head, Department of Agronomy, Sri Mekapati Goutham Reddy Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Udayagiri, Andhra Pradesh, India

Growth and yield attainment of *Rabi* rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under precision nitrogen management practice

D Sowmya, S Hemalatha, U Vineetha and A Prasanthi

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2023.v12.i3c.18945

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi*, 2021-22 on sandy clay loam soils of Agricultural Research Station, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh to study the effect of precision nitrogen management on growth and yield of *rabi* rice. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experiment consisting of ten treatments *viz.*, Control, FP, STBNF, RDN along with 2 LCC based, 2 NDVI based and 2 SPAD based N management with critical levels of LCC (4,5), NDVI (0.7, 0.8) and SPAD (35, 40) and that the variety used was NLR 3354. The experimental results indicated that the precision nitrogen management practices significantly influenced the growth and yield of *rabi* rice and found that the application of nitrogen through LCC-5, NDVI- 0.8 and SPAD-40 were on a par with the farmers practice and soil test based nitrogen fertilization in *rabi* rice.

Keywords: FP-Farmer's practice, STBNF-soil test based N fertilization, RDN-recommended dose of N, LCC-leaf color chart, SPAD-soil plant analysis development, NDVI-normalized difference vegetation index

Introduction

Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the principal food crop of South East Asian countries and feeds more than half of the global population. Usually, paddy is grown under transplanted submerged condition over a large area. In India, 45.76 m ha of area is occupied by rice crop with 124.36 m t of production and 2717 kg ha⁻¹ of productivity. In Andhra Pradesh, 2.32 m ha of area is occupied with 7.8 mt of production and 4437 kg ha⁻¹ of productivity. (www.indiastat.com, 2020)^[26].

Nitrogen is one of the most important nutrients which greatly influence the growth and yield of rice and it place a major role in production and productivity of rice under transplanted condition, and synthetic nitrogen fertilizer plays a critical role in increasing the yield. However, only 30 to 40% of the applied nitrogen is being utilized by the crop, resulting in significant losses of reactive nitrogen, which not only reduces production but also drains the national budget and pollutes the environment.

Rice being cultivating during *kharif* and *rabi* by adopting different production technologies among them, nitrogen management is one of the established and most important production technics in transplanted rice cultivation. Fertilizers mostly nitrogen is recommended based on the soil and plant analysis but that analysis are tedious, laborious and time taking. To avoid the drudgery of analysis, scientists have developed specialized crop sensor technologies which give spot information on the nitrogen need of a crop based on the leaf optical properties. Chlorophyll or nitrogen content of leaf is closely related to photosynthetic rate and biomass production, and is an indicator of changes in crop nitrogen demand during the crop growth. Keeping these in view the study on growth and yield attainment of *rabi* rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under precision nitrogen management practice under southern Agro-climatic conditions was formulated with an objective to find out the precision nitrogen management practice for *rabi* rice in sandy clay soils.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment entitled "Precision nitrogen management in *rabi* rice [*Oryza sativa* L.]" was conducted during *rabi*, 2021-22 at Agricultural Research Station, Nellore. It is geographically situated at 14°27' N latitude and 79.59'° E longitude at an altitude of 20 m above MSL in the Southern Argo-climatic zone of South Coastal Andhra Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The treatments consisted of ten nitrogen management practices *viz.*, T1: Control (without N) (T₁), Farmer's

practice (200 kg N ha⁻¹) (T₂), Soil Test Based N fertilizer application (T₃), Recommended dose of N (120 kg ha⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹) (T₄), N application at LCC 4 scale (T₅), N application at LCC 5 scale (T₆), N application at NDVI Threshold 0.7 (T₇), N application at NDVI Threshold 0.8 (T₈), N application at SPAD Threshold of 35 (T₉) and N application at SPAD Threshold of 40 (T₁₀). The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam, neutral in soil reaction, low in organic carbon (0.46%) and low in available nitrogen (202 kg ha⁻¹), high in available phosphorus (56 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available potassium (425 kg ha⁻¹). The crop was sown at 15.0 cm x 15.0 cm spacing on 26th November in filed No. 24 of ARS, Nellore and variety used was Nellore dhanyarasi (NLR 3354). Recommended package of practices were adopted for experimentation. The data collected pertaining to growth and yield was statistically analyzed by following the analysis of variance suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) ^[14].

Table 1: Growth parameters at different growth stages and yield of rice as influenced by different nitrogen management practices

| | Plant height | | | | Number of tillers m ⁻² | | | | Leaf area (cm ²) | | | | Leaf area index | | | | Grain |
|--|--------------|-----|-----|---------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|---------|------------------------------|------|------|---------|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| Treatments | 30 | 60 | 90 | At | 30 | 60 | 90 | At | 30 60 90 A | At | 30 | 60 | 90 | At | vield | | |
| | DAT | DAT | DAT | harvest | DAT | DAT | DAT | harvest | DAT | DAT | DAT | harvest | DAT | DAT | DAT | harvest | yleid |
| T ₁ : Control (without N) | 37.1 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 193 | 257 | 247 | 238 | 325 | 556 | 433 | 386 | 1.44 | 2.47 | 1.93 | 1.72 | 2435 |
| T ₂ : Farmer's practice (200 kg N ha ⁻¹) | 44.1 | 83 | 89 | 90 | 256 | 388 | 378 | 371 | 456 | 1008 | 814 | 672 | 2.03 | 4.48 | 3.62 | 2.99 | 6313 |
| T ₃ : Soil Test Based N Fertilization (150 kg N ha ⁻¹) | 43.6 | 82 | 86 | 88 | 248 | 378 | 366 | 360 | 449 | 985 | 798 | 646 | 2.00 | 4.38 | 3.55 | 2.87 | 6384 |
| T ₄ : Recommended dose of N (120 kg ha ⁻¹) + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ | 42.5 | 71 | 75 | 76 | 240 | 324 | 318 | 302 | 434 | 796 | 651 | 556 | 1.93 | 3.54 | 2.89 | 2.47 | 5696 |
| T ₅ : N application at LCC 4 scale | 43.4 | 73 | 76 | 77 | 244 | 327 | 314 | 296 | 431 | 832 | 676 | 564 | 1.92 | 3.70 | 3.01 | 2.51 | 5769 |
| T ₆ : N application at LCC 5 scale | 44.0 | 83 | 86 | 87 | 248 | 360 | 352 | 346 | 442 | 963 | 788 | 639 | 1.96 | 4.28 | 3.50 | 2.84 | 6291 |
| T ₇ : N application at NDVI Threshold 0.7 | 43.4 | 72 | 75 | 76 | 244 | 326 | 313 | 301 | 437 | 846 | 668 | 576 | 1.94 | 3.76 | 2.97 | 2.56 | 5776 |
| T ₈ : N application at NDVI Threshold 0.8 | 43.4 | 82 | 85 | 86 | 246 | 361 | 353 | 347 | 442 | 954 | 770 | 621 | 1.96 | 4.24 | 3.42 | 2.76 | 6287 |
| T ₉ : N application at SPAD Threshold of 35 | 42.2 | 64 | 67 | 68 | 238 | 293 | 283 | 267 | 424 | 694 | 571 | 486 | 1.88 | 3.08 | 2.54 | 2.16 | 4806 |
| T ₁₀ : N application at SPAD Threshold of 40 | 43.8 | 81 | 85 | 86 | 245 | 362 | 354 | 348 | 439 | 945 | 762 | 627 | 1.95 | 4.20 | 3.39 | 2.79 | 6279 |
| S.Em± | 1.28 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 12.4 | 25.7 | 20.4 | 16.9 | 0.059 | 0.114 | 0.091 | 0.075 | 168.9 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.8 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 37 | 76 | 61 | 50 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 534 |

Results and Discussion

Growth and yield of *rabi* rice were significantly influenced by different nitrogen management practices and the experimental results in details were discussed in the following paras and depicted in the table 1.

Growth parameters

Growth of the rabi rice viz., plant height, number of tillers, leaf area, leaf area index were significantly influenced by the different nitrogen management practices and that the results revealed that the *rabi* rice fertilization of nitrogen in three splits through farmer's practice (T_2) was significantly recorded higher growth and it was on a par with soil test based (T₃), leaf color chart-5 (T₆), normalized difference vegetation index-0.8 (T₈) and soil plant analysis development-40 (T_{10}) at all the stages except at 30 DAT and it might be due to the application of nitrogen was comparatively higher than the recommended dose in case of farmers practice and which might be enhanced the synthesis of carbohydrate that directly or indirectly enhanced the growth by multiplication of new tissues which in turn were responsible for increase in growth parameters viz., plant height, tiller number, leaf area, leaf area index and that the results was furnished in table. 1. Sharma (2011)^[21], Shantappa et al. (2014)^[20], Suresh et al. (2017b) ^[24] and Naik *et al.* (2019) ^[13] found similar finding in case of nitrogen application in rabi rice cultivation. Further it is reported that Singh et al. (2006) [22], Krishnakumar and Haefele (2013) ^[9], Jhansi et al. (2013) ^[8], Bhat (2014) ^[2] and Chamely et al. (2015)^[3] also reported the similar results. The increase in leaf area and leaf area index might be due to increased nitrogen levels which favoured higher uptake of nutrients by crop, that resulted in rapid enhancement in the cell number and elongation that ultimately lead to increased number and size of the leaves and these results are in close conformity with Gupta et al. (2011)^[6], Ghosh et al. (2013)^[5] and Mathukia et al. (2014) [11], Fageria (2007) [4], Sarnaik (2010) ^[18], Sen et al. (2011) ^[19], Haque and Haque (2016) ^[7]

and Reena et al. (2017)^[17].

Grain Yield

Split application of nitrogen through soil test based nitrogen fertilization was significantly regarded maximum grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) of *rabi* rice which was on a par with farmer's practice (T₂) and with different precision nitrogen management practices viz., LCC-5 (T₆), NDVI-0.8 (T₈), SPAD-40 (T₁₀). In terms of per cent saving of nitrogen to a tune of 35 was noticed with precision nitrogen management practices without effecting grain yield and the results were depicted in table. 1. Further it was observed that the higher yields in case of LCC-5, SPAD-40, NDVI-0.8 is might be due to timely and adequate supply of nitrogen as per the crop need that led to better crop root & shoot growth and greater nitrogen use efficiency which ultimately improved growth attributing characters like more number of tillers, leaf area in different parts of plant which resulted in better translocation of photosynthates from source to sink during the crop growth. Furthermore, no response was observed with increased nitrogen levels in farmer's practice over STBNF, indicating that increase in grain yield was found to be significant up to certain extent. The significantly low yields in case of RDN was due low uptake of N when compared to FP and STBNF indicating that current recommended dose of N at fixed time is not adequate to meet the crop demand as the indigenous soil available N was low which requires an extra 25% N fertilization and these findings are in accordance with the findings of Ghosh et al. (2013)^[5], Syeda et al. (2014)^[25], Ali et al. (2015)^[1], Mohanty et al. (2015)^[12], Liu et al. (2015) ^[10], Prabhudev et al. (2017) ^[16], Pateel et al. (2017) ^[15] and Suresh et al. (2017a)^[23].

Conclusion

The study revealed that the application of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ as basal and split application of 20 kg N ha⁻¹ at 10 days interval, guided either by LCC-5 (T_6) or NDVI-0.8 (T_8) or SPAD-40

 (T_{10}) , proved to be most promising, feasible and economically viable nitrogen management practice for higher yield in *rabi* rice for the Southern Argo-Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh.

References

- 1. Ali AM, Thind HS, Varinderpal S, Bijay S. A framework for refining nitrogen management in dry direct-seeded rice using green seeker optical sensor. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2015;110(2):114-120.
- Bhat TA. Real time nitrogen management in rice genotypes (*Oryza sativa* L.) based on leaf colour chart (LCC). M.Sc. Thesis. Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar Campus, Srinagar; c2014.
- 3. Chamely SG, Islam N, Hoshain S, Rabbani MG, Kader MA, Salam MA. Effect of variety and nitrogen rate on the yield performance of Boro rice. Progressive Agriculture. 2015;26(1):6-14.
- 4. Fageria NK. Yield Physiology of Rice. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2007;30(6):843-879.
- Ghosh M, Dillip KS, Madan KJ, Virendra KT. Precision nitrogen management using chlorophyll meter for improving growth, productivity and N use efficiency of rice in Subtropical climate. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013;5(2):253-266.
- Gupta RK, Varinderpal S, Yadvinder S, Bijay S, Thind HS, Ajay K, *et al.* Need based fertilizer nitrogen management using leaf colour chart in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2011;81(12):1153-1157.
- Haque MA, Haque MM. Growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of new rice variety under variable nitrogen rates. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2016;7(3):612-622.
- Jhansi LBK, Ramana MKV, Venku NM. Effect of graded levels and time of nitrogen application on nutrient uptake, yield and economics of semi-dry rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Journal of Research ANGRAU. 2013;41(2):21-25.
- 9. Krishnakumar S, Haefele S. Integrated nutrient management and LCC based nitrogen management on soil fertility and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Scientific Research and Essays. 2013;8(41):2059-2067.
- 10. Liu K, Li Y, Hu H, Zhou L, Xiao X, Yu P. Estimating rice yield based on normalized difference vegetation index at heading stage of different nitrogen application rates in South East of China. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences. 2015;2(13):1-8.
- 11. Mathukia RK, Puja R, Dadhania NM. Climate change adaptation: real time nitrogen management in maize (*Zea mays* L.) using leaf colour chart. Current World Environment. 2014;9(3):1028-1033.
- 12. Mohanty SK, Singh AK, Jat SL, Parihar CM, Pooniya V, Sharma S, *et al.* Precision nitrogen management practices influences growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under conservation agriculture. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2015;60(4):617-621.
- 13. Naik MR, Hemalatha S, Reddy APK, Naga KV, Umamahesh MV. Calibrating leaf colour chart for nitrogen management in *rabi* maize (*Zea mays* L.) under varied plant density. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(3):4360-4364.
- 14. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural

Research, New Delhi; c1985. p. 87-89.

- 15. Pateel VL, Veeresh H, Narayana KR, Gaddi AK, Basavanneppa MA. Use of chlorophyll meter and optical sensors for nitrogen management in direct seeded rice. Journal of Farm Sciences. 2017;30(3):365-369.
- 16. Prabhudev DS, Nagaraju Sheshadri T, Basavaraja PK, Timmegouda MN, Mallikarjuna GB. Precision management practices-a much needed set of agrotechniques to improve rice productivity and cutback the resources in aerobic rice condition under drip irrigation. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Application Sciences. 2017;6(8):2800-2810.
- Reena VC, Dhyani Sumit C, Himansu SG. Growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency in wheat as influenced by leaf colour chart and chlorophyll meter-based nitrogen management. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(12):1696-1704.
- Sarnaik P. Nitrogen management in hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) through leaf colour chart. M.Sc. Thesis. University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad, India; c2010.
- Sen A, Srivastava VK, Singh MK, Singh RK, Kumar S. Leaf colour chart vis-à-vis nitrogen management in different rice genotypes, American Journal of Plant Science. 2011;2(2):223-236.
- 20. Shantappa D, Channabasavanna AS, Rao S, Halepyati AS. Effect of LCC and SPAD based nitrogen management on growth and yield of lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Bioscan. 2014;9(2):663-665.
- 21. Sharma P. Nitrogen management in rice using chlorophyll meter and seeker optical sensor. M.Sc. Thesis. Punjab Agricultural University. Ludhiana; c2011.
- 22. Singh B, Gupta RK, Yadvinder S, Gupta SK, Jagmohan Singh, Bains JS, *et al.* Need based nitrogen management using leaf color chart in wet direct-seeded rice in North Western India. Journal of New Seeds. 2006;8(1):35-47.
- 23. Suresh M, Balaguravaiah D, Jayasree G. Calibrating the LCC and SPAD based nitrogen management on leaf N content and yield in rice. International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience. 2017a;5(4):1382-1386.
- 24. Suresh M, Balaguravaiah D, Jayasree G. Effect of site specific nitrogen management on yield, nitrogen use efficiency and nutrient uptake in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2017b;5(4):1813-1820.
- 25. Syeda RS, Amjed A, Ashfaq A, Muhammad M, Zia UI, Haq M, *et al.* Normalized difference vegetation index as a tool for wheat yield estimation. Science World Journal. 2014;8(2):1-9.
- 26. www.indiastat.com; c2020.