www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(11): 127-130 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 07-09-2023 Accepted: 13-10-2023

Gaikwad SV

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Meteorology, College of Agriculture, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Mahude SV

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Meteorology, College of Agriculture, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Jadhav SS

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Gaikwad SV M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Meteorology, College of Agriculture, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Effects of weather parameters on growth, development and yield of pigeon pea varieties

Gaikwad SV, Mahude SV and Jadhav SS

Abstract

A research trial named "Effects of weather parameters on growth, development and yield of pigeon pea varieties" was conducted at Farm of AICRP on Agrometeorology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during *kharif* season 2021. The research field was laid out in split-plot design with three replications and four varieties *viz*. dates of sowing D₁ (25th MW), D₂ (26th MW), D₃ (27thMW), D₄ (28th MW) and varieties V₁ (BDN-711), V₂ (BSMR-736) and V₃ (BDN-716) sown with the spacing of 90 x 20 cm² using 12 treatments and 36 plots to study the crop weather relationship. The Gross plot size was 5.4 x 5.0 m² with a net plot size of one treatment was 4.5 x 4.2 m². The correlation was worked between the different weather parameters and seed yield of different varieties.

Keywords: Weather parameters, growth, development, yield, pigeon pea varieties

Introduction

Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) is cultivated in the semi-arid areas of tropics and subtropics. Pigeon pea is a long duration pulse crop mainly being cultivated in poor soils under rainfed condition and the crop has capacity to thrive well under low input and adverse condition (Kumar and Paslawar 2017)^[7]. The crop owes its popularity to the fact that being a leguminous plant; it is capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen and thereby restores nitrogen content in the soil. Its deep root system helps in extracting nutrients and moisture from deeper soil layers thus making it suitable for rainfed condition.

Weather plays a major role in determining the success of agricultural pursuits. Most field crops are dependent solely upon weather to provide life sustaining water and energy. Growing Degree Days (GDD) and temperature are two significant spatially dynamic climatic variables that have a significant impact on forest development (Bourque *et al.* 2000)^[2]. The length of each phenophase affects how quickly dry matter accumulates and is distributed throughout the plant, as well as how the crop reacts to external and environmental influences (Dalton, 1967)^[3].

Materials and Methods

Field experiment was conducted at Farm of AICRP on Agrometeorology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during *kharif* season 2021 to find out the effects of dates of sowing on pigeon pea varieties, effects of weather parameters on growth and development of pigeon pea and effect of varied weather condition. The research field was laid out in split-plot design with three replications and four varieties *viz*. dates of sowing D₁ (25th MW), D₂ (26th MW), D₃ (27th MW), D₄ (28th MW) and varieties V₁ (BDN-711), V₂ (BSMR-736) and V₃ (BDN-716) sown with the spacing of 90 x 20 cm² using 12 treatments and 36 plots to study the crop weather relationship. The Gross plot size was 5.4 x 5.0 m² with a net plot size of one treatment was 4.5 x 4.2 m². Five plants in plot were selected for biometric observations.

Growing Degree Days (GDD)

Growing Degree Days is defined as "the sum over the growing season of a crop of the difference between the daily temperature and a reference temperature". GDD was expressed in terms of °C day. The Growing Degree Days (GDD) was worked out by considering the base temperature of 10 °C (Patel *et al.* 1999)^[9].

The total Growing Degree Days (GDD) for different phenophases were determined by the following formula-

Accumulated GDD (°C day) =
$$\frac{dh}{\sum [(T_{max} + T_{min}) / 2]}$$
 - Tb

Where,

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{GDD} = \text{Growing degree days} \\ T_{max} = \text{Daily maximum temperature (°C)} \\ T_{min} = \text{Daily minimum temperature (°C)} \\ \text{Tb} = \text{Base temperature (10 °C)} \\ \text{ds} = \text{Date of sowing} \\ \text{dh} = \text{Date of harvest} \end{array}$

Helio Thermal Units (HTU)

The HTU may be defined as "the accumulated product of GDD and Bright sun shine hours between the developmental thresholds for each day" and HTU was expressed in terms of °C day hrs.

The HTU is the product of GDD and mean daily hours of bright sun shine. The sum of HTU for each phenophase was worked out by following equation which was given by Nagamani *et al.* $(2015)^{[8]}$.

Accumulated HTU ($^{\circ}C$ day hrs) = GDD x BSS

Where, HTU = Helio Thermal Units GDD = Growing Degree days BSS = Bright Sun Shine Hours

Photo Thermal Unit (PTU)

PTU may be defined as "the product of growing degree days and the day length" expressed in terms of °C day hrs. PTU was computed by using following formula. This was proposed by Gudadhe *et al.* (2013)^[5].

PTU (°C day hrs) = GDD x Day length

Where, PTU = Photo Thermal Units GDD = Growing Degree days

Photo Thermal Index (PTI)

PTI may be defined as "the ratio of total accumulation of GDD to the no. of days taken between two phenophases" and expressed in terms of °C day. PTI was computed by using following formula. This was proposed by Gowda *et al.* (2013) ^[4].

Total accumulation of GDD

No. of days taken between two phenophases

Heat Use Efficiency (HUE)

 $PTI (^{\circ}C day) = ^{\circ}$

HUE may be defined as "the ratio of biological yield i.e, total dry matter to the total accumulated heat unit i.e, GDD" and expressed in terms of kg ha⁻¹ °C day⁻¹. HUE was computed by using following formula. This was proposed by Kumar *et al.* (2008) ^[6].

 $\frac{\text{Biological yield (total dry matter)}}{\text{HUE (kg ha^{-1} °C day^{-1})} = \frac{1}{1 + 1}$

Accumulated heat units (GDD)

Results and Discussion

Growing Degree Days (GDD)

The Growing Degree Days (GDD) for the pigeon pea crop under various planting dates and types are reported in Table 1. According to the data in Table 1, the mean heat unit requirement from the germination to maturity stage (P_1 to P_7) in D1 (25th SMW), the mean heat load was recorded to be 617.57 °C day, and in D_2 (26th SMW), D_3 (27th SMW) and D_4 (28th MW) it was 609, 597.86 and 591.86 °C day respectively. It showed that the average heat load dropped from D_1 to D_4 , which can be related to the delayed sowing. Date of sowing D₁ (25th SMW) showed a higher heat load (i.e. 617.57 °C day) than the other treatments, this may be because the higher air temperature was present at the time of planting. Due to the influence of temperature and delayed sowing during the crop growing season, the lowest (i.e. 591.86 °C day) heat unit necessary for achieving various phenophases in D₄ (27th SMW) date of sowing. Gowda et al. (2012)^[4] and Nagamani et al. (2015)^[8] reported similar findings.

The data shown in Table 1 exhibited that average heat unit demand of variety BDN-711 found as 576.43 °C day and average heat unit demand of varieties BSMR-736 and BDN-716 found to be same i.e. 631.86 °C day.

Helio Thermal Unit (HTU)

From germination to maturity, the Helio Thermal Unit (HTU) for the pigeon pea crop under various dates of sowings and varieties are reported in Table 2.

According to the data in Table 2, the mean HTU requirement from the germination to maturity stage (P_1 to P_7) in D_1 (25th SMW), the mean HTU was recorded to be 3787.86 °C day hrs, and in D₂ (26th SMW), D₃ (27th SMW) and D₄ (28th MW) it was 3374.14, 3318.57 and 3263.43 °C day respectively. It showed that the average HTU decreased from D_1 to D_4 , which can be related to the delayed sowing. The HTU unit was found to be highest (3387. 86 °C Day hrs) in the D₁ (25th SMW) and lowest (3263.43 °C) in the D₄ (28th SMW) date of sowing as compared to other dates of sowing. The total HTU recorded in D₁, D₂, D₃ and D₄ date of sowing was 23715, 23619, 23230 and 22844 °C Day hrs, respectively. It may be due to the variation in dates of sowings. Nagamani et al. (2015)^[8] reported the similar findings. The data shown in Table 2 exhibited that average HTU of variety BDN-711 found as 3180 °C day hrs and average heat unit demand of varieties BSMR-736 and BDN-716 found to be same i.e. 3491.57 °C day hrs.

Photo Thermal Units (PTU)

According to the data in Table 3, the mean PTU requirement from the germination to maturity stage (P₁ to P₇) in D₁ (25th SMW), the mean PTU was recorded to be 7716.71 °C day hour, and in D₂ (26th SMW), D₃ (27th SMW) and D₄ (28th MW) it was 7612.43, 7472.29 and 7397.29 °C day hour respectively. It showed that the average PTU decreased from D₁ to D₄, which can be related to the delayed sowing. The PTU unit was found to be highest (7716.71°C day hour) in the D₁ (25th SMW) and lowest (7397.29 °C day hour) in the D₄ (28th SMW) date of sowing as compared to other dates of sowing. The total PTU recorded in D₁, D₂, D₃ and D₄ date of sowing was 54017, 53287, 52306 and 51781 °C day hour, respectively. It may be due to the variation in dates of sowings. Similar results were reported by Bhalerao *et al.* (2020) ^[1]. The data shown in Table 3. exhibited that average PTU of variety BDN-711 found as 7204.86 °C day hour and average heat unit demand of varieties BSMR-736 and BDN-

P₄ – Branching to 50% Flowering

716 found to be same i.e. 7894.29 °C day hour.

 Table 1: Phenological stage-wise GDD (°C day) required by Pigeon pea under varying dates of sowing and variety during Kharif 2021

| | Phenological stages | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| Date of sowing | P 1 | P ₂ | P 3 | P 4 | P ₅ | P ₆ | P 7 | Total | Mean |
| D1 (25th SMW) | 146 | 741 | 120 | 2376 | 192 | 140 | 608 | 4323 | 617.57 |
| D ₂ (26 th SMW) | 127 | 733 | 122 | 2358 | 153 | 148 | 622 | 4263 | 609.00 |
| D ₃ (27 th SMW) | 126 | 728 | 116 | 2219 | 159 | 172 | 665 | 4185 | 597.86 |
| D4 (28th SMW) | 122 | 724 | 136 | 2197 | 161 | 172 | 631 | 4143 | 591.86 |
| Variety | P ₁ | P ₂ | P ₃ | P ₄ | P 5 | P ₆ | P ₇ | Total | Mean |
| V1 (BDN-711) | 131 | 526 | 128 | 2407 | 152 | 150 | 541 | 4035 | 576.43 |
| V2 (BSMR-736) | 131 | 937 | 119 | 2168 | 180 | 166 | 722 | 4423 | 631.86 |
| V ₃ (BDN-716) | 131 | 937 | 119 | 2168 | 180 | 166 | 722 | 4423 | 631.86 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ – Sowing to Germination | | | F | P ₅ – 50% Flowering to 50% pod Formation | | | | | |
| P ₂ – Germination to Seedling | | | F | P ₆ -50% Pod formation to Grain formation | | | | mation | |
| P ₃ – Seedling to Branching | | | | P7 – Maturity Stage | | | | | |

 Table 2: Phenological stage-wise Helio Thermal Unit (°C day hrs) required by Pigeon pea under varying dates of sowing and variety during

 Kharif 2021

| Phenological stages | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|---------|
| Date of sowing | P ₁ | P ₂ | P ₃ | P ₄ | P 5 | P ₆ | P ₇ | Total | Mean |
| D1 (25th SMW) | 931 | 3500 | 391 | 12773 | 1692 | 1036 | 3392 | 23715 | 3387.86 |
| D2 (26th SMW) | 496 | 3521 | 483 | 13446 | 1238 | 862 | 3573 | 23619 | 3374.14 |
| D3 (27th SMW) | 526 | 3441 | 223 | 13435 | 949 | 942 | 3714 | 23230 | 3318.57 |
| D4 (28th SMW) | 338 | 3238 | 492 | 13373 | 945 | 1051 | 3407 | 22844 | 3263.43 |
| Varieties | P 1 | P ₂ | P 3 | P 4 | P 5 | P ₆ | P ₇ | Total | Mean |
| V ₁ (BDN-711) | 573 | 2429 | 470 | 13506 | 1226 | 942 | 3116 | 22262 | 3180.29 |
| V ₂ (BSMR-736) | 573 | 4421 | 325 | 13007 | 1185 | 1003 | 3927 | 24441 | 3491.57 |
| V ₃ (BDN-716) | 573 | 4421 | 325 | 13007 | 1185 | 1003 | 3927 | 24441 | 3491.57 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| P ₁ – Sowing to Germination | P ₅ – 50% Flowering to 50% pod Formation |
|---|--|
| P ₂ -Germination to Seedling | P ₆ -50% Pod formation to Grain formation |
| P ₃ – Seedling to Branching | P7 – Maturity Stage |
| P ₄ – Branching to 50% Flowering | |

| Table 3: Phenological stage-wise PTU | U (°C day hour) required | by Pigeon pea under varying date | es of sowing and variety during <i>Kharif</i> 2021 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | |

| Phenological stages | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|------------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| Date of sowing | P 1 | P ₂ | P 3 | P 4 | P 5 | P ₆ | P 7 | Total | Mean |
| D1 (25th SMW) | 1828 | 9259 | 1496 | 29697 | 2396 | 1745 | 7596 | 54017 | 7716.71 |
| D2 (26 th SMW) | 1589 | 9158 | 1525 | 29473 | 1908 | 1854 | 7780 | 53287 | 7612.43 |
| D ₃ (27 th SMW) | 1580 | 9095 | 1452 | 27735 | 1983 | 2144 | 8317 | 52306 | 7472.29 |
| D4 (28th SMW) | 1529 | 9046 | 1695 | 27464 | 2011 | 2148 | 7888 | 51781 | 7397.29 |
| Variety | P ₁ | P ₂ | P ₃ | P ₄ | P 5 | P ₆ | P ₇ | Total | Mean |
| V1 (BDN-711) | 1631 | 6572 160 | | 30089 | 1901 | 1874 | 6767 | 50434 | 7204.86 |
| V ₂ (BSMR-736) | 1631 | 11707 | 1484 | 27095 | 2248 | 2071 | 9024 | 55260 | 7894.29 |
| V ₃ (BDN-716) | 1631 | 11707 | 1484 | 27095 | 2248 | 2071 | 9024 | 55260 | 7894.29 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ – Sowing to Germination | | | | P ₅ – 50% Flowering to 50% pod Formation | | | | | |
| P ₂ –Germination to Seedling | | | | P ₆ -50% Pod formation to Grain formation | | | | | mation |
| P ₃ – Seedling to Branching | | | | | P7 - | – Matu | irity St | age | |
| P ₄ – Branching to | 5 | | | | | | | | |

Heat Use Efficiency (HUE)

The Heat Use Efficiency (HUE) of pigeon pea during different dates of sowing was exhibited in Table 4. HUE of pigeon pea in D_1 , D_2 , D_3 and D_4 dates of sowing was recorded

0.22, 0.20, 0.18 and 0.18 Kg ha⁻¹ °C day⁻¹ hour, respectively. It might be due to the different growing period of different dates of sowing of crop. Rajbongshi *et al.* (2016) ^[10] also concluded the same results.

| | Particulars | D1 (25th SMW) | D ₂ (26 th SMW) | D3 (27th SMW) | D4 (28th SMW) | | | | | |
|---------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Yield (Kg ha ⁻¹) | 950.89 | 870.11 | 733.89 | 732.89 | | | | | |
| | Phenophases | GDD (°C day) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | P1 | 146 | 127 | 126 | 122 | | | | | |
| 2 | P2 | 741 | 733 | 728 | 724 | | | | | |
| 3 | P3 | 120 | 122 | 116 | 136 | | | | | |
| 4 | P4 | 2376 | 2358 | 2219 | 2197 | | | | | |
| 5 | P5 | 192 | 153 | 159 | 161 | | | | | |
| 6 | P6 | 140 | 148 | 172 | 172 | | | | | |
| 7 | P ₇ | 608 | 622 | 665 | 631 | | | | | |
| Accum | ulated GDD (°C day) | 4323 | 4263 | 4185 | 4143 | | | | | |
| HUE (K | lg ha⁻¹ °C day⁻¹ hour) | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | | | | | |

Table 4: HUE (Kg ha⁻¹ °C day⁻¹ hour) of Pigeon pea under varying dates of sowing during *Kharif 2021*

Conclusion

The meteorological variables rainfall, rainy days, minimum temperature, RH-I, RH-II and wind speed only exhibited significant positive link with seed yield during branching to 50% flowering (P₄) stage of variety BDN-711 and BSMR-736.

The meteorological variables maximum temperature, minimum temperature and RH-I exhibited significant correlation with seed yield during branching to 50% flowering (P_4) stage of variety BDN-716.

The branching to 50% flowering (P_4) stage of the pigeon pea recorded greater levels of GDD, HTU, and PTU among all the important growth stages or phenophases.

References

- 1. Bhalerao BS, Lokhande DC, Bobade BR. Thermal requirement of pigeon pea varieties at different phenological stages under varied weather conditions. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(2):1425-1428.
- Bourque CP, Meng FR, Gullison JJ, Bridgland J. Biophysical and potential vegetation growth surfaces for a small watershed in northern Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia, Canada. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 2000;30(8):1179-1195.
- 3. Dalton LG. A Positive Regression of Yield on Maturity in Sorghum 1. Crop Science. 1967;7(3):271-271.
- 4. Gowda TP. Microclimatic studies and crop weather relationship under different sowing dates in maize and pigeon pea intercropping system. University of agricultural sciences, Dharwad; c2012.
- Gudadhe NN, Kumar N, Pisal RR, Mote BM, Dhonde MB. Evaluation of agrometeorological indices in relation to crop phenology of cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) at Rahuri region of Maharashtra. Trends in Biosciences. 2013;6(3):246-250.
- Kumar N, Gopinath KA, Srivastva AK, Mahajan V. Performance of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) at different sowing dates in the mid-hills of Indian Himalaya. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2008;54(5):507-514.
- 7. Kumar R, Paslawar AN. Effect of conservation tillage on biomass partitioning and quality of pigeon pea based intercropping system under Vidarbha region. The Bioscan, 2017;12(1):571-574.
- Nagamani C, Sumathi V, Reddy GP. Performance of *rabi* Pigeonpea Under Varied Times of Sowing, Nutrient Dose and Foliar Sprays. Progressive Agriculture. 2015;15(2):253-258.

- Patel NR, Shekh AM, Bapujirao B, Chaudhari GB, Khushu MK, An assessment of phenology, thermal time and phasic development model of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millisp.). Journal of Agrometeorology. 1999;1(2):149-154.
- Rajbongshi R, Neog P, Sarma PK, Sarmah K, Sarma MK, Sarma D, Hazarika M. Thermal indices in relation to crop phenology and seed yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) grown in the north bank plains zone of Assam. Mausam. 2016;67(2):397-404.