



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
 ISSN (P): 2349-8242
 NAAS Rating: 5.23
 TPI 2022; SP-11(9): 605-609
 © 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
 Received: 22-06-2022
 Accepted: 25-07-2022

Janeesa Nabi
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

S Narayan
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

AA Malik
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

SA Mir
 Division of Agriculture Statistics,
 SKUAST-Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu
 and Kashmir, India

JA Wani
 Division of Soil Science, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

FA Khan
 Division of Basic Sciences and
 Humanities, SKUAST-Kashmir,
 Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

ZA Bhat
 Division of Floriculture and
 Landscaping, SKUAST-Kashmir,
 Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

TA Bhat
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

LR Shah
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

F Nisar
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

K Hussain
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

Corresponding Author:
Janeesa Nabi
 Division of Vegetable Science,
 Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-
 Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and
 Kashmir, India

Influence of fertigation and pruning levels on soil status and mineral content of parthenocarpic cucumber under polyhouse conditions

Janeesa Nabi, S Narayan, AA Malik, SA Mir, JA Wani, FA Khan, ZA Bhat, TA Bhat, LR Shah, F Nisar and K Hussain

Abstract

An investigation was conducted at experimental field, Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir in 2019 and 2020 to study "Influence of fertigation and pruning levels on soil status and mineral content of parthenocarpic cucumber under polyhouse conditions". The experiment was laid out in RCBD with three replications comprising of two factors with 2 levels of pruning (P) viz., P₀ (control or no pruning), P₁ (Single stem system) and 6 levels of fertigation (F) viz., F₀ (control or no fertigation), F₁ (100:75:125 NPK kg ha⁻¹), F₂ (150:112:188 NPK kg ha⁻¹), F₃ (200:150:250 NPK kg ha⁻¹), F₄ (250:188:312 NPK kg ha⁻¹) and F₅ (300:225:375 NPK 1.500 kg ha⁻¹). The observations were recorded on mineral content, availability of nutrients and soil properties. Significantly maximum value of N, P, K, Ca, Mn, Zn and Fe contents were observed in plants pruned with single system as compared to the plants with no pruning. In case of fertigation F₄ recorded maximum values while among treatment combination F₄P₁ has given maximum values of nutrient content. It was observed that neither pruning nor interaction between pruning and fertigation levels has not any significant effect on availability of nutrients. However, effect of different levels of fertigation on availability of N, P and K was found significant. Significantly higher values of available P (18.69 kg ha⁻¹) and K (177.8 kg ha⁻¹) in F₄, but available nitrogen was observed maximum in F₅.

Keywords: Cucumber, fertigation, NPK content and nutrients

Introduction

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L., 2n=2x=14) popularly called as *Kheera*, in India is one of the most popular and profitable vegetable crops in the world (FAO, 2013) [9]. It belongs to family cucurbitaceae comprising of 117 genera and 825 species (Jeffrey, 1990) [17]. Among those genus *Cucumis* comprises about 30 species. It is thought to be one of the oldest vegetable crops and has been found in cultivation for over 3000 years in India (De Candolle, 1982) [6]. In terms of economic importance, it is ranked fourth among vegetable crops after tomato, cabbage and onion in Asia (Eifediyi and Remison, 2010) [8] and second after tomato in western Europe (Phu, 1997) [26]. Cucumber is thought to have originated in India (Harlan, 1975) [14] because of the fact that *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii* progenitor of cultivated cucumber is found in the Himalayan foothills of the country. Fertigation is a new concept that is being recently adopted in several horticultural crops. Fertigation is the application of water soluble solid fertiliser through drip irrigation directly to the root zone plant. So, drip irrigation under greenhouse cultivation is concentrated to supply irrigation water and fertilizers to rhizosphere through various phases of nutrient demand of a crop (Mostafa *et al.*, 2014) [21]. It also increases the fertilizer efficiency by saving fertilizer and is one of the most effective and convenient means of supplying nutrients and water according to specific requirement of the crop whenever required, resulting in higher productivity. The nature of growth is more of vertical in parthenocarpic cucumber due to its indeterminate growth habit. Hence, the plant density under protected condition is usually more. The density of plants managed through pruning of stems is an agronomic management variable associated with the productivity of vegetables under protected conditions. In order to boost up the production per unit area by utilizing the available space and nutrients applied. There is need to assess the optimum plant density for the cultivation of crop in polyhouse. Due to the high costs of the facilities and management it is necessary to develop and apply specific agricultural practices, such as optimizing the density of plants per unit area, for a maximum expression of the productive potential of the crop (Ortiz *et al.*, 2009) [23].

The appropriate dose of nutrients and systems of plant manipulation are very important factors for cucumber production. So, it was found necessary to study "Influence of fertigation and pruning levels on soil status and mineral content of parthenocarpic cucumber under polyhouse conditions".

Materials and Methods

Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted under naturally ventilated polyhouse at Vegetable Experimental Farm, Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, J&K, India during 2019 and 2020. The experimental site is located at an altitude of 1585 m, 34.50 °N latitude and 74.40 °E longitude.

Experimental designs and treatments

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications to evaluate effect of different fertigation and pruning treatments. Each block consisted of three sub blocks which in turn were divided into seven equal plots of size 2 × 1 m² totaling 21 plots per block. A border of 1m width separated the two blocks. The soil was analyzed for nutrient availability and chemical properties before and after of planting. Treatment combinations were 12 and their detail are described below: P₀F₀: No fertigation + no pruning, P₀F₁: 100:75:125 NPK kg/ha + no pruning, P₁F₀: No fertigation + Single stem system, P₁F₁: 100:75:125 NPK kg/ha + Single stem system, P₀F₂: 150:112:188 NPK kg/ha + no pruning, P₁F₂: 150:112:188 NPK kg/ha + Single stem system, P₀F₃: 200:150:250 NPK kg/ha + no pruning, P₁F₃: 200:150:250 NPK kg/ha + Single stem system, P₀F₄: 250:188:312 NPK kg/ha + no pruning, P₁F₄: 250:188:312 NPK kg/ha + Single stem system, P₀F₅: 300:225:375 NPK kg/ha + no pruning and P₁F₅: 300:225:375 NPK kg/ha + Single stem system.

Collection and preparation of soil samples

Before laying out of each experiment, initial soil samples were taken at random spots from different soil depths (0-20, upto 45 cm and upto 65 cm) from the experimental field. The soil was mixed thoroughly and about half a kilogram (Kg) soil sample was obtained by quartering method and was stored in neatly labelled polythene bags for soil analysis. Also after conducting each of the experiment, soil samples were again collected and analyzed for final nutrient element estimation.

Physical and chemical properties of soil

The initial soil samples were analyzed for its physical and chemical properties by adopting standard procedures described below;

pH and Electrical conductivity (ds m⁻¹): The pH of the soil sample was determined by digital pH meter in 1:2.5 ratio of soil water suspension (Jackson 1973) [16] and Electrical conductivity was estimated by solubridge conductivity meter-Jackson (1973) [16].

Available nitrogen (Kg ha⁻¹): Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline KMnO₄ method where the organic matter in soil was oxidised with hot alkaline KMnO₄ solution. The ammonia (NH₃) evolved during oxidation was distilled and trapped in boric acid mixed indicator solution. The

amount of NH₃ trapped was estimated by titrating with standard acid (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) [31].

Available phosphorus (Kg ha⁻¹): Available phosphorus content of the soil was extracted by 0.5 N sodium bicarbonate at pH 8.5 (Olsen *et al.*, 1954) [22] and was estimated by ammonium molybdate method as outlined by Jackson (1973) [16].

Available potassium (Kg ha⁻¹): Available potassium was extracted with neutral normal ammonium acetate at 1:5 soil to extract ratio and the content of potassium was estimated by flame photometer (Jackson, 1973) [16].

Mineral content (N, P, K %)

The fruit samples were collected from five randomly selected plants from each treatment of every replication for analysis. After collecting fresh fruit samples, they were washed thoroughly with tap water then dipped in dilute HCl and further washed with single and double distilled water. The moisture was whipped with filter paper and muslin cloth. Treatment wise fruit samples from each replication were then analyzed for estimation of nutrient elements.

Nitrogen (%): Nitrogen content was determined by Kjeldhal's method as outlined by Tandon (1993) [32].

Phosphorus (%): Phosphorus content was estimated from digested samples by the Vanado molybdate colour reaction method with the help of the spectrophotometer (Jackson, 1973) [16].

Potassium (%): Potassium content was determined by flame photometer (Jackson, 1973) [16].

Micronutrient cations (mg 100g⁻¹): The micronutrient cations like Zn, Fe, Ca and Mn were estimated by Atomic absorption spectrophotometric method (Isaac and Kerber, 1971) [15].

Statistical analysis

To test the significance of treatments and calculating critical difference (CD), the replicated data obtained from each treatment was subjected to statistical analysis as per the standard statistical procedures given by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The experimental data was analyzed in R-Software and levels of significance used for 'F' and 't' tests were p=0.05 as given by Fisher (1970) [10].

Results and Discussion

Perusal of Table-1 of data revealed that different levels fertigation had imparted significant effect on the pH of soil. The data showed that minimum pH 6.70 was recorded from pooled data with F₅ (250:188:312 NPK kg ha⁻¹) while maximum pH values 7.68 was registered with F₀. Soil Ph decreased with increasing level of fertigation might be due to increase in organic carbon content and nitrification. Reduction in pH might be due to formation of nitrates from the urea in the soil by nitrification. Similar findings were reported by Singh *et al.*, (2018) [30], Goha and Malkout (1992) [11] and Parchomchuk *et al.*, (1993) [24]. Initially urea can increase Soil pH in the zone of application due to release of NH₃ but with passage of time it is converted into nitrate (Sigurdarson, 2018) [28]. Pruning did not cause any significant effect on soil pH.

However, the combination F₅P₁ registered lowest soil pH viz., 6.69 but over all effect was non-significant. Results are in conformity with results of Bhat *et al.*, (2016) [3]. Analysed pooled data of Electrical conductivity depicts that maximum Ec of 0.353 dSm⁻¹ was observed with F₅. This might be due to fact that some amounts of basic material might have accumulated in the soil layer with increasing fertigation levels and slow fertilizer application via., fertigation. The increase in

EC might also be due to increasing amount of K⁺ ions in soil solution. Similar findings were reported by Singh *et al.*, (2018) [30], Parchomchuk *et al.*, (1993) [24] and Goha and Malkout (1992) [11]. Pruning treatments did not show any significant effect on Electrical conductivity (dSm⁻¹) also interaction effect was found non significant on soil EC. Similar findings were founded by Bhat *et al.*, (2016) [3].

Table 1: Effect of fertigation and pruning on soil pH and EC (dSm⁻¹) of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) var. Pusa parthenocarpic cucumber-6

FP	pH							EC						
	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean
P ₀	7.71	7.53	7.32	7.23	7.09	6.72	7.27	0.254	0.279	0.299	0.311	0.328	0.337	0.318
P ₁	7.64	7.45	7.28	7.19	7.04	6.69	7.22	0.252	0.284	0.301	0.308	0.322	0.335	0.317
Mean	7.68	7.48	7.30	7.21	7.07	6.70		0.253	0.282	0.300	0.310	0.325	0.336	
C.D. (p≤0.05)														
P	N/A							N/A						
F	0.23							0.007						
PXF	N/A							N/A						
Initial status:	7.65							0.28						

The pooled data on the effect of different treatments of fertigation on available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in soil after crop harvest are presented in Table 2. Perusal of final soil status indicated that among treatments the total N, P, K (Kg ha⁻¹) was found higher in fertigation treatments F₂-F₅ as compared to F₁ i.e. soil application of RDF indicating that there was higher losses when applied directly to soil as in conventional method mainly due to leaching and other losses. Nutrient application directly to soil leads to maximum losses resulting in negative/low gain of nutrients (Harisha *et al.*, 2017) [13]. Application of fertigation at the rate of 300:225:375 NPK kg ha⁻¹ (F₅) registered maximum values of 429.33, 22.9 and 189.0 kg ha⁻¹ for available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium of pooled data, which were significantly superior reported with other levels. F₀ recorded lower values 296.50 kg ha⁻¹, 11.6 and 128.9 for available nitrogen phosphorus and potassium of pooled data over years. Increased availability of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium might be due to direct contribution towards the availability of these nutrients. This

might also be due to that in fertigation levels especially in F₅ more than 50-65% NPK was given as compared to control. This helps in enriching soil fertility after satisfying nutrient needs of the parthenocarpic cucumber. Similar results were obtained by Kumar *et al.*, (2021) [20], Hadole *et al.*, (2020) [12], Brewer *et al.*, (2018) [4], Tank and Patel (2013) [33] and Bhat Sujhata (2009) [2] and Shedeed *et al.*, (2009) [27]. Shedeed *et al.*, (2009) [27] reported after conducting an experiment found that fertigation maintains higher NO₃- N in soil. Pruning treatment P₁ (plants with one shoot) recorded an available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content of 362.33, 18.4 and 159.6 kg ha⁻¹ in data pooled over years. However, overall effect of pruning was found to be non significant. Interaction effect of different fertigation and pruning levels also on available nitrogen were found non significant in data pooled over years. Similarly interaction effects between fertigation levels and pruning patterns were observed Non significant effects on available nutrients. Data were showed on Table-2. Results are in line with the findings of Bhat *et al.*, (2016) [3].

Table 2: Effect of fertigation and pruning on soil available nutrients (kg/ha) of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) var. Pusa parthenocarpic cucumber-6

FP	N							P							K						
	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean
P ₀	292.00	315.33	339.33	377.33	405.00	426.66	358.61	11.1	15.5	17.4	19.7	21.3	22.7	18.0	128.5	139.8	149.0	162.7	175.9	187.4	157.3
P ₁	301.00	315.00	339.66	378.66	407.66	432.00	362.33	12.0	15.9	17.5	19.8	21.7	23.2	18.4	129.4	139.2	151.7	163.3	179.5	191.2	159.6
Mean	296.50	315.16	339.50	378.00	406.33	429.33		11.6	15.7	17.4	19.7	21.5	22.9		128.9	139.5	150.4	163.0	177.8	189.0	
C.D. (p≤0.05)																					
P	N/A							N/A							N.S						
F	5.57							5.63							6.43						
PXF	N/A							N/A							N.S						
Initial status	290.1							11.06							122.70						

Perusal of data depicted on Table-3-4 revealed that different levels of fertigation showed significant variation on mineral content. Data on Table -3 depicts that significantly highest values of N, P, K and Ca of 1.41%, 0.55%, 0.95% and 17.57 mg/100 g were obtained with F₄ treatment (250:188:312 NPK kg ha⁻¹) in data pooled over years and was found superior to all other treatments except in case of F₅ treatment with which it was found significantly at par in pooled over years in N %. Control recorded lower values of 1.05%, 0.40%, 0.73% and 14.00 mg/100g for N, P, K and Ca content. Results are in conformity with Khader (2021) [19]. It was also observed

various levels of fertigation showed significant difference on Fe, Mn and Zn content of Pusa Parthenocarpic Cucumber-6 fruit (Table- 4). Among fertigation levels highest values of Fe (0.19 mg/100 g), Mn (0.65 mg/100 g) and Zn (0.47 mg/100 g) contents were obtained observed with F₄ fertigation level (250:188:312 NPK kg ha⁻¹) in pooled data over years which was found significantly superior to all other fertigation levels including control. Highest available micro nutrients content might also due to indirect of crop growth and root activity. The high root activity helped in producing organic acids and thus chelated the micronutrients in available form. The

Results are in conformity with the studies of Kumar *et al.*, (2021) [20] and Khader (2021) [19]. A comparison of data among different fertigation levels revealed that concentration of nutrients was mainly due to higher availability of nutrients under uniform, frequent and on-spot direct fertilization contributed to improved utilization recovery in the root zone by reducing leaching losses. This improved availability under fertigation resulted in increased photosynthesis, larger and greener leaves and better absorption as well as translocation

of nutrients that ultimately led to higher nutrient content. Higher application of nutrients at regular intervals in root zone of fertigated treatments also improved availability of bound nutrients leading to better translocation from roots to different parts. Further application of water soluble micronutrients helped the dissolved nutrients for better absorption and uptake which resulted in higher nutrient content. Similar results were also reported by Bhat and Sujhata (2009) [2] and Karuthamani *et al.* (2018) [18].

Table 3: Effect of fertigation and pruning on fruit mineral contents (N, P and K % and Ca mg/100g) of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) var. Pusa parthenocarpic cucumber-6

FP	N %							P%							K%							Ca mg/100g						
	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean
P ₀	0.99	1.13	1.14	1.25	1.35	1.19	1.18	0.37	0.39	0.42	0.46	0.5	0.44	0.43	0.72	0.77	0.82	0.88	0.95	0.84	0.83	13.29	13.9	14.51	15.36	16.06	15.73	14.81
P ₁	1.11	1.18	1.24	1.34	1.46	1.28	1.27	0.42	0.45	0.49	0.55	0.6	0.51	0.5	0.73	0.8	0.85	0.91	0.97	0.87	0.85	14.71	15.29	16.08	17.01	19.08	16.51	16.45
Mean	1.05	1.16	1.19	1.3	1.41	1.23		0.4	0.42	0.46	0.49	0.55	0.48		0.73	0.79	0.83	0.9	0.96	0.86		14	14.59	15.3	16.19	17.57	16.12	
C.D. ($p \leq 0.05$)																												
P	0.02							0.048							0.02							0.301						
F	0.04							0.083							0.03							0.521						
PXF	0.06							0.011							0.04							0.739						

Table -3 showed that highest N, P, K and Ca % in Pusa Parthenocarpic Cucumber-6 were recorded from P₁ (plants with one stem) viz., 1.27%, 0.95%, 0.50% and 16.45 mg/100g in data pooled over years which was significantly superior to P₀ (plants with no pruning). It might be due to destabilization of root –shoot ratio which resulted in more nutrient content in plants pruned with single stem. It might be also due to more dry matter accumulation in plants pruned to single stem. Pruning of plants to single stem increased nutrient uptake and translocation which ultimately leads to more nutrient content. Pruning also promotes growth ultimately increased sink availability for the deposition of minerals. The results are in line with the results of Tockchom *et al.*, (2021) [34], Divyabhrathi *et al.*, (2020) [7], Singh and Singh (2010) [10] and Badar *et al.*, (2018) [1]. The results on interaction effect of

fertigation and pruning patterns on mineral content are presented on Table 3 and 4. Data shows the treatment combination F₄P₁ (250:188:312 NPK kg ha⁻¹ + Single stem system) recorded highest N, P, K and Ca content of 1.46%, 0.60%, 0.85% and 16.45 mg/100g content in pooled data over years in Pusa Parthenocarpic Cucumber-6 which was significantly superior to all other treatment combination including control. Same combination also recorded highest values for Fe (0.30 mg/100g), Mn (0.69 mg/100g) and Zn (0.53 mg/100g) contents, The lowest Fruit P content (%) was estimated in P₀F₀ (No fertigation + no pruning). The more mineral contents in treatment combination than individual's treatments might be due to synergism between the treatments. The results are in agreement with Badar *et al.*, (2018) [1].

Table 4: Effect of fertigation and pruning on fruit mineral contents (Zn, Mn and Fe mg/100g) of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) var. Pusa parthenocarpic cucumber-6

FP	Zn							Mn							Fe						
	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean	F ₀	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅	Mean
P ₀	0.09	0.16	0.24	0.32	0.44	0.38	0.27	0.18	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.60	0.47	0.39	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.20	0.28	0.22	0.17
P ₁	0.14	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.53	0.43	0.33	0.22	0.31	0.36	0.43	0.69	0.53	0.43	0.08	0.12	0.17	0.26	0.30	0.25	0.19
Mean	0.12	0.20	0.25	0.34	0.47	0.41		0.20	0.29	0.35	0.41	0.65	0.50		0.07	0.11	0.16	0.23	0.29	0.24	
C.D. ($p \leq 0.05$)																					
P	0.01							0.01							.011						
F	0.01							0.02							.019						
PXF	0.02							0.04							.028						

References

1. Badar MA. Effect of nutrition and pruning methods on productivity and quality of cucumber hybrids in green house. *Annals of Agriculture Science Moshtohor*. 2018;56(3):697-708.
2. Bhat R, Sujhata S. Soil fertility and nutrient uptake by arecanut (*Areca catechu* L.) as affected by level and frequency of fertigation in a laterite soil. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2009;96:445-456.
3. Bhat TA, Wani KP, Mushtaq F, Sheikh AA, Wani SA, Mohsin A. Effect of organic sources and pruning patterns on soil parameters and economics of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annum* var. *grossum*) under protected conditions. *Ecology environment and conservation*. 2016;22:51-55.
4. Brewer MT, Morgan KT, Stanley CD. Effect of drip irrigation and nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium application rates on tomato biomass accumulation, nutrient content and soil status. *Journal of Horticulture*. 2018;5:27.
5. Choudhari SM, More TA. Fertigation, fertilizer and spacing requirement of tropical gynoecious cucumber hybrids. *Acta Horticulture*. 2002;588(588):233-240.
6. De-Candolle. *Origin of Cultivated Plants*. Kegal, Paul, Trench and Company, London; c1982.
7. Divyabhrathi V, Swaminathan V, Paramaguru P, Venkatesan K, Anitha T, Arumugam T. Impact of heading back and pinching on mineral status of Moringa

- Leaves (*Moringa oleifera* Cv. PKM-1). International journal of current microbiology and applied sciences. 2020;9(11):3496-3501.
8. Eifediyi EK, Remison SU. Growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L). as influenced by farm yard manure and inorganic fertilizer. Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science. 2010;2:216-220.
 9. FAO. Food and agricultural organisation, 2013. www.fao.org.
 10. Fisher RA. Statistical method of research workers (14th edition). Oliver and Boagd, London; c1970. p. 356.
 11. Goha KM, Malkout ML. Preliminary, nitrogen phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium DRIS norms indices for apple orchards in canterburg, Newz. Rev. Indust. Agric. 1992;49:115.
 12. Hadole SS, Patida G, Age AB, Sarap PA, Satyanaryana, Dhule DT. Effect of fertigation on soil fertility, yield and nutrient uptake by Brinjal. International Journal of chemical studies. 2020;8(3):1013-1017.
 13. Harisha CB, Asangi H, Singh R. Impact of drip irrigation and fertigation on residual soil nutrient status, nutrient uptake and nutrient use efficiency of fenugreek under semi-arid conditions. International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2017;5(2):661-667.
 14. Harlan JR. Crops and Man. American society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Madison, WI; c1975.
 15. Isaac RA, Kerber JD. Atomic absorption and flame photometry: Techniques and uses in soil, plant and water analysis. In: Instrumental Methods for Analysis of Soil and Plant Tissues (Ed. L. M. Walsh), SSSA, Madison; c1971. p. 17-37.
 16. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India, Private Limited, New Delhi; c1973.
 17. Jeffrey C. Systematics of the cucurbitaceae: An overview. In: Biology and Utilization of the Cucurbitaceae, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York; c1990. p. 3-9.
 18. Karuthamani M, Sundharaiya K, Raghuramlu Y. Studies on impact of drip fertigation and biofertigation on water and nutrient use efficiency of Arabica Coffee (*Coffea arabica*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;6:328-340.
 19. Khader AMF. Effect of different nitrogen fertigation levels on nutrient content and uptake of water melon under drip irrigation system. Journal of research in Agriculture and Animal sciences. 2021;8:16-24.
 20. Kumar P, Hadole SS, Ramteke PR, Bharti P. Effect of fertigation and foliar spray of nutrients on soil fertility and yield of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria Siceraria* L.). Life science Research. 2021;8(1):146-151.
 21. Mostafa NF, Zohair MM, Hassan AS. Effect of NPK fertigation rate and starter fertilizer on the growth and yield of cucumber grown in greenhouse. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;6:81-92.
 22. Olsen SR, Cole CO, Wetanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular. 1954;939:1-9.
 23. Ortiz CJ, Sánchez CF, Mendoza CMCY, Torres GA. Características deseables de plantas de pepino crecidas en invernadero e hidroponía en altas densidades de población. Rev. Fitotec. Mex. 2009;32(4):289-294.
 24. Parchomchuk P, Nielsen GH, Hogue EJ. Effect of drip fertigation of NH₄-N and P of soil ph and cation leaching. Candian journal of soil sciences. 1993;73:157.
 25. Patil VO, Das JC. Effect of drip irrigation and fertilizer management on Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2015;89(1):10-13.
 26. Phu NT. Nitrogen and potassium effect on cucumber yield. AVT. 1996 report, AVRDC, Training Thailand; c1997.
 27. Sheeded SI, Zaghoul SM, Yaseen AA. Effect of method and rate of fertilizer application under drip irrigation on yield and nutrient uptake by tomato. Ozean Journal of Applied Sciences. 2009;2(2):139-147.
 28. Sigurdarson JJ. The molecular processes of urea hydrolysis in relation to ammonia emissions from agriculture. Review in environment science and biotechnology. 2018;17:241-258.
 29. Singh SK, Singh SK. Effect of pruning intensity on leaf tissue micronutrient status in three mango cultivars under high density planting. Journal of Horticulture Science. 2010;5(2):120-123.
 30. Singh TB, Patra SK, Chanu J, Singh LS, Singh TH, Singh R, *et al.* Effect of drip fertigation on physico chemical properties of an alluvial soil of west Bengal, India. International journal of current microbiology and applied sciences. 2018;7(12):3535-3543.
 31. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in the soil. Current Science. 1956;25:259-266.
 32. Tandon HLS. Methods of analysis of soil, plant, water and fertilizers. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi; c1993.
 33. Tank RV, Patel NI. Influence of fertigation on yield and nutrient status in soil and leaf of papaya var., madhu Bindhu. The Asian journal of Horticulture. 2013;8(1):170-173.
 34. Tockchom R, Sharma DP, Thakur KK. Effect of rejuvenation pruning and nitrogen levels on leaf nutrient status of apricot cv. New castle. International journal of current microbiology and applied sciences. 2018;7(1):2492-2500.