www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(9): 529-534 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 16-07-2022

Accepted: 18-08-2022

#### Punabati Heisnam

College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural Univeristy, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

#### Priyanka Irungbam

College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural Univeristy, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

#### T Matouleibi Chanu

College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural Univeristy, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

#### M Bishwapati Devi

College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

Arunkumar Phurailatpam

College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural Univeristy, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

#### BN Hazarika

College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural Univeristy, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

Asish Singha R Uttar Banga Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Pundibari, Coochbehar, West Bengal, India

Corresponding Author Punabati Heisnam College of Horticulture and

Forestry, Central Agricultural Univeristy, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

### Integrated sources of nitrogen on the growth, yield, quality and economics of aromatic rice and their residual effect on succeeding lentil under rice-lentil crop sequence

#### Punabati Heisnam, Priyanka Irungbam, T Matouleibi Chanu, M Bishwapati Devi, Arunkumar Phurailatpam, BN Hazarika and Asish Singha R

#### Abstract

To study the direct and residual effect of integrated sources of nitrogen on the growth productivity and economics of rice (aromatic)-lentil cropping system investigation was conducted at the instructional farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya located at Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal during 2016-17 and 2018-19. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 2 aromatic rice varieties (Gobindabhog' and 'Kalonunia') in main plots and 12 treatments of nitrogen management in sub-plot. The results of the experiment showed that the variety 'Gobindabhog' (main plot) recorded higher growth, yield attribute, yield and quality (Carbohydrate, protein content, aroma, hulling percentage, milling percentage and Head rice recovery) as compared to Kalonunia. For the sub-plot, the treatment 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC recorded to give maximum growth, yield attribute, yield and protein content. Application of 50% RDN through VC + 50% RDN through FYM brought about positive response on the hulling (%), milling (%) and head rice recovery (%) and aroma. The highest rice carbohydrate content was found with 50% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC + 25% N through FYM treatment. The maximum grain yield of residual effect of succeeding lentil crop was observed in plots of Gobindabhog and 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through FYM treated plots. The highest gross and net returns were obtained with the application of 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC in the plots, where the crop was 'Gobindabhog' rice and the benefit: cost ratio was also higher in the same set of treatment.

Keywords: INM, Aromatic rice, Nitrogen, FYM, Vermicompost, Lentil, Gobindabhog, Kalonunia

#### Introduction

Rice is being grown in 117 countries and is a staple food for more than 70 per cent of global population. At global level, rice is grown on an area of about 163.2 million hectare with a production of 719.7 million tonnes. Among the rice growing countries, India is having the largest share in area for rice in the world and in case of production it ranks second in the world, only after China. India produces about 120.32 million tonnes from 42.62 million hectare with an average productivity of 2.82 tonnes per hectare (FAO stat, 2014) <sup>[7]</sup>. Among the rice varieties, aromatic or scented (fragrant) rice occupies a prime position on account of its excellent quality characters and thereby having great export potentiality. Among the agricultural commodities exported, aromatic rice holds a major share. Aromatic rice is very popular and highly priced due to its inherent aroma and cooking characteristics. It emits specific aroma in fields at the time of flowering, at harvesting, in storage even during milling, cooking and eating (Gibson, 1976; Efferson, 1985) <sup>[9, 6]</sup>. It is known that aroma is best developed when aromatic rice cultivars are grown in areas where temperature becomes cooler during maturity. Aroma is due to certain chemicals present in the endosperm which depends on the extent of 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline content (Nadaf *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[16]</sup>.

Many unique varieties of non-basmati aromatic rice like Gobindabhog, Tulaipanji, Kalonunia, Tulsibhog, Kataribhog, Radhunipagal, Radhatilak, Badshabhog, Kalojeera, Harinakhuri, etc. are cultivated in India especially in the state of West Bengal. All these traditional rice varieties are tall with weak stem, prone to lodging, photosensitive and having moderate to very strong aroma. These varieties have long duration and are low yielding. They are susceptible to many diseases and pests and their cultivation is restricted to certain areas only.

The issue of lower productivity of local aromatic rice is mainly due to inadequate as well as imbalanced use of nutrients which can be addressed through proper agronomic management in which selection of appropriate variety with balanced nutrient management may hold the key role. Declining soil health and increase in cost of inorganic fertilizers focus on the feasibility and use of organic sources to partially supplement the need of nitrogen to the crop as low priced organic manures. Efforts were carried out to investigate the effect of integrated nutrient management practices on the increase productivity of the rice crop and the beneficial residual effect on succeeding crop lentil.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was laid out in split plot design in sandy loam soil of West Bengal at Instructional farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar with 2 aromatic rice varieties (Gobindabhog' and 'Kalonunia') in main plots and 12 treatments of nitrogen management in subplot.

- T<sub>1</sub>- Control
- T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDN (40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) through fertilizer
- $T_3$  25% RDN through fertilizer + 75% RDN through vermicompost
- $T_4$  ~~25% RDN through fertilizer + 75% RDN through FYM
- $T_5$  25% RDN through fertilizer + 37.5% RDN through vermicompost + 37.5% N through FYM
- $T_6$  50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through vermicompost
- $T_7$  50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through FYM
- $T_8 \begin{array}{c} 50\% \ RDN \ through \ fertilizer \ + \ 25\% \ RDN \ through \\ vermicompost \ + \ 25\% \ N \ through \ FYM \end{array}$
- $T_9- \begin{array}{c} 75\% \ RDN \ through \ fertilizer \ + \ 25\% \ RDN \ through \\ vermicompost \end{array}$
- $T_{10}$  75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through FYM
- $T_{11}$  75% RDN through fertilizer + 12.5% RDN through vermicompost + 12.5% N through FYM
- $T_{12}$   $\frac{50\%}{FYM}$  RDN through vermicompost + 50% RDN through

As per the treatments specification, Nitrogen fertilizers were applied in the form of urea at different doses. However in case of 100% of recommended dose of N fertilizer, 50%N was applied before transplanting through respective sources and rest 50% was applied as top dressing through pilled urea in two equal splits coinciding maximum tailoring and panicle initiation stage. A uniform dose of 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied to rice in the form of single super phosphate and mutate of potash. All the plots were treated with FYM or vermicompost accordingly except  $T_1$  (Control) and T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDN through fertilizer). In Kharif, rice was transplanted on 16<sup>th</sup> June (2014) and 15<sup>th</sup> June (2015) in the spacing of 20 x 15 cm and harvested on 28th October (2014) and 26th October (2015). After rice, in Rabi lentil as a succeeding crop were sown on 7th November (2014) and 5th November (2015) with spacing of 25 cm and harvested on 4th April (2014) and 1st April (2015). For both the respective years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016, pooled mean was calculated for each and every parameter recorded. The different growth parameters of rice (Plant Height, Dry matter accumulation, crop growth rate, Leaf area index) were recorded at 30 days interval till up to 120 DAS. Yield attributing characters, Yield and quality parameter was calculated.

In the succeeding lentil crop no fertilizer was applied and lentil variety mitre was raised on residual fertility following data such as growth parameters (plant height, number of nodules per plant), yield attributes (number of pods per plant, 1000 grain weight) and yield (grain and Stover) was collected and calculated. Protein content of rice was determined using Lowry's method and Carbohydrate content was estimated by the method of Sadasivam and Manickam (1996)<sup>[23]</sup>. The effect of the experiment was recorded according to the varieties, nitrogen management and interaction between the varieties and nitrogen management for every parameters. Statistical analysis of all the collected data from the experiment was computed on analysis of variance method as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984)<sup>[24]</sup> at 5% level of probability.

#### **Results and Discussion**

### Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on growth parameters of aromatic rice

From the pooled mean recorded, Table 1. reveals that Gobindobog variety recorded maximum plant height, dry matter accumulation (DMA) and Leaf area index (LAI) in all the stages of observation i.e. 30 DAT (72.8 cm, 172.78 g m<sup>2</sup> and 1.160), 60 DAT (111.5 cm and 347.08 g m<sup>2</sup> and 3.170), 90 DAT (128.3 cm, 477.76 g m<sup>2</sup>, 3.150) and 120 DAT (128.4 cm, 597.93 g m<sup>2</sup> and 2.060) compared to Kalonunia variety. The crop growth rate between 90 - 120 DAT reported significantly highest in Gobindobog variety (4.01 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) but at 30 – 60 DAT (6.06 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and 60 – 90 DAT (4.41 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), the variety Kalonunia recorded maximum.

In the present study it is found that plant height at 30 DAT (73.2 cm) and 60 DAT (110.6 cm) increase by the application of 50% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC + 25% RDN through FYM but at 90 DAT (126.2) and 120 DAT (125.9) highest was recorded by the application of 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC. The results of the experiment (table 1.) showed that plant height and crop growth rate did not differ significantly due to different treatments in both the years of experimentation at all the stages. The different combinations of nutrient sources in the study had significant effect on dry matter accumulation and Leaf area index. The maximum pooled mean of dry matter accumulation at 30 and 60 DAT was found with 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC (T<sub>9</sub>, 173.93 and  $352.7 \text{ g m}^2$ ) followed by 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC (T<sub>6</sub>, 172.00 and 351.23 g m<sup>2</sup>). At 90 and 120 DAT, highest was observed under T<sub>6</sub> (486.24 and 601.04 g m<sup>2</sup>) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (483.53 and 599.44 g m<sup>2</sup>). These results are in conformity with the findings of Jadhav et al. (1997)<sup>[10]</sup>. In all the stages of observation, LAI was recorded highest with T<sub>6</sub> (1.158 at 30 DAT, 3.123 at 90 DAT, 2.038 at 120 DAT) except at 60 DAT observed T<sub>9</sub> (3.143). The lowest of all the treatments in growth parameters was recorded in control plot (T1) during both the years. Result also reveal that most of the parameters of growth give a positive response by the application of 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC and earlier Jain and Poonia (2003) [11] also reported that use of FYM and vermicompost at half the rates in integration with inorganic sources recorded higher growth of the crop.

Among the interaction effect between the varieties and nitrogen management, all the growth parameters was found to be insignificant except the crop growth rate between 30 and 60 DAT.

### Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on yield attributes and yields of aromatic rice

Results (table 2) indicated that the yield attributes (number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup>, panicle length, number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and test weight) and yield varied appreciably due to the effect of varieties and was found to be statistically significant. Among the varieties, Gobindobog varieties had highest number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> (371), number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup> (287), number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (155) and test weight (21.2) as well as the yield of aromatic rice i.e. grain yield (2.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (5.85 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (29.33%) whereas maximum panicle length was observed in Kalonunia variety (27.95).

There was a significant variation in the number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup>, panicle length, number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, test weight, straw yield, grain yield and harvest index of aromatic rice due to various treatments during both the years. Incorporation of 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC (T<sub>6</sub>) brought about significant improvement in the number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> (395), number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup> (317), number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (156) and test weight (20.8) which was closely followed by  $T_8$ (50% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC + 25% RDN through FYM) and T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC) in all the parameters recorded. Result of the experiment also reveal that application of 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC showed appreciable response in the grain yield (2.41 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (5.84 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of aromatic rice as compared with plots receiving 25% RDN through organic manure, plots receiving 25% RDN through fertilizer and plots receiving 100% RDN through fertilizer. Combined use of inorganic fertilizer and organic manure enhanced all the yield attributes of aromatic rice might be due to higher availability and efficient use of nutrients throughout the growing period as a result of plant nutrients from organic manures by greater microbial activities. The increase in yield attributes in turn helped the grain yield of aromatic rice. These results were in agreement with the finding Jeyabel and Kuppuswami, 2001 <sup>[13]</sup>, Adhikari and Mishra, 2004<sup>[1]</sup>, Solunke et al., 2006<sup>[20]</sup>, Dinesh et al., 2006 [3], Kumar et al., 2014 [14], Yadav Lalji and Meena, 2014 <sup>[14, 21]</sup>. But the treatment 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC found to have increase in panicle length (27.66 cm). Jayaraman and Purushothaman (1988) <sup>[12]</sup> also obtained similar results.

Harvest index remain unaffected by different nitrogen treatments which remained at par with each other in respect of grain yield. In case of the interaction effect between the varieties and nitrogen management, all the yield components was found to be insignificant.

## Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on quality parameters of aromatic rice

Under the effect of the varieties (table 2), the result reveal that the quality parameters of aromatic rice, viz. hulling (74.83% and 75.08%), milling (65.42% and 66.50%) and head rice recovery (51.25% and 53.33%), carbohydrate content (64.22% and 65.63%), protein content (10.42% and 11.04%) and aroma were found to be highest with 'Gobindabhog' variety.

Among the nitrogen treatment, 50% RDN through vermicompost applied in conjunction with 50% RDN ( $T_{12}$ ) through FYM brought about maximum hulling % (76.70%),

milling % (69.00%) and Head rice Recovery (57.70%) whereas 50% RDN through fertilizer applied with 50% RDN through vermicompost (T<sub>6</sub>) and 75% RDN through fertilizer combined with 25% RDN through VC (T<sub>9</sub>) remain at par in respect to hulling %, milling% and Head rice recovery (%). These can be concluded that application of 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through vermicompost (T<sub>6</sub>), 50% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC + 25% N through FYM (T<sub>8</sub>) and 50% RDN through VC + 50% RDN through FYM (T<sub>12</sub>) brought about positive response in aroma content. Similar finding was reported by Dutta, et al., 1999. From the pooled data recorded, the highest rice carbohydrate content was found with 50% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC + 25% N through FYM treatment ( $T_8$ , 72.48) whereas the protein content of rice was observed highest with 50% RDN through fertilizer + 50% RDN through VC (T<sub>6</sub>, 12.20) which was at par with 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC (T<sub>9</sub>, 12.11). This result was fully supported by Dinku, et al., 2014 [4]; Saha et al., 2007 [17], Sekhar, et al., 2014 [18].

In term of quality parameters, interaction effect between varieties and nitrogen management was found to be nonsignificant except the protein content of rice.

### Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on succeeding lentil crop

From the pooled data (Table 3), Kalonunia transplanted plot obtain maximum residual effect of succeeding lentil crop in plant height (3.35 cm), number of nodules/plant (20.33), number of pods/plant (47.65) and 1000 grain weight (17.82) as well as the grain yield of lentil (1.13 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (9.12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Residual effect of various nitrogen treatments (organic or inorganic) applied to preceding rice influenced significantly the plant height, number of nodules per plant, number of pods/plant, 1000-grain weight, grain and stover yield of succeeding lentil crop. In general, the plot receiving 50% RDN through organic manure (vermicompost or FYM or vermicompost + FYM) showed significant residual response in terms of plant height, number of nodules/plant and yield attributes of lentil as compared to plots receiving 25% RDN or 75% RDN or 100% RDN through organic manure except the plot receiving 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through vermicompost and plot receiving 100% RDN through inorganic fertilizer. Nitrogen applied at the rate of 50% of the recommended dose through combination of inorganic fertilizer and organic FYM had more pronounced residual effect on number of nodules/plant (21.19), number of pods per plant (50.87), 1000-grain weight (17.93), grain yield (1.20 t/ha ) and stover yield (9.71 t/ha). However, no marked differences was observed in grain yield of the plot incorporated with 50% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC + 25% RDN through FYM. The higher grain yield of lentil recorded due to residual effect of organic and inorganic nutrient together may be accounted for enhanced availability and gradual release of plant nutrient through organic sources. Similar results have also been reported by many workers (Gaur, 1984; Das and Mandal, 1986; Maskina, 1989, Singh et al., 2002 and Singh et al., 2004) [8, 2, 15, 22, 19]. The interaction effect of succeeding lentil crop between varieties and nitrogen management was found to be significant in the number of pods/plant and 1000-grain weight (g).

#### Economic of rice lentil cropping system

The results (Table 4) showed that maximum gross return of Rs. 155483 ha<sup>-1</sup> were obtained with application of 50% RDN through fertilizer +25% RDN through vermicompost + 25% RDN through FYM i.e.  $V_2N_8$ ) closely followed by Rs. 152179 ha<sup>-1</sup> with application of 50% RDN through fertilizer and 50% RDN through FYM i.e.  $V_2N_7$  in the plots where rice crop was transplanted with the variety Gobindabhog. Among all combination of variety and different nitrogen management,  $V_2N_8$  also registered the maximum net return to the tune of Rs. 81152 ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by the plot transplanted with

Gobindobog and treated with 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through VC i.e.  $V_2N_9$  (Rs. 80725 ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest benefit cost ratio (2.14) was noticed in the treatment combination of  $V_2N_9$  i.e. 75% RDN through fertilizer + 25% RDN through vermicompost. Though  $V_2N_8$  recorded highest gross return and net return, the cost of cultivation of  $V_2N_9$  were found to be lower compared to other combination treatment. So, 50% RDN through fertilizer +25% RDN through vermicompost + 25% RDN through FYM of Gobindobog variety reported to have higher benefit cost ratio.

| Treatment               | Plant Height |        |        |        | Dry matter Accumulation<br>(g m <sup>-2</sup> ) |        |        | Crop Growth Rate<br>(g m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |         | Leaf Area Index |        |        |        |        |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--|---------|---------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatment               | 30           | 60     | 90     | 120    | 30  | 60     | 90     | 120  | 60 - 30 | 90 - 60 | 120 - 90        | 30     | 60     | 90     | 120    |
|                         | DAT          | DAT    | DAT    | DAT    | DAT   | DAT    | DAT    | DAT  | DAT     | DAT     | DAT             | DAT    | DAT    | DAT    | DAT    |
| Main Plot               | Pooled       | Pooled | Pooled | Pooled | Pooled  | Pooled | Pooled | Pooled   | Pooled  | Pooled  | Pooled          | Pooled | Pooled | Pooled | Pooled |
| Kalonunia               | 70.4         | 108.0  | 122.6  | 122.0  | 159.68  | 340    | 472.44 | 580.8  | 6.06    | 4.41    | 3.61            | 1.120  | 3.050  | 3.030  | 1.960  |
| Gobindobog              | 72.8         | 111.5  | 128.3  | 128.4  | 172.78  | 347.1  | 477.76 | 597.9  | 5.87    | 4.36    | 4.01            | 1.160  | 3.170  | 3.150  | 2.060  |
| SEm±                    | 0.957        | 1.038  | 0.69   | 0.808  | 1.58  | 3.17   | 3.57   | 1.68   | 0.1     | 0.05    | 0.08            | 0.002  | 0.003  | 0.003  | 0.006  |
| CD(P=0.05)              | 7.749        | 6.316  | 4.21   | 4.916  | 9.62  | N.S.   | N.S.   | 10.21  | N.S.    | N.S.    | 0.48            | 0.01   | 0.021  | 0.015  | 0.034  |
| Sub plot treatment      |              |        |        |        |   |        |        |  |         |         |                 |        |        |        |        |
| T1                      | 69.5         | 108.4  | 124.1  | 124.4  | 153.84  | 331.07 | 456.98 | 569.94   | 5.91    | 4.20    | 3.76            | 1.121  | 3.047  | 3.020  | 1.971  |
| T2                      | 72.0         | 109.7  | 125.6  | 125.2  | 164.01  | 341.85 | 471.44 | 586.77   | 5.93    | 4.32    | 3.85            | 1.139  | 3.107  | 3.090  | 2.000  |
| T3                      | 71.1         | 109.4  | 125.1  | 125.0  | 166.95  | 340.42 | 476.89 | 589.11   | 5.78    | 4.55    | 3.74            | 1.134  | 3.098  | 3.080  | 1.997  |
| T4                      | 70.3         | 109.3  | 124.5  | 124.8  | 162.17  | 339.30 | 465.90 | 580.22   | 6.04    | 4.22    | 3.81            | 1.130  | 3.098  | 3.076  | 1.989  |
| T5                      | 70.7         | 109.7  | 125.2  | 125.1  | 167.85  | 343.53 | 477.09 | 592.69   | 5.94    | 4.45    | 3.85            | 1.137  | 3.110  | 3.092  | 2.015  |
| T6                      | 73.0         | 110.4  | 126.2  | 125.9  | 172.00  | 351.23 | 486.24 | 601.04   | 5.98    | 4.50    | 3.83            | 1.158  | 3.131  | 3.123  | 2.038  |
| T7                      | 72.8         | 110.1  | 126.2  | 125.5  | 169.36  | 349.36 | 480.32 | 591.94   | 6.25    | 4.36    | 3.72            | 1.147  | 3.120  | 3.099  | 2.006  |
| T8                      | 73.2         | 110.6  | 126.0  | 125.6  | 170.83  | 351.20 | 483.52 | 597.66   | 6.07    | 4.41    | 3.80            | 1.148  | 3.133  | 3.108  | 2.028  |
| T9                      | 72.9         | 110.3  | 126.2  | 125.8  | 173.93  | 352.47 | 483.53 | 599.44   | 6.01    | 4.37    | 3.86            | 1.149  | 3.143  | 3.121  | 2.029  |
| T10                     | 72.3         | 110.1  | 126.0  | 125.3  | 165.38  | 340.89 | 471.66 | 587.86   | 5.88    | 4.36    | 3.87            | 1.135  | 3.102  | 3.080  | 2.003  |
| T11                     | 71.5         | 110.0  | 125.6  | 124.8  | 169.47  | 346.20 | 481.61 | 595.41   | 5.94    | 4.51    | 3.79            | 1.147  | 3.114  | 3.100  | 2.020  |
| T12                     | 70.5         | 109.5  | 125.0  | 124.7  | 158.98  | 335.09 | 466.03 | 580.09   | 5.87    | 4.36    | 3.80            | 1.127  | 3.085  | 3.068  | 1.993  |
| SEm±                    | 1.490        | 1.572  | 2.098  | 1.503  | 3.26  | 9.64   | 8.58   | 6.32   | 0.33    | 0.42    | 0.13            | 0.005  | 0.007  | 0.009  | 0.011  |
| CD(P=0.05)              | N.S.         | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.   | 9.3   | N.S.   | N.S.   | 18.01  | N.S.    | N.S.    | N.S.            | 0.015  | 0.02   | 0.027  | 0.031  |
| Interaction effect (AB) |              |        |        |        |   |        |        |  |         |         |                 |        |        |        |        |
| SEm±                    | 2.108        | 2.224  | 2.967  | 2.126  | 4.62  | 13.63  | 12.13  | 8.94   | 0.47    | 0.6     | 0.19            | 0.008  | 0.01   | 0.013  | 0.015  |
| CD(P=0.05)              | N.S.         | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.  | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.    | N.S.    | N.S.            | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.   | N.S.   |

Table 1: Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on growth parameters of aromatic rice

Table 2: Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on yield attributes, yields and quality of aromatic rice

| Treatment               | No. of<br>effective<br>tillers m <sup>-2</sup> | No. of<br>panicles<br>m <sup>-2</sup> | Panicle<br>length<br>(cm) | No. of filled<br>grains<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Test<br>weight<br>(g) | Grain yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Harvest<br>index (%) |        | Hulling<br>(%) | Head Rice<br>Recovery<br>(%) | Carbohydrate<br>Rice | Protein<br>Rice |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| MAIN PLOT               | Pooled   | Pooled                                | Pooled                    | Pooled   | Pooled                | Pooled                               | Pooled                               | Pooled               | Pooled | Pooled         | Pooled                       | Pooled               | Pooled          |
| Kalonunia               | 305  | 228                                   | 27.95                     | 134  | 19.4                  | 2.18                                 | 5.34                                 | 28.97                | 63.42  | 73.55          | 50.92                        | 11714                | 50.1            |
| Gobindabhog             | 371  | 287                                   | 24.92                     | 155  | 21.2                  | 2.32                                 | 5.59                                 | 29.33                | 65.96  | 74.96          | 52.29                        | 12985                | 53.64           |
| SEm±                    | 6.1  | 4.59                                  | 0.29                      | 0.83   | 0.10                  | 0.004                                | 0.0159                               | 0.034                | 0.27   | 0.09           | 0.26                         | 898.6                | 0.27            |
| CD(P=0.05)              | 37.14  | 27.94                                 | 1.75                      | 5.02   | 0.30                  | 0.025                                | 0.0965                               | 0.208                | 1.63   | 0.56           | 1.55                         | 5468                 | 1.63            |
| Sub plot treatment      |  |                                       |                           |  |                       |                                      |                                      |                      |        |                |                              |                      |                 |
| T1                      | 254  | 206                                   | 24.64                     | 126  | 19.6                  | 2.08                                 | 4.98                                 | 29.47                | 60.80  | 71.80          | 44.80                        | 8421                 | 37.66           |
| T2                      | 329  | 237                                   | 26.23                     | 142  | 20.4                  | 2.23                                 | 5.52                                 | 28.75                | 63.50  | 73.80          | 51.30                        | 12898                | 47.72           |
| T3                      | 330  | 249                                   | 26.25                     | 141  | 20.0                  | 2.23                                 | 5.49                                 | 28.98                | 63.80  | 74.00          | 52.80                        | 11793                | 51.26           |
| T4                      | 293  | 221                                   | 25.75                     | 135  | 19.8                  | 2.16                                 | 5.19                                 | 29.39                | 62.30  | 72.50          | 46.80                        | 10760                | 41.35           |
| T5                      | 356  | 270                                   | 26.76                     | 148  | 20.1                  | 2.28                                 | 5.57                                 | 29.03                | 65.30  | 74.30          | 53.30                        | 12324                | 54.97           |
| T6                      | 395  | 317                                   | 27.57                     | 156  | 20.8                  | 2.41                                 | 5.84                                 | 29.19                | 68.70  | 76.50          | 56.30                        | 14097                | 60.98           |
| T7                      | 352  | 257                                   | 26.22                     | 147  | 20.7                  | 2.29                                 | 5.56                                 | 29.08                | 65.00  | 74.80          | 51.30                        | 13298                | 54.77           |
| T8                      | 379  | 303                                   | 27.15                     | 154  | 20.8                  | 2.34                                 | 5.69                                 | 29.23                | 68.00  | 76.00          | 55.80                        | 14557                | 58.85           |
| T9                      | 390  | 300                                   | 27.66                     | 153  | 20.8                  | 2.36                                 | 5.62                                 | 29.55                | 68.00  | 76.50          | 56.00                        | 14155                | 60.52           |
| T10                     | 328  | 246                                   | 26.21                     | 143  | 20.6                  | 2.23                                 | 5.34                                 | 29.54                | 63.00  | 73.50          | 49.00                        | 12963                | 52.17           |
| T11                     | 367  | 250                                   | 27.08                     | 151  | 20.2                  | 2.3                                  | 5.67                                 | 28.82                | 65.80  | 75.30          | 54.50                        | 12984                | 56.16           |
| T12                     | 285  | 232                                   | 25.72                     | 134  | 19.7                  | 2.16                                 | 5.23                                 | 29.38                | 69.00  | 76.70          | 57.70                        | 9948                 | 46.03           |
| SEm±                    | 17.27  | 23.44                                 | 0.42                      | 5.91   | 0.10                  | 0.019                                | 0.0584                               | 0.284                | 0.91   | 0.82           | 0.93                         | 1846                 | 0.91            |
| CD(P=0.05)              | 49.22  | 66.8                                  | 1.21                      | 16.85  | 0.30                  | 0.054                                | 0.1664                               | 0.808                | 2.58   | 2.33           | 2.63                         | 5261                 | 2.6             |
| Interaction effect (AB) |  |                                       |                           |  |                       |                                      |                                      |                      |        |                |                              |                      |                 |
| SEm±                    | 24.42  | 33.14                                 | 0.60                      | 8.36   | 0.20                  | 0.027                                | 0.0826                               | 0.401                | 1.28   | 1.16           | 1.31                         | 2610                 | 1.29            |
| CD(P=0.05)              | N.S.   | N.S.                                  | N.S.                      | N.S.   | N.S.                  | N.S.                                 | N.S.                                 | N.S.                 | N.S.   | N.S.           | N.S.                         | N.S.                 | N.S.            |

| Treatment               | Plant Height       | No. of readerla/relared | No. of mode/plant | 1000 grain weight | Grain yield of lentil | Stover yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ireatment               | (cm)               | No. of nodule/plant     | No. of pods/plant | (g)               | (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Plot               | Pooled             | Pooled                  | Pooled            | Pooled            | Pooled                | Pooled                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kalonunia               | 34.35              | 20.33                   | 47.65             | 17.82             | 1.13                  | 9.12                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gobindobog              | 31.41              | 19.32                   | 46.06             | 17.29             | 1.12                  | 8.95                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEm±                    | 0.084              | 0.189                   | 0.11              | 0.08              | 0.0041                | 0.0133                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD(P=0.05)              | 0.513              | 1.15                    | 0.68              | 0.48              | 0.0247                | 0.0809                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Sub plot treatment |                         |                   |                   |                       |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1                      | 31.31              | 17.39                   | 35.92             | 16.7              | 1.00                  | 7.58                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T2                      | 33.89              | 19.62                   | 49.37             | 17.55             | 1.12                  | 9.55                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T3                      | 32.69              | 19.75                   | 47.04             | 17.46             | 1.11                  | 9.22                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T4                      | 33.46              | 20.35                   | 45.73             | 17.55             | 1.12                  | 8.79                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T5                      | 32.09              | 18.91                   | 45.13             | 17.6              | 1.12                  | 8.56                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T6                      | 33.29              | 19.21                   | 48.57             | 17.67             | 1.15                  | 9.32                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T7                      | 33.89              | 21.19                   | 50.87             | 17.93             | 1.20                  | 9.71                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T8                      | 32.41              | 20.63                   | 50.15             | 17.88             | 1.20                  | 9.45                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T9                      | 32.63              | 19.78                   | 46.21             | 17.74             | 1.15                  | 8.95                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T10                     | 33.52              | 20.59                   | 49.69             | 17.64             | 1.13                  | 9.59                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T11                     | 31.46              | 18.56                   | 43.4              | 17.05             | 1.07                  | 8.01                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T12                     | 33.94              | 21.12                   | 50.23             | 17.85             | 1.17                  | 9.66                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEm±                    | 0.387              | 0.662                   | 0.59              | 0.13              | 0.0088                | 0.0866                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD(P=0.05)              | 1.104              | 1.886                   | 1.68              | 0.36              | 0.0250                | 0.2469                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interaction effect (AB) |                    |                         |                   |                   |                       |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEm±                    | 0.548              | 0.936                   | 0.83              | 0.18              | 0.0124                | 0.1225                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD(P=0.05)              | N.S.               | N.S                     | 2.38              | 0.51              | N.S.                  | N.S.                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 3: Effect of varieties and nitrogen management on succeeding lentil crop

#### Table 4: Economic of rice lentil cropping system

| Variety and treatment | Total cost of cultivation of rice<br>+ lentil (Rs./ha) | Gross return from rice + Lentil<br>Rs./ha) | Net return of rice +<br>lentil (Rs./ha) | B:C ratio<br>Pooled |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|--|
| combination           | Pooled   | Pooled                                     | Pooled                                  |                     |  |
| V1N1                  | 65740  | 120500                                     | 54761                                   | 0.83                |  |
| V1N2                  | 67870  | 127860                                     | 59990                                   | 0.88                |  |
| V1N3                  | 76172  | 131300                                     | 55128                                   | 0.72                |  |
| V1N4                  | 79446  | 131673                                     | 52228                                   | 0.66                |  |
| V1N5                  | 77562  | 133870                                     | 56308                                   | 0.73                |  |
| V1N6                  | 73354  | 136840                                     | 63486                                   | 0.87                |  |
| V1N7                  | 75259  | 142537                                     | 67278                                   | 0.89                |  |
| V1N8                  | 74332  | 140997                                     | 66665                                   | 0.9                 |  |
| V1N9                  | 70637  | 136903                                     | 66266                                   | 0.94                |  |
| V1N10                 | 71564  | 133633                                     | 62069                                   | 0.87                |  |
| V1N11                 | 71101  | 130527                                     | 59426                                   | 0.84                |  |
| V1N12                 | 79524  | 136763                                     | 57239                                   | 0.72                |  |
| V2N1                  | 66204  | 105083                                     | 38879                                   | 0.59                |  |
| V2N2                  | 67870  | 137675                                     | 69805                                   | 1.03                |  |
| V2N3                  | 76172  | 145696                                     | 69524                                   | 0.91                |  |
| V2N4                  | 79446  | 145646                                     | 66200                                   | 0.83                |  |
| V2N5                  | 77562  | 146858                                     | 69296                                   | 0.89                |  |
| V2N6                  | 73404  | 151263                                     | 77858                                   | 1.06                |  |
| V2N7                  | 75259  | 152179                                     | 76920                                   | 1.02                |  |
| V2N8                  | 74332  | 155483                                     | 81152                                   | 1.09                |  |
| V2N9                  | 70637  | 151363                                     | 80725                                   | 1.14                |  |
| V2N10                 | 71564  | 147188                                     | 75623                                   | 1.06                |  |
| V2N11                 | 71101  | 144058                                     | 72958                                   | 1.03                |  |
| V2N12                 | 79524  | 147713                                     | 68189                                   | 0.86                |  |

#### References

- 1. Adhikri NP, Mishra BN. Effect of integrated sources of nitrogen on yield of aromatic rices and their residual effect on succeeding wheat crop. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2004;25(2):327-328.
- 2. Das DK, Mandal LN. Yield and nutrient uptake by rice as affected by moisture regimes, puddling and time of application of organic matter. Oryza. 1986;23:83-88.
- 3. Dinesh K, Shivay YS, Mishra BN. Field evaluation of System of Rice Intensification (SRI) and Conventional

Rice Culture (CRC) with organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in North India. In: National Symposium on System of Rice Intensification (SRI) - Present Status and Future Prospects. 2006;96:17-18.

- Dinku B, Jogesh G, Ranjit KS, Mahima B. Effect of organic inputs and production and quality of aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa*) variety Keteki Joha in Assam and its Economic aspect. Agricultural Science Digest. 2014;34(2):115-118.
- 5. Dutta RK, Lahiri BP, Khanam S, Rahman S. Aroma

synthesis in Basmati rice in relation to temperature and nitrogen. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology (New series). 1999;4(3):215-218.

- 6. Efferson JN. Rice quality in world markets. In: Rice Grain Quality and Marketing. IRRI, Los Banos, Phillipines; c1985 Jun. p. 1-13.
- 7. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy (http://faostat.fao.org); c2014.
- Gaur AC. Organic Manures. ICAR New Delhi; c1984. p. 122-146.
- 9. Gibson J. As I saw it. Records of a crowded life in India, 1937-1969. Mukul Prakashan, New Delhi; c1976. p. 89.
- Jadhav AD, Talashilkar SC, Powar AG. Influence of conjunctive use of FYM, vermicompost and urea on growth and nutrient uptake in rice. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 1997;22(2):249-250.
- 11. Jain NK, Poonia BL. Integrated nutrient management in pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and optimizing fertilizer requirement in succeeding wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Crop Research. 2003;25(2):244-248.
- 12. Jayaraman S, Purushothaman S. Leucaena as green leaf manure for low land rice. International Rice Research Newsletter. 1988;13(5):27.
- 13. Jeyabal A, Kuppuswamy G. Recycling of organic wastes for the production of vermicompost and its response in rice-legume cropping system and soil fertility. European Journal of Agronomy. 2001;15(3):153-170.
- Kumar A, Meena RN, Yadav L, Gilotia YK. Effect of Organic and Inorganic Sources of Nutrient on Yield, Yield Attributes and Nutrient Uptake of Rice. Rice, Cv. Prh-10. International Quarterly Journal of Life Science. 2014;9(2):595-597.
- 15. Maskina MS. Integrated Nitrogen Management with green manure in rice-wheat system. Oryza. 1989;26:358-362.
- Nadaf AB, Krishnan S, Wakte KV. Histochemical and biochemical analysis of major aroma compound (2acetyl-1-pyrroline) in basmati and other scented Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Current Science. 2006 Dec 10;91:1533-1536.
- Saha M, Mondal SS, Acharya D, Shenoy S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and quality of basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Oryza*. 2007;44(2):125-129.
- Sekhar D, Tejeswara Rao K, Venugopala Rao N. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on yield and quality of rice. International Journal of Farm Sciences. 2014;4(4):1-7.
- Singh SK, Varma SC, Singh RPD. Residual effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in lowland rice on succeeding lentil. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2004;38(2):121-125.
- 20. Solunke S, Giri DG, Rathod TH. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth attributes, yield attributes and yield of Basmati rice. Crop Research. 2006;32(3):279-282.
- 21. Yadav Lalji, Meena RN. Performance of aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa*) genotypes as influenced by integrated nitrogen management. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014;59(2):251-255.
- 22. Reuer JJ, Zollo M, Singh H. Post-formation dynamics in strategic alliances. Strategic Management Journal. 2002 Feb;23(2):135-51.

- 23. Sadasivam S, Manickam A. Peroxidase. Methods in Biochemistry. New Age International: New Delhi; c1996.
- p. 108-10.
  24. Arnold R, Bosted PE, Chang CC, Gomez J, Katramatou AT, Petratos GG, *et al.* Measurements of the A dependence of deep-inelastic electron scattering from nuclei. Physical Review Letters. 1984 Feb 27;52(9):727.