www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(9): 362-368 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 28-07-2022 Accepted: 30-08-2022

K Aarthi

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute (AC&RI), TNAU, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

M Shanthi

Directorate of Centre for Plant Protection Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

G Srinivasan

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute (AC&RI), TNAU, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

S Vellaikumar

Department of Food Science & Nutrition, Community Science College & Research Institute, TNAU, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

G Hemalatha

Department of Food Science & Nutrition, Community Science College & Research Institute, TNAU, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author M Shanthi Directorate of Centre for Plant

Protection Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Repellent toxicity of mint essential oils against rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* L.

K Aarthi, M Shanthi, G Srinivasan, S Vellaikumar and G Hemalatha

Abstract

Four species of mint essential oils were tested in the laboratory for their repellent toxicity against rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* L. at different concentrations in the Insectary, Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, Tamil Nadu during 2021-22. In comparison with other mint oils, the spearmint essential oil (*Mentha spicata*) possessed the highest repellency effect against *S. oryzae* at 12 nL/cm² (66.66%) after 24 hours of exposure, which comes under class IV repellency. Next in order of repellency was *M. piperita*, which displayed 53.33 percent repellency with class III at 40 nL/cm² concentration after 24 hours of exposure. Whereas, *M. arvensis* and *M. citrata* exhibited 66.66 and 53.33 percent repellency towards *S. oryzae* at 173 nL/cm² concentration after 24 hours of exposure, spectively. The Median Repellent concentration (RC₅₀) of the mint oils were 5.9 nL/cm² (*M. spicata*), 36.3 nL/cm² (*M. piperita*), 70.7 nL/cm² (*M. arvensis*) and 128.3 nL/cm² (*M. citrata*), and the time taken for 50 per cent repellency (RT₅₀) were 2.30 h, 3.00 h, 4.50 h and 5.30 h, respectively. Thus, it is concluded that though all the mint essential oils exhibited the maximum repellency effect within a short period against rice weevil.

Keywords: Mint essential oils, *Mentha spicata*, *Mentha piperita*, *Mentha arvensis*, *Mentha citrata*, rice weevil, repellent toxicity, RC₅₀, RT₅₀

Introduction

The rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is the largest and most popular storage pest of raw cereals (Champ & Dyte, 1976)^[8]. This pest emerged in India, dispersed through trade, and presently has a worldwide distribution. In India, postharvest losses of food grain surpass 12 to 16 million metric tonnes annually (Singh 2010)^[38]. *S. oryzae*, a rice weevil, is one of the most detrimental insect pests, causing 10 to 65 percent damage under moderate storage conditions and 80 percent damage under long storage conditions (Park *et al.*, 2004)^[29]. Adult weevils eat rice and deposit their eggs in rice kernels, where the larvae can grow into adults (Lee *et al.*, 2001)^[24].

Plant products have played an important role in traditional crop pest and disease vector control methods (Stoll, 1988)^[41]. Plant oils have long been used to control the storage insect pests (Yun-tai & Burkholder, 1981)^[46] and they are especially effective for small storage systems. Many spices and herbs as well as their extracts are known to have insecticidal properties, which are frequently found in essential oil fractions (Brattsten, 1983; Schmidt *et al.*, 1991; Shaaya *et al.*, 1991)^[7, 33, 35]. Secondary metabolites obtained from plants are reported to provide resistance against predators and pathogens as well as intra and interspecific attractants and some secondary metabolites possess medicinal properties, antiviral, antihypertensive, bronchodilation and hepatoprotective properties (Seigler 1998, Moore 2014).

The mint plant belongs to the Lamiaceae (Syn. Labiatae) family (Harley *et al.*, 2004) ^[14]. Secondary metabolites such as terpenes/essential oils and other components are found in the epidermal glands of leaves, stems, and reproductive structures in the majority of Lamiaceae plants. The most important mint species essential oils commercially used are spearmint, *Mentha spicata* L., peppermint, *Mentha piperita* L., corn mint, *Mentha arvensis* L. and bergamot mint, *Mentha citrate* L. Corn mint, *M. arvensis*, is the only one of these species that is cultivated for oil production in India (Oudhia, 2003) ^[28]. Peppermint oil is one of the essential oils and they have two main components menthol and menthone (Mimica-Dukic *et al.*, 2003) ^[25]. On the other hand, spearmint is high in carvone and is widely used as spices and grown in many countries (Kokkini *et al.*, 1995) ^[20].

Kumar *et al.*, (2010) ^[21] reviewed the insecticidal properties of mentha oil and extract against storage insects. In this study, we investigate the repellent action of mint essential oils to manage rice weevil.

Materials and Methods

The research was conducted at the Insectary, Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute (9.925°N, 78.119°E), Madurai, Tamil Nadu from 2021 to 2022. The mint essential oils viz., spearmint oil, *M. spicata*, peppermint oil, *M. piperita*, corn mint oil, *M. arvensis* and bergamot mint oil, *M. citrate*, used in the laboratory experiments were obtained from the Spices Board, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Mass culturing of test insect

Rice weevil, *S. oryzae* was reared using rice grains, which were devoid of any insecticidal contamination. To sustain the continuous availability of insects for subsequent studies, 400 to 500 adults were introduced from the stock culture into a glass jar (500ml) containing 500 g of rice grains as culture media. The jars were covered with a muslin cloth to permit airflow in and secured with rubber bands. The culture was maintained at 28 ± 2 °C temperature and $60\pm5\%$ relative humidity (Ahmed, 1996)^[4].

Repellency test

For the experiment, a nine cm diameter filter paper was cut into two halves. The repellency effect of essential oils was tested by uniformly applying four mint oil species. The concentrations of different mint essential oils were fixed based on the preliminary range finding studies. Various concentrations of essential oil diluted with hexane were applied on one half of the filter paper (200 μ l/31.75 cm²) and hexane alone on the other halves and used as an untreated control. The solvent was evaporated from both the treated and untreated half circles. The entire disc of treated and untreated half circles was remade and placed on the Petri dishes by attaching sticky tape from the lower side. Twenty newly emerged adult weevils were released between the two halves and covered with the lid. The inner side of the lid was coated with Vaseline to prevent the weevil from staying on the lid, and the treatment was replicated thrice. S. oryzae adults were counted on the treated and untreated halves at one-hour intervals from one to twenty-four hours. Talukder and Howse (1994)^[42], Anandhabhairavi et al., (2021)^[6]. The data was expressed as a percentage of repulsion (PR) using the formula (Valsala and Gokuldas, 2015)^[43].

Repellency (%) = NC-NT/NC+NT x 100

Where,

NC = % of weevils observed in the control half. NT = % of weevils observed in the treated half.

Concentrations were classified into different classes based on their percent repellency. The repellency class 0 included 0.01-0.1 percent repellency, 0.1-20 is classified as class I, 20.1-40 is classified as class II, 40.1-60 is classified as class III, 60.1-80 is classified as class IV and 80.1-100 is classified as class V.

Statistical analysis

The experiment was conducted at Completely Randomized

Design (CRD). The percent repellency was estimated and subjected to arcsine transformation, later they were statistically analyzed using SPSS for Windows (Version 16) software to carry out an analysis of variance (ANOVA). Grouping of data was done by using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984)^[12].

Result and Discussion

The results showed that all the botanicals were toxic to S. oryzae. Out of the four mint essential oils tested, spearmint, M. spicata had the highest repellent activity against rice weevil, followed by peppermint, M. piperita, corn mint, M. arvensis and bergamot mint oil, M. citrata exhibited the lowest repellent effect. Mint essential oil samples were found to have fumigation and repellent properties against a variety of storage pests viz., Tribolium castaneum Herbst., S. oryzae, Acanthoscelides obtectus Say., and others (Peeyush Kumar et al., 2010). Out of the 30 botanical families, the Lamiaceae family was the most commonly used, because essential oils are derived from natural resources, they are a sustainable alternative for controlling Coleopterans insects in stored grains. These oils may act as a contact, fumigant, repellent, antifeedant, oviposition inhibitor and other functions (Stefanazzi et al., 2006; Werdin-Gonzalez et al., 2008)^[40, 44]. In this study, the repellency effect of spearmint oil *M. spicata* was measured at different hours and concentrations. M. spicata at 12 nL/cm² showed maximum repellency effect in different hours viz., 1 h (33.33%), 2 h (43.33%), 3 h (46.66%), 4 h (46.66%), 5 h (52.60%), 6 h (60.66%) and 24 h (66.66%). It revealed that the repellency effect was gradually progressing with the time of exposure and reached a maximum of 66.66 percent and was classified under the Class III category. The mean per cent repellency of spearmint oil at different concentration viz., 3.0 nL/cm² (16.19%), 4.0 nL/cm² (22.81%), 6.0 nL/cm² (28.95%), 7.0 nL/cm² (35.21%), 9.0 nL/cm² (39.48%), 11.0 nL/cm² (45.21%) and 12.0 nL/cm² (49.10%). The median repellency concentration of *M. spicata* against rice weevil, S. oryzae was 5.90 nL/cm² (RC₅₀) at 24 hours of exposure with a median repellency time (RT_{50}) of 2.30 h at 12.0 nL/cm². Earlier studies were also in support of this finding and they are listed below. Anandhabhairavi et al., 2021^[6] observed that out of 11 botanical extracts used against rice weevil, S. oryzae, the hexane extracts of certain botanicals viz., M. spicata and O. sanctum at 5% possessed the highest mean repellency effect (76.11 percent). In addition, it was also found to possess a mosquito repellent effect (Elizabeth et al., 2017)^[27]. Mint essential oil samples were found to have fumigation and repellent properties against a variety of storage pests viz., T. castaneum, S. oryzae, A. obtectus and others (Peeyush Kumar et al., 2010). Lcarvone (0.1%) highest repellent (10-100%) action against rice weevil, S. oryzae (Malgorzata et al., 2020).

The results on the peppermint oil, *M. piperita* showed the highest repellency effect against *S. oryzae* at the concentration of 40 nL/cm² at different hours, including 1 h (33.33%), 2 h (36.66%), 3 h (40.0%), 4 h (43.33%), 5 h (46.66%), 6 h (50.00%) and 24 h (53.33%). The repellency rate increased steadily until it reached its maximum (53.33%), which falls under class III repellency. The mean repellency of peppermint oil was measured at various concentrations, including 3.0 nL/cm² (5.70%), 9.0 nL/cm² (19.03%), 15.0 nL/cm² (24.72%), 22.0 nL/cm² (29.97%), 28.0 nL/cm² (35.21%), 34.0 nL/cm² (39.01%) and 40.0 nL/cm² (43.31%). The median repellent effect of *M. piperita* against rice weevils was 36.30

nL/cm² after 24 hours of exposure and the median time to repel the 50% of insect was 3.00 h at 40 nL/cm² concentration. Ezhil Vendan et al., (2017) observed that at a 400 µl/L air concentration, peppermint oil outperformed the other essential oils in terms of killing S. oryzae, causing 83% mortalities in with-food circumstances, respectively, over a 72-hour exposure. After 24 hours of exposure, 1.0 percent pepper mint essential showed 73.3 percent repellency against rice weevil (Abdel-fattah et al., 2016). Shaaya et al., (1999) reported 85 and 7.5 μ l/L air as the LC₅₀ concentrations for peppermint oil treatments against S. oryzae. Peppermint oil is one of the most popular and widely used essential oils, mostly because of its main component's menthol and menthone (Gul, 1994) ^[13]. Samarasekera et al., (2008) ^[32] investigated the effect of essential oil of M. piperita against local mosquitoes and subsequently carried out its GC analysis obtaining menthol (41%) and menthone (24%) as principal constituents. The phytochemical present in *M. piperita* were menthol (46.98%), they should strong insecticidal and repellency activity against rice weevil (Shaaya, 1999; Aggarwal et al., 2001) ^[3].

Regarding the maximum repellency of corn mint oil, M. arvensis, it was calculated at a concentration of 173 nL/cm² during a period of 1 h (33.33%), 2 h (36.66%), 3 h (40.0%), 4 h (40.0%), 5 h (43.33%), 6 h (53.33%) and 24 h (66.66%). It was discovered that the repellency impact increased progressively throughout the exposure, peaked at 66.66%, and was as Class III. The mean repellency of corn mint oil was tested at various concentrations, including 7.0 nL/cm² (7.61%), 15.0 nL/cm² (18.55%), 31.0 nL/cm² (23.32%), 47.0 nL/cm² (28.52%), 78.0 nL/cm² (31.41%), 110.0 nL/cm² (36.62%), 141.0 nL/cm² (40.44%) and 173.0 nL/cm² (53.11%). The median time required for *M. arvensis* to repel 50% of S. oryzae insects was 4.50 h at the concentration of 173 nL/cm². The median repellent effect of *M. arvensis* against S. oryzae was 70.75 nL/cm² after 24 hours of exposure. Earlier studies reported that the *M. arvensis* was highly repellent to rice weevil, S. oryzae and red flour beetle,

T. castaneum (Bhuwan Bhaskar Mishra *et al.*, 2013). *M. arvensis* essential oils have insecticidal and oviposition inhibitory properties in maize weevils, as well as repellent activity against *S. zeamais* adults (Chaubey, 2018) ^[10]. According to some studies, *M. arvensis* is the richest source of natural menthol (Sharma and Tyagi, 1991; Shasany *et al.*, 2000) ^[36, 37]. *M. arvensis* has been frequently used for various insecticidal assays (Kumar *et al.*, 2009; Pavela, 2005) ^[23, 30]. Earlier Aggarwal *et al.*, 2001 ^[3] reported the repellency effect of L-menthol against *S. oryzae* at 0.353 µg/cm² dose (82-100%).

In *M. citrata*, the repulsive activity was observed at various times and concentrations. The concentration of 173 nL/cm² at various times, including 1 h (26.6%), 2 h (30.0%), 3 h (36.6%), 4 h (40.0%), 5 h (43.33%), 6 h (50.0%) and 24 h (53.33%) showed repellency effect against S. oryzae. The highest repellent effect was (53.33%) and it comes under the class II category. The mean repellency of bergamot mint oil at various concentrations, including 7 nL/cm² (9.52%), 15 nL/cm² (13.79%), 31 nL/cm² (18.07%), 47 nL/cm² (23.31%), 78 nL/cm² (28.0%), 110 nL/cm² (32.41%), 141 nL/cm² (36.14%) and 173 nL/cm² (39.97%). The medial repellent effect of M. citrata oil was 128.3 nL/cm² after 24 hours of contact and the medial repellent time was 5.30 h at 173 nL/cm² concentration. After 24 hours of exposure, bergamot mint oil was found to have repellent activity against rice weevil (Cosimi et al., 2008). The phytochemical present in M. citrata was linalool (51%) and carvone (23.42%) (Sartoratto and Augusto 2003). Kłyś et al., 2020 [19], reported the highest repellent and toxic effect of 0.1% L-carvone on S. oryzae. Kabugi et al., 2013 reported the repellency effect of linalool against all instar nymphs and females of clothing wax cicada, Lycorma delicatula White. and S. oryzae, respectively.

It is concluded that all the tested mint essential oils possess a repellency effect, with maximum efficiency in the *M. spicata* at 12 nL/cm² after 24 hours of contact against *S. oryzae* in rice. Hence, the spearmint essential oil, *M. spicata* can be used to protect the rice grains from the *S. oryzae* infestation.

Tabl	le 1: Repellent toxicity of spearmint essential oil, Mentha spicata against rice weevil, Sitoph	iilus oryzae	
monto	Repellency (%) [#]	Mean	C
mems			

Treatments				Mean	Class mean				
Treatments	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h	repellency (%)	repellency
T ₁ : <i>M. spicata</i> 3.0	0.00 ± 0.00	13.33±0.24	20.00±0.33	20.0±0.59	20.00 ± 0.11	20.0±1.23	20.00±1.99	16.19	Т
nL/cm ²	(4.05) ^c	(19.30) ^d	(24.25) ^e	(26.92) ^c	(26.92) ^d	(26.92) ^c	(26.92) ^c	(22.17) ^d	1
T ₂ : <i>M. spicata</i> 4.03	13.33±0.00	23.33±0.98	23.33±0.57	23.33±1.22	23.3±1.25	26.6±0.41	26.66±0.55	22.81	п
nL/cm ²	(19.29) ^c	(29.12) ^{cd}	(29.12) ^{de}	(29.12) ^{bc}	(29.12) ^{cd}	(31.32) ^c	(31.32) ^{bc}	(27.88) ^{cd}	11
T ₃ : <i>M. spicata</i> 6.0	23.33±0.12	26.66±1.28	26.66±1.23	26.66±1.54	30.0±0.22	33.33±1.27	36.66±1.23	28.95	п
nL/cm ²	(29.12) ^{bc}	(31.32) ^{bcd}	(31.32) ^{cd}	(31.32) ^{bc}	(33.52) ^{bcd}	(35.52) ^{bc}	(37.52) ^{abc}	(32.78) ^{bc}	11
T ₄ : <i>M. spicata</i> 7.0	26.66±1.29	33.33±1.43	33.33±1.58	33.33±1.66	33.33±1.22	36.6±1.35	50.0±1.58	35.21	п
nL/cm ²	(31.32) ^{abc}	(35.52) ^{bc}	(35.52) ^{bc}	(35.52) ^{ab}	(35.52) ^{abcd}	(37.52) ^{ab}	(45.29) ^{ab}	(36.60) ^{abc}	II
T ₅ : <i>M. spicata</i> 9.0	30.00±0.58	36.66±0.89	36.6±0.94	36.66±0.61	40.0±1.54	43.33±0.77	53.33±0.63	39.48	п
nL/cm ²	(33.52) ^{ab}	(37.52) ^{ab}	(37.52) ^{bc}	(37.52) ^{bc}	(39.52) ^{abc}	(41.44) ^{ab}	(47.21) ^a	(42.22) ^{ab}	11
T ₆ : <i>M. spicata</i> 11.0	33.33±1.83	40.00±1.12	40.0±1.66	43.33±0.25	46.66±1.91	53.33 ± 0.87	60.0±0.38	45.21	ш
nL/cm ²	(35.52) ^a	(39.52) ^{ab}	(39.44) ^{ab}	$(41.44)^{ab}$	(43.36) ^{ab}	(47.21) ^a	(51.06) ^a	(42.50) ^a	111
T ₇ : <i>M. spicata</i> 12.0	33.33±0.88	43.33±1.33	46.66±0.81	48.66±0.35	52.60±0.23	60.66 ± 0.87	66.66±0.47	49.10	ш
nL/cm ²	$(35.52)^{a}$	$(41.44)^{a}$	(43.37) ^a	(43.36) ^a	(43.36) ^a	(51.15) ^a	(55.08) ^a	(44.75) ^a	111
SED	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.30	0.24	0.20	0.23		
<i>P</i> -value	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**		

#Mean values of three replications are represented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values (x+0.5).

Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other, Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

SED: Standard Error of the Difference; h: hours **Highly Significant.

The sector sector			R	epellency (%	b) [#]			Mean repellency	Class mean
1 reatments	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h	(%)	repellency
T ₁ : <i>M. piperita</i> 3.0	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.23	0.00 ± 0.11	6.66 ± 0.23	13.3±0.38	20.00±0.77	5.70	T
nL/cm ²	(4.05) ^b	(4.05) ^c	(4.05) ^b	(4.05) ^e	(11.67) ^e	$(21.14)^{d}$	(26.56) ^e	(10.79) ^d	1
T ₂ : M. piperita 9.0	6.66 ± 0.00	13.33±0.51	20.0 ± 0.47	20.00 ± 11.54	20.00 ± 1.58	23.33±1.95	30.00 ± 1.25	19.03	Т
nL/cm ²	(11.67) ^b	(19.29) ^{bc}	(26.92) ^{ab}	(26.92) ^{de}	(29.12) ^{de}	(26.07) ^{cd}	(33.21) ^{de}	(24.74) ^{cd}	1
T ₃ : <i>M. piperita</i> 15.0	13.33±0.23	$20.0{\pm}1.65$	23.33±1.23	23.33±5.77	26.66±1.68	33.33±0.87	33.33±0.77	24.72	п
nL/cm ²	(19.29) ^{ab}	(26.92) ^{bc}	(29.12) ^{ab}	(29.12) ^{cd}	(31.32) ^{cd}	(35.21) ^{bc}	(35.21) ^{cd}	(26.22) ^{bc}	11
T ₄ : <i>M. piperita</i> 22.0	20.00 ± 0.44	23.33±1.22	26.66 ± 0.88	30.00 ± 11.54	33.33±1.37	36.66±1.97	40.00 ± 0.87	29.97	п
nL/cm ²	(26.92) ^{ab}	(29.12) ^{ab}	(31.32) ^{ab}	(33.32) ^{bcd}	(35.32) ^{bcd}	(37.22) ^{bc}	(39.14) ^{bcd}	(27.60) ^{ab}	11
T5: <i>M. piperita</i> 28.0	26.66±1.23	26.66±0.23	30.0 ± 0.34	33.33 ± 10.0	43.33±0.32	43.33±0.35	43.33±1.39	35.21	п
nL/cm ²	(31.32) ^{ab}	$(31.32)^{a}$	$(33.32)^{a}$	(35.52) ^{abc}	$(41.44)^{abc}$	(41.15) ^{abc}	(41.15) ^{abc}	(30.58) ^{ab}	11
T ₆ : <i>M. piperita</i> 34.0	$30.0{\pm}1.45$	33.33±0.57	33.33±1.47	40.00 ± 10.0	43.33±1.97	46.66±1.82	46.66±0.98	39.01	п
nL/cm ²	(33.52) ^{ab}	$(35.52)^{a}$	$(35.32)^{a}$	(39.52) ^{ab}	(41.44) ^{ab}	(43.07) ^{ab}	(43.07) ^{ab}	(38.78) ^a	11
T ₇ : <i>M. piperita</i> 40.0	33.33±0.68	36.66±1.35	40.0 ± 0.36	43.33±5.77	46.66±0.38	50.00±0.99	53.33±1.33	43.31	ш
nL/cm ²	$(35.52)^{a}$	$(37.52)^{a}$	(39.44) ^a	(41.44) ^a	$(43.36)^{a}$	$(45.0)^{a}$	(46.92) ^a	(41.31) ^a	111
SED	0.19	0.17	0.25	0.15	0.17	0.30	0.29		
P-value	0.01**	< 0.0001**	0.04**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**		

#Mean values of three replications are represented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values (x+0.5).

Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other, Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

SED: Standard Error of the Difference; h: hours **Highly Significant.

Table 3: Repellent toxicity of corn mint essential oil, Mentha arvensis against rice weevil Sitophilus oryzae

Treatmonta			Re	pellency (%	∕o) [#]			Mean repellency	Class mean
Treatments	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h	(%)	repellency
T ₁ : M. arvensis 7.0	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	6.66 ± 0.51	6.66 ± 0.22	6.66 ± 0.55	13.33±0.36	$20.0{\pm}1.00$	7.61	T
nL/cm ²	(4.05) ^b	(4.05) ^b	(11.67) ^d	$(11.67)^{d}$	$(11.67)^{d}$	(29.12) ^e	(26.92) ^f	(14.16) ^e	1
T ₂ : <i>M. arvensis</i> 15.0	6.66 ± 0.00	13.3±0.00	20.0±0.22	20.00±0.36	23.33±0.12	23.33±0.96	23.33±0.35	18.55	т
nL/cm ²	(11.67) ^b	(19.29) ^b	(26.92) ^d	$(26.66)^{d}$	(29.12) ^{cd}	(35.52) ^{de}	(29.12) ^{ef}	(25.46) ^{de}	1
T ₃ : <i>M. arvensis</i> 31.0	13.33±0.55	20.0±1.32	23.3±0.24	20.0±0.65	26.66±1.23	33.33±0.85	26.66±0.36	23.32	п
nL/cm ²	(19.29) ^{ab}	(26.92) ^{ab}	(29.12) ^{cd}	(30.92) ^{cd}	(31.32) ^{cd}	(37.52) ^{de}	(31.32) ^{de}	(28.91) ^{de}	11
T ₄ : <i>M. arvensis</i>	20.0±1.25	23.33±0.55	26.6±1.32	26.66±1.00	30.0±0.32	36.66±0.14	36.66±0.98	28.52	п
47.0nL/cm ²	(26.62) ^{ab}	(29.12) ^{ab}	(31.32) ^{bc}	(31.32) ^{cd}	(33.52) ^{bc}	(39.52) ^{cd}	(37.52) ^{cde}	(38.58) ^{cd}	11
T ₅ : <i>M. arvensis</i> 78.0	23.3±0.22	26.66±0.57	30.0±0.33	30.0±0.25	30.0±0.32	40.0 ± 0.69	40.0 ± 0.15	31.41	п
nL/cm ²	(29.12) ^{ab}	(31.32) ^{ab}	(33.52) ^{bc}	(33.52) ^{bc}	(33.52) ^{ab}	(45.26) ^{cd}	(39.44) ^{bcd}	(35.10) ^{bc}	11
T ₆ : <i>M. arvensis</i> 110.0	26.66±1.36	30.0±0.77	33.33±0.41	33.33±0.57	36.66±0.65	46.66±1.22	50.00±0.36	36.62	п
nL/cm ²	(31.32) ^{ab}	(33.52) ^{ab}	(35.52) ^{abc}	(35.52) ^{bc}	(37.52) ^{ab}	(45.28) ^{abc}	(43.36) ^{abc}	(37.42) ^{bc}	11
T ₇ : <i>M. arvensis</i> 141.0	30.0±1.81	33.3±0.58	36.66±1.25	36.6±0.32	40.0 ± 0.17	50.0±1.36	56.66±0.25	40.44	п
nL/cm ²	(33.52) ^{ab}	(35.52) ^{ab}	(37.52) ^{ab}	(37.52) ^{ab}	(39.52) ^a	(47.21) ^{ab}	(49.13) ^{ab}	(39.99) ^{ab}	11
T ₈ : <i>M. arvensis</i> 173.0	33.33±0.22	36.66±0.14	40.0±0.36	40.0±0.35	43.33±0.69	53.33±0.87	66.66±0.74	53.11	ш
nL/cm ²	$(35.52)^{a}$	(37.52) ^a	(39.52) ^a	(39.52) ^a	$(41.44)^{a}$	$(47.21)^{a}$	$(55.08)^{a}$	(42.25) ^a	111
SED	0.17	0.21	0.16	0.16	0.24	0.26	0.26		
<i>P</i> -value	0.02**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**		

#Mean values of three replications are represented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values (x+0.5).

Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other, Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

SED: Standard Error of the Difference; h: hours **Highly Significant.

Table 4: Repellent toxicity of bergamot mint essential oil, Mentha citrata is against rice weevil, Sitophilus oryzae

Treatments			Re	pellency (%	∕o) [#]			Mean repellency	Class mean
Treatments	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h	(%)	repellency
T ₁ : <i>M. citrata</i> 7.0	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	6.66 ± 0.00	6.66 ± 0.00	13.33±0.52	20.0±0.56	20.0 ± 0.87	9.52	т
nL/cm ²	(4.05) ^b	$(4.05)^{c}$	$(11.67)^{d}$	(11.67) ^e	(19.29) ^f	(26.92) ^d	(26.92) ^d	(14.93) ^e	1
T ₂ : <i>M. citrata</i> 15.0	0.00 ± 0.00	6.66 ± 0.69	6.66 ± 0.57	13.33±0.25	20.0 ± 0.54	23.33±1.23	26.66±0.25	13.79	т
nL/cm ²	(4.05) ^b	$(11.67)^{bc}$	(11.67) ^{cd}	(19.29) ^{de}	(26.12) ^{ef}	(29.12) ^d	(31.32) ^{cd}	(19.03) ^{de}	1
T ₃ : <i>M. citrata</i> 31.0	6.66 ± 0.00	6.66 ± 0.25	13.33±0.12	20.0±0.84	23.33±0.36	26.66±0.98	30.0±0.47	18.07	т
nL/cm ²	(11.67) ^b	(11.67) ^{abc}	(19.29) ^{bcd}	(26.92) ^{cde}	(29.12) ^{ef}	(31.32) ^{cd}	(33.52) ^{bcd}	(24.50) ^{cde}	1
T ₄ : <i>M. citrata</i> 47.0	6.66 ± 0.25	13.33±0.15	23.33±0.87	23.33±1.25	26.66±0.98	33.33±0.77	36.66±0.14	23.31	п
nL/cm ²	(11.67) ^{ab}	(19.29) ^{abc}	(29.12) ^{bcd}	(29.12) ^{cd}	(31.32) ^{def}	(35.52) ^{bcd}	(37.52) ^{bc}	(27.65) ^{cd}	11
T ₅ : <i>M. citrata</i> 78.0	13.33±0.33	20.0±1.32	26.66±0.45	26.66±0.54	26.66±0.74	36.66±0.56	43.33±0.87	28.00	п
nL/cm ²	(19.29) ^{ab}	(26.45) ^{ab}	(31.32) ^{bc}	(31.32) ^{bc}	(31.32) ^{cde}	(37.52) ^{abc}	(41.44) ^{ab}	(31.22) ^{bc}	11
T ₆ : <i>M. citrata</i> 110.0	20.00±1.23	23.3±0.12	30.33±0.69	30.0±0.69	33.33±0.65	43.33±0.58	46.66±0.12	32.41	п
nL/cm ²	(26.92) ^{ab}	(29.12) ^{ab}	(33.52) ^{ab}	(33.32) ^{abc}	(35.52) ^{abc}	(41.44) ^{ab}	(43.36) ^{ab}	(34.73) ^{abc}	11
T ₇ : M. citrata 141.0	23.33±0.54	26.6±0.33	33.33±0.87	33.33±0.38	36.66±0.87	46.66±0.54	53.33±0.21	36.14	п
nL/cm ²	(29.12) ^{ab}	(31.32) ^{ab}	(35.52) ^{ab}	(35.32) ^{ab}	(37.52) ^{ab}	$(43.36)^{a}$	$(47.21)^{a}$	(37.06) ^{ab}	11
T ₈ : <i>M. citrata</i> 173.0	26.66±0.46	30.0±0.23	36.66±0.15	40.0±0.87	43.33±1.23	50.0±1.84	53.33±0.32	39.97	II

nL/cm ²	(31.32) ^a	$(33.32)^{a}$	$(37.52)^{a}$	(39.52) ^a	$(41.44)^{a}$	$(45.28)^{a}$	$(47.21)^{a}$	(39.36) ^a	
SED	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.18		
P-value	0.03**	0.01**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**	< 0.0001**		

#Mean values of three replications are represented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values (x+0.5).

Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other, Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$). SED: Standard Error of the Difference; h: hours **Highly Significant.

Table 5: Median Repellency concentration of mint essential oils against rice weevil, Sitophilus oryzae

Mint constict alla		Df	RC50	Fiducial Li	mits (50%)	RC95	Fiducial I	Limits (95%)	Class
with tessential ons χ^2		(n-2)	(nL/cm ²)	Lower	Upper	(nL/cm ²)	Lower	Upper	Slope
M. spicata at 24 h	11.02 n.s	5	5.90	5.31	6.55	21.27	16.11	28.09	3.17
<i>M. piperita</i> at 24 h	10.63 n.s	5	36.30	20.91	61.92	22312.6	304.94	163262.6	0.58
<i>M. arvensis</i> at 24 h	12.30 n.s	6	70.75	54.52	91.82	2474.64	918.21	6669.2	0.83
M. citrata at 24 h	12.33 n.s	6	128.3	88.80	162.03	12119.1	1867.0	78668.6	0.59

Control-Nil mortality.

n.s = Not Significant at p < 0.05 level.

 RC_{50} = Repellency Concentration that Repels 50 per cent of the exposed larvae.

 RC_{95} = Repellency Concentration that Repels 95 per cent of the exposed larvae.

 χ^2 = Chi Square; df = Degrees of freedom; UL = Upper Limit; LL = Lower Limits; h-hours.

 $nL = Nano litre; cm^2 = Square centimeter.$

Table 6: Median Repell	lency Time of mint	essential oils against	rice weevil, S	itophilus oryzae

Mint accontial ails		df	RT ₅₀	Fiducial I	Limits (50%)	RT ₉₅	Fiducial Li	imits (95%)	Slope
Willit essential ons	λ2	(n-2)	(h)	LL	UL	(h)	LL	UL	Slope
M. spicata 12nL/cm ²	11.05 n.s	5	5.30	3.50	7.90	26.50	16.00	45.30	1.45
<i>M. piperita</i> 40 nL/cm ²	11.03 n.s	5	6.00	4.00	9.80	30.00	18.00	51.30	2.63
M. arvensis 173 nL/cm ²	10.80 n.s	5	6.30	4.30	10.30	38.00	21.50	69.50	2.55
<i>M. citrata</i> 173 nL/cm ²	11.01 n.s	5	6.45	4.50	12.50	68.50	31.00	150.0	1.33

Control - Nil mortality.

n.s = Not Significant at p < 0.05 level.

 RC_{50} = Repellency Time that Repels 50 per cent of the exposed larvae.

 RC_{95} = Repellency Time that Repels 95 per cent of the exposed larvae.

 χ^2 = Chi Square; df = Degrees of freedom; UL = Upper Limit; LL = Lower Limits; h-hours.

 $nL = Nano litre; cm^2 = Square centimeter.$

References

- 1. Abdel-Fattah NA, Boraei D. Fumigant and repellent effects of some natural oils against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017;95(1):123-131.
- 2. Adu OO. Protection of stored maize from *Sitophilus zeamais* (Mots) by vegetable oils. Report of the Nigerian Stored Products Institute, 1986, 33-40.
- Aggarwal KK, Tripathi AK, Ahmad A, Prajapati V, Verma N, Kumar S. Toxicity of L-Menthol and Its Derivatives Against Four Storage Insects. International journal of tropical insect science. 2001;21:229-235. Doi: 10.1017/S1742758400007621
- Ahmed KS. Studies on the ectoparasitoid, Anisopteromalus calandrae How. (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) as a biocontrol agent against the lesser grain borer, Rhyzopertha dominica (Fab.) in Saudi Arabia. Journal of stored products Research. 1996;32:137-Ð140.
- Ali SR, Siugh OP, Misra US. Effectiveness of plant oils against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.). Indian Journal of Entomology. 1983;45:6-9.
- Anandhabhairavi N, Shanthi M, Chinniah C, Geetha R, Vellaikumar S. Efficacy of Hexane Extracts of Some Plants against Rice Weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) in Stored Maize. Indian Journal of Entomology, 2021, 1-11.
- Brattsten LB. Cytochrome P-450 involvement in the interactions between plant terpenes and insect herbivores. In Plant Resistance to Insects (Edited by Hedin PA.), ACS Symposium Series No. 208, American Chemical

Society, Washington, DC, 1983, 173-195.

- 8. Champ BR, Dyle CE. Report of the FAO global survey pesticide susceptibility of stored grain pests. Food and Agriculture Organisation Plant Production and Protection Series No. 5, 1976.
- Changmann Y, Sang-Rae M, Jin-Won J, Youn-Ho S, Sun-Ran C, Ki-Su A, *et al.* Repellency of lavender oil and linalool against spot clothing wax cicada, *Lycorma delicatula* (Hemiptera: Fulgoridae) and their electrophysiological responses. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology. 2011;14(4):411-416.
- Chaubey MK. Study of insecticidal properties of *Trachyspermum ammi* and *Mentha arvensis* essential oils against *Sitophilus zeamais* L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Current Life Sciences. 2018;4(1):10-17.
- 11. Cosimi S, Elisabetta Rossi, Cioni PL, Angelo Canale. Bioactivity and qualitative analysis of some essential oils from Mediterranean plants against stored-product pests: Evaluation of repellency against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens) and *Tenebrio molitor* (L.). Journal of Stored Products Research. 2009;45(2):125-132.
- 12. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, 1984, 680.
- 13. Gul P. Seasonal variation of oil and menthol content in *Mentha arvensis* Linn. Pakistan J For. 1994;44:16-20.
- Harley RM, Atkins S, Budantsev AL, Cantino PD, Conn Renee J, Grayer Madeline M. "Labiatae," in the Families and Genera of Vascular Plants, ed. Kubitzki K (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), 2004, 167-275.

- 15. Hassanali A, wande WL, Ole-Sitayo N, Moreka L, Nokoe S, Chapya A. Weevil repellent constituents of *Ocimum suave* leaves and *Eugenia caryophyllata* cloves used as grain protectants in parts of Eastern Africa. Discovery & Innovations. 1990;2:91-95.
- Juliana G, Su HCF. Laboratory studies on several plant materials as insect repellents for protection of cereal grains. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1983;76:154-157.
- 17. Kabugi MJ, Mary N, Leonard G. Repellent Activity of the Essential Oil from Capparis tomentosa against Maize Weevil sitophilus zeamais. Journal of Resources Development and Management. 2013;1:9-13.
- Khani M, Marouf A, Amini S, Yazdani D, Farashiani ME, Ahvazi M, *et al.* Efficacy of three herbal essential oils against rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Essential Oil-Bearing Plants. 2017;20(4):937-950.
- Kłyś M, Izdebska A, Malejky-Kłusek N. Repellent Effect of the Caraway *Carum carvi* L. on the Rice Weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Coleoptera, Dryophthoridae). Insects. 2020 Nov;11(12):836. Doi: 10.3390/insects11120836. PMID: 33256083; PMCID: PMC7761064.
- Kokkini, Karousou STR, Lanaras A. Essential oils of spearmint (Carvone-rich) plants from the Island of Crete (Greece). Biochemical systematics and ecology. 1995;23:425-430. Doi: 10.1016/0305-1978(95)00021-L
- Kumar P Mishra, Malik SA, Satya S. Insecticidal properties of *Mentha* species: a review. Industrial Crops and Products. 2010;34:802-817. Doi: 10.3390/molecules20058605
- 22. Kumar R, Okonronkwo NO. Effectiveness of plant oils against some Bostrychidae infesting cereals in storage. Insect Science and its Applications. 1991;12:77-85.
- 23. Kumar A, Shukla R, Singh P, Singh AK, Dubey NK. Use of essential oil from *Mentha arvensis* L. to control storage moulds and insects in stored chickpea. J Sci. Food Agric. 2009;89:2643-2649.
- 24. Lee SE, Lee BH, Choi WS, Park BS, Kim JG, Campbell BC. Fumigant toxicity of volatile natural products from Korean spices and medicinal plants towards the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L). Pest Management Science. 2001;57:548-553.
- 25. Mimica-Dukic, Bozin NB, Sokovic Mihajlovic M, Matavulj BM. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of three *Mentha* species essential oils. Planta Medica. 2003;69:413-419. Doi: 10.1055/s-2003-39704.
- 26. Mishra, Bhuwan Bhaskar SP, Tripathi, Tripathi CPM. Response of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to potential insecticide derived from essential oil of *Mentha arvensis* leaves. Biological agriculture & horticulture. 2012;28(1):34-40.
- 27. Ojewumi, Modupe Elizabeth, Samuel Oluwafunsho Adedokun, Oladele Julius Omodara, Esther Adenike Oyeniyi, Olugbenga Samson Taiwo, *et al.* Phytochemical and antimicrobial activities of the leaf oil extract of *Mentha spicata* and its efficacy in repelling mosquito. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research & Allied Sciences. 2017;6(4):17-27.
- 28. Oudhia P. Traditional and Medicinal Knowledge about Pudina *Mentha* sp. (Family: Labiatae) in Chhattisgarh, India. Botanical, 2003. Available at: http://botanical.com

- 29. Park C, Peterson S, Zhao S, Coats JR. Fumigation toxicity of volatile natural and synthetic cyanohydrins to stored product pests and activity as soil fumigants. Pest management science. 2004;60:833-838.
- Pavela R. Insecticidal activity of some essential oils against larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis*. Fitoterapia. 2005;76:691-696.
- Peeyush Kumar, Mishra Sapna, Malik Anushree, Satya Santosh. Insecticidal properties of Mentha species: A review. Industrial Crops and Products. 2011;34(1):802-817.
- 32. Samarasekera R, Weerasinghe IS, Hemalal KDP. Insecticidal activity of menthol derivatives against mosquitoes. Pest Manag. Sci. 2008;64:290-295.
- Schmidt GH, Risha EM, Nahal AKM. Reduction of progeny of some stored-products Coleoptera by vapours of *Acorus calamus* oil. Journal of Stored Products Research. 1991;21:121-127.
- 34. Shaaya E, Kostjukovski M, Eilberg J, Sukprakarn C. Plant oils as fumigants and contact insecticides for the control of stored-product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research. 1997;33(1):7-15.
- 35. Shaaya E, Ravid U, Paster N, Juven B, Zisman U, Pissarev V. Fumigant toxicity of essential oils against four major stored-product insects. Journal of Chemical Ecology. 1991;17:499-504.
- 36. Sharma S, Tyagi BR. Character correlation, path coefficient and heritability analyses of essential oil and quality components in corn mint. J Genet. 1991;45:257-262.
- Shasany AK, Khanuja SPS, Dhawan S, Kumar S. Positive correlation between menthol content and *in vitro* menthol tolerance in *Mentha arvensis* L. cultivars. J Bio Sci. 2000;25:263-266.
- Singh PK. A decentralized and holistic approach for grain management in India. Current Science. 2010;99:1179-1180.
- Singh SR, Luse RA, Leuschner K, Nangju D. Groundnut oil treatment for the control of *Callosobruchus maculatus* during cowpea storage. Journal of Stored Products Research. 1978;14:77-80.
- Stefanazzi N, Gutierrez MM, Stadler T, Bonini NA, Ferrero AA. Biological activity of essential oil of *Tagetes terniflora* Kunth (Asteraceae) against *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Insecta, Coleoptera, Tenebrionidae). Boletin de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas. 2006;32(3):439-447.
- 41. Stoll G. Natural Crop Protection Based on Local Farm Resources in the Tropics and Subtropics. 3rd Ed. Josef Margraf, Langen, Germany, 1988.
- 42. Talukder FA, Howse PE. Laboratory evaluation of toxic repellent properties of the pithraj tree, *Aphanamixis polystachya* wall and parker, against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). International journal of pest management. 1994;40:274-279.
- Valsala KK, Gokuldas M. Repellent and oviposition deterrent effects of *Clerodendrum infortunatum* on the pulse beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2015;3(4):250-253.
- 44. Werdin-Gonzalez JO, Murray AP, Ferrero AA. Bioactividad de aceites esenciales de *Schinus molle* var. *areira* (Anacardiaceae) en ninfas II de Nezara viridula (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). Boletin de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas, (Plagas). 2008;34:367-375.

- 45. Yadava RL. Use of essential oils of *Acorus calamus* (L.) as an insecticide against the pulse beetle *Bruchus chinensis* (L.). Zeitschrift ftir Angewandte Entomologie. 1971;68:289-294.
- 46. Yun-tai QI, Burkholder WE. Protection of stored wheat from the granary weevil by vegetable oils. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1981;74:502-505.