www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# **The Pharma Innovation**



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(8): 1781-1784 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 15-06-2022 Accepted: 19-07-2022

#### Amit Kumar

Department of Entomology, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### **RKS Tomar**

Department of Entomology, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### Archana Kerketta

Department of Entomology, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### **Dinesh Pandey**

Department of Agronomy, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### **NK Chaure**

Department of Agriculture Statistics, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### AK Awasthi

Department of Entomology, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### Corresponding Author Amit Kumar

Department of Entomology, BTC CARS, Bilaspur, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### Evaluation of efficacy of bio-pesticide and Insecticide combinations against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt

### Amit Kumar, RKS Tomar, Archana Kerketta, Dinesh Pandey, NK Chaure and AK Awasthi

### Abstract

The present investigation was carried out at Research Farm of Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh) during *Rabi*, 2021-2022 in RBD with 3 replications and 11 treatments including untreated control. Insecticide Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/lit. was found best treatment against mustard aphid, as lowest 6.81 aphid/plant were observed with highest mustard yield 1701.96 kg/ha and benefit cost ratio 2.47:1. The second best treatment against mustard aphid was Dinotefuran 20 SG @ 0.25 g/lit. with 10.62 aphid/plant, 1628.62 kg/ha mustard yield and 2.24:1 benefit cost ratio followed by *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 WP (1x10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 10 ml + 0.1 g/lit. with 12.03 aphid/plant, 1581 kg/ha yield and 2.36:1 benefit cost ratio.

Keywords: Mustard, biopesticide, insecticide, significantly, combinations

### Introduction

Mustard is an important oilseed crop which is grown in subtropical as well as tropical countries in the world. India is the second largest producer of this crop in the World (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[13]</sup>. Indian mustard, *Brassica juncea* L. belongs to the Family: Brassicaceae (Cruciferae) is an important oilseed crop next to sunflower. Mustard is commonly known as rai. Out of six cultivated oilseed species of genus *Brassica* more than 80% of total area occupied by Indian mustard, (Chandrashekhar *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[14]</sup>. Mustard, *B. juncea* Mustard is a most important edible oilseed crop in Northern India. Mustard is the main oilseed crop sown during the rabi season, in India Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana and Gujarat are the leading states for mustard crop accounting for more than 70% of total mustard area of the country.

The seed and oil are used as condiment in the preparation of pickles, curries, vegetables, hair oils, medicines and manufacture of greases. The oil cake is used as cattle feed and manure. The leaves of young plants are used as green vegetables and green stem and leaves are a good source of green fodder for cattle. In the tanning industry, mustard oil is used for softening leather.

Among the various factors responsible for the low yield of mustard, damage inflicted by various insect pests is an important factor, About50 species have been found infesting rapeseed-mustard in India. Out of many insect pests, aphids belong to the Aphididae family, order Hemiptera. *Lipaphis erysimi* is a popular pest of mustard and other species of the Brassicaceae. The pest breed parthenogenetically and the female may give birth to hundreds of nymphs. About 45 generations are completed in a year (Israr, 1986) <sup>[15]</sup>. Both the nymph and adult suck the cell sap from all green parts of the plant and have special preferences for the inflorescence.

### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm of Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), during *Rabi* 2021-22.

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design, replicated thrice with four biopesticides combination with two insecticide, two sole insecticide and one untreated control. Observation on no. of mustard aphid was taken weekly on 10 cm terminal twig. 10 plants was randomly selected and then tagged for the purpose. Observation was recorded 1 day before, 3, 7 and 12 days after treatment. When the crop attained maturity net plot was harvested and pods were also being separated to record the yield in different treatments.

### **Results and Discussion**

In the present studies, bio-pesticide and insecticide combinations were evaluated for their relative efficacy and cost benefit ratio against mustard aphid. The overall mean of mustard aphid data presented in Table 1 revealed that the biopesticides and insecticide treatments recorded significantly superior over untreated control. Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/lit. was considered best treatment as lowest 6.81 aphid/plant was observed. The second best treatment Dinotefuran 20 SG @ 0.25 /lit. (10.62 ahid/plant) which was followed by Beauveria bassiana 1.15 WP (1x10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 10 ml + 0.1 g/lit. (12.03 aphid/plant), Bacillus thuringiensis 1.15 WP (1x10<sup>9</sup> CFU/ml) + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 10 ml + 0.1 g/lit. (12.67 aphid/plant), Lecanicillium lecanii 1.15 WP (1x10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 10ml + 0.1 g/lit. (12.87 aphid/plant), Metarhizium anisopliae 1.15 WP (1x108 CFU/ml) + 50% Thiamethoxam 25WG @ 10ml+0.1 g/lit. (13.06 aphid/plant). Bio-pesticide combinations with Dinotefuran 20 SG @ 0.125 g/lit and bio-pesticides @ 10 ml/lit. were recorded less effective with aphid population varied from 14.57-16.62 plant. The highest population 43.50 aphid/plant recorded in untreated control.

These results regarding Thiamethoxam 25% WG are in close agreement with those of Sharma *et al.*, (2020) <sup>[11]</sup> Patel *et al.*, (2017) <sup>[8]</sup> and regarding Dinotefuran 20% SG reported by Ahmed *et al.*, (2018) <sup>[1]</sup>.

## Yield of mustard and B:C Ratio in biopesticide and insecticide combinations.

The yield of different treatment are given below Table 2. The highest yield of mustard 1701.96 kg/ha and 2.47:1 benefit cost ratio was obtained from the treatment Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/lit., the second best treatment Dinotefuran 20 SG 0.25 g/lit with 1628.62 kg/ha. In case of biopesticide 1581 kg/ha yield and 2.36:1 benefit cost ratio was recorded in *treatment Beauveria bassiana* + 50% Thiamethoxam 1.15 WP (1x10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 25 WG @ 10 ml + 0.1 g/lit, next *Bacillus thuringiensis* + 50% Thiamethoxam @ 10 ml +0.1 g/lit with 1530.95 kg/ha. The other treatment yield obtained in downward order. The lowest yield recorded in untreated control plot.

S. No.	Treatments	Formulation	Dose (g/ml/lit. of water)	Mean aphid population per plant (10cm twig) 1 <sup>st</sup> spray				Mean aphid population per plant (10cm twig) 2 <sup>nd</sup> spray				Overall mean aphid
					3 DAT	7 DAT	12 DAT	РТО	3 DAT	7 DAT	12 DAT	population/ plant
<b>T</b> 1	B. bassiana + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1.15 WP (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 25 WG	10 ml+0.1 g		15.73 (3.95) <sup>bc</sup>	12.20 (3.47) <sup>c</sup>	12.80 (3.55) <sup>de</sup>	37.53 (6.09)	14.13 (3.75) <sup>b</sup>	10.10 (3.16) <sup>c</sup>	7.23 (2.78) <sup>c</sup>	12.03 (3.52) <sup>de</sup>
$T_2$	<i>L. lecanii</i> + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1.15 WP (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml) + 25 WG	10 ml+0.1 g		16.10 (4.01) <sup>bc</sup>	12.00 (3.45) <sup>c</sup>	12.63 (3.52) <sup>de</sup>	33.47 (5.75)	14.83 (3.85) <sup>b</sup>	11.60 (3.40) <sup>bc</sup>	10.07 (3.25) <sup>b</sup>	12.87 (3.65) <sup>cde</sup>
<b>T</b> 3	<i>M. anisopliae</i> + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	WU	10 ml+0.1 g	52.10 (7.20)	15.73 (3.95) <sup>bc</sup>	12.30 (3.49) <sup>c</sup>	14.53 (3.79) <sup>cde</sup>	35.10 (5.91)	15.07 (3.87) <sup>b</sup>	11.47 (3.38) <sup>bc</sup>	9.27 (3.12) <sup>bc</sup>	13.06 (3.67) <sup>cde</sup>
T4	B. thuringiensis + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1.15 WP (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/ ml) + 25 WG	10 ml+0.1 g		16.70 (4.08) <sup>bc</sup>	12.07 (3.46) <sup>c</sup>	13.03 (3.59) <sup>de</sup>	36.63 (6.04)	14.57 (3.81) <sup>b</sup>	10.20 (3.19) <sup>c</sup>	9.43 (3.15) <sup>b</sup>	12.67 (3.61) <sup>de</sup>
<b>T</b> 5	<i>B. bassiana</i> + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	(1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 20 SG	10 ml+0.125 g	52.93 (7.27)	18.13 (4.26) <sup>bc</sup>	14.47 (3.80) <sup>bc</sup>	17.13 (4.14) <sup>bcd</sup>	39.37 (6.26)	15.43 (3.92) <sup>b</sup>	12.33 (3.51) <sup>bc</sup>	10.63 (3.33) <sup>b</sup>	14.69 (3.88) <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>L. lecanii</i> + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	(1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 20 SG	10 ml+0.125 g	57.70 (7.60)	19.27 (4.38) <sup>b</sup>	14.67 (3.83) <sup>bc</sup>	16.47 (4.06) <sup>bcd</sup>	33.33 (5.76)	17.13 (4.13) <sup>b</sup>	12.77 (3.56) <sup>bc</sup>	11.53 (3.46) <sup>b</sup>	15.31 (3.96) <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>M. anisopliae</i> + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	(1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) + 20 SG	10 ml+0.125 g	48.37 (6.95)	18.13 (4.25) <sup>bc</sup>	17.07 (4.08) <sup>b</sup>	22.07 (4.67) <sup>b</sup>	34.47 (5.87)	17.50 (4.18) <sup>b</sup>	14.47 (3.79) <sup>b</sup>	10.47 (3.28) <sup>b</sup>	16.62 (4.11) <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>B. thuringiensis</i> + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	(1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/ml) + 20 SG	10 ml+0.125 g	52.50 (7.24)	20.10 (4.48) <sup>b</sup>	12.93 (3.58) <sup>bc</sup>	18.90 (4.34) <sup>bc</sup>	36.80 (6.06)	14.73 (3.84) <sup>b</sup>	11.20 (3.34) <sup>bc</sup>	9.57 (3.16) <sup>b</sup>	14.57 (3.85) <sup>bcd</sup>
<b>T</b> 9	Thiamethoxam 25WG	25 WG	0.20 g	69.90 (8.29)	13.80 (3.71) <sup>c</sup>	6.77 (2.59) <sup>d</sup>	6.50 (2.52) <sup>f</sup>	45.40 (6.70)	9.83 (3.13) <sup>c</sup>	3.93 (1.98) <sup>d</sup>	0.00 (0.71) <sup>d</sup>	6.81 (2.53) <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	Dinotefuran 20 SG	20 SG	0.25 g	50.80 (7.12)	15.53 (3.93) <sup>bc</sup>	12.13 (3.48) <sup>c</sup>	10.47 (3.21) <sup>e</sup>	38.23 (6.15)	13.43 (3.65) <sup>bc</sup>	9.43 (3.07) <sup>c</sup>	2.70 (1.76) <sup>d</sup>	10.62 (3.26) <sup>e</sup>
T11	Un treated Control	-	-	51.00 (7.10)	49.03 (6.98) <sup>a</sup>	51.03 (7.13) <sup>a</sup>	54.40 (7.38) <sup>a</sup>	36.60 (6.02)	40.23 (6.32) <sup>a</sup>	35.07 (5.91) <sup>a</sup>	31.23 (5.63) <sup>a</sup>	43.50 (6.60) <sup>a</sup>
SEm±				0.35	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.12
CD (0.05)				NS	0.60	0.54	0.67	NS	0.57	0.52	0.45	0.36

Table 1: Efficacy of bio-pesticide and insecticide combinations against mustard aphid during Rabi 2021-2022

Table 2: Yield of mustard in different biopesticide and insecticide combinations during ra	abi 2021-2022

Sl. No.	Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Increase in yield over control (kg/ha)	% Increase in yield over control	Percent avoidable losses	Total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Gross Income (Rs/ha)	Net Income (Rs/ha)	Benefit over control (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio
T1	Beauveria bassiana + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1581.97	572.56	56.72	7.05	23801	79889.49	56088.49	27281.28	2.36:1
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	Lecanicillium lecanii + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1455.09	445.68	44.15	14.50	23801	73482.05	49681.05	20873.84	2.09:1
<b>T</b> 3	<i>Metarhizium</i> anisopliae + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1417.39	407.98	40.41	16.72	23801	71578.19	47777.19	18969.98	2.00:1
T4	Bacillus thuringiensis + 50% Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1530.95	521.54	51.67	10.04	23801	77312.97	53511.97	24704.76	2.25:1
T5	Beauveria bassiana + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	1394.47	385.06	38.15	18.06	24276	70420.73	46144.73	17337.52	1.90:1
T <sub>6</sub>	Lecanicillium lecanii + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	1369.63	360.22	35.69	19.53	24276	69166.31	44890.31	16083.1	1.85:1
T7	<i>Metarhizium</i> anisopliae + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	1312.12	302.71	31.94	22.90	24276	66262.06	41986.06	13178.85	1.73:1
T <sub>8</sub>	Bacillus thuringiensis + 50% Dinotefuran 20 SG	1422.74	413.33	29.98	16.41	24276	71848.37	47572.37	18765.09	1.98:1
T9	Thiamethoxam 25 WG	1701.96	692.55	68.60	-	24768	85948.98	61180.98	32373.77	2.47:1
T <sub>10</sub>	Dinotefuran 20 SG	1628.62	619.21	61.34	4.31	25376	82245.31	56869.31	28062.10	2.24:1
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	1009.41	-	-		22168	50975.21	28807.21	-	-
SEm±		80.61	-	-	-					
CD(0.05)	$t_0/d_{\rm ev} = \mathbf{P}_0 \cdot 204/l_{\rm e}$	239.47	-	-	-					

Labour rate /day = Rs.304/labour (2 labour required for spraying in one hectare/day), Cost of seed = Rs. 5050/quintal,

### Reference

- Ahmed, Siraj Cheema, Sikandar Ali, Muhammad, Zubair, Qaisar Abbas, Bashir, *et al*, Comparative efficacy of insecticides against mustard aphid in *Brassica juncea*. International Journal of Entomology Research. 2018 May;3(3):34-37. ISSN: 2455-4758 Impact Factor: RJIF 5.24 www.entomologyjournals.com
- 2. In-vitro evaluation of biopesticides (Beauveria bassiana, Sajid, Muhammad Bashir, Nawaz Haider, Batool Qurit, Iqra Munir, Bilal, Muhammad, Jamal Muhammad Ameen, and Munir, Shahzad. Metarhizium anisopliae, Bacillus thuringiensis) against mustard aphid Lipaphis erysimi Kalt. (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5(6):331-335
- Khanal Dipak, Maharjan Salu, Lamichhane Jamuna, Neupane Pritika, Sharma Srijana, Pandey Pushpa. Efficacy of Biorational Compounds against Mustard Aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt.) and English Grain Aphid (*Sitobion avenae* Fab.) under Laboratory Conditions in Nepal. (2020). Hindawi Advances in Agriculture Volume 2020, 7p. Article ID 9817612, https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9817612
- Khedkar AA, Bharpoda TM, Patel MG, Patel CK. Efficacy of different chemical insecticides against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach) infesting mustard Department of Entomology, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand – 388 110 (Gujarat), India\*E. mail: bharpodatm@yahoo.com
- 5. Kumar Alok, Kumar Jitendra, Rai Vikas Kumar, Patel Vivek Kumar, Kumar Santosh, Kumar Abhay. Evaluation

of the efficacy of relative bio-pesticide and insecticides against barley aphid. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(2):1746-1749.

- Lal, Bharat, Nayak MK, Tomar DS, Thakur SR, Efficacy of newer insecticides against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) in Indian mustard under Bundelkhand Agro climatic zone of Madhya Pradesh. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(6):400-403
- Meena, Krishna Avatar, Bio-efficacy of some newer insecticides against insect pests of cabbage. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(5):1102-1106
- Patel Shweta, Yadav SK, Singh CP. Bio-efficacy of insecticides against *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) in mustard ecosystem. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5(2):1247-1250
- Patel Shweta, Singh CP, Hasan Wajid. Relative efficacy of certain insecticides against mustard aphid in mustard ecosystem. International Journal of Agricultural and Applied Sciences. 2020; June1(1):46-48, https://www.agetds.com/ijaas
- Rajamani, Meenatchi, Negi, Aditi. Biopesticides for Pest Management. Department of Primary Processing, Storage and Handling, Indian Institute of Food Processing Technology, Thanjavur, India, 2021. e-mail: meena@iifpt.edu.in
- Sharma Neha, Upadhyaya SN, Singh UC, Dubey Megha, Ahmad, Anjum. Bio efficacy of insecticides against mustard APHID. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;SP-8(4):97-102
- 12. Yadav SK, Singh RB, Gautam MP, Singh Gajendra, Giri

SK. Bio-efficacy of insecticides against mustard aphid (*lipaphis erysimi* Kalt.) on mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(2):2704-2708.

- 13. Dwivedi YK, Rana NP, Jeyaraj A, Clement M, Williams MD. Re-examining the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): Towards a revised theoretical model. Information Systems Frontiers. 2019 Jun;21(3):719-34.
- Tandon R, Sharma M, Chandrashekhar Y, Kotb M, Yacoub MH, Narula J. Revisiting the pathogenesis of rheumatic fever and carditis. Nature Reviews Cardiology. 2013 Mar;10(3):171-7.
- 15. Murakoshi I, Kubo H, Ikram M, Israr M, Shafi N, Ohmiya S, Otomasu H. (+)-11-oxocytisine, a lupin alkaloid from leaves of Sophora secundiflora. Phytochemistry. 1986 Jul 17;25(8):2000-2.