



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; SP-11(8): 826-829  
© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 01-06-2022

Accepted: 06-07-2022

## Rohit Kumar

Department of Agronomy,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## J Choudhary

Department of Agronomy,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## Roshan Choudhary

Department of Agronomy,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## MK Kaushik

Department of Agronomy,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## RH Meena

Department of Soil Science &  
Agricultural Chemistry,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## Hemant Swami

Department of Entomology,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## Corresponding Author

### J Choudhary

Department of Agronomy,  
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,  
Maharana Pratap University of  
Agriculture and Technology,  
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

## Effect of sowing environment and varieties on growth and quality of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* L.)

Rohit Kumar, J Choudhary, Roshan Choudhary, MK Kaushik, RH Meena and Hemant Swami

### Abstract

A field experiment entitled “Effect of Sowing Environment and Varieties on Growth and Quality of Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* L.)” was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2021-22 at Instructional Farm of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Rajasthan College of Agriculture (MPUAT) Udaipur, Rajasthan. The experiment was laid out in split plot design having four dates of sowing (D<sub>1</sub>: 1<sup>st</sup> November, D<sub>2</sub>: 10<sup>th</sup> November, D<sub>3</sub>: 20<sup>th</sup> November and D<sub>4</sub>: 30<sup>th</sup> November) as main plot treatments and four varieties (V<sub>1</sub>: HI 8713, V<sub>2</sub>: HI 8737, V<sub>3</sub>: HI 8663 and V<sub>4</sub>: HI 8759) as sub plot treatments. These sixteen treatment combinations were replicated three times. The results indicated that different dates of sowing and varieties significantly influenced the growth and quality of durum wheat. The results revealed that the crop sown on 20<sup>th</sup> November had significantly enhanced the plant growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, dry matter accumulation, dry matter partitioning, total tillers, flag leaf area and days to 50 per cent heading. Quality parameters *viz.* protein content of grain has no any significant effect under the various dates of sowing. Various durum wheat varieties caused a significant influence on the growth parameters of wheat. Among the different varieties, HI 8713 variety recorded the maximum improvement in the growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, dry matter accumulation, dry matter partitioning, total tillers, flag leaf area and days to 50 per cent heading. There was no any significant variation reported among different tested varieties for protein content in grain. But the maximum protein content was noted in wheat variety HI 8713 (12.75%).

**Keywords:** Wheat, sowing, growth, varieties

### 1. Introduction

The wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) crop belongs to grassy family Poaceae or Gramineae and is one of the most imperative cereal crop of the world that has been considered as integral component of food security system of several nations. It is largest grown cereal in the world and it supplements around 19 per cent of our total calories in human dietary. This crop is commonly known as “king of cereals” because of its wider adaptability to diverse agro-climatic conditions and its productivity. It has virtuous nutrition profile with protein (12.1%), lipids (1.8%), ash (1.8%), reducing sugars (2.0%), pentose’s (6.7%) and provides 314 Kcal.100g<sup>-1</sup> of food apart these it has several minerals and vitamins *viz.*, calcium (37mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>), iron (4.1mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>), thiamine (0.45mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>), riboflavin (0.13mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) and nicotinic acid (5.4mg 100mg<sup>-1</sup>). Wheat contains a high amount of gluten, the protein that provides the elasticity necessary for excellent bread making. It is highly valued for making semolina (Suji) and vermicelli. It is extensively used in the preparation of various traditional/ popular recipes like noodles, snacks, roasted doughs (batti), porridge, upma and ladoos (Choudhary and Ali, 2007) [4].

The tetraploid (2n = 28) species *i.e.*, durum wheat (*Triticum durum* L.) is known as pasta or macaroni wheat. The typical durum wheat grain is very hard, vitreous and amber coloured with rich in protein content. The composition of durum wheat is comparable to that of ordinary wheat. In Durum wheat kernel starch and lipid have about equal amount but protein content is some more to bread wheat. Durum wheat is second most important cultivated species after common/chapati/ *aestivum* wheat, although this species is cultivated in 5 to 8 per cent of the total cultivated wheat area worldwide (about 17.0 million ha) and production 38 million tonnes (Xynias *et al.*, 2020) [13]. Amongst durum wheat production, Canada is the leading country followed by Italy and Turkey in the world. However, the largest consumers of durum wheat are the Mediterranean countries, where most of the production process takes place. In India, durum wheat mainly grown in central and peninsular zone which includes Madhya Pradesh,

Gujarat, southern part of Rajasthan, Karnataka and Maharashtra states and produces around 1.0-1.2 million tonnes.

Date of sowing create differ environment that has a significant impact on wheat crop output. The ideal sowing date affects the crop's ability to obtain the best possible circumstances for water, temperature, relative humidity, and solar radiation. It also has a favorable impact on the growth, production, and quality of wheat by allowing for improved physiology and environmental adaptation.

Various varieties in wheat have differ genetic makeup and perform as accordance with diverse environments. Different varieties are of greater significance and these are the main factors which determine the good crop stand which in turn influences the yield and monetary return (Kabesh *et al.*, 2009) [8]. There is potential to increase wheat production by cultivating climate resilient varieties since performance of varieties varies according to their genetic potential and adaptable environment. Poor variety selection also negatively impacts on crop productivity (Hussain *et al.*, 2012) [7]. Varieties with ability to tolerate high temperature perform well under late sown conditions, whereas varieties with no such genetic machinery are good under early sowing. Similarly, susceptible varieties matured earlier when planted late, indicating the forced maturity due to high temperature. The yield of wheat crop can enhance 10 to 80 per cent only through selection of suitable cultivars as environment suitability (Coventry *et al.*, 2011) [5].

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Description of the study area

The experiment was conducted at the Instructional Farm of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur (Rajasthan). The site is located at 24°35' N latitude and 74°42' E longitude in the South-Eastern part of Rajasthan, at an altitude of 582.17 metres above mean sea level and the region falls under NARP agro-climatic zone IVa *i.e.* Sub-Humid Southern Plain and Aravalli Hills of Rajasthan. The climate in this region is characteristic of the subtropics, with mild winters and cool summers, as well as high relative humidity from July to September. The average annual rainfall in the region is 600.8mm, with the South-West monsoon accounting for the majority of this (80-85%) from July to September. The texture of the soil was clay loam, medium in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and medium in available potassium.

### 2.2 Experimental details

The experiment comprised of sixteen treatment combinations four date of sowing *i.e.* D<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> November), D<sub>2</sub> (10<sup>th</sup> November), D<sub>3</sub> (20<sup>th</sup> November), D<sub>4</sub> (30<sup>th</sup> November) and four crop varieties *i.e.* HI 8713, HI 8737, HI 8663 and HI 8759. The trial was laid out in split plot design with 3 replications. Sowing was done on 1<sup>st</sup> November, 10<sup>th</sup> November, 20<sup>th</sup> November and 30<sup>th</sup> November using 100kg seed ha<sup>-1</sup>. Line sowing was done at 20cm apart row opened through the manual labour and seeds were placed at 4 to 5cm depth. For the control of complex weed flora in experimental field, ready mix of post emergence selective herbicide *i.e.*, Vesta (Trade name) Clodinafop propargyl 15% + Metsulfuron methyl 1% WP was dissolved in one litres of water ha<sup>-1</sup> and sprayed with the help of knapsack sprayer at 32 DAS. Irrigations were given to durum wheat crop according to the treatments. As per recommendation, a uniform dose of nitrogen @ 120kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>supplied through urea, phosphorus @ 60kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through S.S.P. and potassium @ 40kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through MOP was applied in to all plots. The half quantity of nitrogen and full quantity of phosphorus and potassium were applied in the field during final field preparation. The rest half dose of nitrogen was top-dressed in two splits, after first and second irrigation of the crop.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Growth parameters

#### 3.1.1 Days to emergence

Data pretested in the Table 1 shows that the sowing dates and various varieties did not cause any significant variation on days to emergence of wheat crop. These results are in line with that of Babiker *et al.* (2017) [1] who observed non-significant effect of sowing dates on seed germination.

#### 3.1.2 Plant population

Different date of sowing and various varieties did not cause any significant variation on plant population 0.5 m row length at 15 DAS (Table 1).

#### 3.1.3 Plant height

An analysis of data from Table 1 indicates that different sowing dates and various varieties did not affect the plant height at 25 DAS and significantly differ at 50 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest.

Wheat crop was sown on 20<sup>th</sup> November recorded the maximum plant height at 50 DAS (44.17cm), 75 DAS (77.23cm) and at harvest (101.24cm) which was statistically at par with 10<sup>th</sup> November (42.71cm, 74.22cm and 97.04cm at 50 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest, respectively) but statistically higher over 1<sup>st</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> November sown crop. In case of different varieties, the maximum plant height at 50 DAS (43.29cm), 75 DAS (75.97cm) and at harvest (97.63cm) was observed in wheat variety HI 8713 but it was statistically at par with another variety HI 8759 (42.59cm, 74.86cm and 97.01cm at 50 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest, respectively) but statistically higher over other two durum varieties *viz.*, HI 8737 and HI 8663. It might be due to maximization of growing phase, favorable temperature and other climatologically parameters which were essential for the growth. These results were similar with findings of Singh (2016) [11] and Khatik and Yadav (2020) [3].

#### 3.1.4 Dry matter accumulation

A result from Table 1 indicates that dry matter accumulation at 25 DAS did not show any significant variation among different dates of sowing and various varieties but significantly differ at 50 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest.

Wheat crop was sown on 20<sup>th</sup> November recorded the maximum dry matter accumulation at 50 DAS (41.68g), 75 DAS (167.76g) and at harvest (417.74g) which was statistically at par with 10<sup>th</sup> November (38.31 g, 161.24 g and 400.56g at 50 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest, respectively) but statistically higher over 1<sup>st</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> November sown crop. In case of different varieties, the maximum plant height at 50 DAS (42.27g), 75 DAS (166.26g) and at harvest (419.40g) was observed in wheat variety HI 8713 but it was statistically at par with variety HI 8759 (40.76g, 162.32g and 401.30g at 50 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest, respectively) but statistically higher over rest two durum varieties *viz.*, HI 8737 and HI 8663. It might be due crop recorded highest plant height, number of tillers and flag leaf area thus all these characters

play an active role in increasing dry matter production. This finding is supported by Yadav *et al.* (2017) [14] and Singh *et al.* (2021) [12].

### 3.1.5 Dry matter partitioning plant<sup>-1</sup>

#### 3.1.5.1 Stem

It is clarifying from Table 2 that highest dry matter partitioning of stem (122.82g) was found under 3<sup>rd</sup> date of sowing (20<sup>th</sup> November), which was statistically at par with crop sown on 10<sup>th</sup> November (117.77g) however, statistically superior over crop sown on 1<sup>st</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> November. In case of different wheat varieties, HI 8713 had recorded higher dry matter partitioning (123.30g) of stem, which was statistically at par with variety HI 8759 (117.98g) however, statistically higher over rest of varieties *viz.*, HI 8737 and HI 8663.

#### 3.1.5.2 Leaves

A critical study of data in Table 2 shows that the maximum dry matter partitioning of leaves (113.29g) in case of dates of sowing was obtained from sowing done on 20<sup>th</sup> November, which was statistically at par with sowing date is 10<sup>th</sup> November (108.63g) but statistically superior over 1<sup>st</sup> sowing date (1<sup>st</sup> November) and last (30<sup>th</sup> November) sowing. In case of different wheat varieties, higher dry matter partitioning (113.74g) of leaves was obtained from variety HI 8713, it was statistically at par with variety HI 8759 (108.83g) however, statistically higher over variety HI 8737 and HI 8663.

#### 3.1.5.3 Spikelet

Data from (Table 2) indicates that the maximum dry matter partitioning (181.63g) of spikelet was found under 20<sup>th</sup> November sowing date, which was statistically at par with crop sown on 10<sup>th</sup> November (174.16g) but statistically greater over first (1<sup>st</sup> November) and last (30<sup>th</sup> November) sowing date. In case of different wheat varieties, HI 8713 had found the higher dry matter partitioning (182.35g) of spikelet, it was statistically at par with variety HI 8759 (174.48g) but, statistically superior over remaining variety HI 8737 and HI 8663.

### 3.1.6 Total tillers at harvest

In reference to the Table 2 shows that 3<sup>rd</sup> date of sowing (20<sup>th</sup> November) listed the maximum number of tillers (41.67) which was statistically at par with 2<sup>nd</sup> date (10<sup>th</sup> November) of sowing (38.58) but statistically higher over first (1<sup>st</sup> November) and last (30<sup>th</sup> November) sowing date. In case of different durum wheat varieties, variety HI 8713 recorded the

highest number of tillers (39.28), which was statistically at par with variety HI 8759 (38.62) but statistically superior over other examined varieties *i.e.*, HI 8737 and HI 8663. Higher tillering might be due to higher leaf area (source) to accumulate and translocate higher values of photosynthates and also variation in tillering might be due to their genetic makeup. The results of this study are in close conformism Hussain *et al.* (2018) [7].

### 3.1.7 Flag leaf area at 70 DAS

Data from the Table 2 elucidates that 3<sup>rd</sup> date of sowing (20<sup>th</sup> November) leads to maximum flag leaf area (48.42cm<sup>2</sup>) and it was statistically at par with 10<sup>th</sup> November (48.02cm<sup>2</sup>) but it is statistically superior over 1<sup>st</sup> November and 30<sup>th</sup> November date of sowing. In case of different durum wheat varieties, variety HI 8713 recorded the highest flag leaf area (47.66cm<sup>2</sup>) which was significantly superior over other examined durum wheat varieties. Similar results have been reported in wheat by Bachhao *et al.* (2018) [2].

### 3.1.8 Days to 50 per cent heading

Data persuaded from the Table 2 indicates that the maximum days taken for 50 per cent heading was under 20<sup>th</sup> November (69.83) sowing date and it was statistically at par with 10<sup>th</sup> November (69.52) sown crop but significantly higher over 1<sup>st</sup> November and 30<sup>th</sup> November date of sowing. In case of different durum wheat varieties, maximum days for 50 per cent heading was recorded from variety HI 8713 (69.08) that was statistically at par with variety HI 8759 (68.68) but significantly higher over crop variety HI 8738 and HI 8663. Similar trend have been observed by Mumtaz *et al.* (2015) [10].

### 3.1.9 Days to 50 per cent maturity

When we compared the data given in the Table 2 it was concluded that the maximum number of days taken for 50 per cent maturity (130.27) was recorded under 2<sup>nd</sup> date of sowing (10<sup>th</sup> November) but it was statistically superior over rest of all sowing dates *i.e.*, 1<sup>st</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> November. In case of different durum wheat varieties, days taken for 50 per cent maturity were no significant variation. The results of this study are in close conformism by Chauhan *et al.* (2020) [3].

## 3.2 Quality parameter

### 3.2.1 Protein content

Data persuaded in Table 2 did not notice any significant variation for protein content of grain under the different dates of sowing and various durum varieties.

**Table 1:** Effect of sowing environment and varieties on days to emergence, plant population at 15 DAS, plant height and dry matter accumulation at 25 DAS, 50 DAS, 75 DAS and harvest of durum wheat

Treatments	Days to emergence	Plant population at 15 DAS (0.5 m row length)	Plant height (cm)				Dry matter accumulation (g) (0.5 m row length)			
			25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	At harvest	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	At harvest
<b>Date of sowing</b>										
1 <sup>st</sup> November	7.00	13.18	20.87	40.55	71.85	91.56	7.47	34.66	152.42	312.61
10 <sup>th</sup> November	7.33	13.50	21.55	42.71	74.22	97.04	7.81	38.31	161.24	400.56
20 <sup>th</sup> November	7.50	13.58	22.17	44.17	77.23	101.24	8.27	41.68	167.76	417.74
30 <sup>th</sup> November	8.08	13.42	22.08	42.10	73.23	93.49	7.87	36.84	157.08	366.95
SEm±	0.25	0.27	0.31	0.56	1.05	1.92	0.19	1.01	2.58	8.32
CD ( <i>p</i> = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	1.95	3.62	6.65	NS	3.49	8.94	28.80
<b>Varieties</b>										
HI 8713	7.42	13.33	21.70	43.29	75.97	97.63	7.94	42.27	166.26	419.40
HI 8737	7.67	13.51	21.52	42.12	73.01	96.57	7.61	32.83	154.83	349.17
HI 8663	7.33	13.44	21.66	42.03	72.68	92.13	7.94	35.63	155.11	328.00
HI 8759	7.50	13.41	21.64	42.59	74.86	97.01	7.92	40.76	162.32	401.30

SEm±	0.19	0.16	0.30	0.33	0.43	0.85	0.09	0.56	2.04	8.29
CD ( $p = 0.05$ )	NS	NS	NS	0.95	1.27	2.47	NS	1.63	5.96	24.19

**Table 2:** Effect of sowing environment and varieties on leaf, stem and spikelet dry matter partitioning plant<sup>-1</sup> (g), total tillers, flag leaf area at 70 DAS, Days to 50 per cent heading, days to 50 per cent maturity and protein content (%) of durum wheat

Treatments	Dry matter partitioning plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)			Total tillers (0.5 m row length)	Flag leaf area at 70 DAS	Days to 50 per cent heading	Days to 50 per cent maturity	Protein content (%)
	Stem	Leaves	Spikelet					
<b>Date of sowing</b>								
1 <sup>st</sup> November	91.91	84.78	135.92	35.68	45.30	64.68	123.60	12.58
10 <sup>th</sup> November	117.77	108.63	174.16	38.58	48.02	69.52	130.27	12.69
20 <sup>th</sup> November	122.82	113.29	181.63	41.67	48.42	69.83	120.36	12.70
30 <sup>th</sup> November	107.88	99.52	159.55	36.16	44.66	64.68	120.14	12.64
SEm±	2.45	2.26	3.62	0.97	0.75	0.77	0.39	0.14
CD ( $p = 0.05$ )	8.47	7.81	12.52	3.37	2.58	2.67	1.36	NS
<b>Varieties</b>								
HI 8713	123.30	113.74	182.35	39.28	47.66	69.08	124.16	12.75
HI 8737	102.65	94.69	151.82	37.51	46.24	67.78	123.50	12.62
HI 8663	96.43	88.95	142.61	36.68	46.09	67.51	122.82	12.60
HI 8759	117.98	108.83	174.48	38.62	46.41	68.68	123.88	12.65
SEm±	2.44	2.25	3.60	0.40	0.41	0.34	0.38	0.14
CD ( $p = 0.05$ )	7.11	6.56	10.52	1.18	1.20	0.99	NS	NS

#### 4. Conclusion

On the basis of one year investigation entitled “Effect of Sowing Environment and Varieties on Growth and Quality of Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* L.)”, it can be concluded that the highest growth and quality parameter were obtained with D<sub>3</sub>: 20<sup>th</sup> November sowing date, which was statistically at par with D<sub>2</sub>: 10<sup>th</sup> November date. In case of different durum wheat varieties, highest growth and quality parameter were fetched from V<sub>1</sub>: HI 8713 variety and it were statistically at par with V<sub>4</sub>: HI 8759 variety.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

The authors duly acknowledge the support received from the Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, Rajasthan for providing facilities for accomplishing the research work.

#### 6. Reference

- Babiker WA, Abdelmula AA, Eldessougi HI, Gasim SE. The effect of location, sowing date and genotype on seed quality traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research. 2017;7(3): 24-28.
- Bachhao KS, Kolekar PT, Nawale SS, Kadlag AD. Response of different wheat varieties to different sowing dates. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1):2178-2180.
- Chauhan SS, Singh AK, Yadav S, Verma SK, Kumar R. Effect of different varieties and sowing dates on growth, productivity and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(2):2630-2639.
- Choudhary SC, Ali M. Performance of new wheat varieties at different fertility levels under irrigated conditions. Agricultural Science Digest. 2007;27(2):146-150.
- Coventry DR, Gupta RK, Yadav A, Poswal RS, Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, et al. Wheat quality and productivity as affected by varieties and sowing time in Haryana, India. Field Crops Research. 2011;123(3):214-225.
- Hussain M, Mehmood Z, Khan MB, Farooq S, Dong-Jin L, Farooq M. Narrow row spacing ensures higher productivity of low tillering wheat cultivars. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2012;14(3):413-418.
- Hussain S, Shah SA, Hisbani MA, Mihas AA, Ahmed A, Chandio MA, et al. Effect of different sowing dates on growth and yield of candidate wheat varieties. National Journal of Advanced Research. 2018;4(1):41-46.
- Kabesh MO, El-Kramany MF, Sary GA, El-Naggar HM, Gehan SHB. Effects of sowing methods and some bio-organic fertilization treatments on yield and yield components of wheat. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2009;5(1):97-102.
- Khatik P, Yadav LR. Effect of different sowing environments and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(6):837-840.
- Mumtaz MZ, Aslam M, Nasrullah HM, Akhtar M, Ali B. Effect of various sowing dates on growth, yield and yield components of different wheat genotypes. Journal of Agriculture and Environment Sciences. 2015;15(11):2230-2234.
- Singh B. Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties to different sowing dates and growth regulator (Ph.D. thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana), 2016.
- Singh N, Singh SS, Singh G, Singh R, Yadav SK. Effects of interaction (date of sowing x varieties) on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2021;9(2):201-203.
- Xynias IN, Mylonas I, Korpetis EG, Ninou E, Tsaballa A, Avdikos ID, et al. Durum wheat breeding in the Mediterranean region: Current status and future prospects. Agronomy. 2020;10(3):432.
- Yadav V, Mishra DN, Chauhan RS, Tomar P, Singh R. Performance of newly released wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties on different sowing dates under NWPZ of UP, Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;1:720-722.