www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(7): 2812-2817 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 24-04-2022 Accepted: 12-06-2022

Babul Verma

Department of Horticulture Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Deepanshu

Department of Horticulture Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Babul Verma Department of Horticulture Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Genetic analysis in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.)

Babul Verma and Deepanshu

Abstract

The current study, entitled "Genetic Analysis in Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.)," was carried out at the AICRP on vegetable crops, Horticultural Research cum Instructional Farm, Department of Vegetable Sciences, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (21.23760 N. 81.70550E) during 2019-20. The trial used a randomized block design (RBD) with 27 treatment combinations and three replications, with genotypes assigned at random in each replication. The results showed that among the twenty-seven genotypes examined, Kesli 4 (79.78* kg), Nandghat 2 (79.77* kg), and Kesli 7 (79.44* kg) had the highest green pod production. The genotypes Bardwar 4 (56.96 kg), B. Bazar (57.94 kg), and Bhakhara had the least yield (57.38 kg). Based on the mean performance of cowpea genotypes, the genotypes Kesli 4, Nandghat 2, and Kesli 7 were deemed the most promising in terms of green pod production per hectare. The PCV was slightly greater than GCV, illustrating the role of environment in the manifestation of numerous traits under investigation. High magnitude of GCV were observed for the characters viz., days to germination (30.34), number of flower cluster per plant (27) and number of primary branches (23.35). High magnitude of PCV were observed for the characters viz., days to germination (33.10), number of flower cluster per plant (33.42), number of primary branches (29.82), number of secondary branches (21.32) and pod length (21.70). High estimate of heritability was recorded for fruiting duration (95.72%), days to first flowering (95.08), days to first fruiting (94.28%), days to 50% flowering (93.89%), days to final picking (90.24%), days to germination (84.04%) and 10 pod weight (74.69%). Path analysis revealed a positive direct correlation with days to germination, plant height, number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to first fruiting, fruiting duration, days to final picking, number of flower clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, pod length (cm), 10 pod weight, percentage of pod setting per cluster in the present study. The aforementioned characteristics had a great influence on yield and can be considered for future hybridization techniques.

Keywords: Cowpea, genotype, heritability, variability, GCV and PCV

Introduction

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is an annual, autogamous, leguminous vegetable crop of India belongs to family leguminosae (Mackie and Smith, 1935)^[7] with a chromosome number of 2n=2x=22 (Darlington and Wylie, 1955). It is native to India (Vavilov, 1949)^[22] but tropical and central Africa is also considered as secondary centre of origin where wild races are found (Ng and Marechal, 1985)^[10]. There are mainly two types of cowpeas growing in India for grain and vegetable purposes. Generally, grain type cowpea varieties produce short pods with more number of seeds and mature early whereas vegetable type varieties produce long pods with less number of seeds and grains contain vitamins and minerals which have fuelled its usage for human consumption and animal feeding (Nielson *et al.*, 1997)^[11].

The vegetable improvement work was initiated few decades ago in India and has resulted in the development of a large numbers of improved varieties. There are still some vegetables, which are left unexploited though they have great potential in the Indian vegetable scenario. Cowpea is the one of them. This is possible only when diverse parents are available for breeding programme. The basic rational in crop improvement programme is the selection of parents. It is well known that there is a likelihood of getting better segregants, when the parents used in crossing are genetically diverged (Jain, 1975)^[6]. The genetic variability means correlation and quantitative characters are utmost importance in selecting the desired genotypes for future breeding. For systematic breeding programme to develop high yielding types, information on genetic variability and inter relationship among different characters is prerequisite. This study will employ genetic parameters to determine the kind and level of

variability in pod yield and pod yield-related characteristics.

Material and Method

The experiment was carried out at Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur during 2019-2020. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design having twenty genotypes in three replications. All the genotypes were collected from different parts of Chhattisgarh and are mentioned in the Table 1. For the analysis of this experiment observation recorded were days to germination of 1st seedling, plant height (cm.), primary branch, secondary branch, days to 1st flower emergence, days to 50% flowering, number of flower clusters per plant, days to 1st fruiting, number of pods per plant, number of pods per cluster, length of pod, pod weight, days to 1st picking, percentage of pod setting per cluster, fruiting duration, days to final picking, green pod yield per plant, number of seeds per pod, pod

colour and flower colour. Analysis of variance was done for partitioning the total variance into total variation due to the treatments and replications according to procedure Panse and Sukhatme (1957)^[13]. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were computed according to Burton and Dewane, (1953)^[1] and were classified according to Robinson *et al.* (1949) ^[16]. The genetic advance and genetic advance as per mean that is, expected genetic gain was worked out by using the formula suggested by Lush (1949). Path coefficient analysis suggested by Wright (1921)^[23] and illustrated by Dewey and Lu (1959)^[4] was carried out separately to know the direct and indirect effects of the important component traits on fruit yield per plant. Standard path coefficients which are the standardised partial regression coefficient were obtained by solving the following set of 'P' simultaneous equations through the use of Doolittle technique as given by Goulden (1959)^[5].

Table 1: List of Genotypes used in the experiment

| Sl. No. | Name of varieties | Source of Genotypes |
|---------|--------------------|---|
| 1 | Local 1 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 2 | Local 2 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 3 | Local 3 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 4 | Local 4 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 5 | Red Seed Local 5 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 6 | Black Seed Local 6 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 7 | Local 7 | Raipur, Chhattisgarh |
| 8 | KESLI 1 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 9 | KESLI 2 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 10 | KESLI 3 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 11 | KESLI 4 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 12 | KESLI 5 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 13 | KESLI 6 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 14 | KESLI 7 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 15 | KESLI 8 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 16 | KESLI 9 | Village Kesli, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 17 | BARDWAR 1 | Village Bardwar, Dist – Raigarh, Chhattisgarh |
| 18 | BARDWAR 2 | Village Bardwar, Dist – Raigarh, Chhattisgarh |
| 19 | BARDWAR 3 | Village Bardwar, Dist – Raigarh, Chhattisgarh |
| 20 | BARDWAR 4 | Village Bardwar, Dist – Raigarh, Chhattisgarh |
| 21 | BARDWAR 5 | Village Bardwar, Dist – Raigarh, Chhattisgarh |
| 22 | NANDGHAT 1 | Nandghat, Dist – Bemetra, Chhattisgarh |
| 23 | NANDGHAT 2 | Nandghat, Dist – Bemetra, Chhattisgarh |
| 24 | HATHABANDH | Village Hathabandh, Dist – B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 25 | BHAKHARA | Bhakhara, Dist – Damatri, Chhattisgarh |
| 26 | B. BAZAR | B. Bazar, Chhattisgarh |
| 27 | KAWARDHA | Kawardha, Chhattisgarh |

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance demonstrated that most of the characters investigated under the current experiment demonstrated that mean sum of squares among treatment (genotypes) are significant except number of pods per cluster and pod length. This indicated the presence of high degree of genetic heterogeneity among different Cowpea genotypes. Table 2 show the result of the analysis of variance for all nineteen qualities investigated in this study. The number of primary branches was ranging from 3.33 to 9.66 with the grand mean of 6.68. On the basis of mean performance, the genotype Black seed local 6 recorded significantly highest plant height, Kawardha recorded significantly higher number of primary branches and number of secondary branches. B. Bazar recorded significantly highest days to first flowering, highest days taken to 50% flowering, highest days to first fruiting and highest fruiting duration, genotype Kesli 8 recorded significantly higher days for final picking, significantly highest percent of pod set per cluster,

significantly higher number of flower cluster per plant and significantly higher number of seeds per pod. The pod weight recorded significantly higher with the genotype Nandghat 2. The genotypes Kesli 4, Nandghat 2 and Kesli 7 recorded significantly highest green pod yield. The number of pods per plant, pod length was non-significant among different cowpea genotypes (Table 3).

Genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation are basic measures of variability and widely used for assessment of variability. The PCV was slightly higher than corresponding GCV, which showed the impact of environment in the expression of various characters under study. GCV and PCV are graded as low (less than 10 percent), moderate (10-20 percent) and high (more than 20 percent) according to Sivasubramanian and Madhava Menon (1973). High magnitude of GCV were observed for the characters *viz.*, days to germination (30.34), number of flower cluster per plant (27) and number of primary branches (23.35). The parameters such as number of primary branches (16.65), days to first flowering (13.36), 10 pod weight (15.73) and percentage of pod setting per cluster (11.47) recorded moderate magnitude of GCV. Low magnitude of GCV was recorded with the parameters such as days to 50% flowering (9.95), number of seeds per pod (9.39), days to first fruiting (9.25), plant height (8.54), number of pods per cluster (7.93), days to final picking (7.48), fruiting duration (7.27), and green pod yield per plant (6.68). High magnitude of PCV were observed for the characters viz., days to germination (33.10), number of flower cluster per plant (33.42), number of primary branches (29.82), number of secondary branches (21.32) and pod length (21.70). The parameters such as number of seeds per pod (19.66), number of pods per cluster (18.76), 10 pod weight (18.20), percentage of pod setting per cluster (17.85), plant height (16.33), days to first flowering (13.70) and green pod vield (14.57) recorded moderate magnitude of PCV. Low magnitude of PCV was recorded with the parameters such as days to 50% flowering (9.52), days to first fruiting (9.52), days to final picking (7.88) and fruiting duration (7.43). Similarly high GCV and PCV were observed for green pod yield and pod length by Sreekumar et al. (1996)^[19]; for pods per plant, green pod yield, pod length and plant height by Chattopadhyay et al. (1997)^[2]; for green pod yield by Rajaravindran and Das (1997) [14]; for plant height, primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, seed yield and green pod yield; for green pod yield, pods per plant, plant height and secondary branches per plant by Vardhan and Savithramma (1998) ^[21]; and for pods per plant by Sawarkar et al. (1999)^[17] and Narayanakutty et al. (2003).

Heritability and genetic advance are the important genetic indicators that encourage the efficiency of selection in any improvement programme. Heritability is an important biometrical tool that measures the degree of variation in a population due to genetic variation in a phenotypic trait. However, genetic advance represents the improvement in the mean genotypic value of new population over parental population. As suggested by Robinson (1966), heritability in broad sense was estimated to be low (less than 50%), moderate (50-70%) and high (greater than 70%). For predicting the gain under selection, heritability (broad sense) estimates along with genetic advance are usually more valuable than the heritability value alone. The heritability estimates and genetic advance values for the various parameters of the present investigation are presented in Table 4.

High estimate of heritability was recorded for fruiting duration (95.72%), days to first flowering (95.08), days to first fruiting (94.28%), days to 50% flowering (93.89%), days to final picking (90.24%), days to germination (84.04%) and 10 pod weight (74.69%). Moderate heritability was recorded for number of flower cluster per plant (65.29%), number of primary branches (61.32%), number of secondary branches (60.94%) and the rest of the parameters such as plant height (27.35%), number of seeds per pod (22.82), green pod yield per plant (22.19), number of pods per cluster (17.86%), and pod length (5.05%) recorded lower heritability (Table 4). Similarly, higher heritability were observed by Sreekumar *et al.* (1996) ^[19] for seeds per pod and days to 50 percent flowering; Chattopadhyay *et al.* (1996) for pods per plant,

green pod yield, pod length and plant height; Rajaravindran and Das (1997) ^[14] for pod length, days to 50 percent flowering and green pod yield; Vardhan and Savithramma (1998 a) for primary branches per plant, green pod yield, pods per plant, plant height and secondary branches per plant; Sawarkar *et al.* (1999) ^[17] for primary branches per plant; Narayanakutty *et al.* (2003 a) for fruit yield, pods per plant and weight of pods; and Resmi *et al.* (2004) ^[15] for yield per plant, pods per plant and pod weight.

Genetic advance was expressed as percent of mean for comparing the progress in various characters of different genotypes. According to Johnson et al. (1955), the extent of genetic advance is classified as high (greater than 20 percent), moderate (20-10 percent) and low (less than 10 percent). The genetic advance of percent of pod set per cluster (8.93), plant height was (4.70), green pod vield per plant (4.52), days to germination (4.30), number of primary branches (2.51), number of secondary branches (2.32), number of flower cluster per plant (1.54), number of pods per cluster (1.39), number of seeds per pod (1.04) and pod length (0.30)recorded low genetic advance and the parameters such days to 50% flowering (16.60), days to first fruiting (16.52), days to final picking (16.02), days to first flowering (15.75), fruiting duration (13.53) and 10 pod weight (10.99). The genetic advances were ranging between least to moderate. Further, the genetic advances as percent of mean was computed and classified according to Johnson et al. (1955) as low (<10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%). The genetic advances as percent mean recorded highest with the parameters viz., number of flower cluster per plant (44.95%), days to germination (57.30%), number of primary branches (37.66%), 10 pod weight (28%), days to first fruiting (26.83%) and number of secondary branches (26.77%). Moderate level of genetic advances as percent of mean was recorded in the parameters such as days to 50% flowering (19.85%), percent of pod set per cluster (15.17%), days to final picking (14.65%) and fruiting duration (14.64%) and rest of the parameter recorded low genetic advance as percent of mean (Table 4).

Path coefficient analysis is an important and valuable statistical method, which is commonly used to distinguish the correlation coefficient into direct and indirect effects of independent variables on a dependent variable (Table 5). The genotypic path analysis on green pod yield showed that it was positive direct correlation with days to germination (4.301), plant height (4.729), number of primary branches (2.514), number of secondary branches (2.323), days to first flowering (15.754), days to 50% flowering (16.596), days to first fruiting (16.517), fruiting duration (13.529), days to final picking (16.021), number of flower cluster per plant (1.539), number of pods per cluster (1.389), pod length (cm) (0.299), 10 pod weight (10.986), percentage of pod setting per cluster (8.927), number of seeds per pod (1.039) and green pod yield (4.518). Narayanakutty et al. (2003 b) and Pal et al. (2004) ^[12] found positive and direct effect for pods per plant. Tewari and Gautam (1989)^[20] found high direct positive effect on green pod yield through pods per cluster and days to 50 percent flowering. Similar findings were reported by Tewari and Gautam (1989)^[20] and Misra et al. (1994)^[8].

| Sl. No. | Observations | Mean | Mean sum of square | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Observations | Replication | Genotype | Error | | | | | | |
| | Df | 02 | 14 | 28 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Days to germination | 0.383 | 16.548** | 0.985 | | | | | | |
| 2 | Plant height | 14.975 | 109.02* | 51.199 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Primary Branches | 2.128 | 8.819** | 1.532 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Secondary Branches | 4.335 | 7.596** | 1.337 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Days to 1st Flowering | 1.272 | 187.71** | 3.182 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Days to 50% flowering | 17.444 | 211.88** | 4.496 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Days to 1st fruiting | 59.704 | 208.7** | 4.14 | | | | | | |
| 8 | Fruiting duration | 2.605 | 137.21** | 2.015 | | | | | | |
| 9 | Days to final picking | 8.753 | 208.33** | 7.253 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Number of flower cluster per plant | 0.168 | 3.02** | 0.454 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Number of pods per cluster | 7.875 | 19.339 | 11.703 | | | | | | |
| 12 | Pod length | 6.243 | 9.078 | 7.829 | | | | | | |
| 13 | 10 Pod weight | 23.986 | 127.12** | 12.9 | | | | | | |
| 14 | Percentage of pod setting per cluster | 188.61 | 201.35** | 64.801 | | | | | | |
| 15 | Number of seeds per pod | 0.366 | 7.116* | 3.771 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Green pod yield per plant | 340.33 | 141.09** | 76.042 | | | | | | |
| *Significant | at 5% level of significant | | | | | | | | | |
| ** Significar | t at 1% level of significant | | | | | | | | | |

| Table 2: Analysis of variance for yield and its components in cowpea genotype | pes |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

| Table 3: Mean performance | e of cowpea genotypes |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|---------------------------|-----------------------|

| Genotype | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------------|------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Local 1 | 4.33 | 50.91 | 5.65 | 8.80 | 50.00 | 75.00 | 83.00 | 87.33 | 105.00 | 2.20 | 17.47 | 12.91 | 35.47 | 47.53 | 72.01 | 12.92 | Light | Dark |
| Local 2 | 4 33 | 44 77 | 4 87 | 7.66 | 52.00 | 73 33 | 84 33 | 85 33 | 108.67 | 3.28 | 16.60 | 14 52 | 30.42 | 39.51 | 67.25 | 13.91 | purple purple | green Light green |
| Local 3 | 5 33 | 50.07 | 3 33 | 4.50 | 53 33 | 78.00 | 82 67 | 89.33 | 104.33 | 1.27 | 15.36 | 13 35 | 40.38 | 43.03 | 64.22 | 10.12 | Light | Dark green |
| | 5.55 | 50.07 | 5.55 | 4.50 | 55.55 | 78.00 | 02.07 | 07.55 | 104.55 | 1.27 | 15.50 | 15.55 | 40.30 | 45.05 | 04.22 | 10.12 | purple | Dark green |
| Local 4 | 7.33 | 48.86 | 3.87 | 7.77 | 61.67 | 86.33 | 89.33 | 94.67 | 109.67 | 1.15 | 20.67 | 11.90 | 45.45 | 63.02 | 72.39 | 11.81 | purple | Dark green |
| Red Seed Local 5 | 7.33 | 50.35 | 5.84 | 8.31 | 57.00 | 82.00 | 86.67 | 92.00 | 106.33 | 1.56 | 19.76 | 13.83 | 45.26 | 62.37 | 68.10 | 12.20 | Red | Dark green |
| Black Seed Local 6 | 5.67 | 64.39 * | 5.41 | 7.54 | 53.33 | 82.00 | 88.00 | 93.67 | 106.00 | 1.95 | 19.40 | 10.73 | 25.86 | 61.37 | 64.90 | 12.21 | Black | Dark green |
| Local 7 | 6.00 | 47.88 | 4.06 | 6.69 | 56.33 | 81.00 | 91.00 | 94.67 | 106.33 | 1.87 | 23.09 | 12.90 | 40.78 | 69.44 | 58.66 | 11.08 | Light purple | Dark green |
| KESLI 1 | 5.00 | 59.32 | 7.88 | 9.51 | 53.00 | 78.00 | 86.00 | 88.00 | 105.00 | 3.60 | 19.93 | 13.45 | 38.50 | 58.65 | 77.06 | 10.02 | Light purple | Light green |
| KESLI 2 | 9.33 | 52.22 | 7.58 | 9.77 | 60.33 | 85.33 | 87.00 | 92.00 | 108.00 | 4.16 * | 21.35 | 14.19 | 39.30 | 62.35 | 65.96 | 11.42 | Light purple | Light green |
| KESLI 3 | 8.00 | 54.04 | 6.77 | 7.44 | 45.67 | 74.33 | 81.00 | 83.00 | 98.00 | 2.52 | 19.27 | 15.70 | 33.85 | 61.41 | 64.59 | 14.06 * | Light purple | Light green |
| KESLI 4 | 6.67 | 51.90 | 8.85 | 9.84 | 54.67 | 83.33 | 87.00 | 92.33 | 110.00 | 3.34 | 20.93 | 18.01 | 35.00 | 54.33 | 79.78 * | 12.99 | Light purple | Light green |
| KESLI 5 | 7.67 | 52.75 | 5.13 | 7.28 | 61.00 | 85.00 | 92.33 | 91.67 | 113.00 | 1.39 | 17.73 | 13.87 | 33.36 | 66.45 | 71.99 | 10.28 | white | Light green |
| KESLI 6 | 9.33 | 52.98 | 6.90 | 8.43 | 67.33 | 93.00 | 98.00 | 100.67 | 122.00 | 0.72 | 23.26 | 11.81 | 51.12 | 71.95* | 61.47 | 7.64 | white | Light green |
| KESLI 7 | 5.67 | 50.90 | 6.13 | 9.39 | 54.00 | 79.67 | 84.00 | 88.00 | 108.33 | 2.03 | 19.40 | 11.86 | 40.22 | 61.91 | /9.44 * | 9.28 | white | Light green |
| KESLI 8 | 10.67 | 50.30 | 5.91 | 7.18 | 66.67 | 91.00 | 95.33 | 95.67 | 122.67 * | 1.41 | 18.17 | 11.98 | 41.00 | 62.36 | 68.88 | 10.65 | Light purple | Light green |
| KESLI 9 | 10.33 | 50.40 | 6.08 | 7.62 | 65.00 | 92.33 | 98.00 | 102.67 | 118.00 | 2.09 | 20.87 | 14.33 | 39.53 | 58.79 | 69.72 | 11.58 | white | Light green |
| BARDWAR 1 | 11.00 | 41.21 | 8.60 | 9.49 | 72.67 | 94.67 | 100.00 | 105.33 * | 121.67 | 1.84 | 17.94 | 15.20 | 32.09 | 50.07 | 76.38 | 13.28 | white | Light green |
| BARDWAR 2 | 4.67 | 38.53 | 4.61 | 6.81 | 48.33 | 66.33 | 65.33 | 81.00 | 97.33 | 1.36 | 19.50 | 12.71 | 32.90 | 51.87 | 72.28 | 10.52 | Light purple | Black |
| BARDWAR 3 | 8.00 | 51.85 | 8.74 | 11.26 | 62.33 | 89.33 | 95.00 | 93.33 | 106.00 | 2.29 | 18.91 | 14.60 | 33.56 | 51.99 | 69.24 | 12.45 | Light purple | Black |
| BARDWAR 4 | 4.33 | 62.74 | 8.91 | 11.03 | 49.67 | 73.00 | 81.67 | 82.00 | 97.67 | 2.03 | 19.53 | 14.81 | 38.01 | 54.49 | 56.96 | 12.78 | Light purple | Black |
| BARDWAR 5 | 8.67 | 40.45 | 8.21 | 9.17 | 57.00 | 81.00 | 87.67 | 84.67 | 100.00 | 1.95 | 20.38 | 13.08 | 39.65 | 63.98 | 66.25 | 10.70 | Light purple | Black |
| NANDGHAT 1 | 9.00 | 47.61 | 7.28 | 8.97 | 59.33 | 85.67 | 87.33 | 91.00 | 104.00 | 1.35 | 22.64 | 10.51 | 43.20 | 71.48 | 61.18 | 9.32 | Light purple | Black |
| NANDGHAT 2 | 11.00 | 57.08 | 6.98 | 9.77 | 68.00 | 91.33 | 95.00 | 98.00 | 122.00 | 1.98 | 27.54 | 11.39 | 52.85* | 68.06 | 79.77 * | 10.80 | Light purple | Black |
| HATHABANDH | 5.00 | 53.08 | 7.91 | 9.62 | 49.00 | 72.67 | 83.33 | 89.33 | 98.00 | 2.23 | 22.98 | 11.58 | 47.76 | 64.66 | 63.76 | 9.49 | Light purple | Greenish red |
| BHAKHARA | 7.00 | 49.32 | 6.71 | 8.43 | 66.00 | 92.33 | 96.67 | 99.33 | 121.33 | 1.98 | 19.68 | 10.37 | 49.42 | 59.66 | 57.38 | 10.08 | Light purple | Dark green |
| B. BAZAR | 12.33 * | 56.85 | 8.36 | 10.32 | 77.00 * | 103.67 * | 110.00 * | 107.33 * | 112.67 | 2.82 | 20.13 | 14.33 | 39.72 | 51.77 | 57.94 | 11.42 | white | Dark green |

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

| KAWARDHA | 8.67 | 56.99 | 9.66 * | 11.68 * | 64.67 | 87.33 | 95.33 | 92.33 | 121.67 | 2.16 | 18.38 | 13.31 | 34.53 | 56.17 | 64.22 | 10.62 | white | Greenish red |
|--|-------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------|--|------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| CV | 13.22 | 13.92 | 18.5 4 | 13.33 | 3.04 | 2.54 | 2.28 | 1.54 | 2.46 | 19.9 8 | 17 | 21.15 | 9.16 | 13.68 | 12.85 | 17.27 | - | - |
| SEm | 0.99 | 51.20 | 1.53 | 1.34 | 3.18 | 4.50 | 4.14 | 2.02 | 7.25 | 0.18 | 11.70 | 7.83 | 12.9 | 64.80 | 76.04 | 3.77 | - | - |
| CD @ 1% | 2.17 | NS | 2.70 | 2.53 | 3.90 | 4.63 | 4.44 | 3.10 | 5.88 | 0.91 | NS | NS | 7.84 | 17.58 | NS | NS | - | - |
| CD @ 5% | 1.63 | 11.73 | 2.03 | 1.90 | 2.92 | 3.48 | 3.33 | 2.33 | 4.41 | 0.69 | NS | NS | 5.87 | 13.19 | 14.29 | 3.18 | - | - |
| 1. Days to germination 2. | | 2. Plant height (cm) | | | | Numl branche | ber of pi s | imary | | 4. Numb | er of sec | ondary bra | inches | 5. Days to first flowering | | | | |
| 6. Days to 50% flowering 7. Days to first fruiting | | | | 8. Fruiting duration | | | | 9. Days | vicking | | 10. Number of flower cluster per plant | | | | | | | |
| 11. Number of pods per cluster 12. Pod length (cm) | | | | 13. 10 Pod weight (g) | | | | 14. Perco cluster | f pod settin | g per | 15. Green pod yield per plant | | | | | | | |
| 16. Number of seeds per pod 17. Flower colour 1 | | | | | 18. Pod colour | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4: Genetic parameters of variation for yield and its component characters in cowpea genotype

| Character | Ra | ange | Maan | Coefficient | of variation | Hanitability | C A | Genetic advance |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|
| Character | Min. | Max. | Mean | G.C.V. | P.C.V. | Heritability | G.A. | as % of mean |
| Days to germination | 4.33 | 12.33 | 7.51 | 30.34 | 33.10 | 84.04 | 4.30 | 57.30 |
| Plant height | 38.53 | 64.39 | 51.40 | 8.54 | 16.33 | 27.35 | 4.73 | 9.20 |
| Primary Branches | 3.33 | 9.66 | 6.68 | 23.35 | 29.82 | 61.32 | 2.51 | 37.66 |
| Secondary Branches | 4.50 | 11.68 | 8.68 | 16.65 | 21.32 | 60.94 | 2.32 | 26.77 |
| Days to 1st Flowering | 45.67 | 77.00 | 58.72 | 13.36 | 13.70 | 95.08 | 15.75 | 26.83 |
| Days to 50% flowering | 66.33 | 103.67 | 83.59 | 9.95 | 10.27 | 93.89 | 16.60 | 19.85 |
| Days to 1st fruiting | 65.33 | 110.00 | 89.30 | 9.25 | 9.52 | 94.28 | 16.52 | 18.50 |
| Fruiting duration | 81.00 | 107.33 | 92.40 | 7.27 | 7.43 | 95.72 | 13.53 | 14.64 |
| Days to final picking | 97.33 | 122.67 | 109.4 | 7.48 | 7.88 | 90.24 | 16.02 | 14.65 |
| Number of flower cluster per plant | 2.00 | 4.18 | 2.09 | 27.00 | 33.42 | 65.29 | 1.54 | 44.95 |
| Number of pods per cluster | 15.36 | 27.54 | 20.03 | 7.93 | 18.76 | 17.86 | 1.39 | 6.90 |
| Pod length | 10.37 | 18.01 | 13.23 | 4.88 | 21.70 | 5.05 | 0.30 | 2.26 |
| 10 Pod weight | 25.86 | 52.85 | 39.23 | 15.73 | 18.20 | 74.69 | 10.99 | 28.00 |
| Percentage of pod setting per cluster | 39.51 | 71.95 | 58.84 | 11.47 | 17.85 | 41.26 | 8.93 | 15.17 |
| Number of seeds per pod | 7.64 | 14.06 | 11.25 | 9.39 | 19.66 | 22.82 | 1.04 | 9.24 |
| Green pod yield per plant | 56.96 | 79.78 | 67.84 | 6.86 | 14.57 | 22.19 | 4.52 | 6.66 |

Table 5: Genotypic path coefficient analysis for fruit yield & its components in cowpea genotype

| Parameter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|--|--------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--|------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|---|--------|
| 1 | 4.301 | -0.129 | 1.377 | 0.896 | 4.046 | 4.024 | 3.562 | 3.484 | 3.011 | -0.039 | 0.938 | 0.021 | 1.149 | 1.117 | -0.408 | -0.066 |
| 2 | -0.436 | 4.729 | 2.607 | 3.274 | -0.445 | 1.415 | 2.479 | 0.946 | 0.15 | 1.573 | 0.318 | 0.459 | -0.161 | 1.598 | -0.398 | -1.425 |
| 3 | 1.103 | 0.618 | 2.514 | 2.458 | 0.945 | 1.03 | 1.168 | 0.469 | 0.379 | 0.858 | 0.504 | 0.605 | -0.044 | -0.056 | 0.137 | -0.332 |
| 4 | 0.667 | 0.722 | 2.285 | 2.323 | 0.787 | 0.799 | 0.996 | 0.336 | 0.341 | 0.587 | 0.58 | 0.401 | 0.078 | 0.118 | 0.193 | -0.126 |
| 5 | 13.099 | -0.426 | 3.817 | 3.42 | 15.754 | 15.247 | 14.389 | 14.403 | 12.881 | -1.482 | 2.28 | -0.58 | 4.577 | 2.226 | -2.226 | -0.471 |
| 6 | 13.896 | 1.446 | 4.441 | 3.704 | 16.265 | 16.596 | 15.946 | 15.804 | 13.225 | -0.305 | 2.813 | -0.549 | 4.691 | 3.257 | -1.804 | -0.742 |
| 7 | 12.192 | 2.512 | 4.993 | 4.579 | 15.215 | 15.805 | 16.517 | 15.116 | 12.544 | -0.239 | 1.734 | -0.245 | 3.798 | 2.225 | -1.086 | -1.673 |
| 8 | 9.622 | 0.773 | 1.617 | 1.245 | 12.287 | 12.638 | 12.196 | 13.529 | 10.297 | -1.284 | 2.114 | -0.883 | 3.822 | 1.778 | -1.58 | -0.466 |
| 9 | 10.445 | 0.154 | 1.64 | 1.589 | 13.802 | 13.284 | 12.712 | 12.934 | 16.021 | -0.193 | 1.133 | -1.323 | 4.263 | 1.819 | -2.303 | 1.708 |
| 10 | -0.018 | 0.214 | 0.493 | 0.363 | -0.211 | -0.041 | -0.032 | -0.214 | -0.026 | 1.539 | -0.063 | 0.676 | -0.735 | -0.216 | 0.39 | 0.386 |
| 11 | 1.425 | 0.143 | 0.956 | 1.183 | 1.07 | 1.237 | 0.77 | 1.163 | 0.496 | -0.209 | 1.389 | -0.959 | 2.594 | 3.403 | -1.174 | -0.211 |
| 12 | 0.024 | 0.157 | 0.872 | 0.623 | -0.207 | -0.184 | -0.083 | -0.37 | -0.441 | 1.696 | -0.73 | 0.299 | -1.429 | -1.232 | 1.794 | 0.34 |
| 13 | 3.301 | -0.137 | -0.159 | 0.302 | 4.063 | 3.904 | 3.188 | 3.977 | 3.531 | -4.587 | 4.907 | -3.554 | 10.986 | 5.709 | -5.513 | -1.128 |
| 14 | 4.722 | 1.999 | -0.296 | 0.672 | 2.907 | 3.987 | 2.748 | 2.721 | 2.217 | -1.982 | 9.47 | -4.505 | 8.398 | 8.927 | -7.437 | -1.618 |
| 15 | -0.363 | -0.105 | 0.152 | 0.23 | -0.612 | -0.465 | -0.282 | -0.509 | -0.591 | 0.754 | -0.688 | 1.381 | -1.707 | -1.565 | 1.039 | 0.299 |
| 16 | -0.262 | -1.678 | -1.651 | -0.673 | -0.579 | -0.855 | -1.945 | -0.672 | 1.959 | 3.334 | -0.551 | 1.169 | -1.563 | -1.523 | 1.338 | 4.518 |
| 1. Days to germination 2 | | | 2. Plant height (cm) | | | 3. Number of primary branches | | | 4. Number of secondary branches | | | 5. Days to first flowering | | | Days to 5 flowering | 0% |
| 7. Days to first fruiting 8. Fruiting duration | | | 1 | 9. Days to final picking | | | 10. Number of flower cluster per plant | | | Number of pods per cluster | | | 12. Pod leng | gth (cm) | | |
| 13. 10 Pod weight (g) | | | 14. Percer setting per | tage of p cluster | ood | 15. Num | ber of seed | ls per pod | 16. Green | n pod yield | per plant | | | | | |

Conclusion

According to the findings of the current study, the cowpea genotypes under consideration exhibited considerable genetic heterogeneity. Kesli-4, Nandghat-2, and Kesli-7 genotypes are thought to be acceptable genotypes for Raipur's climatic conditions based on mean performance for pod yield. Analysis of variance showing significant difference for all the characters under study, indicates that there was ample scope for selection of promising genotypes for yield improvement. Pod yield per plot was recorded high estimates of GCV and PCV, Days to first flowering was recorded for high heritability, pod yield per plant and number of seeds per pod showing higher range of genetic advancement. Character association will provide the information on the positive or negative association of the characters with the yield. The characters which are highly significant and positively correlated with yield help to increase in the yield. Path coefficient analysis will also provide the information on the direct and indirect effect of 21 characters on yield. The characters of cowpea which having high positive and direct effect on yield, having high weightage during selection. Wide range of genetic divergence was noticed among the studied 27 genotypes of cowpea, and the genotypes included in cluster I and II are identified as distant genotypes. Hence, these genotypes can be utilized as parents in further hybridization programme.

References

- 1. Burton GW, Dewane EM. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Fistula arundanaceae*) from replicated clonal material. Agron J. 1953;48:478-481.
- Chattopadhyay A, Dasgupta T, Hazra P, Som MG. Estimation of genetic parameters in parental, F1 and F2 generations of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. Indian Agriculturist. 1997;41:53-59.
- Darlington CD, Wylie AP. Chromosome Atlas of Flowering Plants. George Allen & Unwin Ltd. London, 1953.
- 4. Dewey DR, Lu K. A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. Agronomy journal. 1959;51(9):515-8.
- 5. Goulden CH. Methods of Statistical Analysis. John Wiley and sons, Inc., New York, 1959.
- 6. Jain HK. Development of high yielding varieties of pulses; perspective, possibility and experimental workshop on grain legumes held at ICRISAT, Hyderabad, India, 1975, 177-185.
- 7. Mackie WW, Smith FL. Evidence of field hybridization in beans. Amer. Soc. Agron. J. 1935;27:903-909.
- 8. Misra HP, Ganesh R, Jha PB, Ram G. Correlation and path coefficient studies for yield and attributing characters in cowpea. [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. Recent Hort. 1994; 1(1):61-67.
- Narayanankutty C, Mili R, Jaikumaran U. Correlation and path cmficient analysis in vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculara* L. Walp.). Indian J. Herr. 2003;60(3):257-261.
- Ng NQ, Marechal R. Cowpea taxonomy, origin and germplasm. In cowpea research, production and utilization. Singh, S.R.and Rachie, K.O. (eds) Wiley, New York, 1985, 11-12.
- 11. Nielson S, Ohler T, Mitchell. Cowpea leaves for human consumption, production, utilization and nutrient composition. In: Advances in cowpea research, 1997.
- 12. Pal AK, Morya AN, Singh B, Ram D, Kumar Sanjay, Kumar S *et al*. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cowpen (*Vignrr unguiculara* (L.) Walp). Orissa J. Hort. 2004;31(1):94-97.
- 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. Indian J. Genet. 1957;17(2):318-28.
- Rajaravindran R, Das LDV. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in vegetable cowpea. Madras Agric. J. 1997;84(11-12):702-703.
- 15. Resmi PS, Celine VA, Vahib MA. Genetic variability in yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* var. sesquipedulis L. Verdc). Legume Res. 2004;27(4):296-298.
- Robinson J. Definability and decision problems in arithmetic. The Journal of Symbolic Logic. 1949 Jun;14(2):98-114.
- Sawarkar NW, Poshiya VK, Pithia MS, Dhameliya HR. Genetics of pod yield and its components in cowpea. [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. G.A.U. Res. J. 1999;25(1):100-102.
- 18. Sivasubramanian S, Madhava Menon P. Genotypic and

phenotypic variability in rice. Madras Agric. J. 1973;60(9-12):1093-96.

- 19. Sreekumar L, Inasi KA, Antony A, Nair RR. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation studies in vegetable cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* var. sesquipedalis]. South Indian Hort. 1996;44(1-2):15-18.
- Tewari AK, Gautarn NC. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculara* (L.) Walp). Indian J. Hon. 1989;46(4):516-521.
- 21. Vardhan PNH, Savithramma DL. Variability, character association, path analysis and assessment of quality parameters in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] germplasm for vegetable traits. ACIAR, food legume Newsletter. 1998;28:7-8.
- 22. Vavilov NI. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronica Botanica*, 1949;13:1-54.
- 23. Wright S. Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res. 1921;20:257-287.