www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(6): 1868-1872 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 06-03-2022 Accepted: 09-04-2022

Smeer Meharania

MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Physiology, PGIVER, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

GS Gottam

Professor and Head, Department of Veterinary Physiology and Biochemistry, PGIVER, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Prachi Dhaka

MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Physiology, PGIVER, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Sushila Maida

MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Biochemistry, PGIVER, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Sandeep Kumar

MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Public Health, PGIVER, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author Smeer Meharania MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Physiology, PGIVER, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Haematological studies in cattle with foreign body syndrome

Smeer Meharania, GS Gottam, Prachi Dhaka, Sushila Maida and Sandeep Kumar

Abstract

A comparative evaluation was carried out to study the alterations in haematological profile in cattle with foreign body syndrome (N=30) in four phases. Phase-1 was the pre-rumenotomy phase while phase-2 (after 24 hour of rumenotomy), phase-3 (at 7th day of rumenotomy) and phase-4 (at 14th day of rumenotomy) were included under post-rumenotomy phases, respectively. Total erythrocyte count (TEC), total platelet count, lymphocytes percent, monocytes percent, eosinophil percent and PCV showed a highly significant decrease (P<0.01) whereas; total leucocytes count (TLC) showed a highly significant increase (P<0.05) while basophil percent showed a non-significant (P>0.05) variation in pre-rumenotomy phase (Phase-1).

Keywords: Cattle, foreign body syndrome, rumenotomy, haematological studies

Introduction

Foreign body syndrome (FBS) is a fairly common disease of cattle and buffaloes, especially in the developing countries. This disease is caused by ingestion of indigestible metallic and nonmetallic foreign objects. The foreign body syndrome produces overwhelming economic losses due to severe reduction in milk and meat production, treatment costs, potential fatalities and foetal losses in affected pregnant animals (Nugusu et al., 2013) ^[29]. This syndrome is more common in bovine than in small ruminants because they do not use their lips for prehension and are more likely to eat chopped feed (Misk and Semieka, 2001; Ashfaq et al., 2015) [27, 6]. Moreover, indiscriminate feeding habits, feed scarcity, industrialization and mechanization of agriculture are predisposing factors for FBS (Semieka, 2010)^[38]. The presence of foreign bodies in the rumen and reticulum hampers the absorption of volatile fatty acids, consequently leading to reduction in the rate of animal fattening (Igbokwe et al., 2003)^[18]. It may prove lethal because the bacteria and protozoa can contaminate the body cavity resulting in peritonitis and the heart and diaphragm may be punctured by the ingested object, causing their failure (Abu-Seida and Al-Abbadi, 2016)^[2]. Most of the area of Rajasthan is drought affected, as well as urbanization is also taking place on a large scale, due to which the availability of feed and fodder for the animals is not enough and there are the chances of increase in consumption of non feeding materials. Due to these reasons, the chances of finding of the foreign body in animals are increases. So, in this study, we aim to assess and compare the important physiological and haematological parameters attributing adaptable characters in foreign body syndrome in cattle with pre-rumenotomy and post-rumenotomy phases.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out on foreign body syndrome affected cattle (N=30) presented to Rural Veterinary polyclinic (Cow Rehabilitation Centre) Hingonia, Jaipur (Rajasthan). Blood samples were collected from jugular vein of cattle in the plasma separating tubes (PST) in four phases, Phase-1 was the pre-rumenotomy phase while phase-2 (after 24 hour of rumenotomy), and phase-3 (at 7th day of rumenotomy) and phase-4 (at 14th day of rumenotomy) were included under post-rumenotomy phases, respectively. The haematological parameters i.e. white blood cell count, Lymphocyte percent, Monocytes percent, Neturophil percent, Eosinophil percent, Basophil percent, Red blood cell count, Haemoglobin, Packed cell volume and Total platelet count were determined from the collected whole blood samples (EDTA vial) in all four phases by using Vet Spincell 5 Compact automated blood/ haematology analyzer (Vet Mode).

Results and Discussion

Table 1: Details of various Haematological parameter	rs recorded at different intervals i	n thirty cattle with	foreign body syndrome
--	--------------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

Parameters	Pre-rumenotomy Phase (Phase-1)	Post-rumenotomy Phase			
		Phase-2	Phase-3	Phase-4	
		(24 hour of rumenotomy)	(7 th day of rumenotomy)	(14th day of rumenotomy)	
TLC (10 ³ /µl)	24.19±0.53 ^b	25.60±0.42 ^a	18.82±0.47°	12.44±0.51 ^d	
TEC (10 ⁶ /µl)	6.15±0.41 ^a	6.03±0.44ª	8.70 ± 0.58^{b}	9.12±0.69 ^b	
Hb (g/dl)	7.82±0.43 ^{ab}	7.13±0.26ª	8.99±0.61 ^{bc}	9.89 ± 0.60^{d}	
N (%)	53.53±0.69 ^b	55.69±0.79 ^a	41.39±0.70°	36.06±0.73 ^d	
L (%)	42.35±0.66 ^b	40.00 ± 0.66^{a}	53.42±0.83°	57.61±0.71 ^d	
M (%)	1.52±0.15 ^a	1.59±0.13ª	2.14±0.26 ^b	2.60±0.16 ^b	
E (%)	2.26±0.16 ^a	2.37±0.15ª	2.63±0.16 ^a	3.30±0.14 ^b	
B (%)	0.34 ± 0.08^{a}	0.35±0.01ª	0.42±0.03ª	0.43±0.03ª	
PCV (%)	26.98±0.86 ^a	26.21±0.35 ^a	31.31±0.75 ^b	36.21±0.46°	
Platelet $(10^3/\mu l)$	163.30±0.99 ^a	161.60±0.92 ^a	184.06±1.17 ^b	227.66±0.73°	

Note: Mean comparison have been made within different phases.

Mean super scripted with different letters differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) from each other.

1. Total Leucocyte count (TLC)

The Mean \pm SE values of total leucocytes count (10³/µl) were measured as 24.19±0.53, 25.60±0.42, 18.82±0.47 and 12.44±0.51 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. Marked leucocytosis was observed in all cases in pre-rumenotomy phase (phase-1), which became more severe on phase-2 (24 hour of rumenotomy) ascribed to the inflammation and stress caused by surgery. The mean total leucocyte count was recorded with high-significant (P<0.01) difference before the rumenotomy in Phase-1, It could be in response to stress by inflammation and infections. The leucocytes cell distribution is affected by breed, temperature, environmental as well as body's demand and health status (Mbassa and Poulsen, 1993) ^[26]. These findings was well in accordance with Fani et al. (2019) ^[13] in cattle, Dodia et al. (2014) ^[12] in cattle with plastic foreign body, Gokce et al. (2007)^[14] in cattle with TRP, Reddy et al. (2014)^[34] in cattle, Singh et al. (2008) ^[40] in buffaloes with foreign body syndrome, Hussein et al. (2021) [17] in cow, Akraiem and Abd Al-Galil (2016)^[5] in cattle with plastic impaction. The result of our study was contradicted with Vanitha et al. (2010)^[44] in cattle, Suthar et al. (2019) [41] in Kuchchhi camel with foreign body syndrome and Otsyina et al. (2018) [30] in sheep and goat with ruminal plastic bag impaction. According to Otsyina et al. (2018)^[30], there was no infection or invasion by infective agent hence no physiological need for leucocyte production.

2. Total Erythrocyte Count (TEC)

The Mean \pm SE values of total erythrocyte count ($\times 10^{6}$ /cu.mm) were measured as 6.15±0.41, 6.03±0.44, 8.70±0.58 and 9.12±0.69 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. All the values of total erythrocyte count (RBC) were found within normal physiological limits during all the four phases of our study. The mean total erythrocyte count was recorded with highly-significant (P<0.01) difference before the rumenotomy in Phase-1, which was well in accordance with Gokce et al. (2007) [14] in cattle with traumatic reticuloperitonitis and Vanitha et al. (2010) [44] in cattle with indigestible foreign body. It may be due to dietary deficiency, presence of foreign bodies and sloughing, stunting, erosions, inflammatory response and the hyperplasia due to the pressure on the wall of rumen caused by the foreign bodies (Hailat et al., 1996)^[15]. However majority of authors reported mild erythrocytopenia or anaemia throughout the study period with ruminal foreign body viz., Kamalakar et al. (2021)^[20] in cattle, Akinrinmade and Akinrinde (2012b)^[4] in cattle, Akinrinmade and Akinrinde *et al.* (2012a) ^[3] in West African dwarf goat, Kumar and Dhar (2013) ^[23] in captive Sambar, Singh *et al.* (2008) ^[40] in buffaloes, Lakhpati *et al.* (2019) ^[24] in cattle, Dodia *et al.* (2014) ^[12] in cattle, Sadan *et al.* (2020) ^[37] in camels, Bakhiet (2008) ^[9] in sheep, Mozaffari *et al.* (2009) ^[28] in goat kid.

3. Haemoglobin

The Mean±SE values of haemoglobin (g/dl) were measured as 7.82±0.43, 7.13±0.26, 8.99±0.61 and 9.89±0.60 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. Haemoglobin concentration was recorded with significant (P<0.05) difference during the various periodic intervals of foreign body syndrome, which was well in agreements with Reddy et al. (2014)^[34] in cattle, Akinrinmade and Akinrinde (2012b)^[4] in cow, Khalphallah et al. (2017) [22] in buffaloes, Akinrinmade and Akinrinde (2012a)^[3] in West African dwarf goats, Kumar and Dhar (2013)^[23] in captive Sambar, Abdelaal and El-Maghawry (2014)^[1] in goat, Khalil et al. (2020) ^[21] in buffaloes and Kamalakar et al. (2021) ^[20] in cattle. It may be due to the poor nutrition, prolonged off feeding and gastrointestinal diseases (Radostits et al., 2006) ^[32]. Some other authors were also reported mild anaemia or lower haemoglobin concentration during the course of study with foreign body syndrome viz., Fani et al. (2019) [13], Vanitha et al. (2010)^[44], Singh et al. (2008)^[40] in buffaloes, Lakhpati et al. (2019) [24], Dodia et al. (2014) [12], Rouabah et al. (2017) ^[36] and Singh et al. (2015) ^[39]. The haemoglobin concentration was found within normal reference range in their study after the removal of foreign body by rumenotomy.

4. Neutrophil percent

The Mean±SE values of neutrophil% were observed as 53.53 ± 0.69 , 55.69 ± 0.79 , 41.39 ± 0.70 and 36.06 ± 0.73 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. Neutrophil percentage was recorded with high-significant (*P*<0.01) difference before the rumenotomy (Phase-1) which was well in accordance with Fani *et al.* (2019) ^[13], Lakhpati *et al.* (2019) ^[24] in cattle, Vanitha *et al.* (2010) ^[44] in cattle, Suthar *et al.* (2019) ^[24] in Kuchchhi camel, Singh *et al.* (2008) ^[40] in buffaloes and Raoofi *et al.* (2012) ^[33] in goat. The neutrophilia which was observed in all cases might be due to chronic irritation of the fore stomach wall (ruminal epithelium) by impacted indigestible foreign materials, leaving the wall exposed to secondary infection which resulted in infection (Hailat *et al.* 1996) ^[15].

5. Lymphocyte percent

The Mean±SE values of Lymphocyte% were measured as 42.35±0.66, 40.00±0.66, 53.42±0.83 and 57.61±0.71 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. Lymphocyte percent was recorded with high-significant (P < 0.01) difference before the rumenotomy (Phase-1), which was well in accordance with Lakhpati et al. (2019) [24] in cattle, Singh et al. (2008) [40] in buffaloes, Hussain and uppal (2012) ^[16] in buffaloes with rumen impaction, Vanitha *et al.* (2010) in cattle, Kamalakar et al. (2021) in cattle and Dodia et al. (2014) [12] in cattle. Decrease of lymphocyte in case of foreign body syndrome might be due to release of corticosteroid as a result of stress. However, Athar et al. (2010)^[8] in bovines and Boodur et al. (2008)^[11] in bovines found normal lymphocyte count even before rumenotomy. Post-operative antibiotic and anti-inflammatory therapy made the values reach to near normal by the end of study period.

6. Monocyte percent

The Mean±SE values of monocyte% were measured as 1.52±0.15, 1.59±0.13, 2.14±0.26 and 2.60±0.16 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. Monocyte percentage was recorded with highly-significant (P < 0.01) difference before the rumenotomy (Phase-1), which was well in accordance with Fani et al. (2019) [13] in cattle with foreign body syndrome and Vanitha et al. (2010) [44] in cattle with indigestible foreign body. Monocyte percentage and count founds within normal physiological limits in their study including pre-operative and post-operative stages. The result of our study was opposite to Kamalakar et al. (2021)^[20] in cattle with plastic impaction. At the end of the study periods, he had recorded severe monocytosis and the values had increased to high levels. He assured that pre-surgical monocytotosis could be accredited to chronicity of the inflammation formed by the presence of plastics in rumen. Generally, monocytes appear late in an inflammatory response and persist at increased levels until the response subsides (Vegad JL, 2008)^[45].

7. Eosinophil percent

The Mean±SE values of eosinophil% were measured as 2.26±0.16, 2.37±0.15, 2.63±0.16 and 3.30±0.14 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. Eosinophil percentage was recorded with high-significant (P < 0.01) difference before the rumenotomy (Phase-1), which was well in accordance with Vanitha et al. (2010)^[44] in cattle and Fani et al. (2019) ^[13] in cattle. The result of our study showed disagreement with Kamalakar et al. (2021) [20] in cattle with plastic impaction. He recorded eosinophils before surgery and on the 3rdday of surgery was significantly higher than those of end of the study, although all values were within physiological range. It might be due to rumenitis and or concurrent parasitism (Thorat, 1999) [43]. Exotoxin is a specific chemokine derived from leukocytes or epithelial cells that recruits eosinophils would have been the reason for eosinophilia initially (Vegad, 2008)^[45].

8. Basophil percent

The Mean \pm SE values of basophil% were measured as 0.34 \pm 0.08, 0.35 \pm 0.01, 0.42 \pm 0.03 and 0.43 \pm 0.03 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. As per the analysis of variance (ANOVA), basophil percent was recorded with non-significant (P>0.05) difference before the rumenotomy in Phase-1, which was well in accordance with

Fani *et al.* (2019) ^[13] in cattle, Vanitha *et al.* (2010) ^[44] in cattle, Singh *et al.* (2008) ^[40] in buffaloes and Lakhpati *et al.* (2019) ^[24] in cattle. The mean values for basophils percent was within normal physiological limits in all groups and statistically, they were non-significant (p>0.05) suggesting that the basophil count is unaltered by ruminal foreign bodies and other non-penetrating foreign bodies. However, mainstream of authors reported no basophil percent throughout the study period with foreign body rumen impaction *viz.*, Kamalakar *et al.* (2021) ^[20] in cattle, Otsyina *et al.* (2018) ^[30] in sheep and goat with plastic foreign body, Akinrinmade and Akinrinde (2012a) ^[3] in West African dwarf goat with foreign body impaction and Kumar and Dhar (2013) ^[23] in captive Sambar.

9. Packed Cell Volume (PCV)

The Mean±SE values of PCV (%) were measured as 26.98±0.86, 26.21±0.35, 31.31±0.75 and 36.21±0.46 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. All values of PCV were found within normal physiological limit in all four phases. The mean PCV was recorded with highlysignificant (P < 0.01) difference before the rumenotomy in Phase-1, which was well in harmony with Fani et al. (2019) ^[13] in cattle, Singh *et al.* (2008) ^[40] in bovines, Vanitha *et al.* (2010)^[44] in cattle and Tandia et al. (2021)^[42] in bovines. It could be due to inadequate dietary intake and dietary deficiency as a result of presence of foreign materials in rumen, anaemia, hampered digestion process and reduced feed conversion ratio. The result of our study was contradicted to Hussain and Uppal (2012) ^[16] in buffaloes, Otsyina et al. (2018) ^[30] in sheep and goat, Asopa et al. (2019) ^[7] in camel, Gokce et al. (2007) ^[14] in cow, Akraiem and Abd Al-Galil (2016)^[5] in cattle, Behera et al. (2013)^[10] in cow, Lotlikar et al. (2020) [25] in Dogs, and Reddy et al. (2014) ^[34] in cattle. The increased PCV might be due to dehydration associated with fluid loss due to reduction of food and water intake (Rosenberger, 1979)^[35] and it also may be due to contraction of spleen resulting from increased level of circulating catecholamine's (Jain, 1986)^[19].

10. Total Platelet Count

The Mean±SE values of total platelet count $(10^3/\mu)$ were measured as 163.30±0.99, 161.60±0.92, 184.06±1.17 and 227.06±0.73 in Phase-1, Phase-2, Phase-3 and Phase-4, respectively. The mean total platelet count was recorded with highly-significant (*P*<0.01) difference before the rumenotomy in Phase-1, which was well in accordance with Gokce *et al.* (2007) ^[14] in cattle with traumatic reticuloperitonitis, Otsyina *et al.* (2018) ^[30] in sheep and goats with ruminal plastic impaction and Singh *et al.* (2015) ^[39] in buffaloes with foreign body syndrome. It could be due to viral and bacterial septicaemia and in chronic inflammatory diseases (Radostits *et al.*, 1994) ^[31].

Conclusion

Based on obtained findings in pre-rumenotomy and postrumenotomy phases, it can be concluded that foreign body syndrome affects haematological parameters in cattle. It has a profound impact on health status of cattle. This study can serve as a diagnostic means for the detection of foreign bodies in field conditions when correlated with the history and clinical signs.

References

- 1. Abdelaal AM, El-Maghawry S. Selected studies on foreign body impaction in goats with special reference to ultrasonography. Veterinary World. 2014;7:522-527.
- Abu-Seida AM, Al-Abbadi OS. Recent Advances in the Management of Foreign Body Syndrome in Cattle and Buffaloes: A Review. Pakistan Veterinary Journal. 2016;36(4):385-393.
- 3. Akinrinmade JF, Akinrinde AS. Hematological and serum biochemical indices of West African dwarf goats with foreign body rumen impaction. Nigerian Journal of Physiological Sciences. 2012a;27:83-87.
- 4. Akinrinmade JF, Akinrinde AS. Hematological, serum biochemical and trace mineral indices of cattle with Foreign Body Rumen impaction. International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances. 2012b;4(6):344-350.
- 5. Akraiem A, Abd Al-Galil ASA. Rumen impaction in cattle due to plastic materials. Journal of Veterinary Medical Research. 2016;23(1):9-14.
- 6. Ashfaq M, Razzaq A, Hassan S. Factors affecting the economic losses due to livestock diseases: a case study of district Faisalabad. Pakistan journal of agricultural sciences, 2015, 52(2).
- Asopa S, Vyas I, Dadhich H, Rani S, Mathur M, Joshi A, et al. Clinical studies of blood and serum parameters of impaction in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). Veterinary Practitioner. 2019;20(1):31-33.
- Athar H, Mohindroo J, Singh K, Singh T. Clinical, Hematobiochemical, radiographic and ultrasonographic findings in bovines with rumen impaction. Intas Polivet. 2010;11(2):180-183.
- 9. Bakhiet AO. Studies on the rumen pathology of Sudanese desert sheep in slaughter house. Scientific Research and Essays. 2008;3(7):294-298.
- 10. Behera SS, Nayak S, Hembram A, Behera M Pati S. Ruminal impaction due to indigestible foreign bodies in a cow and its surgical management. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2013;3(1):133-135.
- 11. Boodur P, Shivaprakash BV, Kasaralikar VR, Dilipkumar D. Rumen impaction in bovines with indigestible foreign bodies and its surgical and therapeutic management. Intas Polivet. 2010;11(2):184-188.
- 12. Dodia VD, Kelawala NH, Suthar DN, Sutaria P. Haematological and serum biochemical profile of cattle affected with plastic foreign bodies. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2014;64(10):1-2.
- 13. Fani F, Thorat MG, Upadhye SV, Kuralkar SV, Waghmare SP, Dhore RN, *et al.* Clinic-physiological and haemato-biochermical alterations in non-penetrating foreign body syndrome with references to the percentage of plastic in cattle. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2019;8(4):882-895.
- 14. Gokce HI, Gokce G, Cihan M. Alterations in coagulation profiles and biochemical and haematological parameters in cattle with traumatic reticuloperitonitis. Veterinary Research Communications. 2007;31(5):529-537.
- 15. Hailat N, Nouh S, Al-Darraji A, Lafi S, Al-Ani F, Al-Majali A. Prevalence and pathology of foreign bodies (plastics) in Awassi sheep in Jordan. Small Ruminant Research. 1996;24(1):43-48.
- Hussain SMIA, Uppal SK. Rumen impaction in buffaloes: A haemato-biochemical study. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2012;82(4):369-373.
- 17. Hussein HA, Hassan EH, Ibrahim A, Sadek AA, Müller

AE, Staufenbiel R. Evaluation of blood parameters, serum minerals profiles and rumen fermentation in dairy cows with foreign body syndrome in subtropics. Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds. 2021;24(2):237-249.

- Igbokwe IO, Kolo MY, Egwu GO. Rumen impaction in sheep with indigestible foreign bodies in the semi-arid region of Nigeria. Small Ruminant Research. 2003;49(2):141-146.
- 19. Jain NC. Schalm's veterinary haematology, 4th edition. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, 1986.
- Kamalakar G, Nagaraja BN, Suresh L, Sahadev A, Prakash N, Mahesh V. Haemato-biochemical alterations in cattle with plastic impaction. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(6):355-360.
- Khalil A, Zeineldin M, El Shemy M. Ultrasonography, Clinical and Haemato-biochemical Evaluation of Rumino-cutaneous Fistula in buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). Benha Veterinary Medical Journal. 2020;39(2):59-67.
- 22. Khalphallah A, Elmeligy E, Elsayed HK, Abedellaah BEA, Salman D, Al-Lethie ALA, *et al.* Ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool in egyptian buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) with traumatic pericarditis. International Journal of Veterinary Science and Medicine. 2017;5(2):159-167.
- 23. Kumar V, Dhar P. Foreign body impaction in a captive sambar (*Rusa unicolor*). Veterinary World. 2013;6:49-50.
- Lakhpati AS, Ambore BN, Wankhede GD, Patodkar VR, Digraskar SU, Suryawanshi RV, *et al.* Foreign body syndrome in cattle: an incidence and clinico-haematobiochemical changes. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(9):783-798.
- Lotlikar SD, Ulemale AH, Salvekar SP, Suryawanshi RV, Kawhale AH, Mhase PP, *et al.* Endoscopic retrieval of gastric foreign bodies in dogs-a clinical study of 14 cases. Haryana Veterinarian. 2020;59(2):256-259.
- 26. Mbassa GK, Poulsen JSD. Reference ranges for hematological values in landrace goats. Small Ruminant Research. 1993;9(4):367-376.
- 27. Misk NA, Semieka MA. The radiographic appearance of reticular diaphragmatic herniation and traumatic pericarditis in buffaloes and cattle. Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound. 2001;42(5):426-430.
- 28. Mozaffari AA, Olomi MM, Vosough D. Unusual and severe ruminal impaction in a goat-kid: Clinical and radiological findings. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Surgery. 2009;4(1-2):115-119.
- 29. Nugusu S, Ramaswamy V, Unakal C, Nagappan R. Study on foreign body ingestion and related complication in ruminants associated with inappropriate solid waste disposal in Gondar town, North West Ethiopia. Int. J Anim. Vet. Adv. 2013;5:67-74.
- Otsyina HR, Mbuthia PG, Nguhiu-Mwangi J, Mogoa EGM, Ogara WO. Effect of ruminal plastic bags on haematological and biochemical parameters of sheep and goats. Ghana Journal of Agricultural Science. 2018;53:5-16.
- Radostits OM, Blood DC, Gay CC. Veterinary Medicine: a textbook of the diseases of cattle, sheep, pigs, goats and horses, 8th Edition, Bailliere Tindall, 1994, 279-284.
- 32. Radostits OM, Gay C, Hinchcliff KW, Constable PD. Veterinary Medicine E-Book: A textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2006.
- 33. Raoofi A, Namjoo A, Karimi AH, Esfahani MA. A study of clinical signs, hematological changes and pathological

findings of experimental ingestion of soft foreign body (plastic rope) in goats. Small Ruminant Research. 2012;105(1-3):351-354.

- Reddy LSSVP, Reddy BS, Naik BR, Prasad CS. Haematological and clinical alterations with traumatic reticuloperitonitis in cattle. International Journal of Veterinary Science. 2014;3(4):203-205.
- Rosenberger G, Driksen G, Grunder HD, Grunert E, Krause D. Digestive system in: Clinical examination of cattle, 2nd edition. Paul Parey, Hamburg, Germany, 1979, 218-220.
- 36. Rouabah Z, Tlidjane M, Safsaf B, Mallem M, Meziane T. Hemato-biochemical profile in cattle with rumen impaction. Global Veterinaria. 2017;18(4):250-255.
- 37. Sadan M, El-Shafaey ES, Al-Sobayil F. Diagnosis and treatment of foreign bodies swallowing syndrome in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) with special reference to the role of mineral deficiency. Journal of Veterinary Medical Science, 2020, 1-21.
- 38. Semieka MA. Radiography of unusual foreign body in ruminants. Veterinary World. 2010;3(10):473.
- 39. Singh R, Ashok K, Sandeep K, Sandeep P. Studies on hematobiochemical, rumen and peritoneal fluid profile in buffaloes suffering from foreign body syndrome. Haryana Veterinarian. 2015;54(2):103-108.
- 40. Singh R, Garg S, Sangwan N, Gera S. Haematological profile of buffaloes suffering from foreign body syndrome. Haryana Vet. 2008;47:91-93.
- 41. Suthar DN, Mistry JN, Patel PB, Chaudhary SR, Patel JB. Diagnosing foreign body syndrome and evaluating its correlation to hemato-biochemical, ferroscopy and blood trypsin inhibitor spot test-a report in Kuchchhi Camels. Intas Polivet. 2019;20(2):447-450.
- 42. Tandia N, Singh N, Sangwan V, Mahajan SK. Evaluation of Clinico-haematological alterations in bovine affected with intestinal obstruction. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;SP-10(8):1211-1213.
- 43. Thorat MG. Comparative study of penetrating foreign body system in bovine. Ph.D. thesis, Dr. Punjabrao Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, India, 1999.
- 44. Vanitha V, Nambi AP, Gowri B, Kavitha S. Rumen impaction in cattle with indigestible foreign bodies in chennai. Tamilnadu Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences. 2010;6(3):138-140.
- Vegad JL. A textbook of Veterinary General Pathology. 2nd edition, International Book Distributing co., Lucknow, 2008.