www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(6): 556-560 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 06-03-2022 Accepted: 09-04-2022

B Subba

Discipline of Agricultural Entomology, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India

N Chaudhuri

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Regional Research Station, Terai Zone, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India

SK Senapati

Discipline of Agricultural Entomology, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India

Corresponding Author B Subba Discipline of Agricultural Entomology, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India

Evaluation of insecticides against jassid (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida) and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) on okra during *pre-kharif* season

B Subba, N Chaudhuri and SK Senapati

Abstract

The investigation on the evaluation of insecticides against jassid and fruit borer was conducted in the research farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibrar, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India during *pre-khairf* season of 2015-2016. Out of six insecticides evaluated, except Neem all other insecticides performed well at higher levels in suppression of pest infestation and percent reduction of damage. However, Imidacloprid (25g a.i/ha), Acephate (500 g a.i/ha), and Agniastra an organic insecticide (25 ml/L) proved better with respect to pest suppression as well as the yield of marketable okra. The highest yield was recorded from the Imidacloprid (25g a.i/ha) sprayed plots followed by Agniastra (25ml/L) i.e. 12.72 t/ha and 12.21 t/ha respectively. Although, Imidacloprid (25g a.i/ha), was found superior over all insecticides in all aspects, but considering, the health and production of chemical-free export produce, Agniastra (25ml/L) may be preferred by sacrificing a little yield.

Keywords: Evaluation, insecticides, jassid, fruit borer, okra, pre-kharif

Introduction

Okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* L. (Moench) belongs to family Malvacea, commonly known as bhindi is one of the important vegetable crops grown in tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world (Pandita *et al.*, 2010) ^[1]. Okra has occupied a prominent position among the exportoriented vegetables in India because of its high nutritive value, palatability, and long self-life. Okra has tremendous export potential accounting for 60% of fresh vegetable export (Sharma and Arora, 1993) ^[2] and earns 30% exchange earnings from the export of vegetables next to the onion.

Like other crops, okra also suffers from several abiotic and biotic stresses of which damage due to insects and other pests is considered a major constraint. In all the stages of the crop, from seedling to harvesting, the okra is suffered from one or more pests. Pest incidence in okra is very high because all the pests attacking okra are polyphagous or oligophagous, getting a good number of host plants round the year. More than 72 number of insect-pest species attack the okra crop of which, the sucking pests like jassid (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida), aphid (*Aphis gossypii* Glover), whitefly (*Bemesia tabaci* Genn.) and mite (*Tetranychus cinnabarinus* Boisd.), fruit borers like *Earias vittella* (Fab.), *Earias insulana* (Bois) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub) are known to cause economic damage to the crop (Rao and Rajendran 2003; Chandra and Subhag, 2012; Birah *et al.*, 2012; Karthik *et al.*, 2015, Kumar and Sing, 2021, Mohammad *et al.*, 2018, Das *et al.*, 2011, Lohar, 2001) ^[3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. These insect-pests infest the crop throughout vegetative as well as reproductive stages causing ample reduction in yield (Satpathy and Rai, 1999)^[11].

The fruit borers alone were reported to cause damage to the extent of 3.5 to 90 percent to okra in different parts of the country (Srinivasan and Narayanaswamy, 1960; Rawat and Sahu, 1973^[13]; Krishnaiah *et al.*, 1976; Srinivasan and Krishnakumar, 1983; Chaudhary and Dadheech, 1989; Mandal *et al.*, 2006) ^[12, 17]. Krishnaiah (1980) ^[18] reported about 40 to 56 Percent loss in okra due to the leafhopper. There was a reduction of 49.8 and 45.1 percent in height and number of leaves, respectively due to the attack of leafhopper (Rawat and Sahu, 1973) ^[13]. It has already been mentioned that the okra crop whenever or wherever is grown, is highly attacked by insects and other pests which substantially decline the yield of fruit.

Due to the heavy pest-load tremendous volume of insecticides are used to manage the insectpests of okra. It is a matter of deep concern that fruits are plucked and marketed even after a day of spraying. Since the tender fruits of okra are taken after a little cooking, there is every possibility of retaining toxic residue in the foodstuff (Amjad et al., 2020) [19]. Moreover, during export, there is also the risk of rejection of the whole consignment due to the presence of pesticide residue. It is mention-worthy to note here that despite leading state in okra production sharing 18.4% of the total national production of okra, West Bengal has no share in export. Export is mainly restricted in some areas of Maharashtra. This is only due to lack of endeavor to produce export quality okra; mostly related to the production of chemical residue-free produce mainly concerned with the application of synthetic pesticides. This situation calls for the formulation of an ecologically safe, economically viable, and self-sustainable pest management strategy for okra under an organic production system for export-quality produce. Keeping in view present investigations were, therefore, carried out to evaluate the efficacy of microbial/organic/plantbased non-synthetic pesticides for safer health and environment.

Materials and Methods

The field experiments were conducted in 2015 and 2016 at the Instructional Farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India during the prekharif (15th February-14th May) seasons in 2 years. The experimental domain is situated in the terai region of West Bengal between 26° 19'86"N latitude and 89°23'53"E longitude at an elevation of 43.0 m above mean sea level and the land topography is medium upland having good drainage and irrigation facilities. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) consisting of 6 treatments and replicated 3 times. The 6 treatments were T1=Agniastra @ 25ml/L, T2=Neem @ 2.5g a.i. /ha, T3=Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha, T4=Acephate @ 500g a.i./ha, T5=Spinosad @ 80g a.i./ha, T6=Emamectin benzoate @ 25g a.i./ha and T7=Untreated. The variety arka anamika was grown directly in the plot size 5 X 3 maintaining spacing of 60 X 30 cm. Instead of application of NPK, jeewamrita was applied by diluting after the final tilth of land at afternoon hours and repeated at every 15 days interval. Three round of spray was done at 14 days interval to protect the crop from insect-pests infestation.

Preparation and application of indigenous microbial culture

The indigenous microbial culture, jeewamrita was prepared as the method followed by Palekar (2005)^[20]. The components of indigenous microbial culture were fresh 5 kg cow-dung, 5 l cow urine, 1 kg molasses, 1 kg chickpea dust (Gram), one hand full soil (from bund), 100 l Water. Fresh cow dung was kept and hanged in mosquito net in the drum containing 100 l of water in such a way that it may dip in. After 24 h, mosquito net containing cow dung was squeeze by hands within drum as much as possible and then cow urine, molasses, chickpea dust, handful of virgin soil were added. For next 3 days, the same is stirred thrice by a wooden or bamboo stick daily. The indigenous material was prepared after 4–5 days and was ready to use.

Preparation of organic pesticides

Organic pesticide was prepared by following the methods as designated agniastra by Palekar (2005)^[20]. The ingredients used for the preparation of organic pesticides were 3.5 kg neem leaves, 350 g garlic paste, 350 g green chili, 350 g tobacco powder and 10 l of cow urine. All the ingredients

were mixed in a bowl and boiled for 45 m to 1 h. After boiling it was kept in room for 48 h. The mixture was strained by cloth poured in the bottle which can be kept in bottle for 3 month in cool and dry place and became ready for use.

Data collection

Insect-pest data were collated at weekly intervals from 10 randomly selected plants. Jassid data was collected from 3 leaves/plants, each from the upper, middle, and lower canopy)/plant and average were made thereafter. Whereas, bored fruit were collected at each harvest and calculated in terms of damage fruits (in no.) and percent reduction of damage due to application of insecticides.

Yield

Data of okra yield were recorded from each plot that was weighed through electrical balance and later converted into t/ha.

Data analysis

The statistical data analysis was performed by SAS software (Ver. 9.2). One way anova was performed for each of the parameters and separation of the means was done using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5% significant level.

Results

Management of major insect-pests of okra

The synthetic insecticides acephate @ 500g a.i./ha and Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha proved their superiority over organic insecticides like Agniastra@ 25ml/Land Neem @ 2.5g a.i./ha as well as biologically originated ones like Spinosad @ 80g a.i./ha and Emamectin benzoate @ 25g a.i./ha in reducing the population of jassid and fruit borer infesting okra in respect to population-level.

Jassid (Amrasca biguttula biguttulla Ishida)

Data of pooled mean of two years after 1^{st} spray (Table 1) revealed that synthetic insecticides, Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha and Acephate @ 500g a.i./ha caused the highest reduction of the jassid, of 69.16 and 68.15% reduction respectively followed by Agniastra @ 25ml/L (61.97% reduction).

After 2^{nd} spray the best results was achieved from the synthetic insecticides namely, Acephate @ 500g *a.i.* /ha and Imidacloprid @ 25g *a.i.* /ha (72.68% and 71.39% reduction of the population respectively). This was followed by Agniastra @ 25ml/L providing 63.58% reduction of the jassid population. The lowest reduction of 30.94% was recorded from the Neem @ 2.5g *a.i.* /ha.

After 3^{rd} spray the highest percentage of reduction was obtained from Acephate @ 500g *a.i.* /ha and Imidacloprid @ 25g *a.i.* /ha (82.74 and 81.04% respectively), followed by Emamectin benzoate @ 25g *a.i.* /ha and Agniastra @ 25ml/L (72.75 and 71.79% reduction of population). The performance of plant-based insecticide Neem @ 2.5g *a.i.* /ha was found least in all the sprayings and accounted for only 26.93-48.44% reduction of jassid population.

Fruit borer (Earias vittella Fabricius)

Pooled data of two years after 1st spray (Table 1) revealed that all the insecticides except Neem @ 2.5g a.i. /ha performed well. The best results were obtained from Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha in respect of percentage of bored fruit and

reduction of damage (2.67% and 55.72% respectively) followed by Acephate @ 500g a.i./ha (2.85 and 55.24% respectively) and Agniastra @ 25ml/L (2.97% and 53.64% respectively). These three insecticides were found superior to all other insecticides under present studies. The highest percentage of bored fruit and the lowest percentage reduction was recorded from Neem @ 2.5g a.i. /ha (4.39% and 20.78% respectively).

After 2^{nd} spray the performance of Emamectin benzoate @ 25g a.i. /ha (55.24% reduction of damage) was found significantly superior to synthetic insecticide Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha (53.42% reduction) and Agniastra @ 25 ml/L (52.81% reduction). In the untreated plot, the fruit damage

percentage was 19.83%.

After 3^{rd} spray, the highest percentage of bored fruit and percent reduction of damage was obtained from Acephate @ 500g *a.i.*/ha (2.03% and 66.74% respectively) followed by Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha (1.91% and 64.96% respectively). However, the difference between the two insecticides is non-significant. Better results were also recorded from Agniastra @ 25 ml/L (1.75 and 54.05% respectively) which was statistically at par with Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha. However, Neem @ 2.5g *a.i.* /ha again performed poorly over all other insecticides (7.32% and 26.17% bored fruit and percentage reduction respectively).

 Table 1: Effect of insecticides on pest infestation and their percent reduction in damage during prekharif season: 2015-16

Treatments No./leafReduction $%-age$ $%-age$ of hruitReduction $%-age$ $No./leaf$ Reduction $%-age$ $No./leaf$ $Reduction$ $%-age$ $Ro./leaf$ $Reduction$ $M-age$ <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>														
Jassid Fruit borer Jassid Fruit borer Jassid Fruit borer (Ma) Treatments No./leaf Reduction %-age $^{\circ}$ -age of purit $^{\circ}$ -age of purit No./leaf Reduction %-age No./leaf No./leaf Reduction %-age No./leaf No./leaf		1.0				1 0				1 0				Yield
TreatmentsNo./leafReduction %-age%-age of pored fruitReduction %-ageNo./leafReduction %-age%- age of bored fruitReduction %-age%- age of bored fruitReduction %-ageReduction %-age%- age of hored fruitReduction %-ageReduction %-age%- age of horedReduction %-age%- age of horedReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-age%- age of horedReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction %-ageReduction hordReduction %-ageReduction hordReduction %-ageReduction hordReduction %-age <th colspan="2">~</th> <th colspan="2">/</th> <th colspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2"></th> <th>(t/ha)</th>		~		/										(t/ha)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		J				Ja	15510		1 501 01	Je	15510			(Pooled
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		No./leaf	Reduction	of bored	Reduction	No./leaf	Reduction %- age	age of bored	Reduction %- age	No./leaf	Reduction %- age	age of bored		two
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $														•
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0											-		12 . 21ba
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25ml/L	(1.99)	(51.92)	(9.92)	(47.09)	(1.87)	(52.88)	(/	(46.61)	(1.70)	(58.03)	(7.60)	(53.16)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T2=Neem @	6.48b	29.07e	4.39b	20.78e	10.55b	30.94f	12.54b	19.27e	7.39b	36.47f	7.32b	26.17e	8.62e
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2.5g <i>a.i./</i> ha	(2.64)	(32.63)	(12.09)	(27.12)	(3.32)	(33.79)	(20.74)	(26.04)	(2.81)	(37.15)	(16.70)	(30.77)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T3=Imidacloprid	3.25e	69.16a	2.67g	55.72a	3.51e	71.39b	5.26e	53.42b	1.36e	81.04b	1.91f	64.96b	12 . 72a
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	@ 25g <i>a.i./</i> ha	(1.94)	(56.27)	(9.40)	(48.29)	(2.00)	(57.66)	(13.25)	(46.96)	(1.36)	(64.45)	(7.94)	(53.70)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T4=Acephate @	3.25e	68.15b	2.85f	55.24a	3.53e	72.68a	6.17d	51.42d	1.36e	82.74a	2.03e	66.74a	12.18b
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	500g <i>a.i./</i> ha	(1.94)	(55.64)	(9.72)	(48.01)	(2.01)	(58.49)	(14.38)	(45.81)	(1.36)	(65.45)	(8.20)	(54.78)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T5=Spinosad @	4.56c	52.29d	3.32d	47.03d	6.28c	54.19e	6.94c	51.10d	3.51c	64.11e	2.92c	58.09ed	11.00d
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80g <i>a.i./</i> ha	(2.25)	(46.31)	(10.49)	(43.29)	(2.60)	(47.40)	(15.28)	(45.63)	(2.00)	(53.19)	(9.83)	(49.65)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	benzoate @ 25g													11 . 41c
	T7=Untreated		-		-		-		-		-		-	7.70f
LSD 0.022 0.4413 0.0278 0.7115 0.023 0.4157 0.2474 0.3242 0.0116 0.5373 0.0327 0.4320 0.3217	Pr>F	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	LSD	0.022	0.4413	0.0278	0.7115	0.023	0.4157	0.2474	0.3242	0.0116	0.5373	0.0327	0.4320	0.3217

Note: Figure in the parenthesis indicates square root transformed value in case of effect of pesticides on No./leaf of jassid and angular transformed value in the case of reduction percentage of jassid, percentage of bored fruit and reduction percentage of bored fruit; same letter in column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability

All the insecticides except Neem @ 2.5g a.i./ha gave significantly higher yield of okra over untreated check. The highest yield was recorded from Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha (12.72a) followed by Agniastra @ 25ml/L (12.21ba) and Acephate 75 SP @ 500g a.i./ha (12.18b). Other treatments, Emamectin benzoate @ 25g a.i./ha and Spinosad @ 80g a.i. /ha produced 11.41 and 11.00 t/ha yield respectively which stood 4th and 5th in position. Whereas untreated and Neem @ 2.5g a.i./ha recorded lowest yield recording 8.62 and 7.70 t/ha respectively.

Discussions

Under the present investigation after 3 rounds of spray with Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i./ha at 15 days interval reduced the population to 1.47 against 14.50/leaf in untreated control. Agarwal *et al.* (2010) ^[21] also reported that two sprays of imidacloprid 70 WG @ 40 g/ha rendered very good protection of crop against the early season sucking pests. Imidacloprid @ 5.0 ml/litre was the most effective against leaf hopper as per Dhanalakshmi and Mallapur (2008) ^[22].

In *pre-kharif* crop Agniastra @ 25ml/L, the botanical insecticide followed the synthetic one with 65.84% reduction with 2.41/leaf jassid population. This result is in conformity

with Jayakumar (2002) ^[23] who reported that NSKE + cow urine + garlic extract, chilli extract + cow urine, garlic extract + cow urine, neem oil + garlic extract and clerodendron extracts + Vitex negundo leaf extract were effective at par with oxydemeton methyl against leafhopper. According to Dhanalakshmi (2006)^[24] NSKE + garlic-chilliextract + kerosene (GCK) + cow-urine (CU) was most effective treatment which caused 77.80% reduction in the population of okra leafhopper. The registered protection from Neem @ 2.5g a.i. /ha was 32.25% in pre-kharif, leaving 8.00 jassid/leaf. Rosaiah (2001)^[25] also reported that NSKE (5 and 10%) and neem (0.5%) were less effective in reducing jassid population. Azadirachtin at the rate of 3g a.i. /ha was also reported to be non-effective against okra jassid at Orissa (Mishra, 2002) [26]. Jat and Jeyakumar (2006) ^[27] recorded 20.4, 34.4 and 42.5% reduction of jassid population with 1, 2 and 3% neem oil respectively. All the reports as discussed above are in agreement with the present investigation.

Three rounds of spray at an interval of 15 days, suppressed the fruit borer infestation at a higher tune (Table 1). In agreement to the present study Dhar and Bhattacharya (2015) ^[28] also recorded that imidacloprid 17.8% SL resulted in the lowest infestation of fruit borer as evident from the percentage of damaged fruits (5.58%) in Malda. Similar observations were also made by Kodandaram *et al.* (2010) ^[29] and Rajashekhar *et al.* (2016) ^[30] who reported lowest incidence of shoot and fruit borer due to the application of imidacloprid. On the contrary Dabhi *et al.* (2012) ^[31] added that the treatment with imidacloprid @ 25g a.i. /ha was less effective in reducing the fruit borer incidence. The results under the present investigation is at par with to Basavaraj *et al.* (2016) ^[32] were significantly maximum (57.58%) fruit damage/plant was recorded from imidacloprid 40g a.i/ha.

Under the present investigation Neem @ 2.5g a.i. /ha stood last among the treatments which only gave 22.08 with 8.08% damaged fruit. The findings of the present study were well supported by Ambekar *et al.* (2000)^[33] where various neem products were less efficient than synthetic pesticides against okra fruit borer and recorded 27.25, 28.38 and 29.58% fruit borer infestations respectively. Srivastava et al. (2014) [34] also recorded lowest protection with neem oil (27.31, 31.44 and 35.88%). The present study also supported Satpathy and Rai (1999) ^[11] where neem sprays at the rate of 2.5 ml/l were found less effective. Similar reports were made by Gupta and Mishra (2006) ^[35] where neem oil (0.5%) failed to provide effective decrease in Earias vittella incidence. However, results by Ghosh et al. (1999) [36] was found at par with the present study where it was observed that 39% damaged okra fruit upon application of neem which was the least among other pesticides.

Satya Prasad (2000) ^[37] observed the highest marketable yield (25.83t/ha) of okra with application of imidacloprid. The yield of okra fruits in treatment with imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found 11.63 kg/14.4m2 (Dhar and Bhattacharya, 2015) ^[28] and 36.65 t/ha (Aarwe *et al.*, 2017) ^{[38].}

The yield in untreated check was 4.83 t/ha (Chowdhary *et al.*, 2010) ^[39]; 2.37 t/ha (Aarwe *et al.*, 2017) ^[39]; 2.29 t/ha (Patil *et al.*, 2002) ^[40] which is much lower than the present result. In the present study, the yield in untreated plots was 7.70, 7.45 and 7.82 t/ha respectively.

It is well known that okra fruits are plucked at frequent intervals and fruits are used to take after a little cooking. Therefore, there is every possibility of retaining toxic residue in the food, particularly whenever, synthetic insecticides are applied. The present investigation was carried out under organic system of production with a specific objective. The basic principle of the present study was to find out efficacious insecticides from non-synthetic origin for producing export quality. It is noteworthy to mention here that the pest population levels were always become lower under such organic system of production which made easy to suppress the pest population at lower level with spraying of insecticides.

Despite the presence of various insect pests only jassid and fruit borer were considered as major ones because of their ability to cause economic damage. Activities of other pests were ignored due to their lower population level.

Analysis of results revealed that synthetic insecticides in general and Imidacloprid @ 25g a.i. /ha in particular gave excellent control. In few cases microbial based insecticides also provided better control. However, the efficiency of Agniastra @ 25ml/L could not be ignored. In most of the sprays over three seasons its position was next to imdacloprid 17.8 SL @ 25g a.i./ha or acephate75 SP @ 500g a.i. /ha or both. Most of the cases it provided better control than microbial-based insecticides. The most interesting feature of the results are the variation in effectiveness among the three

groups of insecticides were not highly rather statistically nonsignificant in most of the spray over seasons.

Unlike jassid, agniastra @ 25ml/L persistently proved better to suppress fruit borer infestation. Its position was next to Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 25g a.i. /ha or Acephate 75 SP @ 500g a.i. /ha or even Emamectin benzoate @ 25g a.i. /ha. However, the performance of neem@ 2.5g a.i. /ha was very less. Still, it could provide better control of jassid. These informations may be taken into account whenever a holistic pest management programme would be undertaken.

Conclusions

Considering all aspects of the present studies Agniastra @ 25ml/L might be selected for its better efficacy against the pest as well as better productivity. Further it better efficacy, also fits well under organic system of production for producing chemical-free export quality okra by sacrificing little yield which may be compensated from low cost inputs for raising the crop and management of pest.

Acknowledgement

The present article is a part of PhD thesis submitted by the first author and awarded by Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, India. First Author is grateful to Professor SK Senapti and Dr. Nilanjana Chudhuri for proper guidance.

Conflict of interest

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Pandita VK, Anand AS, Nagarajan RS, Sinha SN. Solid matrix priming improves seed emergence and crop performance in okra, Plant Physiology. 2010;38:665-674.
- Sharma BR, Arora SK. Improvement in okra. In: KL Chadha (ed.) Advances in Horticulture. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi. 1993;5:343-364.
- 3. Rao S, Rajendran R. Joint action potential of neem with other plant extracts against the leaf hopper *Amrasca devastans* (Distant) on okra. Pest Management and Economic Zoology. 2003;10:131-136.
- 4. Chandra M, Subhag SR. Pest complex of Okra and population dynamics under Bundelkhand region, Uttar Pradesh. Annals of Plant protection Science. 2012:20(2):3013-3017.
- 5. Birah A, Srivastava RC, Kumar K, Singh PK, Bhagat S. Efficacy of pest management practices against pest complex of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in Andaman. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012;82:470-472.
- Karthik P, Venugopal S, Murthy D, Lokesh S, Karthik G, Sharmila U. Bioefficacy, phytotoxicity, safety to natural enemies and residue dynamics of imidacloprid 70 WG in okra (*Abelmoschus esculenta* (L) Moench) under open field conditions. Crop protection. 2015;17:88-94.
- 7. Kumar R, Sing PP. Population dynamics of Shoot borer and fruit borer *Earias vittella* on okra. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2021:83(4):680-682.
- 8. Mohammad A, Alam SN, Miah MRU, Amin MR, Saif HB. Bio-Rational management packages of jassid and shoot and fruit borer of okra. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2018;43:323-332.
- 9. Das S, Pandey V, Patel HR, Patel KI. Effect of weather parameters on pest-disease of okra during summer season in middle Gujarat. Journal of Agro meteorology.

2011:13(1):38-42.

- Lohar MK. Biological control. In: Applied entomology Published by Dr. Kashif Raza under Kashif Publication, Hyderabad, 2001, 147-167.
- 11. Satpathy S, Rai S. Efficacy of different pesticide and their combinations against jassid and borer of okra. Vegetable Science. 1999:26(1):78-81.
- Srinivasan PM, Narayanaswamy PS. Experiments on the control of shoot and fruit borer, *Earias fabia* and *Earias insulana* on bhendi. Madras Agriculture Journal. 1960;60:601-602.
- 13. Rawat RR, Sahu HR. Estimation of losses in growth and yield of okra due to *Empoasca devastans* (Dist.). and *Earias* spp. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1973;35:252-254.
- Krishnaiah K, Tandon PL, Mathur AC, Mohan NJ. Evaluation of insecticides for the control of major insectpests of okra. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1976:46(4):178-186.
- 15. Srinivasan K, Krishnakumar NK. Studies on the extent of loss and economic of pest management in okra. Tropical pest management. 1983;29:367-370.
- 16. Chaudhary HR, Dadeech LN. Incidence of insects attacking okra and the available losses caused by them. Annals of Arid Zone. 1989:28(3):305-307.
- 17. Mandal SK, Sattar A, Sah SB, Gupta SC. Prediction of okra shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fab.) incidence using weather variables at Pusa, Bihar. International Journal agricultural Science. 2006:2(2):467-469.
- Krishnaiah K. Methodology for assessing crop losses due to pests of vegetable. Assessment of crop losses due to pests and diseases. Proceeding of Workshop held from Sept, 19-30, 1977 at U.A.S., Bangalore, 1980, 259-267pp.
- 19. Amjad A, Randhawa MA, Javed MS. Dietary intake assessment of pyrethroid residues from okra and eggplant grown in peri-urban areas of Punjab, Pakistan. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2020;27:39693-39701.
- Palekar S. The Philosophy of Natural Farming (Zero Budget of Natural Farming), First Edition, Ancient (Vedas) Agriculture Research, Development and Extension Movement. Lane No. 5, Namuna Peth, Amravati – 444601, Maharashtra, India, 2005, 240-244pp.
- 21. Aggarwal N, Jindal V, Singh V. Comparative efficacy of insecticides against sucking pest's complex in transgenic cotton. Pestology. 2010;8:46-49.
- 22. Dhanalakshmi DN, Mallapur CP. Evaluation of promising molecules against sucking pests of okra. Annals of Plant Protectio Science. 2008;16:29-32.
- 23. Jayakumar R. Survey of indigenous practices for the management of pests in Raichur district and evaluation of few practices against okra. M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Science. Dharwad (India), 2002.
- 24. Dhanalakshmi DN. Studies on storability and utilization of indigenous materials on okra pests. M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad (India), 2006.
- Rosaiah H. Performance of different botanicals against pest complex of bhendi (okra). Pestology. 2001:25(4):17-19.
- 26. Mishra HP, Dash DD, Mahapatro D. Efficacy of some

insecticides against okra fruit borer, *Earias* spp. and leafroller, *Sylepta derogata* (Fab.). Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2002:10(1):51-54.

- 27. Jat MC, Jeyakumar P. Bio-efficacy of botanicals and bioagens on sucking pests of cotton. Annals of Plant Protection Science. 2006:14(1):8-10.
- Dhar T, Bhattacharya S. Efficacy of imidacloprid and spinosad against pest complex of okra and tomato. International Journal of Bio-Resource, Environment and Agricultural Sciences. 2015:1(3):126-131.
- 29. Kodandaram MH, Rai AB, Halder J. Novel insecticides for management of insect pests in vegetable crops. A Review of Vegetable Science. 2010:37(2):109-123.
- Rajashekhar M, Ravinder Reddy, K, Raja Goud, CH, Sreenivasa Rao, CH, Manasa K. Study on validation of different ipm modules against bhendi shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vitella*. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2016:6(3):259-266.
- Dabhi MV, Koshiya DJ. Effect of abiotic factors on population dynamics of leafhopper *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* (Ishida) in okra. Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement. 2014:5(1):11-14.
- 32. Basavaraj, S. Lakkundi A, Channaveer swamy S. Evaluation of some insecticides against fruit and shoot borer, *Earias vittella* (fab.) In seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). 2016:11(1):373-376.
- Ambekar JS, Pawar AS, Sakhare MV. Bioefficacy of neem formulations and synthetic insecticides against okra fruit borer. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 2000:25(3):315-316.
- 34. Srivastava N, Srivastava AK, Mukerjee A, Gupta P, Singh SK. Efficacy of selected insecticides and neem oilagainst shoot and fruit borer (*Eariasvittella* Fab.) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) International Journal of Pharmacy and Life Sciences. 2014:5(12):4096-4099.
- 35. Gupta SC, Mishra AK. Management of okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* Fab. Through bio-rational insecticides. Pesticide Research Journal. 2006:18(1):33-34.
- 36. Ghosh J, Ghosh SK, Chatterjee H, Senapati SK. Pest constraints of okra under terai region of West Bengal. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1999:63(4):362-371.
- 37. Satya Prasad N. Efficacy of certain ready mix formulations of insecticides against pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). M. Sc. (Ag) thesis submitted to Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India, 2000.
- Aarwe R, Pachori R, Sharma AK, Kurmi A, Rangare NR. Efficacy and economics of different insecticides against *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017:5(2):429-432
- Chowdary LR, Bheemanna M, Ranjith KL. Bio-efficacy of rynaxypyr (Coragen) 20 SC against fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in okra. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2010:3(2):379-381.
- 40. Patil SD, Mote UN, Baviskar MR, Chaudhari PM. Efficacy of B.T., NSKE and cypermethrin alone, in mixture and as sequential sprays against fruit borer of okra. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2002:12(2):329-331.