www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(6): 318-321 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 10-02-2022 Accepted: 29-05-2022

Siddharth Kumar

Department of Horticulture, R.S.M (PG) College Dhampur, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh, India

SL Pal

Department of Horticulture, R.S.M (PG) College Dhampur, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ravi

Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, R.S.M (PG) College Dhampur, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh, India

Om Prakash

Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Om Prakash

Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of micronutrients on growth, Phsyco-chemical and yield parameters in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lucknow-49

Siddharth Kumar, SL Pal, Ravi and Om Prakash

Abstract

Guava orchard experiment was conducted at Narseedwala village, tehsil Dhampur, district Bijnor (U.P.) during 2020-21. The trail consisting of sixteen treatment *viz*; T₁ (Borax @ 0.1%), T₂ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3%), T₃ (Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₄ (Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₅ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3%), T₆ (Borax @ 0.1% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₇ (Borax @ 0.1% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₈ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₉ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₉ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Kerrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₉ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₂ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₃ (Borax @ 0.1% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₄ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₄ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₆ (Dorax @ 0.1% + Kagnesium sulphate @

Keywords: Guava cv.-Lucknow-49, borax, zinc sulphate, magnesium sulphate, ferrous sulphate

Introduction

Guava (Psidium guajava L.) belonged to family Myrtaceae is classified under genus Psidium, which contains 150 species, but only *Psidium guajava* has been exploited commercially. It is the native of Tropical America from where it was introduced in17th century in India by Portuguese people. After its introduction to India, it has adapted to India condition so well. Popularly known as 'Apple of Tropics', it is a tropical fruit but also grows very well under sub-tropical conditions. At present in India, it occupies nearly 268,000-hectare area with a production of 36.67 lakh tonnes and productivity 13.7 MT ha⁻¹ (NHB, 2014). Guava fruit is considered as one of the delicious and luscious fruit. Nutritive value of guava is very high. Therefore, it is an ideal fruit for nutritional security. Guava is one the cheapest and good source of vitamin C and pectin. Guava fruit contain 82.5% water, 2.45% reducing sugars, 2.33% non-reducing sugars, 0.48% ash, 260mg/100g pulp vitamin C as well as good amount of iron, calcium and phosphorus. The composition of guava fruit varies with cultivars, stage of maturity and season. Nutrients to the plant can be made available by the basal as well as by the foliar application. The foliar feeding of fruit tree has gained much importance in recent years, as nutrients applied through soil are needed in higher quantity because some amount leaches down and some become unavailable to the plant due to complex soil reactions. Foliar application is based on the principle that the nutrients are quickly absorbed by leaves and transported to different parts of the plant to fulfill the functional requirement of nutrition. This method is highly helpful for the correction of element deficiencies to restore disrupted nutrient supply, overcome stress factors limiting their availability and it plays important role in improving fruit set, productivity and quality of fruit and recovery of nutritional and physiological disorders in fruit trees.

Materials and Methods

Guava fruit orchard experiment was situated at Narseedwala Village, Tehsil -Dhampur, District Bijnor (U.P.). Guava orchard experiment was conducted during 2020-2021. Geographically it is situated between 290 18' 21" N latitude and 780 34' 25" E longitudes,

elevation of about 230 m above mean sea level. The climate of the area is tropical, sub humid, characterized by three well defined season viz. Monsoon, winter and summer, south west monsoon rain starts normally at third week of June to fourth week of September. The average annual rainfall in the area 905 mm. The detail of treatments were as T_1 Borax (0.1%), T_2 Zinc Sulphate (0.3%), T₃ Magnesium Sulphate (0.2%), T₄ Ferrous Sulphate (0.2%), T₅ Borax +Zinc Sulphate (0.1% + 0.3%), T₆ Borax + Magnesium Sulphate (0.1% + 0.2%), T₇ Borax + Ferrous Sulphate (0.1% + 0.2%), T₈ Zinc Sulphate + Magnesium Sulphate (0.3% + 0.2%), T₉ Zinc Sulphate + Ferrous Sulphate (0.3% + 0.2%), T₁₀ Magnesium Sulphate + Ferropus Sulphate (0.2% + 0.2%), T₁₁ Borax + Zinc Sulphate + Magnesium Sulphate + Ferrous Sulphate (0.1% + 0.3% +0.2% + 0.2%), T₁₂ Borax + Zinc Sulphate + Ferrous Sulphate (0.1% + 0.3% + 0.2%), T₁₃ Borax + Magnesium Sulphate + Ferrous Sulphate (0.1% + 0.2% + 0.2%), T₁₄ Zinc Sulphate + Magnesium Sulphate + Ferrous Sulphate (0.3% + 0.2% + 0.2%), T₁₅ Borax + Zinc Sulphate + Magnesium Sulphate (0.1% + 0.3% + 0.2%) and T₁₆ control (water spray) with pomegranate cultivar-Bhagwa. The statistical analysis of the data in respect of growth, yield and fruit quality attributes was done according to the standard procedure given for Randomized Block Design by panse and Sukhatme (1985). Critical difference was calculated at 5 per cent level of significance.

Results and Discussion

The Guava shoots were treated with micronutrients concentrations which significantly influenced after application of treatments. Highest annual shoot growth (59.00 cm) was observed under T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) which was statistically at par with treatment T₁₄ (Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2% + Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2% + Gorax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) having values 58.12 cm and 57.38 cm, respectively. Minimum annual shoot growth (35.03 cm) was observed under treatment T₁₆ (control).

Examination of data reveals that plant height was significantly affected by different micronutrient treatments during the course of study. The maximum increase in plant height (22.19%) was observed in T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) followed by T₁₄ (Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%), T₁₂ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum increase in plant height (11.66%) was recorded in T₁₆ (control).

It evident that the application of micronutrients and their combination they caused significant effect on increase in stem girth during the study period. The maximum increase in stem girth (15.78%) was recorded in T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%), which was statistically at par with T₁₄ (Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum increase in stem girth (8.67%) was recorded in T₁₆ (control).

It is evident that the application of different concentration of borax, zinc sulphate, magnesium sulphate and ferrus sulphate alone as well as their combination exerted a significant increase in plant spread in guava cultivar Lucknow-49 over the control. The maximum in plant spread (35.23%) was observed in T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) followed by T₁₄ (Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous @ 0.2%), T₁₂ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum increase in plant spread (18.23%) was recorded in T₁₆ (control).

The application of different micronutrients and their combination exerted a significant effect on increase in number of fruits/plant. The maximum number of fruits per plant (48.66) was recorded under treatment T_{11} (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) which was statistically at par with T_{14} (Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) and T_{12} (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%). The minimum number of fruits per plant (38.00) was recorded under treatment T_{16} (control).

The maximum fruit yield (10.64kg/tree) was observed under T_{11} (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous suphate @ 0.2%) which was statistically at par with T_{14} (Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium Sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) and T_{12} (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc Sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous Sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum fruit yield (5.22kg/tree) was recorded in treatment T_{16} (control).

The different micronutrients application exhibited a significant influence on fruit length during the course of study. Maximum fruit length (68.96 mm) was recorded in T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum fruit length (53.40 mm) was observed treatment T₁₆ (control).

The fruit breadth as influenced by different micronutrients application. The maximum fruit breadth (71.58 mm) was recorded in T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) which was statistically at par with (69.04 mm) T₁₄ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3@% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum fruit breadth (58.57 mm) was recorded under treatment T₁₆ (control).

The application of micronutrients exerted significant influence on fruit weight (g) during the course of study. The perusal of data reveals that different micronutrients application had a significant effect on fruit weight ranged from 212.46 g. $(T_{11}) - 131.82$ g. (T_{16}) . Maximum fruit weight (212.46 g) was recorded in T_{11} (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum fruit weight (131.82 g) was recorded in treatment T16 (control) which was lower significantly lower than all other treatments.

It is evident from the data that the application of micronutrients exerted a significant influence on total soluble solid contents of fruits. Maximum total soluble solids (11.85 ^oB) were recorded in fruits of the plant's treatment with T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrus sulphate @ 0.2%) which was statistically at par with (11.10 ^oB) T₁₄ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrus sulphate @ 0.2%) and (10.65 ^oB) T₁₂ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% +

Ferrus sulphate @ 0.2%) whereas, the minimum total soluble solids (7.85) were observed treatment T16 (control). The data on effect of different micronutrients and their combinations on titratable acidity indicate that the highest titratable acidity (0.53%) was recorded under T_{16} (control) which was statistically similar with treatment T_8 (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%). Minimum titratable acidity (0.32%) was found in treatment T_{11} (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2%) + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%).

The data analysis pertaining to total sugars indicate that different micronutrients application significantly affected of guava. Highest total sugars (7.60%) were registered in T_{11} (Borax @ 01.% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium

sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) followed by (7.10%) with T₁₄ (Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) and (6.60%) T₁₂ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%). While, lowest total sugars (4.96%) were recorded under treatment T₁₆ (control)

The application of micronutrients exerted significant influence on reducing sugars during the course of study. Highest reducing sugars (4.53%) was induced in fruits under T₁₁ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate @ 0.3% + Magnesium sulphate @ 0.2% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%) followed by (4.21%) T₁₂ (Borax @ 0.1% + Zinc sulphate 0.3% + Ferrous sulphate @ 0.2%). While, lowest reducing sugars (2.85%) was recorded with T₁₆ (control).

Table 1: Effect of micronutrients on growth, yield parameters in guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Lucknow-49

Treatments detail	Annual	Increase	Increase	Increase	Number of	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	тее	Tituatabla	Reducing	Total	Viold
	growth	height	girth	spread	fruits/plant	length	breadth	weight	155 (⁰ B)	acidity (%)	sugars	sugars	kg/tree
	(cm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	_	(mm)	(mm)	(g.)		-	(%)	(%)	_
T ₁ -Borax	41.58	15.95	9.63	31.08	43.00	57.08	60.32	155.18	9.86	0.45	3.29	5.58	6.94
T ₂ -Zinc sulphate	44.07	18.00	11.64	27.23	44.66	58.00	60.31	151.64	9.76	0.45	3.46	5.98	6.46
T ₃ -Magnesium sulphate	43.80	16.85	10.33	28.35	43.00	57.83	61.84	154.89	9.56	0.48	3.30	5.60	6.53
T ₄ -Ferrous sulphate	41.35	16.18	11.44	27.13	42.33	53.92	57.92	131.80	9.36	0.51	3.08	5.44	5.66
T5-Borax + Zinc sulphate	50.18	18.10	11.92	29.50	43.33	54.09	62.19	163.83	8.83	0.50	4.22	6.20	7.23
T ₆ -Borax + Magnesium sulphate	50.43	17.12	11.22	27.95	46.33	55.19	64.56	183.06	10.13	0.41	4.16	5.52	8.73
T7-Borax + Ferrous sulphate	47.14	17.33	12.72	28.59	44.33	56.34	62.57	163.74	9.94	0.39	4.21	5.35	7.26
T ₈ -Zinc sulphate + Magnesium sulphate	51.03	19.65	13.50	31.29	46.66	57.54	64.12	201.03	10.39	0.52	3.40	6.54	9.60
T ₉ -Zinc sulphate + Ferrous sulphate	53.09	18.12	13.03	31.62	45.66	56.48	63.62	203.66	8.97	0.44	4.23	6.00	9.24
T ₁₀ -Magnesium sulphate + Ferrous sulphate	46.36	17.94	13.11	30.18	46.33	57.45	62.88	182.82	9.59	0.45	3.90	5.96	8.61
T ₁₁ -Borax + Zinc sulphate + Magnesium sulphate + Ferrous sulphate	58.12	22.19	15.78	35.23	48.66	68.96	71.58	212.46	11.85	0.32	4.53	7.60	10.64
T ₁₂ -Borax + Zinc sulphate + Ferrous sulphate	56.47	20.54	14.75	33.26	47.00	63.20	69.04	197.90	10.65	0.50	4.21	6.60	9.21
T ₁₃ -Borax + Magnesium sulphate + Ferrous sulphate	55.66	19.20	14.50	32.79	46.33	62.36	67.76	188.66	9.84	0.36	4.00	5.35	8.80
T ₁₄ -Zinc sulphate + Magnesium sulphate + Ferrous sulphate	57.38	20.90	15.38	33.70	47.33	66.37	70.50	205.33	11.10	0.41	4.11	7.10	9.75
T ₁₅ -Borax + Zinc sulphate + Magnesium sulphate	55.21	20.16	14.66	33.10	46.00	61.82	67.74	183.21	10.25	0.36	3.90	5.40	8.55
T ₁₆ -Control	35.03	11.66	8.67	18.23	38.00	53.40	58.57	131.82	7.85	0.53	2.85	4.96	5.22
SE(m)±	0.63	0.39	0.44	0.50	0.57	0.44	0.83	1.35	0.46	0.01	0.12	0.16	0.40
CD at 5%	1.85	1.15	1.28	1.47	1.67	1.28	2.41	3.94	1.34	0.04	0.35	0.48	1.16

References

1. Pedapati A, Tiwari RB. Effect of Different Osmotic

Pretreatments on weight Loss, Yield and Moisture Loss in Osmotically Dehydrated Guava. Journal of Agricultural Research. 2014;1(1):49-54.

- Kumar P, Singh AK, Shankhadhar SC. Efficiency of soil and foliar application of macro and micronutrients on yield and quality of Mango cv. Dashehari. Int. J curr. Microbial. App. Sci. 2017;6(10):1855-1861
- Mirza DA, Kumar A, Singh R, Singh B. Impact of zinc and boron on growth, yield and quality of kinnow (Citrus deliciosa x Citrus nobilis) in sub-tropical conditions of Punjab. J Pure. Appl. Microbioal. 2017;11(2):1135-11.
- Preethi M, Prakash PD, Hipparagi K, Biradar IB, Gollagi SG, Ryavalad S. Effect of quantity of soil application of zinc, boron and iron on growth and yield in Papaya Cv. Red lady. Int. J Curr. Microbial, app. Sci. 2017;6(9):2081-2086.
- Singh DK, Ghosh SK, Paul PK, Suresh CP. Effect of different micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Ranchi Acta Hort. 2010;8(2):37-41.
- 6. Bhanukar M, Rana GS, Sehrawat SK, Preeth. Effect of exogenous application of micronutrients on growth and yield of sweet orange cv. Blood Red. J of Phytochemistry 2018;7(2):610-612.
- Hada T, Singh BK, Veer K, Singh SP. Effect of different levels of boron and zinc on flowering, fruiting and growth parameter of winter season guava (*Psidium* guajava L.) cv. L-49. Asian J Hort. 2017;9(1):53-56.
- Pawar R, Singh AK. Effect of micronutrients and sea weed sap on fruit set, yield and quality of mango (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Dashehari. Int. J Curr, Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;7(12):397-406.
- Singh S, Parekh NS, Patel HR, Kore PN, Vasara RP. Effect of soil and foliar application of multi micronutrients on fruit yield and physical parameters of fruit of mango (Mangifera indica L.) var. amrapali. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(12):3495-3499.
- Bhoyar MG, Ramdevputra MV. Effect of foliar spray of zinc, iron and boron on quality of Guava (*Psidium* guajava L.) cv. Sardar L-49. Trends in biosciences. 2017, 10(39).
- 11. Dhurve MK, Sharma TR, Bhooriya MS, Lodha G. Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on growth, reproductive and yield of pomegranate cv. Ganesh in hasth bahar. Int. J of Che. Stu. 2018;6(5):499-503.