www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(6): 236-239 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 11-04-2022 Accepted: 21-05-2022

### Megha C

M.Sc., Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

### Munikrishnappa PM

Professor, Regional Horticulture Research and Extension Centre Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

### Manjunath Ramanna

Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Natural Resource Management, COH Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

### Dhananjaya BN

Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, COH Kolar, Karnataka, India

### Amreen Taj

Assistant Professor, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Megha C

M.Sc., Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

### Effect of biofertilizers on growth, flower yield and quality of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Arka Bangara 2

## Megha C, Munikrishnappa PM, Manjunath Ramanna, Dhananjaya BN and Amreen Taj

### Abstract

A field experiment entitled "Effect of biofertilizers on growth, flower yield and quality of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Arka Bangara 2"was conducted in the research block of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Bengaluru, during the *rabi* season from September 2020 to December 2020. The experimental material consisted four different bio-fertilizers *viz., Azotobacter*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, Potassium Solubilizing Bacteria and Arbuscular Mycorrhiza which were evaluated for growth, yield and quality parameters. The experiment consisted of 9 different treatments laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. The maximum increase in vegetative growth parameters like plant height, number of primary branches per plant, leaf area, stem girth were recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (100% NPK + *Azotobacter* + PSB + AM fungi + KSB). Whereas, the maximum flowering and yield parameters like days to first flowering, duration of flowering (days), days to 50 per cent flowering, number of flowers per plant, average weight of flower including flowering yield per plant and flower yield per hectare and also quality parameters like xanthophyll content and shelf life were recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (75% NPK + *Azotobacter* + PSB + AM fungi + KSB) among different treatments of biofertilizers.

Keywords: Azotobacter, PSB, KSB, Am fungi, NPK, marigold

### Introduction

Marigold is a hardy and versatile flower crop, commonly called as "Rose of Indies" belongs to the family Asteraceae andnative to Mexico, having genus *Tagetes* spp. *Tagetes* named after demi god ' Teges' which means beauty. The genus consists of 33 species of strongly scented annual and perennial herbs, out of which two species *viz.*, *Tagetes erecta* (African marigold) and *Tagetes patula* (French marigold) are commercially cultivated. Marigold produce flowers of wide spectrum of attractive colours, shape, size with longer blooming period and excellent keeping quality. It has habit of free flowering and short duration to produce marketable flowers. Apart from loose flower and landscape uses, it is also having medicinal properties. Leaf paste is used externally against boils and carbuncles. Leaf extract is a good remedy for ear ache and has fungicidal effect. Roots exudates contains HCN compound *i.e.*,  $\alpha$ -tri terthenal which is effective against nematodes and hence, it is used as trap crop to control nematodes (Datta, 2019)<sup>[2]</sup>. Nowadays, it is also gaining importance in poultry, fisheries, cosmetics and textile industries as it contains xanthophyll, which is used to increase intensity of yellow colour of egg yolk in poultry, fish meat in fisheries and also as a colouring agent in bakery and confectionary.

Marigold flower production and quality are influenced mainly by climatic, geographical and nutritional factors. Among them, nutritional factor is playing a major role in improving the quality and productivity of marigold, as these nutrients are supplied mainly through chemical fertilizers. The indiscriminate and continuous use of chemical fertilizers alone to the soil leads to imbalance of nutrients in soil which adversely affect the soil health, soil fertility as well as nutrient uptake efficiency of crops, besides, affecting the yield and quality of the flowers. The use of chemical fertilizers has to be reduced to check the soil and water pollution and simultaneously, the role of beneficial soil microorganisms has to be augumented by different ways such as use of application of biofertilizers. Biofertilizers are eco-friendly, they can be applied to all the crops in association with other biofertilizers without any antagonistic effect with low cost input. Biofertilizers not only improve the crop growth and yield but also

improve flower quality and fertilizer use efficiency. These supplement the major plant nutrients and improve the plant productivity for sustainable agriculture (Mohd and Khan, 2014) <sup>[13]</sup>. The use of these products has not been popular among farmers either due to lack of awareness or availability and supply of quality biofertilizers. In the view of above, the production and use of biofertilizers along with organic manures assume greater significance and could be an excellent alternative to chemical fertilizers.

### **Material and Methods**

An experiment was conducted in *rabi* season from September 2020 to December 2020, at research block of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Bengaluru. The research farm is situated under Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka. Which is geographically situated at 12.97° North Latitude and 77.59° East Longitude with an altitude of 942.37 m above mean sea level. The mean annual rain fall is 859 mm. Whereas, the mean maximum temperature is 32.8 °C, minimum temperature 14.6 °C.

The statistical design Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used which containing nine treatments with three replications. The 4 bio-fertilizers *viz., Azotobacter,* Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, Potassium Solubilizing Bacteria and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi were used along with different doses of N, P, K in different plots of marigold with the spacing of 60 cm X 45 cm. The field was maintained under uniform recommended cultural practices. The variety used here is ArkaBangara 2, released from IIHR, having flowers of yellow gold colour, early flowering with compact and large size flowers. Treatment details are presented in table 1.

Table 1: Treatment details

Treatment notation	Treatment content
T1	100% NPK (225:60:60 kg of N P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$T_2$	100% N + Azotobacter
T3	100% NP + Azotobacter + PSB
<b>T</b> 4	100% NPK + Azotobacter + PSB + AM fungi
T5	100% NPK + Azotobacter + PSB + AM fungi + KSB
T <sub>6</sub>	75% N+100% PK + Azotobacter
T7	75% NP +100% K + Azotobacter + PSB
T <sub>8</sub>	75% NP +100% K + Azotobacter + PSB + AM fungi
T9	75% NPK + Azotobacter + PSB + AM fungi + KSB

### **Result and Discussion**

The results of the present study showed significant variation among the different characters studied. The maximum plant height at different stages of the crop growth was significantly influenced by application of biofertilizers. The treatment T<sub>5</sub>with 100 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (Azotobacter, PSB, AM fungi and KSB) recorded significantly taller plants of 32.40 cm, 51.91 cm and 67.20 cm at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at grand growth stage (90 DAT) respectively. The taller plants produced in treatment  $T_5$  might be due to the combined effect of inorganic fertilizers, bioinoculants and FYM. This might be attributed to biological nitrogen fixation, production of growth substances and also solubilization of native phosphorus by bioinoculants. The combined effect triggered the plant metabolic activities and photosynthetic efficacy, leading to the better growth and development of crop plant. These observations were in line

with the findings of Dhiraj *et al.* (2009)<sup>[3]</sup> in marigold.

The number of primary branches per plant were significantly influenced by biofertilizers application (Table 2). Significantly more number of primary branches per plant (8.67) were found due to the treatment  $T_5$  (8.67, 8.93 and 9.00) at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting. The treatment  $T_1$  recorded less number of primary branches per plant. The combination of RDF along with *Azotobacter*, PSB and KSB were mutually benefited with each other and supplied essential nutrients to plants and hence, resulted in production of more branches per plant. The results are in confirmation with the findings of Koshika *et al.* 2018 <sup>[9]</sup> in marigold.

Significantly maximum stem girth of 2.33 cm was observed in the treatment  $T_5$  with 100 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*, PSB, VAM and KSB). The enhanced stem girth in treatment  $T_5$  might be due to application of biofertilizers which might have involved in synthesis and secretion of organic acids and other growth promoting substances like IAA and gibberellins that increased the water uptake by plant, promoted the root growth and thus helped in better plant growth and also KSB might have enhanced the availability of potash and promoted the stem growth. These results are in conformity with those findings of Godse *et al.* (2006)<sup>[6]</sup> in gladiolus.

The leaf area was significantly influenced by application of biofertilizers (Table 2). Significantly higher leaf area of 11.04 cm<sup>2</sup> was registered in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> with 100 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*, PSB, VAM and KSB). Whereas, significantly lesser leaf area of 9.03 cm<sup>2</sup> was registered in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> as control. This might be due to use of biofertilizers in combination with NPK resulted in good nutrition which might have helped in better root proliferation and increased uptake efficiency of water and nutrients lead to increased photosynthesis activity, increased cell division and enlargement and resulted in more leaf area. These results are in confirmity with the findings of Maninderpal *et al.* (2017)<sup>[11]</sup> in china aster.

Days taken for the appearance of first flowering, 50 per cent flowering and flowering duration were significantly influenced by application of biofertilizers (Table 3).The treatment T<sub>9</sub>with 75 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (Azotobacter, PSB, VAM and KSB) took significantly less number of days (41.57 days) for the appearance of first flower, less number of days (64.40 days) for 50 per cent flowering, and also shown maximum duration of flowering (70.00 days) compare to other treatments. Earliness in flowering is because of the easy uptake of nutrients by plants and also simultaneous transport of growth promoting substances like auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, vitamins and organic acids produced by biofertilizers to the axillary buds. This result was in accordance with Kumari et al. (2019)<sup>[10]</sup> in marigold. An adequate supply of phosphorus in the early stages of crop growth is important for laying down the primordial for reproductive parts of the plant and helps in early maturity of crops. Ultimately, this has resulted in a better sink for quick mobilization of photosynthates and early transformation from vegetative to reproductive phase. Similar results were obtained by Parolekar et al. (2012) [16] in tuberose. And also the variation in duration of flowering due to inoculation of plant roots with biofertilizers and application of VAM to soil which results in better nutrient uptake, which leads to accumulation of more photosynthesized food resulted in more duration of flowering. The similar results were

observed by Divya et al. (2019)<sup>[4]</sup> in zinnia.

The number of flowers per plant were significantly influenced by application of biofertilizers (Table 3). The treatment T<sub>9</sub> with 75 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (Azotobacter, PSB, VAM and KSB) recorded significantly more number of flowers per plant (66.93). While, least number of flowers per plant (54.73) was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> with RDF 100 per cent N and Azotobacter. This is due to suppression of luxurious vegetative growth and induction of reproductive stage. The treatment T<sub>9</sub> might have influenced the better growth which in general has significant positive correlation with flowering and yield parameters. These results are in confirmity with the findings of Radhika et al. (2010)<sup>[17]</sup> in marigold. The increase in number of flowers might be due to possible role of Azotobacter through atmospheric nitrogen fixation, better root proliferation, uptake of nutrients and water. It is also attributed to the increase availability of phosphorus and potassium. In addition, KSB are also known to produce amino acids, vitamins and growth promoting substance like indol-3-acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) which helps in better growth of the plants which ultimately contribute to increase in the yield. Similar improvement in yield attributes was reported by Palagani et al. (2013)<sup>[15]</sup> in chrysanthemum and Thumar et al. (2013)<sup>[18]</sup> in marigold.

The average weight of the individual flower including flowering yield per plant and flower yield per hectare differed significantly due to the application of biofertilizers (Table 2).

Significantly maximum average individual weight of flower (8.45 g), maximum flower yield per plant (569.22 g) and per hectare (18.97 t ha-1) was registered in the treatment T<sub>9</sub>. While, minimum weight of flower (7.59 g), was documented in the treatment T<sub>1</sub>. Whereas, the minimum flower yield per plant (426.13 g) and per hectare (14.20 t ha-1) was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> with RDF (100 per cent N) and *Azotobacter*.

An increase in flower weight and maximum flower yield

might be due to optimal availability of NPK along with all the other essential flower inducing nutrients. Nitrogen promotes protein synthesis which in turn promotes the development of floral primordial. Phosphorus is also responsible for formation of floral primordial. Their ample suppliment by biofertilizers resulted in increased weight of individual flower. These observations are in conformity with the findings of Kishore *et al.* (2018) <sup>[8]</sup> in marigold and also, flower yield due to NPK, which have N fixing and P solubilizing proficiency and K availabilizing along with secretion of growth promoting substances. This results in more number of flowers which in turn increased flower yield. Similar results were found by Maninderpal *et al.* (2017) <sup>[11]</sup> in China aster and Abhinav and Ashok (2013) <sup>[1]</sup> in marigold.

Significantly maximum xanthophyll content (34.59 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the flowers obtained by treatment T<sub>9</sub>. Whereas, minimum xanthophyll content (22.08 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) of flower was registered in the treatment T<sub>1</sub>.The carotenoid content was significantly influenced by the application of inorganic fertilizers along with biofertilizers, which is due to addition of nitrogen and increased production of growth hormones like NAA, GA and cytokinins. These results were in confirmation with the reports of Fatemeh *et al.* (2013) <sup>[5]</sup> in marigold.

The shelflife of marigold differed significantly due to application of biofertilizers (Table 3). Significantly maximum shelflife of marigold (3.87 days) was found in the flowers obtained by treatment T<sub>9</sub> with 75 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*, PSB, VAM and KSB). Whereas, minimum shelf life (2.23 days) was noticed in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> with 100 per cent NPK. The increased shelf life in the treatment might be due to the higher retention of water in the cells of flowers with lesser desiccation as compared to the other treatments. Similar beneficial effects of bio-fertilizers on shelf life have been reported by Mashaldi (2000) <sup>[12]</sup> in marigold, Jayamma *et al.* (2014) <sup>[7]</sup> in jasmine.

 Table 2: Effect of biofertilizers on plant height, No. of primary branches per plant, stem girth and leaf area of marigold cv. Arka Bangara 2 during growth period 2020-21

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			No. of prin	nary branche	s per plant	Stom winth (am)	L and a man (am. <sup>2</sup> )	
Treatments	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	Stem girth (cm)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	
T1	28.39	41.59	56.77	7.13	7.20	7.20	1.55	9.03	
T2	26.93	42.35	57.33	6.80	7.07	7.40	1.65	9.13	
T <sub>3</sub>	29.65	45.53	61.39	7.47	7.73	7.87	1.70	9.71	
$T_4$	30.53	48.66	64.57	8.13	8.33	8.33	1.97	10.49	
T <sub>5</sub>	32.40	51.91	67.20	8.67	8.93	9.00	2.33	11.04	
T <sub>6</sub>	27.21	42.07	56.83	6.87	7.13	7.33	1.59	9.09	
T <sub>7</sub>	29.40	47.13	59.20	7.53	7.53	7.53	1.75	9.91	
T <sub>8</sub>	30.23	48.27	63.20	7.93	8.20	8.20	1.82	10.18	
T9	30.73	50.49	67.13	8.20	8.60	8.73	2.29	10.91	
S.Em (±)	0.30	0.27	0.16	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.02	0.05	
CD (5%)	0.90	0.81	0.49	0.39	0.25	0.30	0.06	0.15	

 Table 3: Effect of biofertilizers on root length (cm), diameter of flower (cm), No. of flowers per plant, average individual weight of flower (g), flower yield per hectare (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), xanthophyll (mg g-1)and shelf life (days) of marigold cv. ArkaBangara 2 during growth period 2020 - 21

,	Freatments	•	Days to 50% flowering	Duration of flowering (days)		Average individual weight of flower (g)	Flower yield per plant (g)		Xanthophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Shelf life (days)
	$T_1$	46.73	70.80	64.80	55.47	7.59	429.61	14.32	22.08	2.23
ſ	T <sub>2</sub>	45.80	70.13	65.93	54.73	7.89	426.13	14.20	26.35	2.63
ſ	T <sub>3</sub>	44.67	68.60	66.80	59.40	7.77	468.93	15.63	26.78	3.33
Ī	T4	45.47	68.20	67.53	64.93	8.10	526.27	17.54	31.82	3.10

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

T <sub>5</sub>	41.87	65.20	69.07	66.43	8.25	563.66	18.79	33.29	3.67
T6	46.47	69.60	65.47	57.60	7.61	445.84	14.86	30.74	2.70
T7	44.47	66.33	67.20	58.87	7.85	464.50	15.48	30.35	3.40
T8	43.53	65.27	68.60	62.17	8.06	504.83	16.83	30.52	3.47
T9	41.57	64.40	70.00	66.93	8.45	569.22	18.97	34.59	3.87
S.Em (±)	0.43	0.18	0.11	0.18	0.03	1.93	0.06	0.52	0.13
CD (5%)	1.28	0.54	0.33	0.55	0.09	5.78	0.19	1.57	0.40

### Conclusion

From the above research it can be indicated that, an application of a recommended dosage of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (RDF 100% NPK) can be applied with the inoculation of biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*, PSB, VAM and KSB) besides obtaining higher flower yield. Hence, it can be concluded that a treatment comprising 75 per cent NPK along with the inoculation of the above biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*, PSB, VAM and KSB) performed better with flowering and yield attributes. Hence, the treatment T<sub>9</sub> with 75 per cent NPK along with the biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*, PSB, VAM and KSB) can be recommended for commercial cultivation of marigold in order to explore more yield and also to maintain better soil fertility.

### References

- 1. Abhinav K, Ashok K. Effect of biofertilizers and nutrients on growth and flower yield of summer season African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). Plant Archives. 2017;17(2):1090-1092.
- Datta SK. Present status of research on floriculture in India. Int. J Life. Sci. 2019;8(2):71-93.
- 3. Dhiraj K, Singh BP, Vivek NS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, flowering behaviour and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. African Giant Double Orange. J Hort. Sci. 2009;4(2):134-137.
- Divya S, Khan FU, Masoodi NH, Khan FA, Wani JA, Umar I, *et al.* Effect of different combinations of NPK and biofertilizers on Zinnia (*Zinnia elegans J*). Curr. J App. Sci. Tech. 2019;34(6):1-7.
- 5. Fatemeh Z, Hashemabadi D, Ziyabari MB, Torkashvand AM, Kaviani B, Solimandarabi MJ, *et al.* The effect of phosphate biofertilizer (Barvar-2) on the growth of marigold. J Env. Bio. 2013;35(2):439-443.
- Godse SB, Golliwar VJ, Chopde N, Bramhankar KS, Kore MS. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers with reduced doses of inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus. J Soils and Crops. 2006;16(2):445-449.
- Jayamma N, Nagara MN, Jagadeesh KS. Influence of biofertilizers application on growth, yield and quality parameters of jasmine (*Jasminum auriculatum*). Int. Conference on Food Biological and Medical Sci. 28-29 Jan, 2014, Bangkok, Thailand, 2014,
- Kishore GBK, Parul P, Mamta B. Efficacy of azotobacter and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on vegetative and floral attributes of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda under hilly regions of Uttarakhand. Int. J Agri. Sci. 2018;10(4):5212-5215.
- Koshika S, Sameer D, Saumya SX, Alka S, Imkongyapang P. Effect of NPK, Biofertilizers and spacings on growth and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) under Jatropha (*Jatropha curcas* L.) based Horti-Silvicultural system. J Pharm. Phytochem. 2018;7(4):2318-2320.
- 10. Kumari A, Sharma BP, Gupta YC, Sharma U, Sharma S.

Effect of biofertilizers application on growth and flowering of African marigold cv. "Pusa Narangi Gainda" during different season of the year under mid hills conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(9):234-240.

- 11. Maninderpal S, Sharma BP, Gupta YC. Response of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees) cv. Kamini to different combinations of NPK and biofertilizers. Indian J Hort. 2017;74(3):458-461.
- Mashaldi A. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and post harvest life of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Double orange. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bangalore, Karnataka (India), 2000.
- Mohd M, Khan TA. Future of Bio-fertilizers in Indian Agriculture: An Overview. Int. J Agri. Food. Res. 2014;3(3):10-23.
- Oleg BK, Natalia YK., Innovative Processes in Floriculture: Current Status, Problems and Prospects. Indian J Sci. Tech. 2016;9(16):74-80.
- Palagani N, Barad AV, Bhosale N, Thumar BV. Influence of integrated plant nutrition on growth and flower yield of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) cv. IIHR-6 under Saurashtra condition. Asian J Hort. 2013;8(2):502-506.
- Parolekar SS, Chawla SL, Ahlawat RD, Baghele, Gurjar RA. Response of bio-fertilizers and their combination with different chemical fertilizers on Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberose* L.) cv. Prajwal. J Orn. Hort. 2012;15(3&4):227-232.
- Radhika M, Patel HC, Nayee DD, Sitapara HH. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of African marigold (*Tageteserecta* L.) cv. Local under middle Gujarat agro climatic conditions. The Asian J Hort. 2010;5(2):347-349.
- Thumar BV, Barad AV, Neelima P, Bhosale N. Effect of integrated system of plant nutrition management on growth, yield and flower quality of African marigold (*Tageteserecta* L.) cv. PusaNarangiGainda. Asian J Hort. 2013;8(2):466-469.
- Yadav KS, Pal AK, Singh AK, Yadav D, Mauriya SK. Influence of different bio-fertilizers and its consortium on growth, flowering and seed yield of marigold. Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2017;5(6):1660-1665.