www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(5): 474-476 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 10-03-2022 Accepted: 19-04-2022

Bishana Ram

M.Sc., Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

VS Acharya

Associate Professor, Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Renu Choudhary

Ph.D., Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author Bishana Ram

M.Sc., Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, *Bemisia* tabaci on Bt cotton

Bishana Ram, VS Acharya and Renu Choudhary

Abstract

Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* on Bt cotton was studied at Research Farm, College of Agriculture, SKRAU, Bikaner during Kharif, 2018. The incidence of whitefly, *B. tabaci* on different plant spacing i.e. $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 105 \text{ cm}$, $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 90 \text{ cm}$, $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 67.5 \text{ cm}$, $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 45 \text{ cm}$ and $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$ was studied. The maximum populations of whitefly, *B. tabaci* was noticed in the plant spacing of $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$ followed by $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 45 \text{ cm}$. Whereas, minimum population was noticed in plant spacing $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 105 \text{ cm}$ followed by $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 90 \text{ cm}$. The maximum seed cotton yield was reported from the plots having spacing of $67.5 \text{ cm} \times 67.5 \text{ cm}$.

Keywords: Plant spacing, whitefly, B. tabaci and Bt cotton

Introduction

Cotton is one of the major fibre and cash crops of all around world. It is grown under tropical and subtropical regions of more than 80 countries of world occupying an area nearly 33 m ha with an annual production of 19 to 20 million tonnes of bales. China, U.S.A., India, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Australia, Brazil, Greece, Argentina and Egypt are main cotton producing countries. An approximately 85% of the overall cotton production contribute by these countries. Release of Bt cotton for mercantile cultivation in India during 2002 has came as a blessing to the cotton farmers who have harvested improved yields with maximum returns. Bt cotton distinctly came to have been playing a key role in production and productivity. Although introduction of Bt cotton could reduce the bollworm incidence, number of other pests viz., whitefly, leafhopper, mirid bugs, aphids and thrips are becoming potential threats (Kranthi et al., 2011) [11] and these sucking pests were responsible for the loss of 50 per cent of young cotton plants (Sakimura, 1963) [6]. Among them whitefly is most destructive sucking pest of cotton. Whitefly is widely distributed polyphagous pest in tropical and subtropical regions of India. There is much scope to improve the Bt cotton production by increasing the productivity through adoption of appropriate agronomic practices. The plant spacing may play an important role in the change of the population density levels of this pest attacking the plants. So, there is need to find out the suitable spacing for Bt hybrid and their effect on population of whitefly.

Materials and Methods

Present investigation on effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, *B. tabaci* on Bt cotton were recorded at the Research farm College of Agriculture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University Bikaner, (Rajasthan). The experiment was laid out in a simple randomized block design and plant spacing's were considered as treatments. There were five such treatments and each replicated four times. The seeds of NCS-855 BG ll were sown in the plot size 6.0 x 4.05 m on 22th May in 2018. The recommended package of practices, except spraying of insecticides were followed for raising the crop. The populations of whitefly, *B. tabaci* were recorded on five randomly selected tagged plants in morning hours (Before 8 AM), when insects has minimum activity. The observations were recorded on whole plant in the initial stage and on three leaves two from upper, middle and lower portion of randomly selected and tagged plants. The population was counted by holding the base of leaves gently until the entire underside of leaf was clearly visible. Population was estimated with least disturbance at early hours of the day. From this, the average population per leaf was worked out

The data on whitefly population recorded at weekly interval from experimental plots were transformed into $\sqrt{x} + 0.5$ values and subjected to analysis of variance. Seed cotton yield per plot per kg was converted into per hectare and then subjected to statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion

The occurrence of adults of whitefly started in 26th standard week i.e. last week of June. Initially the population was very low but increased week after week and attained to its peak population in the 38th standard week which was third week of September then declined till the crop maturity (table 1). At the peak, the maximum population 66.49 adults/3 leaves were noticed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5 cm x 30 cm and the minimum population 39.08 adults/3 leaves of were noticed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5cm x 105 cm. On the basis of over all season mean of whitefly, it was indicated that maximum number of adults 29.78 adults/3 leaves were observed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5 cm x 30 cm followed by 67.5cm x 45 cm (27.61adults/3 leaves). Minimum population of adults 16.55 adults/3 leaves were noticed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5cm x 105 cm followed by 67.5 cm x 90 cm (17.96 adults/3 leaves). These results are in conformity with those of Thakare et al. (1986) [8] who found that closer spacing led to high population of whitefly, B. tabaci in cotton, Giri et al. (1993) [4] studied that the greater numbers of adults of whitefly, B. tabaci was observed in plots having 60 cm x 15 cm compared with 60cm x 30 cm spacing, Arif et al. (2006) [3] observed that the population of whitefly, B. tabaci effected by plant spacing and decreasing with the increase in plant spacing, Singh (2015) [7] revealed that 67.5 cm x 75 cm plant spacing was most susceptible while, 67.5 cm x 105 cm plant spacing was least susceptible against whitefly, *B. tabaci*. The population of whitefly, *B. tabaci* was recorded significantly higher in the plant spacing 67.5 cm x 30 cm and significantly lower in 67.5 cm x 105 cm.

Effect of plant spacing on seed cotton yield

The data of seed cotton yields obtained from the treatments having different plant spacing revealed that maximum seed cotton yield 16.40 q ha⁻¹ (table 2) was obtained from the plots having plant spacing of 67.5 x 67.5 cm and the minimum seed cotton yield (10.85 q ha⁻¹) in the plots having 67.5 x 105 cm plant spacing followed by 67.5 x 90 cm (11.55 q ha⁻¹) and 67.5 x 45.0 cm (14.25 q ha⁻¹). However, these were at par and inferior to the other treatments. The seed cotton yields in other plants spacing 67.5 x 30 cm was (13.50 q ha⁻¹) The present results are in agreement to that of Acharya and Bhargawa (2008) [1] who reported that maximum seed cotton yield was observed in the treatment where plant spacing was 67.5 x 60cm and minimum seed cotton yield was obtained in the treatment where plant spacing was 67.5 x 100cm contrary to the present investigation, the maximum seed cotton yield was recorded in the plots having plant spacing of 0.675 x 0.30 m. However, significant higher seed cotton yield was obtained in the plots having plant spacing of 0.675 x 0.60 m which was comparable to that of 0.675 x 0.30 m spacing and minimum seed cotton yield was obtained in the plots having spacing of 1.00 x 0.60 m (Anonymous, 2001) [2] support these findings.

Table 1: Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, B. tabaci on Bt cotton during Kharif, 2018

Plant						Po	opulat	ion / 3	3 leave	es on d	liffere	nt sta	ndard	week	S						
Spacing (cm)	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	Mean
67.5 × 105	7.16	4.16	10.18	5.56	5.52	6.04	4.24	6.84	9.87	7.06	24.68	32.45	27.55	39.08	34.74	36.91	36.69	17.54	11.75	2.92	16.55
	(2.77)*	(2.16)	(3.26)	(2.46)	(2.45)	(2.54)	(2.18)	(2.71)	(3.21)	(2.74)	(5.00)	(5.72)	(5.28)	(6.27)	(5.92)	(6.11)	(6.09)	(4.24)	(3.50)	(1.85)	10.55
67.5 × 90	8.16	4.74	10.60	6.54	6.54	6.21	4.80	7.39	10.56	8.04	27.46	34.13	30.51	41.71	36.90	39.46	39.46	20.22	12.68	3.16	17.96
	(2.92)	(2.29)	(3.33)	(2.64)	(2.65)	(2.59)	(2.30)	(2.81)	(3.32)	(2.92)	(5.28)	(5.87)	(5.56)	(6.49)	(6.10)	(6.30)	(6.30)	(4.54)	(3.63)	(1.91)	
67.5 × 67.5	10.35 (3.29)	6.47	13.83	8.46	8.12	8.23	6.16	9.94	13.22	10.36	34.51	42.56	38.22	52.12	46.40	49.22	49.19	25.87	15.84	4.20	22.66
07.3 × 07.3	(3.29)	(2.62)	(3.78)	(2.98)	(2.93)	(2.95)	(2.58)	(3.22)	(3.70)	(3.29)	(5.92)	(6.55)	(6.21)	(7.24)	(6.83)	(7.04)	(7.04)	(5.12)	(4.03)	(2.16)	22.00
67.5 × 45	12.35	7.79	17.83	10.48	9.71	9.66	7.55	10.97	15.86	13.35	42.17	51.53	46.68	63.03	55.77	59.86	61.23	32.15	19.04	5.13	27.61
07.3 × 43	(3.58)																			(2.37)	
67.5 × 30	14.11	8.91	20.64	11.49	10.42	10.10	8.41	11.62	17.46	15.24	46.86	55.23	50.29	66.49	58.78	62.59	65.66	35.32	20.49	5.61	29.78
07.3 × 30	(3.82)	(3.07)	(4.60)	(3.46)	(3.30)	(3.25)	(2.97)	(3.48)	(4.24)	(3.97)	(6.88)	(7.46)	(7.12)	(8.18)	(7.69)	(7.94)	(8.13)	(5.98)	(4.58)	(2.47)	
S.Em.±	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.16	0.12	0.06	
C.D.at 5%	0.39	0.25	0.37	0.29	0.27	0.30	0.24	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.54	0.62	0.58	0.70	0.65	0.68	0.69	0.49	0.37	0.19	

^{*}Figures in parenthesis are $\sqrt{x} + 0.5$ values

Table 2: Effect of plant spacing on seed cotton yield

S. No.	Plant Spacing (cm)	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)
1	67.5×105.0	10.85
2	67.5×90.0	11.55
3	67.5×67.5	16.40
4	67.5×45.0	14.25
5	67.5×30.0	13.50
	S.Em.±	0.45
	C.D.at 5%	1.38

References

- 1. Acharya VS, Bhargava MC. Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, *B. tabaci* (Gennadius) on cotton. Journal of Insect Science. 2008;21(3):227-232.
- Anonymous. Project report entitled Control of leaf curl virus disease in cotton and development of protocols for

- man multiplication of predators, parasites and insect pathogen (PSR-26) National Agricultural Technology Project. Central Institute for cotton Research, Nagpur, 2001.
- 3. Arif MJ, Golgi MD, Mirza M, Zia K, Hafeez K. Impact of plant spacing and weather parameters on incidence of major sucking pest of cotton. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science. 2006;9:1364-1369.
- 4. Giri DG, Daware DG, Dahiphale VV. Population level of whitefly *B. tabaci* as influenced by different agronomic condition. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 1993;7(1):168-169
- Kranthi S, Kranthi KR, Rishi Kumar, Dharajothi, Udikeri SS, Prasad Rao, et al. Emerging and Key Insect Pests on Bt Cotton-Their Identification, Taxonomy, Genetic Diversity and Management. World Cotton Research

- Conference-5. Mumbai (India), 2011, 12-19.
- 6. Sakimura K. Frankliniellafusca, an additional vector for the tomato spotted wilt virus, with notes on *Thrips tabaci*, another vector. Phytopathol. 1963;53:412-415.
- 7. Singh H. Impact of weather parameters and plant spacing on population dynamics of sucking pests of cotton in south western Punjab. Journal of Agricultural Physics. 2015;15:167-174.
- 8. Thakare HS, Gawande RB, Satpute VS, Saroda DB, Aherkra SK. Problem of whitefly on cotton in Vidarha Region. Paper presented in the seminar on Problem of whitefly on cotton held at College of Agriculture, Puneon, 1986. 14, March.