



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; SP-11(5): 336-340
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 19-03-2022
Accepted: 22-04-2022

Mihir Sarm
Junior Scientist (Poultry Science)
Livestock Research Station,
Mandira, Hekra, Kamrup, Assam,
India

J Brahma
Junior Scientist, Livestock
Research Station, Mandira, Hekra,
Kamrup, Assam, India

Prasanta Boro
Junior Scientist, Livestock
Research Station, Mandira, Hekra,
Kamrup, Assam, India

M Sonowal
Junior Scientist, Livestock
Research Station, Mandira, Hekra,
Kamrup, Assam, India

D Bharali
Junior Scientist, Livestock
Research Station, Mandira, Hekra,
Kamrup, Assam, India

Anil Deka
Assistant Professor,
Department of Anatomy &
Histology, College of
Veterinary Science, Assam
Agricultural University,
Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam,
India

HK Bhattacharyya
Chief Scientist, Livestock Research
Station, Mandira, Hekra,
Kamrup, Assam, India

Corresponding Author
Anil Deka
Assistant Professor,
Department of Anatomy &
Histology, College of
Veterinary Science, Assam
Agricultural University,
Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam,
India

A comparative analysis of integrated fish-pig and fish-duck farming in lower Brahmaputra valley zone of Assam

Mihir Sarm, J Brahma, Prasanta Boro, M Sonowal, D Bharali, Anil Deka and HK Bhattacharyya

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to analyse, two important fish-based integration systems i.e. Fish-Pig and Fish-Duck in lower Brahmaputra valley zone, Assam.

Materials and Methods: The experiment was carried out in three sets of ponds in duplicate- (i) Control (T₀), where the fishes were fed with commercial feed, (ii) Treatment (T₁), integrated fish duck farming pond and (iii) Treatment (T₂), integrated pig fish farming pond. The prepared ponds were stocked with yearlings (average length: 15cm; average weight: 242 gms) of Catla (*Catla catla*), Rohu (*Labeo rohita*), Mrigal (*Cirrhinus mrigala*) & Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) at a stocking density of 10,000 fingerlings/ha in T₀ & T₁ and 5,000 fingerlings/ha in T₂. In all the treatments stocking combination was maintained at Catla:Rohu: Mrigal:Grass carp 30:25:20:25. Fishes in the T₀ (Control group) were provided with commercial fish feed (CP:24%, 4 mm size pellet) @4% of the body weight while fishes in T₁ and T₂ were provided with commercial feed @50% of the control group and pig & duck excreta respectively. After 6 months, final weights of the fishes were recorded and total productions of each group were noted. Water quality parameters of the ponds like pH, dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, alkalinity, hardness etc. were estimated using standard methods (APHA, 2019). TDS and Electrical Conductivity were estimated on monthly intervals using Systronics digital conductivity meter 306.

Results: The results reveal that pond fertilization from the pig excreta and duck droppings encourages the growth of tiny plants like algae and other phytoplankton and tiny animals (zooplankton), providing food for the fishes. Initial average weight of individual carry over fish i.e., Grass-carp, Catla, Rohu and Mrigala were 242, 230, 160 and 170 g, respectively. Final average weight of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 1800±13.270, 1950± 12.850 and 2050± 13.520, respectively. Final average weight of Catla in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 910± 9.450, 960±10.260 and 980± 12.20 g, respectively. Final average weight of Rohu in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 850± 7.980, 900± 11.230 and 910± 11.160 g respectively. Final average weight of Mrigala in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 600± 6.040, 720±8.500 and 730± 10.560 g, respectively. So, significant differences were found in the productivity of the four varieties of fish between T₀ and T₁ and between T₀ and T₂. But only numerical difference was noticed between T₁ and T₂. Amongst the fish varieties tested, Grass carp exhibited highest growth followed by Catla, Rohu and Mrigala as mentioned in table (1) i.e., Growth of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 743.80, 805.78 and 847.10 %, respectively. This may be attributed to the feeding potential and genetic characteristics of the fishes. However, the fish productivity is greater in the fish-pig system than the fish- duck system. Growth of Catla in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 395.65, 417.39 and 426.08 %, respectively. Growth of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 531.25, 562.50 and 568.75 % respectively. Growth of Mrigala in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 348.83, 418.60 and 424.41 % respectively. The study revealed that the pig excreta act as excellent manure for the fish followed by Duck manure.

Conclusion: Among the three treatments integrated fish pig farming found to be the most profitable integrated farming system followed by the fish duck farming.

Keywords: Integrated farming, pig, fish and duck

1. Introduction

Integrated animal-fish farming could be an appropriate means for increasing returns from a limited land area and reducing risk by diversifying crops (Jhingran, 1986; Williams, 1997; Korikantimath and Manjunath, 2008) [6, 18, 7]. Integrated fish farming is based on the concept that 'there is no waste' and waste is only a misplaced resource which can become a valuable material for another product. The principle of integrated fish farming involves farming of fish along with livestock or/and agricultural crops. This type of farming offers great efficiency in resource utilization, as waste or by-product from one system is effectively recycled.

Integrated farming system is one of the best methods for maximizing animal and plant protein production through optimum use of land, water and waste resources at sustainable level. In this system, nothing is wasted and ecological balance is maintained. Recycling of organic wastes for fish culture serves the dual purpose of cleaning the environment and providing economic benefits (Shyam *et al.*, 2012) [16]. The integration of livestock with fisheries aquaculture has received considerable attention lately with emphasis on the incorporation of animal manures as fertilizer and nutrient for promotion of natural feed in fish ponds (Delmendo, 1980; Wohlfarth, 1979) [3, 19]. The rationale behind integrating fish with livestock is the large amount of nutrients (N-P-K) present in the animal feed being recovered in the manure, with possible proportions of 72–79% nitrogen, 61–87% phosphorus, and 82–92% potassium. These act as fertilisers in fish ponds to produce plankton which comprise high-protein natural food for certain species of fish. Recent experiments have demonstrated that considerable fish production can be obtained when animal manures are properly applied to fish polyculture systems (Shoko, 2011) [15]. Supplementary addition of chicken droppings under conditions of intensive fish culture increased fish yield by 21% and decreased the feed conversion rate by 0.4 units (Rappaport, 1978). The recycling of animal dung/wastes in aquaculture ponds is important for natural fish production, which supports sustainable aquaculture and also reduces expenditure on supplementary feeds and fertilizers.

Aim and Objective

The aim of the study was to analyse, two important fish-based integration systems i.e. Fish-Pig and Fish-Duck in lower Brahmaputra valley zone, Assam.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out for a period of 6 months from April, 2020 to September, 2020 at Integrated Farming System unit, Livestock Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Mandira in Lower Brahmaputra Valley Zone of Assam. Three sets of uniform ponds (890 m²) were selected in duplicate and marked as T₀, T₁ and T₂. Pre-stocking management of the ponds was carried out as per the Package of Practices of Fisheries & Aquaculture in Assam (2017). The prepared ponds were stocked with yearlings (average length: 15cm; average weight: 242 gms) of Catla (*Catla catla*), Rohu (*Labeo rohita*), Mrigal (*Cirrhinus mrigala*) & Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) at a stocking density of 10,000 fingerlings/ha in T₀ & T₁ and 5,000 fingerlings/ha in T₂. In all the treatments stocking combination was maintained at Catla:Rohu: Mrigal:Grass carp 30:25:20:25. Fishes in the T₀ (Control group) were provided with commercial fish feed (CP:24%, 4 mm size pellet) @4% of the body weight while fishes in T₁ and T₂ were provided with commercial feed @50% of the control group and pig & duck excreta respectively. After 6 months, final weights of the fishes were recorded and total productions of each group were noted. Water quality parameters of the ponds like pH, dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, alkalinity, hardness etc. were estimated using standard methods (APHA, 2019). TDS and Electrical Conductivity were estimated on monthly intervals using Systronics digital conductivity meter 306. Plankton samples were collected in duplicate by filtering 100-200 liters of river water using 28 mm mesh nylobolt plankton net as described by Santhanam *et al.* (1987) [14]. The collected

plankton samples were preserved in 3-4 % formalin in separate plankton tubes. Plankton were identified at genera level using the identifying keys of Edmondson (1959) [20], Needham & Needham (1966) [8] and ICAR monograph series on algae (Ramanathan, 1964; Philipose, 1967) [14, 10]. The data so collected were tabulated and analyzed by using SPSS version 17.0 as per standard statistical methods (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994) and expressed in mean±SE. Duncan Multiple Range test of SPSS was performed for mean statistically significant difference.

Results

The average growth performances of fish in the systems are presented in Table 1. It is observed that in each of the systems, the fingerlings/ carry over exhibited considerable weight gain. The results reveal that pond fertilization from the pig excreta and duck droppings encourages the growth of tiny plants like algae and other phytoplankton and tiny animals (zooplankton), providing food for the fishes. Initial average weight of individual carry over fish i.e., Grass-carp, Catla, Rohu and Mrigala were 242, 230,160 and 170 g, respectively. Final average weight of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 1800±13.270, 1950± 12.850 and 2050± 13.520, respectively. Final average weight of Catla in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 910± 9.450, 960±10.260 and 980± 12.20 g, respectively. Final average weight of Rohu in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 850± 7.980, 900± 11.230 and 910± 11.160 g respectively. Final average weight of Mrigala in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 600± 6.040, 720±8.500 and 730± 10.560 g, respectively. So, significant differences were found in the productivity of the four varieties of fish between T₀ and T₁ and between T₀ and T₂ as mentioned in the table (1). But only numerical difference was noticed between T₁ and T₂. Amongst the fish varieties tested, Grass carp exhibited highest growth followed by Catla, Rohu and Mrigala as mentioned in table (1) i.e., Growth of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 743.80, 805.78 and 847.10 %, respectively. This may be attributed to the feeding potential and genetic characteristics of the fishes. However, the fish productivity is greater in the fish-pig system than the fish- duck system. Growth of Catla in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 395.65, 417.39 and 426.08 %, respectively. Growth of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 531.25, 562.50 and 568.75 % respectively. Growth of Mrigala in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 348.83, 418.60 and 424.41 % respectively. The study revealed that the pig excreta act as excellent manure for the fish followed by Duck manure.

The average growth performances of fish in the systems are presented in Table 1. It is observed that in each of the systems, the fingerlings/ carry over exhibited considerable weight gain. The results reveal that pond fertilization from the pig excreta and duck droppings encourages the growth of tiny plants like algae and other phytoplankton and tiny animals (zooplankton), providing food for the fishes. Initial average weight of individual carry over fish i.e., Grass-carp, Catla, Rohu and Mrigala were 242, 230,160 and 170 g, respectively. Final average weight of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 1800±13.270, 1950± 12.850 and 2050± 13.520, respectively. Final average weight of Catla in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 910± 9.450, 960±10.260 and 980± 12.20 g, respectively. Final average weight of Rohu in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 850± 7.980, 900± 11.230 and 910± 11.160 g respectively. Final average weight of Mrigala in T₀ T₁ and T₂ pond were 600± 6.040, 720±8.500 and 730±10.560 g, respectively. So, significant differences were found in the productivity of the

four varieties of fish between T₀ and T₁ and between T₀ and T₂ as mentioned in the table (1). But only numerical difference was noticed between T₁ and T₂. Amongst the fish varieties tested, Grass carp exhibited highest growth followed by Catla, Rohu and Mrigala as mentioned in table (1) i.e., Growth of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 743.80, 805.78 and 847.10 %, respectively. This may be attributed to the feeding potential and genetic characteristics of the fishes. However, the fish productivity is greater in the fish-pig system than the fish- duck system. This result indicates the greater ability of pig excreta in fertilizing ponds than duck droppings, towards production of phytoplankton and zooplankton (Sahoo and Singh, 2015) [13]. Growth of Catla in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 395.65, 417.39 and 426.08 %, respectively. Growth of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 531.25, 562.50 and 568.75 % respectively. Growth of Mrigala in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 348.83, 418.60 and 424.41 % respectively. The study revealed that the pig excreta act as excellent manure for the fish followed by Duck manure.

Physico-chemical parameters of pond water found to be congenial for good growth of plankton as well as fishes. Freshwater bodies productivity can be determined from pH of water. Our findings also coincide with the congenial limit of prescribed range. Likewise, other parameters viz. dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, total alkalinity, total hardness, total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity are also found to be ideal for fish culture practice (Table 2).

Physico-chemical parameters of pond water found to be congenial for good growth of plankton as well as fishes. Freshwater bodies productivity can be determined from pH of water. The optimum pH of water for inland water bodies ranges between 6.00 to 9.00 (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2004) [1]. Our findings also coincide with the congenial limit of prescribed range. Likewise, other parameters viz. dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, total alkalinity, total hardness, total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity are also found to be ideal for fish culture practice (Table 2).

The plankton diversity in each treatment tanks were observed every 60th days. The plankton density (Table 3) was observed to be significantly higher in T₂ (102±2.58-132±1.47 Units/L) than T₀ and T₁ which might be due to the effect of pig dung which contains higher levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. The phytoplankton composition comprises of mainly by *Closterium*, *Chlorella*, *Chlamydomonas*, *Oedogonium*, *Spirogyra*, *Staurastrum*, *Ulothrix*, *Volvox*, *Diatoma*, *Fragilaria*, *Melosira*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, *Pinnularia*, *Spirulina* and *Chroococcus* while zooplankton density contained *Moina*, *Daphnia*, *Cyclops*, *Branchionous*, *Bosmina* and *Copepoda*. These finding was total agreement with the findings of Tripathi and Sharma (2005) [17].

The plankton diversity in each treatment tanks were observed every 60th days. The plankton density (Table 3) was observed to be significantly higher in T₂ (102±2.58-132±1.47 Units/L) than T₀ and T₁ which might be due to the effect of pig dung which contains higher levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. The phytoplankton composition comprises of mainly by *Closterium*, *Chlorella*, *Chlamydomonas*, *Oedogonium*, *Spirogyra*, *Staurastrum*, *Ulothrix*, *Volvox*, *Diatoma*, *Fragilaria*, *Melosira*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, *Pinnularia*, *Spirulina* and *Chroococcus* while zooplankton density contained *Moina*, *Daphnia*, *Cyclops*, *Branchionous*, *Bosmina* and *Copepoda*. These finding was total agreement with the findings of Tripathi and Sharma (2005) [17].

Discussion

The average growth performances of was observed that in each of the systems, the fingerlings/ carry over exhibited considerable weight gain. The results reveal that pond fertilization from the pig excreta and duck droppings encourages the growth of tiny plants like algae and other phytoplankton and tiny animals (zooplankton), providing food for the fishes. Initial average weight of individual carry over fish i.e., Grass-carp, Catla, Rohu and Mrigala were 242, 230,160 and 170 g, respectively. Final average weight of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 1800±13.270, 1950±12.850 and 2050±13.520, respectively. Final average weight of Catla in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 910±9.450, 960±10.260 and 980±12.20 g, respectively. Final average weight of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 850±7.980, 900±11.230 and 910±11.160 g respectively. Final average weight of Mrigala in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 600±6.040, 720±8.500 and 730±10.560 g, respectively. So, significant differences were found in the productivity of the four varieties of fish between T₀ and T₁ and between T₀ and T₂. But only numerical difference was noticed between T₁ and T₂. Amongst the fish varieties tested, Grass carp exhibited highest growth followed by Catla, Rohu and Mrigala i.e., Growth of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 743.80, 805.78 and 847.10 %, respectively. This may be attributed to the feeding potential and genetic characteristics of the fishes. However, the fish productivity is greater in the fish-pig system than the fish- duck system. Growth of Catla in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 395.65, 417.39 and 426.08 %, respectively. Growth of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 531.25, 562.50 and 568.75 % respectively. Growth of Mrigala in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 348.83, 418.60 and 424.41 % respectively. The study revealed that the pig excreta act as excellent manure for the fish followed by Duck manure.

The average growth performances of fish in the systems are presented in Table 1. It is observed that in each of the systems, the fingerlings/ carry over exhibited considerable weight gain. The results reveal that pond fertilization from the pig excreta and duck droppings encourages the growth of tiny plants like algae and other phytoplankton and tiny animals (zooplankton), providing food for the fishes. Initial average weight of individual carry over fish i.e., Grass-carp, Catla, Rohu and Mrigala were 242, 230,160 and 170 g, respectively. Final average weight of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 1800±13.270, 1950±12.850 and 2050±13.520, respectively. Final average weight of Catla in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 910±9.450, 960±10.260 and 980±12.20 g, respectively. Final average weight of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 850±7.980, 900±11.230 and 910±11.160 g respectively. Final average weight of Mrigala in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 600±6.040, 720±8.500 and 730±10.560 g, respectively. So, significant differences were found in the productivity of the four varieties of fish between T₀ and T₁ and between T₀ and T₂. But only numerical difference was noticed between T₁ and T₂. Amongst the fish varieties tested, Grass carp exhibited highest growth followed by Catla, Rohu and Mrigala i.e., Growth of Grass carp in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 743.80, 805.78 and 847.10 %, respectively. This may be attributed to the feeding potential and genetic characteristics of the fishes. However, the fish productivity is greater in the fish-pig system than the fish- duck system. This result indicates the greater ability of pig excreta in fertilizing ponds than duck droppings, towards production of phytoplankton and zooplankton (Sahoo and Singh, 2015) [13]. Growth of Catla in

T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 395.65, 417.39 and 426.08 %, respectively. Growth of Rohu in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 531.25, 562.50 and 568.75 % respectively. Growth of Mrigala in T₀, T₁ and T₂ pond were 348.83, 418.60 and 424.41 % respectively. The study revealed that the pig excreta act as excellent manure for the fish followed by Duck manure.

Physico-chemical parameters of pond water found to be congenial for good growth of plankton as well as fishes. Freshwater bodies productivity can be determined from pH of water. Our findings also coincide with the congenial limit of prescribed range. Likewise, other parameters viz. dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, total alkalinity, total hardness, total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity are also found to be ideal for fish culture practice.

Physico-chemical parameters of pond water found to be congenial for good growth of plankton as well as fishes. Freshwater bodies productivity can be determined from pH of water. The optimum pH of water for inland water bodies ranges between 6.00 to 9.00 (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2004) [1]. Our findings also coincide with the congenial limit of prescribed range. Likewise, other parameters viz. dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, total alkalinity, total hardness, total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity are also found to be ideal for fish culture practice.

The plankton diversity in each treatment tanks were observed

every 60th days. The plankton density was observed to be significantly higher in T₂ (102±2.58-132±1.47 Units/L) than T₀ and T₁ which might be due to the effect of pig dung which contains higher levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. The phytoplankton composition comprises of mainly by *Closterium*, *Chlorella*, *Chlamydomonus*, *Oedogonium*, *Spirogyra*, *Staurastrum*, *Ulothrix*, *Volvox*, *Diatoma*, *Fragilaria*, *Melosira*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, *Pinnularia*, *Spirulina* and *Chroococcus* while zooplankton density contained *Moina*, *Daphnia*, *Cyclops*, *Branchionous*, *Bosmina* and *Copepoda*. These finding was total agreement with the findings of Tripathi and Sharma.

The plankton diversity in each treatment tanks were observed every 60th days. The plankton density (Table 3) was observed to be significantly higher in T₂ (102±2.58-132±1.47 Units/L) than T₀ and T₁ which might be due to the effect of pig dung which contains higher levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. The phytoplankton composition comprises of mainly by *Closterium*, *Chlorella*, *Chlamydomonus*, *Oedogonium*, *Spirogyra*, *Staurastrum*, *Ulothrix*, *Volvox*, *Diatoma*, *Fragilaria*, *Melosira*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, *Pinnularia*, *Spirulina* and *Chroococcus* while zooplankton density contained *Moina*, *Daphnia*, *Cyclops*, *Branchionous*, *Bosmina* and *Copepoda*. These finding was total agreement with the findings of Tripathi and Sharma.

Table 1: Fish annual stocking rate, initial and final weight (g/unit) & productivity (kg)

Fish variety Pond	Grass-Carp			Catla			Rohu			Mrigala		
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂
Stocking density (units) carried over Fish	225	225	112	270	270	135	225	225	112	180	180	91
Stocking density (units) after 5% mortality	213	213	106	256	256	128	213	213	106	171	171	86
Initial Av. Wt (g/unit) considering 5% mortality												
Final Av. weight (g/unit)												
Total Initial wt (kg)												
Productivity (Kg)												

*Means bearing similar superscripts in a row do not differ significantly. (Pond 1 (T₀) = Fish only, Pond 2 (T₁) = Fish-Duck Integration and Pond 3 T₂=Fish-Pig Integration)

Table 2: Mean value of physiochemical characteristics of the three ponds before and after treatment

Sl. No.	Parameters	Pre-treated pond			Treated pond		
		T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂
1.	pH	8.84±0.50	8.11±0.38	8.62±0.25	7.3±0.06	7.2±0.06	7.1±0.07
2.	DO (mg/L)	6.28±0.05	6.78±0.12	7.01±0.08	6.55±0.18	6.35±0.01	6.87±0.22
3.	TDS (mg/L)	0.53±0.01	0.41±0.01	0.8±0.2	30.72±2.50	29.44±2.54	35.15±2.72
4.	FCO ₂ (mg/L)	2.05±0.06	3.15±0.11	2.88±0.19	3.39±0.22	3.45±0.02	3.22±0.09
5.	Hardness(mg/L)	76.07±0.49	81.08±0.12	79.08±0.02	89.09±0.19	87.08±0.23	85.08±0.01
6.	Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	89±0.33	102±0.32	97±0.01	101±0.12	92±0.17	96±0.07
7.	Conductivity (µS/cm)	112±1.05	108±1.00	108±1.15	113±0.72	102±0.82	105±2.12
8.	Temperature (°C)	28.5±2.0	29.27±2.7	29.9±2.6	29.58±0.44	29.94±0.43	30.46±0.58

Table 3: Plankton Density

Treatment	60 Days		120 Days		180 Days	
	No. per litre	Total Plankton	No. per litre	Total Plankton	No. per litre	Total Plankton
T ₀	35±1.25	29,000	31±0.29	37,200	39±0.08	55,000
T ₁	88±0.79	1,02,050	81±0.86	96,600	76±1.02	1,15,000
T ₂	102±2.58	2,05,110	123±2.98	1,84,000	132±1.47	2,23,000

Conclusions

From the findings of the present study, it may be concluded that integrated fish-pig farming is profitable for getting higher growth of fish, net income and optimum utilization of the given resources.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Livestock Research Station (LRS), AAU, Mandira, Hekra, Kamrup, (Assam)-781127, College of Fisheries Science, Raha, Nagaon and Directorate of Research, (Vety.), AAU, Khanapara, Guwahati-22 for their

necessary, continuous support and guidance to carry out the research work. The authors are also thankful to the employee for providing various data for the study.

References

1. Bhatnagar A, Devi P. Water quality guidelines for the management of pond fish culture. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*. 2013;3(6):1980-2009.
2. Boyd CE, Tucker CS. *Pond Aquaculture Water Quality Management*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1998;MA700.
3. Delmendo MN. A review of integrated livestock-fowl-fish farming systems, In, 1980, 59-71.
4. Pullin RSV, Shehadeh ZH. (Editors). *Integrated agriculture-aquaculture farming systems*. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 4. International Centre for Living Aquatic Resource Management, Manila and the Southeast Asian Centre for Graduate Study and Research in Agriculture, College, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
5. Javed Khan, Fawad Ali. Effect of pituitary gland extract (PGE) and ovaprim on induced breeding in Rohu (*Labeo rohita*) and grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 2021;3(2):12-16. DOI: 10.33545/26649926.2021.v3.i2a.30
6. Jhingran AG. *Integrated fish-livestock-crop farming and its role in developing rural economy*. Bull. Cent. Inland Fish. Res. Inst., Barrackpore. 1986;48:4.
7. Korikantimath VS, Manjunath BL. *Integrated farming systems for sustainability in agricultural production*. Proceedings of national symposium on new paradigms in agronomic research. Indian Society of agronomy. Navsari Agriculture University. Gujarat, 2008, pp:279-281.
8. Needham GJ, Needham PR. *A guide to freshwater Biology* 5th Edition. Holden Day Inc. San Fransisco. 1966, 108.
9. *Package of Practices on Fisheries & Aquaculture in Assam* Directorate of Fisheries, Govt. of Assam, Guwahati, 2017, 24-26.
10. Philipose MT. *Chlorococcales*, ICAR. New Delhi, 1967, p 356.
11. Ramnathan KR. *Ulothricales* ICAR New Delhi, 1964, pp18.
12. Rappaport U, Sarig S. The result of manuring on intensive growth fish farming at the Ginosar Station ponds in 1977. *Bamidgeh*. 1978;30(2):27-36.
13. Sahoo UK, Singh SL. *Integrated Fish-Pig and Fish-Poultry Farming in East Kalcho, Saiha District of Mizoram, North-East India: An Economic Analysis*. *Int. J. of Agri. and Forestry*. 2015;5(5):281-286.
14. Santhanam R, Ramanathan N, Venkataramanujam KV, Jegatheesa G. *Phytoplankton of the Indian seas. As aspects of Marine Botany*. Daya Publishing House, Delhi, 1987, 127.
15. Shoko AP, Getabu A, Mwayuli G, Mgaya YD. *Growth Performance, Yields and Economic Benefits of Nile Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus and Kales Brassica oleracea cultured Under Vegetable-Fish Culture Integration*. *Tanzanian Journal of Science*. 2011;37:37-48.
16. Shyam R, Shaha GS, Dey MK. *Aquaculture success stories*. CIFA. Bhubaneswar, 2012.
17. Tripathi SD, Sharma BK. *Integrated fish-pig farming in India. Integrated Agriculture-Aquaculture: A Primer*. Animal-Fish Systems, 2005.
18. Williams MJ. *Aquaculture and Sustainable Food Security in the Developing World*. In: John E. Bardach (Ed.). *Sustainable Aquaculture*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1997, pp.15-51.
19. Wohlfarth GW, Schroeder GL. *Use of manure in fish farming- a review*. *Agricultural Wastes*. 1979;1:279-299.
20. Edmondson W T *Freshwater Biology*, 2nd Edition. John Wiley & Sons. Inc. New York, 1959, 1248.

www.fao.org/docrep/005/y1187e/g1187e retrieved on 15/03/08