



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; SP-11(5): 238-240  
© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 16-03-2022

Accepted: 18-04-2022

#### Arukontham Deepika

M.V.Sc Scholar, Department of  
Veterinary Medicine, C.V.Sc.,  
Rajendranagar, PVNRTVU  
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### P Nagaraj

Professor, Veterinary Clinical  
Complex, Veterinary Hospital,  
Bhoiguda, PVNRTVU,  
Secunderabad, Telangana, India

#### VV Amruth Kumar

Associate Professor & Head,  
Department of Veterinary  
Medicine, College of Veterinary  
Science, PVNRTVU, Mamnoon,  
Warangal, Telangana, India

#### M Usha Rani

Professor & Head, Department  
of Pharmacology and  
Toxicology, College of Veterinary  
Science, Rajendranagar,  
PVNRTVU, Hyderabad,  
Telangana, India

#### K Satish Kumar

Professor and University Head,  
Department of Veterinary  
Medicine, College of Veterinary  
Science Rajendranagar,  
PVNRTVU, Hyderabad,  
Telangana, India

#### Corresponding Author

#### Arukontham Deepika

M.V.Sc Scholar, Department of  
Veterinary Medicine, C.V.Sc.,  
Rajendranagar, PVNRTVU  
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

## Antibiotic sensitivity and cultural Pattern of superficial corneal ulcers in dogs

Arukontham Deepika, P Nagaraj, VV Amruth Kumar, M Usha Rani and K Satish Kumar

#### Abstract

32 cases of superficial corneal ulcers were studied for the isolation identification and antibiogram of microorganisms associated with superficial corneal ulcers. Out of 32 cases 21(65.62%) dogs were positive for microbial cultures and 11(34.38%) did not exhibit any growth. In the positive cultures, 16 (76.19%) were Gram-Positive and 5(23.81%) were Gram- negative bacteria, respectively. The results of isolates were 13 (61.9%), 5(23.8%) and 3(14.28%) of *Staphylococcus* spp., *E coli* spp. and *Streptococcus* spp. Moxifloxacin 21(100%) is the most effective drug followed by Enrofloxacin 21(100%), Gentamicin 21(100%), Tobramycin 11(52.38%) and Amoxicillin 10 (47.61%) and Ofloxacin 0.

**Keywords:** Superficial corneal ulcers, antibiogram, microflora, sensitivity test

#### Introduction

Corneal ulcers or ulcerative keratitis is one of the most common eye diseases in domestic animals, especially dogs. Although the corneal ulcer usually has a traumatic origin, it may rapidly become contaminated with bacteria [10]. Disruption in the superficial corneal epithelium leads to superficial corneal ulcers. Once the ulcer is infected, the healing process of corneal ulcer is retarded and can lead to an endophthalmitis or glaucoma [2]. Therefore, when the corneal ulcer is diagnosed the treatment with antibiotics must be initiated. The antibiotic therapy is selected based on the culture and susceptibility of antimicrobial tests of the isolated bacteria [5].

Therefore the aims of this study were to identify the microorganisms involved in corneal ulcers in dogs and their respective susceptibility to antimicrobials.


#### Materials and Methods

The dogs included in this study were subjected to clinical and ophthalmic examinations, using a pentorch, Direct ophthalmoscope, Indirect ophthalmoscope and a Slit- lamp. Corneal ulcers were detected by fluorescein stain test. The clinical specimens were obtained from each corneal ulcer by corneal scraping after topical anesthesia with Proparacaine 0.5%. The corneal swabs obtained aseptically from cornea of affected dogs were inoculated to the Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. After incubation a loopful BHI broth culture was streaked on BHI agar, Mac Conkey agar, Mannitol salt agar (MSA) plates aseptically. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The bacterial isolates were identified on the basis of cultural, morphological and biochemical characterization.

The BHI broth was inoculated with single sterile corneal swab and tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The turbidity of BHI broth was adjusted to turbidity of Mac Ferland Nephelometer No.5 tubes. The broth culture was uniformly spread over Muller Hinton agar plates using sterile cotton swab. The inoculum was allowed to dry and antibiotic disc were then inoculated and observed after over-night incubation for zone of inhibition. The following antibiotics were tested for all the isolates: Moxifloxacin,

Enrofloxacin, Gentamicin, Amoxicillin, Tobramycin and Ofloxacin. The diameter of zone of inhibition was recorded. The whole procedure was done by the standard technique [1]. Sampling for bacteriological studies was done on the day when case was reported at Veterinary Clinical Complex, Veterinary Hospital, Bhoiguda and Campus Veterinary Hospital, College of Veterinary Science, Rajendranagar, and Hyderabad.


**Results**




**Fig 1:** Appearance of yellow colonies of *Staphylococcus* spp. on MSA agar



**Fig 2:** Appearance of metallic sheen colonies of *E coli* on EMB agar



**Fig 3:** Antibiotic sensitivity test showing high sensitivity to Moxifloxacin in a dog affected with Superficial corneal ulcer



**Fig 1:** Pie chart display of microbial isolates in Dogs Affected with Superficial corneal ulcers

All 21 bacterial isolates revealed were identified on the basis of cultural characterization. Out of these 16 (76.19%) were Gram-Positive and 5(23.81%) were Gram-negative bacteria. Among these isolates major microorganisms was *Staphylococcus* spp., 13 (61.9%), *E. coli* spp. 5(23.8%) and *Streptococcus* spp. 3(14.28%). Moxifloxacin 21(100%) is the most effective drug followed by Enrofloxacin 21(100%), Gentamicin 21(100%), Tobramycin % and Amoxicillin 10 (47.61%) and Ofloxacin 0. [3] found that the most frequent bacterial genera isolated were *Staphylococcus* spp. (32.3%). [7] reported that *Staphylococcus* spp. was more commonly identified bacteria [4] in his findings reported that the most commonly isolated bacteria were *Staphylococcus* spp. (49%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (7.6%), *Streptococcus* and *Corynebacterium* spp. (7% each) and *E. coli* (5.8%) [9]. observed bacterial growth in 100% of the samples and isolated *Staphylococcus* spp. [13] determined the bacteriogram of dogs affected with corneal ulcers and stated that 47.06%, 12.94% and 8.24% of *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Pseudomonas* spp., respectively from the corneal ulcers affected eyes [6] reported that the commonly isolated bacteria was *Staphylococcus* and *E. coli*. In his findings, the Gram-positive bacteria were predominant and susceptible to amikacin, ciprofloxacin, tobramycin, norfloxacin and amoxicillin + clavulanic acid [13]. Determined the bacteriogram of dogs affected with corneal ulcers and stated that 47.06%, 12.94% and 8.24% of *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Pseudomonas* spp., respectively from the corneal ulcers affected eyes. In their findings, *Staphylococcus intermedius* was the most predominant bacteria isolated. [8] stated that *Staphylococcus intermedius* was the most predominant species. Further, antibiotic sensitivity test revealed Moxifloxacin antibiotics to be highly effective in the treatment of corneal ulcers. In the present findings Moxifloxacin was found to be most effective to which 100% isolates were sensitive. The second antibiotic that found to be more effective is Enrofloxacin. The present findings were supported by [12] stated that topical fluoroquinolones were effective in treating infected corneal ulcers or stromal abscesses, particularly infections involving Gram negative organisms [9]. In his study reported that Moxifloxacin antibiotics to be highly effective in the treatment of corneal ulcers.

**Conclusion**

Present study indicates that the most commonly isolated bacteria in superficial corneal ulcers affected dogs was *Staphylococcus* spp. followed *E coli* spp. and *Streptococcus* spp. Moxifloxacin is found to be most effective antibiotic to which 100% isolates were sensitive followed by Enrofloxacin.

**Reference**

1. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. American journal of clinical pathology. 1966;45(4):493.
2. Gelatt KN. Essentials of veterinary Ophthalmology. 3.ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2000, 595.
3. Hewitt JS, Allbaugh RA, Kenne DE, Sebbag L. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates from dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Midwestern United States. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2020;7:912.

4. Lin CT, Petersen-JSM. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates from corneal ulcers of dogs in Taiwan. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*. 2007;48(5):271-274.
5. Moore CP, Heller N, Majors LJ, *et al*. Prevalance of ocular microorganisms in hospitalized and stabled horses. *Am. J Vet. Res.* 1988;49:773-777.
6. Nagaraj P. Clinical and diagnostic studies on certain ophthalmic conditions in dogs and their therapeutic management. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupati, A.P. 2011.
7. Prado MR, Rocha MF, Brito ÉH, Girão MD, Monteiro AJ, Teixeira MF. Survey of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. *Veterinary Ophthalmology*. 2005;8(1):33-37.
8. Prado MR, Brito EH, Girão MD, Sidrim JJ, Rocha MF. Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria isolated from corneal ulcers of dogs. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*. 2006;58(6):1024-1029.
9. Sharma A, Gupta AK, Singh M, Dwivedi DK. Bacterial investigation and antibiogram in corneal ulcers in dogs. *Journal of Animal Research*. 2019;9(1):195-199.
10. Slatter DH. *Fundamentals of veterinary ophthalmology*. 3ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 2001. 640p.
11. Townsend WM. Ophthalmic drugs: What's new?. *Veterinary Medicine*. 2007;102(8):542-545.
12. Wang L, Pan Q, Zhang L, Xue Q, Cui J, Qi C. Investigation of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Beijing, China. *Veterinary Ophthalmology*. 2008 May-Jun;11(3):145-149.