



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(5): 1554-1557  
© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 10-02-2022

Accepted: 23-03-2022

#### Gawande SM

All India Coordinated Research  
Project on Safflower, Vasanttrao  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

#### Ghughe SB

All India Coordinated Research  
Project on Safflower, Vasanttrao  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

#### Kalpande HV

All India Coordinated Research  
Project on Safflower, Vasanttrao  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

#### Rathod ST

All India Coordinated Research  
Project on Safflower, Vasanttrao  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

#### Corresponding Author:

#### Gawande SM

All India Coordinated Research  
Project on Safflower, Vasanttrao  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

## Optimal lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) of gamma rays and EMS induced mutagenesis in PBNS-12 variety of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.)

Gawande SM, Ghughe SB, Kalpande HV and Rathod ST

#### Abstract

In present investigation, the seeds of safflower variety PBNS-12 were treated with different doses of gamma rays viz., 100 Gy, 200 Gy, 300 Gy, 400 Gy, 500 Gy and different concentrations of EMS viz., 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, 0.4% and their combination treatments. The plant survival percentage was greatly affected by mutagenic treatments of gamma rays and EMS which showed a negative dose dependent relationship in PBNS-12 variety. Based on mortality percentages and probit values, the expected LD<sub>50</sub> value was computed. The LD<sub>50</sub> value for PBNS-12 was fixed at 299.5 Gy for gamma rays and 0.25% for EMS. Gamma rays caused the greatest decline in plant survival percentage, followed by combination and EMS treatments. Gamma rays exhibited significant reduction in plant survival percentage (1%) than EMS (24.45%) in PBNS-12 variety of safflower. It is concluded that both the mutagens are effective to produce significant variations in safflower which can be further explored for mutation mapping.

**Keywords:** EMS, gamma rays, plant survival, LD<sub>50</sub>

#### Introduction

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is one of the most significant and oldest oil-producing crops, having been cultivated for centuries around the world, primarily as a source of edible oil and colors. It is also known as kardai in Marathi and kusum in Hindi in India. It belongs to the Asteraceae/Compositae family. *Carthamus* is a genus with 25 species, of which only *Carthamus tinctorius* L. (2n=24) is cultivated. It's a drought-tolerant crop that thrives in heavy soils with low soil moisture (Pushavalli *et al.*, 2017). Safflower is cultivated in over 60 countries; especially India, China, Mexico, the United States, Ethiopia, Argentina and Australia are the top producers. In India, it is mainly grown in Maharashtra, Karnataka and parts of Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, and Bihar etc. Maharashtra and Karnataka are the two most important safflower growing states accounting for 72 and 23 per cent of area and 63 and 35 per cent of production, respectively (Pattar and Patil, 2020) [12].

Mutation breeding helps the geneticists and breeders to create tremendous variability that cannot be achieved through selection or hybridization process. Any agronomic trait can be improved simply by inducing mutations through the development of variability and using a selection system (Cheema *et al.* 2003) [5]. Physical or chemical factors can be used to create artificial mutations. In terms of physical mutagens, gamma rays have been widely used due to their ionizing nature and high penetrating capacity (Khin, 2006) [8], which produce free radicals (Spencer-Lopes *et al.* 2018) when they interact with water molecules present on exposed biological materials, disrupting the H-bond between complementary base pairs of double helix DNA. In case of chemical mutagens, EMS is a common alkylating agent which causes G/C to A/T transitions leads to point mutations whereas ionizing radiations produce chromosomal abnormalities and deletions (Bhat *et al.* 2007) [2]. However, when these two agents (gamma rays and EMS) diverge in their potential to create irreversible abnormalities such as lethality and sterility, then they may be considered to differ in their mutagenic efficiency.

The selection of an efficient mutagen and optimum doses for inducing variability is critical. As a result, determining the optimal dose range is critical for developing desired macro mutants with the least amount of biological damage. In the present investigation studied the lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub> values) for gamma irradiated and EMS treated safflower variety PBNS-12 on the plant survival rate.

**Material and Methods**

The pure seeds of a well adopted and popular variety of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.), PBNS-12 (Parbhani Kusum) were procured from the safflower Breeder, AICRP on safflower, VNMKV, Parbhani. Uniform 200 pure dry, well filled seeds with about 8-10% moisture of variety PBNS-12 were exposed to 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 Gy dose of gamma rays (CO<sup>60</sup>) at Nuclear and Agriculture Division, B.A.R.C., Trombay, Mumbai. For chemical mutagen treatment the uniform 200 pure dry seeds of variety PBNS-12 were presoaked in distilled water for 3 hours and then dipped in enough mutagenic solution of different concentrations (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3% and 0.4% EMS) and duration. Chemical mutagenic treatment was carried out in a shaker at 200 rpm at 25±2 °C for 18 hours. Gamma irradiated seeds were also combined treated with EMS at 200 Gy + 0.1% EMS, 200 Gy + 0.2% EMS, 300 Gy + 0.1% EMS and 300 Gy + 0.2% EMS respectively. The dry but un-irradiated and seeds soaked in distilled water served as control in case of both the mutagenic treatments. A detailed account of treatments is tabulated as under.

**Table 1: Mutagens**

Physical (gamma rays)	Chemical (EMS)	Combined treatment
100 Gy	0.1%	200 Gy + 0.1% EMS
200 Gy	0.2%	200 Gy + 0.2% EMS
300 Gy	0.3%	300 Gy + 0.1% EMS
400 Gy	0.4%	300 Gy + 0.2% EMS
500 Gy	-	-

**Field experiment**

The mutagen treated seeds were sown in the field during *rabi* 2019-20 at AICRP on safflower, VNMKV, Parbhani by dibbling method with a spacing of 45 x 20 cm in simple RBD design with control in three replications. All the recommended agronomical practices and fertilizer dose was given. In M<sub>1</sub> generation, the effect of mutagens on plant survival was studied.

**Plant Survival (%)**

Seedlings survived on 30<sup>th</sup> days after sowing were counted. Survival percentage was calculated by using the following formula:

$$\text{Survival (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total no. of seedling survived}}{\text{Total no. of seeds emerged}} \times 100$$

**Probit Analysis for LD<sub>50</sub> fixation**

The LD<sub>50</sub> (lethal dose) value of gamma rays and EMS for PBNS-12 variety of safflower was calculated according to the probit analysis (Finney, 1978) [7]. The inverse cumulative distribution function or quantile function associated with the standard normal distribution is represented by the probit function. The steps for probit analysis are as follow:

- Transformation of the dose/concentration of mutagens

into log<sub>10</sub> values.

- Determination of the mortality % due to treatments doses.
- Corrected mortality percentage was calculated using Abbott's formula

$$\text{Corrected mortality (\%)} = \frac{M \text{ observed} - M \text{ control}}{100 - M \text{ control}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The corrected mortality proportions (P) were converted to empirical probits (y) and a dose response regression curve drawn using log<sub>10</sub> doses (x) and empirical probits (y). Empirical probits (y) values <1 and >7 are ignored (Hayes, 2014).

$$(x-\mu) \text{ Empirical probits (y)} = 5 + \text{-----} \quad (2)$$

From equation (2) the expected probits (Y<sub>i</sub>) were derived. The LD or LC values are derived from the curve drawn using probits and log doses. Antilog to the Log<sub>10</sub> value corresponds to respective probit value and 95% fiducial confidence limits are calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Fiducial Limits} = \text{Antilog (Log}_{10} \text{ Dose} \pm 1.96 \text{ (SE)})$$

**Statistical Analysis**

Probit analysis used to determine the optimal lethal dose and empirical probit units and LD<sub>50</sub> were computed in Microsoft Excel 2010.

**Result and Discussion**

**Effect of Mutagen on Plant survival percentage**

Observations on survival percent was made on 30 days after sowing. The number of plants survived of variety PBNS-12 in M<sub>1</sub> generation was recorded and converted to percentage. The plant survival percentage has been presented in Table 2. The plant survival percentage of PBNS-12 was reduced in all the mutagenic treatment as compared to control. The maximum plant survival 78.15% was recorded at 100 Gy dose of gamma rays followed by 0.1% EMS (75.30%) and 200 Gy +0.1% EMS (74%) doses/ concentrations of mutagenic treatments.

The maximum reduction of plant survival percentage was recorded in gamma rays followed by combination and EMS treatments respectively. The lowest plant survival percentage (1%) was recorded at 500 Gy dose of gamma rays followed by 300 Gy+ 0.2% EMS (14.55%), 0.4% EMS (24.45%) and 200 Gy+ 0.2% EMS (30.67%) doses/ concentrations of mutagenic treatments. In the present investigation the plant survival rate decreased with an increase in doses /concentrations of both physical and chemical and their combinations mutagenic treatments over control in M<sub>1</sub> generation. Similar types of results have been reported by Boureima *et al.* (2009) [4] in african sesame, Satpute and kothekar (1996) [15] in safflower, Bhoite *et al.* (2019) [3] in soybean, Singh *et al.* (2018) [16] in sesame, Kumar *et al.* (2010) [9] in sunflower and Diouf *et al.* (2010) [4] in sesame.

**Table 2: Effect of mutagens on plant survival in M<sub>1</sub> generation of safflower variety PBNS-12**

Treatment	Plant survival%	Treatment	Plant survival%
100 Gy	78.15	200 Gy + 0.1% EMS	74.00
200 Gy	69.23	200 Gy + 0.2% EMS	30.67
300 Gy	65.06	300 Gy + 0.1% EMS	54.38
400 Gy	60.86	300 Gy + 0.2% EMS	14.55

500 Gy	01.00	Dry control	89.13
0.1%	75.30	Wet control	87.95
0.2%	72.41	-	-
0.3%	49.61	-	-
0.4%	24.45	-	-

### Determination of LD<sub>50</sub> (Lethal Dose) Values

The LD<sub>50</sub> value for PBNS-12 variety of safflower determined through probit analysis based on plant survival. The expected LD<sub>50</sub> values and probit units based on mortality percentage of mutated population of PBNS-12 presented in Table 3 and 4.

The lethal dose refers to the minimum concentration that cause 50% of mortality or 50% survival of mutated seeds. The LD<sub>50</sub> differs between genotypes depending on the genetic background, nature of treatment and environmental conditions (Singh, 2005). The optimal dose for high frequency mutations

has been determined to be LD<sub>50</sub> for artificially induced mutations using physical or chemical mutagens (Anbarasan *et al.*, 2015) [1]. The LD<sub>50</sub> of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) variety PBNS-12 was found at 299.5 Gy and 0.25% of gamma rays and EMS dosage respectively. Similarly, Niu *et al.*, (2009) [10] estimated the optimum dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) of gamma rays in safflower to be around 300 Gy, but no data on the optimum concentration (LD<sub>50</sub>) of EMS in safflower. Yadav *et al.* (2016) [18] found that the optimum concentration (LD<sub>50</sub>) of EMS in *S. alba* is around 0.3% EMS concentration.

**Table 3:** Estimation of LD<sub>50</sub> dose based on Plant survival (Gamma rays)

Dose of gamma rays (Gy)	Log <sub>10</sub> value of dose	Reduction in Plant survival% (Dead %)	Probit value	LD <sub>50</sub> value	LD <sub>50</sub> Dose
100	2.00	21.85	4.23	2.4765	Antilog (2.4765) = 299.5 Gy
200	2.30	30.77	4.50		
300	2.48	34.94	4.61		
400	2.60	39.14	4.72		
500	2.70	99.00	6.28		

**Table 4:** Estimation of LD<sub>50</sub> dose based on Plant survival (EMS)

Concentration of EMS (%)	Concentration of EMS (PPM)	Log <sub>10</sub> value of concentration (PPM)	Reduction in Plant survival (%) (Dead %)	Probit value	LD <sub>50</sub> value	LD <sub>50</sub> dose
0.1	1000	3.00	24.70	4.33	3.4040	Antilog (3.4040) =2536.0 =0.2536%
0.2	2000	3.30	27.49	4.42		
0.3	3000	3.48	50.39	5.03		
0.4	4000	3.60	75.55	5.71		

### Conclusion

It was concluded from the present study that the mutagenic treatment given between 250-350 Gy in the case of gamma rays and 0.2-0.3% concentration of EMS were appropriate for mutagenic treatment in the case of safflower, according to the obtained LD<sub>50</sub> values. However, gamma rays found to cause higher mortality rates than EMS concentration in PBNS-12 examined. Both the mutagens are efficient to produce significant induced variations in safflower which can be further explored for developing populations and mutation mapping.

### Acknowledgement

I Shailesh Murlidhar Gawande thankful to Chhatrapati Shahu Maharaj Research, Training and Human Development Institute (SARTHI), Pune for the financial support to carry out the research work entitled on "Genetic improvement of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) through induced mutation". Author also thanks to Dr. Ashok Badigannavar, Scientific officer, Nuclear Agriculture and Biotechnology Division, BARC, Mumbai for his suggestions during the present study.

### References

- Anbarasan K, Rajendran R, Sivalingam D. Studies on the mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of gamma rays, EMS and combined treatments in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) var. TMV3. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2015;6(4):589.
- Bhat RS, Upadhyaya NM, Chaudhury A, Raghavan C, Qiu F, Wang H. Chemical and irradiation induced mutants and TILLING. Rice functional genomics: Springer, 2007; pp:148-180.
- Bhoite BS, Kamble MS, Aher AR, Chavan MV. Mutagenic sensitivity in M<sub>1</sub> generation of three varieties of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(5):1817-1820.
- Boureima S, Diouf M, Silme RS, Diop T, Damme PV, Cagorgan MI. Radiosensitivity of African sesame cultivars to gamma rays. Turkish J of Field Crops. 2009;14(2):181-190.
- Cheema AA, Atta BM. Radiosensitivity studies in basmati rice. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2003;35(2): 197-207.
- Diouf M, Boureima S, Diop T, Cagorgan MI. Gamma rays- Induced mutant spectrum and frequency in sesame. Turkish Journal of Field Crops. 2010;15(1):99-105.
- Finney DJ. Statistical method in biological assay (3<sup>rd</sup> edit.). Charles Griffin and Company Ltd; 1978.
- Khin TN. Rice mutation breeding for varietal improvement in myanmar, 2006.
- Kumar PR, Ratnam SV. Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency in varieties of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) by separate and combined treatment with gamma rays and sodium azide. African Journal of Biotechnology.

- 2010;9(39):6517-6521.
10. Niu S, Yan W, Wang X, Li X. Effects of seeds irradiation with Co<sup>60</sup> gamma ray on shoot growth and physiological status of Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L). China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica. 2009;34(23):3004-3007.
  11. Parthasarathi G, Arumugam Pillai M, Kannan R, Kumari SMP, Binodh AK. Optimal lethal dose determination for gamma rays and EMS induced mutagenesis in TMV 7 and SVPR1 Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) varieties. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2020;39(28):136-144.
  12. Pattar VK, Patil R. Correlation and path analysis in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) genotypes. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(4):1717-1719.
  13. Pushpavalli SNCVL, Kumar G. Study of genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of safflower genotypes. Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;8(3):706-709.
  14. Sandhiya V, Kumar M, Parameswari C, Vanniarajan C, Kumaravadivel N, Dakthivel N, Badigannavar AM. Determination of optimum dose of chemical mutagen for large scale seed treatment of white seeded sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) varieties. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2020;11(1):238-242.
  15. Satpute RA, Kothekar VS. Mutagenic efficiency and effectiveness in safflower. Journal of Nuclear Agriculture and Biology. 1996;25:230-234.
  16. Singh L, Singh PP, Mishra MN. Effect of gamma rays, hydroxylamine and maleic hydrazide on germination, plant survival and pollen viability in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018, pp: 293-296.
  17. Spencer-Lopes M, Forster BP, Z Jankuloski L. Manual on mutation breeding. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2018.
  18. Yadav P, Meena HS, Meena PD, Kumar A, Gupta R, Jambhulkar S, Rani R, Singh D. Determination of LD<sub>50</sub> of ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) for induction of mutations in rapeseed- mustard. Journal of oilseed Brassica. 2016;7(1):77-82.