



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(5): 478-480  
© 2022 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 07-03-2022  
Accepted: 19-04-2022

**Rahul Singh Yadav**  
Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology A.K.S. University, Sherganj, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Mandwade Vaibhav**  
Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology A.K.S. University, Sherganj, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Balaji Vikram**  
Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology A.K.S. University, Sherganj, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Mandwade Vaibhav**  
Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology A.K.S. University, Sherganj, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

## Effect of vermicompost and Biochar on growth, yield and economics of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) cv. Kashi Anmol-2

Rahul Singh Yadav, Mandwade Vaibhav and Balaji Vikram

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during winter season of 2019-20 at the Instructional Farm, A.K.S. University, Satna (M.P.) to study the effect of vermicompost and Biochar on growth, yield and economics of chilli cv. Kashi Anmol-2. It may be concluded that out of the twelve integrated nutrient management treatment, the treatment T<sub>12</sub> having 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 100 kg K+1 t/ha Vermicompost + 80 kg/ha Biochar emerged as the best treatment for the growth, yield-attributes and yield of chilli var. Kashi Anmol-2 to suit the environmental condition of Satna region the yield was 2.60 t/ha and net income Rs. 155580/ha. However the second and third equally best treatments were T<sub>9</sub> (100 kg/ha N + 80 kg/ha P+1 t/ha Vermicompost + 80 kg/ha Biochar) and T<sub>10</sub> (100 kg/ha N +100 kg/ha K+ 1 t/ha Vermicompost + 80 kg/ha Biochar). The yield was 2.58 to 2.59 t/ha with net income Rs. 255780 to Rs. 157500/ha.

**Keywords:** Scholar, vermicompost, chilli cv. Kashi Anmol-2

### Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) is among the largest commercial vegetable (spice) crops in India belonging to the Solanaceae family. Chilli being a heavy feeder and exhaustive crop responds well towards nutrients application. The long-term use of chemical fertilizers is known to degrade physico-chemical and biological properties of soil and soil health. The organic sources of nutrients gaining global importance in crop production and are required to be integrated with chemical fertilizers (Altaf *et al.*, 2019) [3]. Vermicompost is a rich source of mineral nutrients and acts as a chelating against and regulates the availability of metallic micronutrients to the plants.

Moreover, in terms of plant growth and soil health, vermicompost plays an important role in improving soil texture, aeration, soil compaction and thus enhances more water and nutrients uptake by plants from their surrounding areas of root zone. There is much evidence that the activity of earthworms accelerates organic matter mineralization, decomposition of polysaccharides, increase the humus in the soil and oppositely reduce the availability of toxic heavy elements to plants (Kashem *et al.* 2015) [1]. Biochar is a carbon-rich organic material and a by-product derived from biomass by the process of pyrolysis under high temperature and low oxygen conditions. It basically involves heating of biomass (such as wood, manure or leaves) with oil and gases a co-products. Looking to all these facts the present research was taken up to boost the productivity of chilli in this region.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during winter season of 2019-20 at the Instructional Farm, A.K.S. University, Satna (M.P.). The soil of the experimental field was silty clay-loam having pH 7.5, electrical conductivity 0.28 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 4.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, available N 188 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and available K<sub>2</sub>O 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The winter rains received were 2.88 mm. The treatments comprised twelve integrated nutrient management (INM) practices (Table 1). The experiment was laid out in a randomised complete block design with three replications. Seedlings of chilli (Kashi Anmol-2) were transplanted on 10 November, 2019 with 45 x 30 cm distance. The sources of NPK were urea, DAP (Diammonium phosphate) and MOP (Muriate of Potash), respectively. The NPK were applied in the ratio of 100:80:100, respectively in split doses. At the time of transplanting, half amount of NPK was applied, while the rest amount was applied after 50 days of transplanting.

The vermicompost @ 1 t/ha and Biochar @ 80 kg/ha were applied 15 days before transplanting. The picking of fruits was done thrice during the month of March, 2020.

## Results and Discussion

**Growth parameters:** The data in Table 1 indicate that out of the INM treatments, T<sub>12</sub> (N<sub>100</sub> P<sub>80</sub> K<sub>100</sub> + 1t VC/ha + 80 kg Biochar /ha) resulted in significantly maximum plant height (62.63 cm), leaves 96.24/plant internodal length 6.91 cm and stem diameter 4.48 cm except T<sub>10</sub> (N<sub>100</sub> K<sub>100</sub> + 1t VC/ha + 80 kg Biochar /ha). The third best treatment was T<sub>9</sub> ((N<sub>100</sub> P<sub>80</sub> +

1t VC/ha + 80 kg Biochar /ha). This was due to increased supply of essential plant nutrients in optimum quantity during the entire growth period. Moreover the organic sources of nutrients improved soil aeration, root development and increased microbial and biological activities in the rhizosphere. All these beneficial factors available to the crop plant augmented the photosynthates production and their translocation towards the vegetative parts of the plant. These results are in close conformity with those of Veena *et al.* (2017)<sup>[8]</sup>, Reddy *et al.* (2017)<sup>[4]</sup>, Mishra and Dayal (2018)<sup>[5]</sup> and Kumar *et al.* (2019)<sup>[6]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Growth and phenological parameters as influenced by INM practices

| Treatments  | Plant height (cm) | Number of leaves per plant | Internodal length (cm) | Diameter of stem (cm) | Days to first flower appearance | Days to fist fruit appearance | Number of flowers /plant |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Without Fertilizers (Control)                              | 33.72             | 52.89                      | 5.37                   | 3.53                  | 53.43                           | 58.05                         | 256                      |
| T <sub>2</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg Biochar /ha                               | 50.24             | 76.61                      | 6.02                   | 4.01                  | 45.29                           | 50.17                         | 272                      |
| T <sub>3</sub> 80 kg P + 80 kg Biochar /ha                                | 40.49             | 68.28                      | 5.71                   | 3.79                  | 47.03                           | 52.31                         | 263                      |
| T <sub>4</sub> 100 kg K + 80 kg Biochar /ha                               | 39.67             | 67.56                      | 5.43                   | 3.67                  | 47.50                           | 52.67                         | 261                      |
| T <sub>5</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 100 kg K + 80 kg Biochar/ha           | 58.86             | 88.26                      | 6.30                   | 4.25                  | 43.47                           | 48.06                         | 282                      |
| T <sub>6</sub> 100 kg N + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha                       | 57.04             | 90.71                      | 6.24                   | 4.23                  | 43.65                           | 47.92                         | 278                      |
| T <sub>7</sub> 80 kg P + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha                        | 48.30             | 76.06                      | 8.83                   | 3.85                  | 46.08                           | 51.58                         | 267                      |
| T <sub>8</sub> 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha                       | 56.67             | 69.39                      | 5.87                   | 3.98                  | 45.72                           | 49.67                         | 269                      |
| T <sub>9</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha             | 59.06             | 92.22                      | 6.44                   | 4.31                  | 43.15                           | 47.67                         | 287                      |
| T <sub>10</sub> 100 kg N + 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha           | 59.57             | 94.78                      | 6.86                   | 4.43                  | 42.39                           | 44.89                         | 290                      |
| T <sub>11</sub> 80 kg P + 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha            | 52.11             | 84.44                      | 6.21                   | 4.15                  | 4.12                            | 48.14                         | 275                      |
| T <sub>12</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha | 62.83             | 96.24                      | 6.91                   | 4.48                  | 40.51                           | 42.62                         | 294                      |
| S.Em+   | 1.15              | 1.10                       | 0.32                   | 0.21                  | 1.01                            | 1.10                          | 1.10                     |
| C.D. (5%)   | 2.39              | 2.29                       | 0.66                   | 0.44                  | 2.09                            | 2.28                          | 2.27                     |

VC= Vermicompost

## Phenological parameters

The best treatment (T<sub>12</sub>) brought about earliest first flower and fruit appearance by 13 and 15 days, respectively, thereby flowers formation, increased up to 38/plant. This trend was observed in all other treatments which may be owing to varied nutrients composition applied to the crop plants. Consequently vegetative growth period shortened to carry out earthier start of reproductive period, consequently the flowers formation was increased.

## Yield attributes, yield and net income

The data in Table 2 apparently indicate that the treatments T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> continued to be the equally best in yield attributes (31.1 to 33.2% fruits set, 121.8 to 127.5 fruits /plant, 8.69 to 9.74 cm fruit length and 4.17 to 4.21 kg total fruit weight /plant). Consequently the equal yields were

obtained (2.58 to 2.60 t/ha). Thus the productivity of chilli was exactly in accordance with the yield attributes under these treatments. The present results agree with those of Chandraprabha *et al.* (2018)<sup>[9]</sup>, Malathi (2019)<sup>[7]</sup> and Mishra *et al.* (2019)<sup>[2]</sup>.

Amongst the INM treatments having applied higher nutrient levels as in T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> treatments gave equal net income (Rs. 150500 to Rs. 157780/ha) with B:C ratio 3.97 to 4.36. The lowest income (Rs. 98400/ha) was noted from the control treatment. The wide differences in net income due to applied INM treatments were owing to the similar differences in their chilli production which fetched the market price up to the same extent. From the results, it may be concluded that the combined applied of vermicompost (1 t/ha) and Biochar (80 kg/ha) with higher doses of NPK proved the best INM treatment for Satna region of Madhya Pradesh.

**Table 2:** Yield attributes, yield and economics of chilli as influenced by INM practices

| Treatments  | Fruits set (%) | No. of fruits /plant | Length of fruit (cm) | Total fruit weight (kg/plant) | Yield of chilli (t/ha) | Net income (Rs. /ha) | B:C ratio |
|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Without Fertilizers (Control)                    | 20.3           | 84.4                 | 6.23                 | 2.81                          | 1.74                   | 98400                | 3.41      |
| T <sub>2</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg Biochar /ha                     | 25.2           | 106.6                | 7.72                 | 3.57                          | 2.19                   | 132300               | 4.08      |
| T <sub>3</sub> 80 kg P + 80 kg Biochar /ha                      | 22.2           | 101.3                | 6.97                 | 3.36                          | 2.07                   | 120880               | 3.70      |
| T <sub>4</sub> 100 kg K + 80 kg Biochar /ha                     | 21.6           | 97.1                 | 6.95                 | 3.21                          | 2.02                   | 119400               | 3.83      |
| T <sub>5</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 100 kg K + 80 kg Biochar/ha | 29.4           | 114.2                | 8.48                 | 4.15                          | 2.56                   | 157780               | 4.36      |
| T <sub>6</sub> 100 kg N + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha             | 28.9           | 111.7                | 8.27                 | 4.02                          | 2.48                   | 150500               | 4.14      |
| T <sub>7</sub> 80 kg P + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha              | 23.0           | 103.3                | 7.03                 | 3.39                          | 2.10                   | 118280               | 3.38      |
| T <sub>8</sub> 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha             | 24.7           | 105.8                | 7.42                 | 3.42                          | 2.11                   | 121600               | 3.58      |
| T <sub>9</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha   | 30.6           | 121.8                | 8.69                 | 4.20                          | 2.59                   | 155780               | 4.03      |
| T <sub>10</sub> 100 kg N + 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha | 31.1           | 122.9                | 9.41                 | 4.17                          | 2.58                   | 157500               | 4.22      |
| T <sub>11</sub> 80 kg P + 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg Biochar/ha  | 26.4           | 108.3                | 8.01                 | 3.58                          | 2.21                   | 126080               | 3.49      |
| T <sub>12</sub> 100 kg N + 80 kg P + 100 kg K + 1 t VC + 80 kg  | 33.2           | 127.5                | 9.74                 | 4.21                          | 2.60                   | 155580               | 3.97      |

|  |            |      |      |      |      |      |   |   |
|--|------------|------|------|------|------|------|---|---|
|  | Biochar/ha |      |      |      |      |      |   |   |
|  | S.Em+      | 0.59 | 1.19 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.12 | - | - |
|  | C.D. (5%)  | 1.22 | 2.46 | 0.88 | 0.40 | 0.25 | - | - |

VC= Vermicompost

## References

1. Kashem MA, Sarkar A, Hossain I, Islam MS. Comparison of the effect of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on vegetative growth and fruit production of tomato. *Open Journal of Soil Science*. 2015;5:53-58.
2. Mishra D, Mohilal N, Mongiam S. Effect of compost and vermicompost prepared from different biodegradable wastes on the growth of King Chilli. *International Journal of Plant, Animal & Environmental Sciences*. 2019;9(2):74-82.
3. Altaf MA, Shahid R, Altaf MA, Ren MX, Ke Tan, Xiang WQ, *et al.* Effect of NPK, organic manure and their combination on growth yield and nutrient uptake of chilli. *Horticulture International Journal*. 2019;3(5):217-222.
4. Reddy GC, Venkatachalapathi V, Reddy GPD, Hebbar SS. Study of different organic manure combination on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Plant Archives*. 2017;17(1):472-474.
5. Mishra A, Dayal A. Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Seed Quality of Different Varieties of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Nat Prod Chem Res*. 2018;6:(4)1-4.
6. Kumar PG, Reddy BM, Das L, Anitha T, Soujanya P. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth of chilli *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;(SP3):128-130.
7. Malathi P. Quality of Chillies as Influenced by Organic Manures Application. *Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 2019;8(1):2811-2818.
8. Veena SK, Giraddi RS, Bhemmanna M, Kandpal K. Effect of neem cake and vermicompost on growth and yield parameter of chilli. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2017;5(5):1042-1044.
9. Chandraprabha K, Kurrey DK, Banjare LD. Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield and physiological parameter of chilli *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6(4):118-122.