www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(5): 254-256 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 06-03-2022 Accepted: 15-04-2022

Subbarao

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh, India

Joy Dawson

Professor and Head, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Subbarao

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of phosphorous and zinc on growth and yield of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Subbarao and Joy Dawson

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted Effect of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of field pea (*Pisum* sativum) during the *rabi* season of 2021-22 with 9 treatments (*viz.*, P at 55, 60, 65kg/ha respectively and Zn at 10, 15, 20 kg/ha respectively) at the CRF (Crop Research Farm) SHIATS, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. The treatments comprised T1 – 55 kg P/ha + 10 kg Zn/ha, T2 – 55 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha, T3 – 55 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, T4 – 60 kg P/ha + 10 kg Zn/ha, T5 – 60 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha, T6 – 60 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, T7 – 65 kg P/ha + 10 kg Zn/ha, T8 – 65 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha, T9 – 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, T7 – 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, T8 – 65 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha, T9 – 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, number of branches/plant (9.56), number of pods/plant (20.09), length of pod (7.34 cm), seed index (126.08 g), seed yield (2.41 t/ha), stover yield (3.33 t/ha), gross returns (144600 INR/ha), net returns (99910 INR/ha) and B:C ratio (2.23).

Keywords: Economics, growth, field pea, phosphorus, zinc, yield

Introduction

Pea is one of the six major pulse crops cultivated globally and it is second highest yielding legume in the world after common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) (FAO, 2010). Field pea is an important pulse crop in India, covering an area of 0.498 million ha with production of 4.81 million tones (Anonymous, 2017). Field pea (Pisum sativum) is a popular pulse crop of India. India is the second largest producer of pea in the world after Russia. Pea is rich in protein, carbohydrates, vitamin A and C, calcium and phosphorus. In 2017, a total of 8,141,031 hectares of field pea were harvested globally, with the top producers consisting of Canada, Russia, China, India, and the United States (FAOSTAT, 2019) however, this is only aa minimal fraction compared to cereal production. Cultivated land acreage for field pea and other pulses has been in steady decline over the past 30 years. Average yields have increased about 70-084% since 1974 for staple legumes, such as soybean, lentil, chickpea, and groundnut; in contrast, yields for field pea have increased but resulted in no net production gains due to decreasing land acreage. Pea is one of the world's oldest domesticated crops. In addition, it is also important vegetable crop due to its high nutritive value, particularly proteins 7.2 g/100g (Singh et al. 2007)^[8]. Phosphorus deficiency is usually the most important factor for poor nodulation and low yield of leguminous crops. An adequate supply of phosphorus has been reported beneficial for better growth, yield, quality and economics nodule formation in legume. The production of pea on more than 30% of the world arable land is limited by P availability (Tesfaye et al. 2007)^[9]. Zinc plays an outstanding role in synthesis of chlorophyll, protein and also regulates water absorption. Moreover, it also plays role in carbohydrates metabolism and activation of various enzymes which help in inducing alkalinity tolerance in crops by enhancing Na/K and Na/Ca ratio. Zinc plays a vital role in metabolism and it is involved in N-fixation through nodule formation (Patel et al. 2011)^[7].

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2021-22. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design consisting of nine treatment combinations with three replications and was laid out with the different treatments allocated randomly in each replication. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, slightly alkaline reaction (pH 7.1) with low level of organic carbon (0.28%), available N (225 Kg/ha), P (19.50 kg/ha) and higher level of K (92.00 kg/ha). The treatment combinations are T₁_P 55kg/ha + Zn 10 kg/ha, T₂ 55 kg/ha + Zn 15 kg/ha, T₃P 55 kg/ha + Zn 20 kg/ha, T₄_P 60kg/ha + Zn 10 kg/ha, T₅_P 60kg/ha + Zn

15 kg/ha, T₆ P 60kg/ha + Zn 20 kg /ha, T₇.65kg/ha + Zn 10 kg/ha, T₈_P 65kg/ha + Zn 15 kg/ha, T₉_P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha. The observations were recorded on different growth parameters at harvest *viz*. plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, plant dry weight, Number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, test weight, seed yield and stover yield.

Results and Discussion

A. Growth Attributes

At 60 DAS highest plant height (58.24 cm) was recorded with treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha reported statistically at par with the treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha. At 60 DAS more number of branches/plant (5.72) was recorded with treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha reported statistically at par with the treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha. At 60 DAS highest plant dry weight (8.67 g) was recorded with treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha. At 60 DAS highest plant dry weight (8.67 g) was recorded with treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha, whereas treatment 65 kg P/ha + 15 kg Zn/ha reported statistically at par with the treatment 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha.

Yield Attributes

Treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha was recorded maximum number of pods per plant (20.09) which

was significantly superior over all other and treatment with application of P 65kg/ha + Zn 15 Kg/ha(19.76) which was statistically at par with the treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha. Treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha was recorded maximum number of seeds per pod (6.85) which was significantly superior over all other and treatment with application of P 65kg/ha + Zn 15 Kg/ha (6.72) which was statistically at par with the treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha. Treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha was recorded maximum test weight (126.08) which was significantly superior over all other and treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 15 Kg/ha (125.28) which was statistically at par with the treatment with application of P 65kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha.Treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha was recorded maximum seed yield (2.41) which was significantly superior over all other and treatment with application of P 65kg/ha + Zn 15 Kg/ha (2.35) which was statistically at par with the treatment with application of P 65kg/ha + Zn 20 Kg/ha.

Treatment with application of P 65kg/ha + Zn 15 Kg/ha was recorded maximum harvest index (41.87) which was significantly superior over all other and treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha +Zn 20 kg/ha (41.80). which was statistically at par with the treatment with application of P 65 kg/ha + Zn 15 kg/ha.

Table 1: Effect of phosphorous and Zinc on growth attributes of Field Pea

| Treatments | Plant height(cm) | Number of | Plant dry weight (g/plant) | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | 60 DAS | branches/plant at 60 DAS | At 60 DAS | |
| Phosphorus 55 kg/ha+ Zinc 10 kg/ha | 54.39 | 4.84 | 7.71 | |
| Phosphorus 55 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha | 54.61 | 4.93 | 7.81 | |
| Phosphorus 55 kg/ha + Zinc 20 kg/ha | 55.85 | 5.14 | 8.04 | |
| Phosphorus 60 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha | 55.51 | 5.03 | 7.94 | |
| Phosphorus 60 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha | 56.56 | 5.37 | 8.35 | |
| Phosphorus 60 kg/ha + Zinc 20 kg/ha | 57.14 | 5.51 | 8.44 | |
| Phosphorus 65 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha | 56.35 | 5.27 | 8.17 | |
| . Phosphorus 65 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha | 57.72 | 5.62 | 8.5 | |
| Phosphorus 65 kg/ha + Zinc 20 kg/ha | 58.24 | 5.72 | 7.71 | |
| F-Test | S | S | S | |
| S.Em+ | 1.1 | 0.04 | 0.06 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.29 | 0.12 | 0.19 | |

Table 2: Effect of Phosphorus and Zinc on yield attributes of Field Pea

| Treatments | Number of | Length of seed | Number of seeds | Test | Seed | Harvest |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| | pods/plants | pod(cm) | per pod | Weight (g) | Yield (kg/ha) | Index (%) |
| Phosphorus 55 kg/ha+ Zinc 10 kg/ha | 16.83 | 5.93 | 5.93 | 120.28 | 1.67 | 38.51 |
| Phosphorus 55 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha | 17.34 | 6.08 | 6.08 | 121.14 | 1.78 | 39.42 |
| Phosphorus 55 kg/ha + Zinc 20 kg/ha | 18.31 | 6.54 | 6.25 | 122.8 | 1.98 | 40.07 |
| Phosphorus 60 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha | 17.78 | 6.33 | 6.14 | 121.91 | 1.88 | 39.98 |
| Phosphorus 60 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha | 18.87 | 6.87 | 6.54 | 124 | 2.12 | 40.00 |
| Phosphorus 60 kg/ha + Zinc 20 kg/ha | 19.15 | 7.04 | 6.63 | 124.68 | 2.25 | 41.10 |
| Phosphorus 65 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha | 18.46 | 7.21 | 6.4 | 123.24 | 2.07 | 40.06 |
| Phosphorus 65 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha | 19.76 | 6.72 | 6.72 | 125.28 | 2.35 | 41.80 |
| Phosphorus 65 kg/ha + Zinc 20 kg/ha | 20.09 | 7.34 | 6.85 | 126.08 | 2.41 | 41.87 |
| F-Test | S | NS | S | S | S | S |
| S.Em+ | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.38 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.53 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.89 | 0.08 | 1.14 |

Conclusion

Treatment 9 (65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha) recorded highest seed yield (2.41 t/ha), gross return (144600 INR/ha), highest net return (99910 INR/ha) and benefit: cost ratio (2.23) which

may be more preferable for farmers. Since it is economically more profitable and also achieved statistical parity with 65 kg P/ha + 20 kg Zn/ha regarding grain yield of field pea and hence, can be recommended to the farmers.

The Pharma Innovation Journal

Reference

- 1. Aga FA, Singh JK, Singh DK, Peer FA. Effect of different levels of compost and phosphorus on growth and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum*) under rainfed condition. Environ. Eco. 2004;22(2):353-356.
- Bhat TA, Meenakshi Gupta, Mahdi SS, Ganai MA, Bhat HA, Bhat JA, *et al.* Growth, yield and economics of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) as influenced by phosphorus and bio-fertilizers under subtropical conditions of Jammu. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology. 2013;7(1):645-652.
- 3. Gupta CR, Sengar SS, Singh J. Growth and yield of table pea as influenced by level of phosphorus and lime in acidic soil. Veg. Sci. 2000;27:101-2.
- 4. Isho KB, Omar KA, Tuma SM, Tuma SM, Hussein SS. Effect of soaking seeds in zinc sulphate solution on vegetative growth, flowering and yield, of two cultivars of pea (*Pisum sativum*), Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019;1(2):66-77.
- Kudi S, Swaroop N, David AA, Thomas T, Hasan A, Rao S. Effect of different levels of Sulphur and zinc on soil health and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata*) Var. Patidar-111. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(3):2271-2274.
- Kumar R, Deka BC, Kumawat N, Ngachan SV. Effect of integrated nutrition, biofertilizers and zinc application on production potential and profitability of garden pea (*Pisum sativum*) in Eastern Himalaya, India. Legume Research. 2014;37(6):614-620.
- Patel MM, Patel IC, Patel RI, Acharya S. Effect of zinc and iron on yield and yield attributes of rainfed cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Annals of Arid Zone. 2011;50(1):17-19.
- 8. Singh YP, Mann JS. Interaction effect of Sulphur and zinc in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and their availability in Tonk district of Rajasthan. Indian J Agron. 2007;52(1):70-73.
- 9. Tesfaye M, Liu J, Allan DL, Vance CP. Genomic and genetic control of phosphate stress in legumes. Plant Physiology. 2007;144:594-603.
- 10. Verma ML, Bhandari AR, Raina JN. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus application on the yield and macronutrient concentrations of pea (*Pisum sativum*). Internat. J Tropical Agric. 1997;15:195-198.
- 11. Vijayalaxmi. Effect of higher temperature on growth, biomass and yield of field pea genotypes. Legume Research. 2013;36(3):250-254.
- 12. Vimla B, Natarajan S. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on pod characters, yield and quality in pea (*Pisum sativum*). South Indian Horticulture. 2000;48:60-63.
- 13. Yemane A, Skjelvag AO. Effects of fertilizer phosphorus on yield traits of dekoko (*Pisum sativum* var. abyssinicum) under field conditions. Journal Agronomy and Crop Science. 2003;189:14-20.