



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; SP-11(4): 1826-1829
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 26-02-2022
Accepted: 28-03-2022

Rashmi Raghav
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Prayagraj,
Uttar Pradesh, India

SB Lal
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Prayagraj,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Ram Bharose
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Prayagraj,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Impact on soil microbial communities under different cropping system of Prayagraj district, Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India

Rashmi Raghav, SB Lal and Ram Bharose

Abstract

Cropping system is an effective agricultural practice which play crucial role in increasing soil microbial diversity, soil health and fertility. Keeping these views study was undertaken in Prayagraj district eastern Uttar Pradesh to investigate the impact on soil microbial communities affected by major cropping system. The major cropping system includes wheat-wheat, mustard-mustard, Rice-Wheat and Rice-Mustard. Soil samples were collected from eight tehsil of Prayagraj district randomly from depth 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm depth. The results conclude that Rice-wheat cropping system contains higher soil microbial population than other cropping system.

Keywords: cropping system, microbial diversity, colony forming unit, soil health.

Introduction

Soil is usually considered as the greatest heritage of mankind and a valuable natural resource and plays many key roles in terrestrial ecosystem (Hillel 1991) [8]. It is the soul of life and health for the well-being of humankind and animals. It is also a major source of most of our food production (Stevenson 1994; Greenland 1994) [13, 6].

The soil microbial diversity is the most important functional component of the soil biota (Tate, 2000) [14]. Microorganisms in the soil have an important role in the decomposition of organic matter, carbon and nitrogen cycling, and the creation and stabilisation of soil structure (Garbeva *et al.* 2004; Loranger-Merciris *et al.* 2006) [4, 9]. The microbial diversity identified as key indicator for maintain soil health and quality of microbial diversity (Bending *et al.* 2004) [1].

Growth of microbial populations and their action on soils are dependent on the interaction between plant species and soil (Grayston *et al.* 1998) [5]. Bacterial and fungal community composition results from the interaction between soil type, plant species and its rhizosphere localization Marschner *et al.* (2001) [10].

Microbial biomass can be increased by using appropriate agricultural practices like crop rotation (Hansen JP *et al.* 2013) [7]. Crop rotation can have huge impact on soil health, these includes improving soil structural stability, increasing crop water use efficiency, nutrient use efficiency and level of soil organic matter, better weed control etc. (Carter *et al.* 2002, Carter *et al.* 2003) [3, 2].

Therefore, the present study was undertaken with the objective of assessment of soil microbial count under different cropping system.

Materials and Methods

Study site

The field experiments were carried out at Prayagraj district, Uttar Pradesh. It covers an area of 5246 km². The soil samples were collected from all eight tehsils of Prayagraj districts. From each Tehsils four villages were randomly selected for the soil sampling. Soil samples were randomly collected from soil depth 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm two different cropping system *i.e.*, wheat-wheat, mustard-mustard, rice-wheat and rice-mustard in the year 2017-18.

Soil sampling and analysis

Biological analysis of soil was done during grand growth of the crops. The soil was returned to the laboratory after sample and air-dried at room temperature. The soil weight was reduced and sieved through 2 mm mesh and then used for biological analysis. The count of microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) was carried out by using serial dilution pour plate method (Wollum, 1982) [15].

Corresponding Author
Rashmi Raghav
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Prayagraj,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Microbial counts

Total bacterial and fungal count was done by using specific media *i.e.*, Nutrient Agar Media and Rose Bengal media. One gram of the rhizosphere soil was placed in 9 ml of sterilized distilled water under aseptic conditions. Serial dilution of 10^2 , 10^3 , 10^4 , 10^5 , 10^6 were prepared. One ml of aliquot from specific dilution was added over cooled and solidified nutrient media in Petri plates. The plates were rotated for uniform distribution. For 2-3 days, the plates were incubated at temperatures relevant to each microorganism. An electronic colony counter was used to count the colonies that grew on the media. For each sample, three replications were taken. The colony forming unit per gramme of soil (cfu/g soil) was used to calculate the microbial count.

Culture media

The media selected were among the most frequently cited in the literature as Nutrient Agar Medium for bacterial growth and Rose Bengal Media for fungal growth.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance F4 way classification was used and their after for comparing two objects together the value of critical difference was also analyzed.

Results and Discussion

The table 3.1 shows that fungal population in soil significantly varied under different cropping system. Highest fungal population was recorded under rice-wheat cropping system 21×10^6 cfu g^{-1} soil in 0-15 cm depth and 11×10^6 cfu g^{-1} soil in 15-30 cm depth of village Kaserua in Phulpur tehsil.

Similarly, lowest fungal population was recorded under mustard-mustard cropping system 4×10^6 cfu g^{-1} soil in 0-15 cm depth and 1×10^6 cfu g^{-1} soil in 15-30 cm depth of village Andhawa in Handiya tehsil.

The table 3.2 shows that bacterial population in soil significantly varied under different cropping system. Highest fungal population was recorded under rice-wheat cropping system 27×10^7 cfu g^{-1} soil in 0-15 cm depth and 17×10^7 cfu g^{-1} soil in 15-30 cm depth of village Kaserua in Phulpur tehsil.

Similarly, lowest bacterial population was recorded under mustard-mustard cropping system 7×10^7 cfu g^{-1} soil in 0-15 cm depth and 3×10^7 cfu g^{-1} soil in 15-30 cm depth of village Andhawa in Handiya tehsil. The population of bacteria was recorded maximum than fungal population. Similar order was also observed by Radhakrishnan *et al.*, (2016) and Nayak (2017) [12, 11].

Table 1: Fungal population of different cropping system at 0-15 cm and 15-30cm depth at grand growth of crops in the year 2017-18

| Fungus ($\times 10^6$ cfu g^{-1} soil) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|----|----|----|---------------|------------------|----|----|----|------|
| Tehsils | Cropping system | (0-15 cm) depth | | | | Mean | (15-30 cm) depth | | | | Mean |
| | | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | |
| Meja | Wheat/Wheat | 9 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 6 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 13 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 10 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Saroan | Wheat/Wheat | 8 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 6 | 11 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 10 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 8 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| Karchana | Wheat/Wheat | 6 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 5 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 9 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 7 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 7 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| Handiya | Wheat/Wheat | 7 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 6 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 9 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 7 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| karoan | Wheat/Wheat | 13 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 7 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 16 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 10 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| Bara | Wheat/Wheat | 7 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 6 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 10 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 8 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Phulpur | Wheat/Wheat | 10 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 8 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 9 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 7 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 13 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 10 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| Sadar | Wheat/Wheat | 8 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 8 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 10 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 11 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 |
| | Due to depth | Due to cropping system | | | | Due to tehsil | Due to village | | | | |
| Result | S | S | | | | S | S | | | | |
| SE(d) | 0.24 | 0.34 | | | | 0.49 | 0.34 | | | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.48 | 0.69 | | | | 0.97 | 0.69 | | | | |

Table 2: Bacterial population of different cropping system at 0-15 cm and 15-30cm depth at grand growth of crops in the year 2017-18

| Bacteria ($\times 10^7$ cfu g ⁻¹ soil) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|----|----|----|---------------|------------------|----|----------------|----|------|
| Tehsils | Cropping system | (0-15 cm) Depth | | | | Mean | (15-30 cm) Depth | | | | Mean |
| | | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | |
| Meja | Wheat/Wheat | 11 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 11.3 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6.5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 8 | 12 | 9 | 14 | 10.8 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5.3 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 15 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 14.5 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8.8 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 13 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 14.5 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 8.0 |
| Saroan | Wheat/Wheat | 10 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 12.0 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 7.0 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 9 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 11.0 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5.3 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 14 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 13.8 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 7.8 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 11 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 11.0 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 6.5 |
| Karchana | Wheat/Wheat | 8 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 10.8 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5.5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 9 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 10.5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4.8 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 12 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 14.3 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7.5 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 10 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 11.3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 6.3 |
| Handiya | Wheat/Wheat | 10 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 11.5 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 6.0 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7.5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3.5 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 11 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 12.3 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 7.3 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 9 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 10.0 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5.8 |
| Karoan | Wheat/Wheat | 15 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 10.5 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 6.5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 10 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 9.3 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5.3 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 18 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 13.0 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8.5 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 13 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 12.8 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 8.0 |
| Bara | Wheat/Wheat | 10 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 10.5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6.3 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 8.5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5.5 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 14 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 13.5 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8.3 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 10 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 11.0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 7.3 |
| Phulpur | Wheat/Wheat | 14 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 15.5 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 10.5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 11 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 12.5 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 7.8 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 17 | 17 | 27 | 19 | 20.0 | 12 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 12.3 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 13 | 14 | 20 | 15 | 15.5 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 10.3 |
| Sadar | Wheat/Wheat | 11 | 12 | 9 | 16 | 12.0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 7.5 |
| | Mustard/Mustard | 10 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 10.3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 6.3 |
| | Rice/ wheat | 15 | 14 | 12 | 19 | 15.0 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 9.3 |
| | Rice/Mustard | 13 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 13.3 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 7.3 |
| | Due to depth | Due to cropping system | | | | Due to tehsil | | | Due to village | | |
| Result | S | S | | | | S | | | S | | |
| SE(d) | 0.27 | 0.38 | | | | 0.54 | | | 0.38 | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.53 | 0.75 | | | | 1.07 | | | 0.75 | | |

Conclusion

We conclude from the study that different cropping systems have significant impact on soil microbial population. Soils under different cropping systems showed best results than monoculture crops. The study indicates that rice-wheat cropping system shows higher microbial population than all other cropping systems and lowest in mustard-mustard cropping system. Therefore, present study shows the different cropping systems have a potential to enhance soil microbial population and maintain soil health.

Reference

- Bending GD, Turner MK, Rayns F, Marx MC, Wood M. Microbial and biochemical soil quality indicators and their potential for differentiating areas under contrasting agricultural management regimes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2004;36:1785-1792
- Carter MR, Kunelius HT, Sanderson JB, Kimpinski J, Platt HW, Bolinder MA. Productivity parameters and soil health dynamics under long-term 2-year potato rotation in Atlantic Canada. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 2003;72:153-168.
- Carter MR, Sanderson JB, Ivany JA, White RP. Influence of rotation and tillage on forage maize productivity, weed species, and soil quality of a fine sandy loam in the cool-humid climate of Atlantic Canada. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 2002;67:85-98
- Garbeva P, Van Veen JA, Van Elsas JD. Microbial Diversity In: Soil selection of microbial populations by plant and soil type and implications for disease suppressiveness. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2004;42:243-270.
- Grayston SJ, Wang S, Campbell CD, Edwards AC. Selective influence of plant species on microbial diversity in the rhizosphere. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 1998;30:369-378.
- Greenland DJ. Soil science and sustainable land management. [n *Soil Science and Sustainable Management in the Tropics*, Ed. JK Syers and DL Rimmer, CAB International, Wallingford, 1994,1-15p.
- Hansen JP, Kharecha M, Sato V, Masson-Delmotte F, Ackerman DJ. Assessing "Dangerous Climate Change": Required reduction of carbon emissions to protect young people, future generations and nature (JA Añel, Ed.). *PLoS One*. 2013;8(12):e81648.
- Hillel DJ. *Out of the Earth: Civilization and the Life of*

- the Soil, Maxwell Macmillan Intern., New York, 1991.
9. Loranger-Merciris G, Barthes L, Gastine A, Leadley P. Rapid effects of plant species diversity and identity on soil microbial communities in experimental grassland ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2006;38:2336-2343.
 10. Marschner P, Yang CH, Lieberei R, Crowley DE. Soil and plant specific effects on bacterial community composition in the rhizosphere. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2001;33:1437-1445.
 11. Nayak DR, Babu YJ, Adhya TK. Long-term application of compost influences microbial biomass and enzyme activities in a tropical Aeric Endoaquept planted to rice under flooded condition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistr*. 2017;39:1897-1906.
 12. Radhakrishnan S, Varadharajan M. Status of microbial diversity in agroforestry systems in Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*. 2016;56:662-669
 13. Stevenson FJ. Humus Chemistry, Genesis, Composition Reactions, John Wiley, New York, 1994,429-471p.
 14. Tate RL. Effects of heavy metal contamination and remediation on soil microbial communities in the vicinity of a zinc smelter. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 2000;27:609-617.
 15. Wollum AG. Cultural methods for soil microorganism. In: *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties* (Page, A. K., Millar, R. H. and Keeney, D. R. eds.) Agronomy Monograph No 9, ASA-SSSA Publisher, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1982,781-814p.