



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(4): 1655-1657
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 05-01-2022
Accepted: 12-02-2022

Tasmita Ghosh
Department of Vegetable
Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola,
Maharashtra, India

AM Sonkamble
Department of Vegetable
Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola,
Maharashtra, India

SR Patil
Department of Vegetable
Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola,
Maharashtra, India

VS Kale
Department of Vegetable
Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola,
Maharashtra, India

DS Kankal
Department of Vegetable
Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola,
Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Tasmita Ghosh
Department of Vegetable
Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola,
Maharashtra, India

Effect of chemicals and plant growth regulator on growth and quality of capsicum under shadenet conditions

Tasmita Ghosh, AM Sonkamble, SR Patil, VS Kale and DS Kankal

Abstract

Capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L.) is an important vegetable crop grown in India. Production and productivity of this crop is low because of high flower and fruit drop as well as infestation of pest and diseases. The present investigation was carried out to study the effect of different chemicals and plant growth regulator and to find out suitable chemicals and plant growth regulator. Four spray of NAA @ 60 ppm proved best for growth parameters in respect to plant height, stem diameter and number of flower whereas, NAA @ 50 ppm was found to be superior for producing maximum number of branches. In respect of quality parameters, four spray of 1% KNO₃ recorded maximum fruit length, fruit breadth, fruit volume and chlorophyll content.

Keywords: Bell pepper, chemicals, plant growth regulator, growth, quality

Introduction

Capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L. var. *grossum*) popularly known as Shimla mirch, bell pepper, sweet pepper, green pepper. From a nutritional prospective, bell pepper is rich in vitamins; chiefly, Vitamin 'A' and 'C'. The produce is off-season to the plains and fetches a higher price to vegetable growers. However, productivity and quality of produce is low because of the fluctuating environment, deficiencies of micronutrient during its cultivation. Productivity of a crop is greatly affected by cultural and physiological factors. Auxins cause apical dominance, cell elongation by loosening of cell wall and, retard flower and fruit abscission. Micronutrients are usually required in minute quantities, nevertheless are vital to the growth of plant. Improvement in growth characters as a result of application of micronutrients might be due to enhanced photosynthetic and other metabolic activity which leads to an increase in various plant metabolites responsible for cell division and elongation. The present studies were, therefore, undertaken to study the effect of chemicals and plant growth regulator on growth and quality of capsicum under shadenet conditions.

Materials and Methods

The present studies were conducted at Instructional farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra in a 50 per cent shadenet during October-March, 2019-20. The experiment was laid out in RBD with nine treatments and three replications viz., T₁ (1% KNO₃), T₂ (1.5% KNO₃), T₃ (0.5% ZnSO₄), T₄ (0.75% ZnSO₄), T₅ (50 ppm NAA), T₆ (60 ppm NAA), T₇ (1% KNO₃ + 50 ppm NAA), T₈ (1% KNO₃ + 0.5% ZnSO₄), T₉ (Control). Chemicals and Plant growth regulator was applied as of four sprays, applied through foliar spray at 15 days intervals on 30, 45, 60 and 75 days after transplanting. Seedlings were transplanted on 12th October, 2019-20 at a spacing of 45 x 30cm in raised beds of size 3m x 1m. Data were recorded on growth characters such as plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm), number of branches per plant, leaf area (cm²), chlorophyll content of leaves (mg 100 g⁻¹) at 120 days after transplanting and quality characters such as fruit length (cm), fruit breadth (cm), fruit volume (cc), chlorophyll content of fruit (mg 100 g⁻¹). Standard cultural practices were followed to raise the crop as per the package of practices. Statistical analysis was done as per method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme during 1989 [4].

Table 1: Effect of chemicals and plant growth regulator on growth and quality of bell pepper

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) (120 DAT) | Stem diameter (cm) (120 DAT) | Number of branches per plant (120 DAT) | Leaf area (cm ²) (120 DAT) | Chlorophyll content of leaves (mg 100 g ⁻¹) (120 DAT) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| T ₁ | 113.41 | 1.17 | 7.57 | 165.36 | 2.87 |
| T ₂ | 113.32 | 1.24 | 7.64 | 164.60 | 3.03 |
| T ₃ | 111.89 | 1.16 | 7.03 | 161.11 | 2.61 |
| T ₄ | 112.32 | 1.10 | 6.93 | 162.37 | 2.68 |
| T ₅ | 113.53 | 1.33 | 8.15 | 167.30 | 2.72 |
| T ₆ | 114.25 | 1.40 | 8.13 | 166.49 | 2.83 |
| T ₇ | 113.71 | 1.31 | 8.09 | 166.81 | 2.81 |
| T ₈ | 112.72 | 1.20 | 6.95 | 164.08 | 2.42 |
| T ₉ | 112.80 | 1.17 | 6.87 | 164.46 | 2.75 |
| 'F' Test | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. |
| SE (m)± | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.03 |
| CD at 5% | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 2.30 | 0.09 |

Table 2: Effect of chemicals and plant growth regulator on growth and quality of bell pepper

| Treatments | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit breadth (cm) | Fruit volume (cc) | Chlorophyll content of fruit (mg 100 g ⁻¹) |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| T ₁ | 11.60 | 8.51 | 299.95 | 0.78 |
| T ₂ | 11.38 | 8.43 | 298.72 | 0.76 |
| T ₃ | 9.48 | 7.71 | 2.93.00 | 0.67 |
| T ₄ | 9.27 | 7.41 | 291.82 | 0.73 |
| T ₅ | 7.08 | 6.71 | 212.62 | 0.74 |
| T ₆ | 6.71 | 6.80 | 210.92 | 0.73 |
| T ₇ | 7.23 | 6.90 | 210.86 | 0.76 |
| T ₈ | 10.07 | 7.70 | 282.74 | 0.73 |
| T ₉ | 9.03 | 7.32 | 281.84 | 0.66 |
| 'F' Test | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. |
| SE (m)± | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.99 | 0.008 |
| CD at 5% | 0.26 | 0.06 | 2.98 | 0.02 |

Results and Discussion

Data on plant height, stem diameter, number of branches per plant, leaf area, chlorophyll content of leaves (120 DAT) in Table 1 and fruit length, fruit breadth, fruit volume and chlorophyll content of fruit is depicted in Table 2.

Significantly maximum vegetative growth in terms of plant height (114.25 cm at 120 DAT) and stem diameter (1.4 cm at 120 DAT) were recorded under treatment T₆ (60 ppm NAA), whereas maximum number of branches (8.15 at 120 DAT) were found under treatment T₅ (50 ppm NAA), maximum leaf area (167.3 cm² at 120 DAT) was found under treatment T₅ (50 ppm NAA) while, treatment T₂ (1.5% KNO₃) recorded maximum total chlorophyll content of leaves (3.03) at 120 DAT.

It is emanated from the data that, the growth characters *viz.*, plant height, stem diameter were maximum with the application of 60 ppm NAA and number of branches was maximum where 50 ppm NAA was applied. This might be due to the fact that, plant growth regulator were applied directly in the actively growing foliage through spraying and thus absorbed more efficiently. The promoting effect of plant height by the application of NAA is might be due to its action as a group of auxins, the cell wall probably reacted favourably and high deposition of cell wall material took place due to high catalyzing activities of carbohydrates and pectinase. This leads to better development of photosynthetic area and accelerated plant vegetative growth. These results are in consonance with the findings of Tapdiya *et al.* (2018) and Sarker *et al.* (2007) [5] in chilli and Singh *et al.* (2012) in capsicum.

The fruit quality parameters *viz.*, length (11.6 cm) and breadth of fruit (8.51 cm), fruit volume (299.95 cc), Chlorophyll content of fruit (0.78 mg/100 g) were recorded significantly

maximum in the treatment T₁ (1% KNO₃).

The fruit quality parameters *viz.*, length and breadth of fruit, fruit volume and chlorophyll content of fruit (mg 100 g⁻¹) were recorded significantly maximum with the application of 1% KNO₃. The obtained results might be due to the role of potassium in fruit quality, where it is known as the quality nutrient because of its important effects on fruit quality parameters. These results are in line with the findings of Mardanluo *et al.* (2018) [3] in sweet pepper, Kaur *et al.* (2021) [1] and Kazemi. M. (2004) [2] in tomato.

Conclusion

It is inferred that, application of 60 ppm NAA was found beneficial for the growth characters *viz.*, plant height, stem diameter whereas number of branches were maximum with application of 50 ppm NAA.

The fruit quality parameters *viz.*, length and breadth of fruit, fruit volume and chlorophyll content of fruit (mg 100 g⁻¹) were recorded significantly maximum with the application of 1% KNO₃.

References

1. Kaur A, Kaur S. Effect of foliar application of macro and micro-nutrients on growth, yield and economics of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. NS-524. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):2772-2775.
2. Kazemi M. Effect of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate spray on vegetative growth and reproductive characteristics of tomato. J Biol Environ Sci. 2014;8(22):1-9.
3. Mardanluo S, Sourji MK, Ahmadi M. Plant growth and fruit quality of two pepper cultivars under different

- potassium levels of nutrient solutions. Journal of plant nutrition. 2018;41(12):1604-1614.
4. Panse VG, Sukhatme PU. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Works, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, 1989, 100-174.
 5. Sarker P, Hossain T, Sarker PC, Das AK, Miah MNA. Effect of NAA on vegetative growth, fruit set and yield of chilli, 2007.