www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(4): 236-240 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 08-02-2022

Accepted: 19-03-2022

### Harshitha SB

Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Post-harvest Technology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi. Karnataka, India

### Laxman Kukanoor

Professor and University Head (PHM), HEEU- RHREC Kumbapur, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

**K Ramachandra Naik** DSW- UHS Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Kantharaju V

Professor and Head, ICAR-AICRP on Fruits, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Karnataka, India

### Praveen Jolgikar

Associate Professor, Department of Fruit science, College of Horticulture, Bidar, Karnataka, India

#### Kirankumar Gorabal

Assistant Professor, Department of PHT, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Karnataka, India

### Suhasini Jalawadi

Assistant Professor, ICAR-AICRP on Fruits, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Harshitha SB

Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Post-harvest Technology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi. Karnataka, India

### Influence of different pre-harvest sprays on physical and physiological parameters of banana cv Rajapuri (AAB) fruits

## Harshitha SB, Laxman Kukanoor, K Ramachandra Naik, Kantharaju V, Praveen Jolgikar, Kirankumar Gorabal and Suhasini Jalawadi

### Abstract

The present study was conducted to recognize the influence of different pre-harvest sprays on the physical and physiological parameters of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits during the year 2019-20 and 2020- 2021. The chemicals *viz.*, calcium chloride (1 and 2.0%), hexanal (2 and 3.0%), salicylic acid (0.40 and 0.60%) and potassium silicate (0.40 and 0.60%) were sprayed at two concentration levels before one month of the harvesting during both the years. Later they were harvested and brought to laboratory for studying their physical and physiological behaviors during ambient storage. Among different pre-harvest sprays, fruits sprayed with potassium silicate at 0.60 per cent has showed the better physical and physiological behaviors with enhanced shelf life compared to other treatments.

Keywords: Banana, pre-harvest, potassium silicate and physiological parameters

### Introduction

Banana is one of the most important tropical fruit crop of the world. It is well-known and popular among Indians and its culture dates back to Indian civilization. It is the inexpensive fruit grown in the country with high energy, nutritional quality, affordability and year round availability as opposed to other seasonal fruits.

Bananas are typical climacteric fruits, which ripen with an increasing rate of respiration coupled with ethylene production. The perishability of the fruit is attributed to the adverse physiological changes, loss of weight due to respiration and transpiration, softening of flesh and loss of resistance to microbial attack during storage. Fruit shelf life can be effectively extended if these physiological changes that occur during post-harvest storage of bananas are delayed or postponed. As a consequence, efforts to prolong the shelf life of banana fruits should focus on decelerating the metabolic rate, ethylene biosynthesis and its action in the harvested fruits. Extending the shelf life of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits by various methods not only reduce post-harvest loss but also increase per capita availability of the fruits and pave the way for the export of the famous indigenous varieties to the rest of the world without compromising their quality or flavour. Exporting fruits to domestic and international markets not only expands the area of cultivation but also tends to increase banana growers' interest in large-scale cultivation of banana cv. Rajapuri. The objective of the present study was to study the influence of different chemicals applied as pre-harvest sprays on the physical and physiological behavior of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits.

### **Material and Methods**

Uniformly matured and even-sized, blemish, pest and disease free banana cv. Rajapuri bunches were selected, tagged and used for the pre-harvest sprays treatments in the field of ICAR-AICRP on Fruits, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi during both the years (2019- 20 and 2020- 21). The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design with nine treatments in three replications. Spray of calcium chloride (1 and 2.0%), hexanal (2 and 3.0%), salicylic acid (0.40 and 0.60%) and potassium silicate (0.40 and 0.60%) was taken one month before harvesting. The fruit bunches of uniform size, shape and are at 80 per cent maturity stage were harvested in the early morning hours and immediately brought to the Department of Post-Harvest Technology laboratory with utmost care for further study. The cultural operations were conducted regularly and uniformly to all experimental plants.

The physical parameters viz., bunch weight (kg), number of hands per bunch (No's), weight of the third hand (kg), number of fingers in third hand (No's), finger weight (g), finger length (cm), finger girth (cm), pulp weight (g), peel weight (g) and pulp to peel ratio were recorded manually at the initial day of experiment using electronic weighing balance and vernier calipers. Physiological parameters viz., physiological loss in weight and respiration rate were taken at initial and also at three days interval up to the end of the storage. To determine the physiological loss in weight, the banana hands in each treatment were weighed at beginning of storage which was recorded as initial weight and in subsequent intervals during storage as final weight. The fruits were weighed again and recorded as final weight on each day of observation. At three days interval, the cumulative loss in weight was then calculated and the PLW was expressed in per cent on a fresh weight basis using the below formula.

Physiological loss in weight (%) = 
$$\frac{[P_0 - P_1 \text{ or } P_2 \text{ or } P_3 \text{ or } P_4 \text{ or } P_5]}{P_0} \times 100$$

Where,

 $P_0$  - Initial weight and  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$  and  $P_5$  -weight after regular intervals.

The rate of respiration was measured by a static method using a gas analyzer (PBI, DANSENSOR, CHECKMATE 2). The known quantity of banana fruits was sealed hermetically in a 650 ml plastic container having a provision for a hole and the hole was closed with a septum for 30 min at ambient temperature. The syringe was inserted into the headspace of the container to estimate the  $CO_2$  released by the fruits. The change in the concentration of  $CO_2$  was recorded as per cent. The rate of respiration was calculated using the below formula.

$$\label{eq:constraint} \text{Respiration rate (ml CO_2/kg/h)} = \frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ concentration x Volume of the container}}{100 \text{ x weight of the fruit (Kg) x Time (h)}}$$

The data recorded on the physical and physiological parameters of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits were analysed using Web Agri. Stat. Package 2 developed by ICAR research complex, Goa. Interpretation of the data was carried out in accordance with Panse and Sukhatme (1985)<sup>[19]</sup>. The data on the physical and physiological parameters of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits taken during two consecutive years (2019-2020 and 2020-2021) were pooled and the results are presented here.

### **Results and Discussion**

According to pooled data, statistically higher bunch weight (15.63 kg) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Potassium silicate-0.60%), which was on par (15.34 kg) with T<sub>8</sub> (Potassium silicate-0.40%),  $T_3$  (15.07 kg) and  $T_2$  (14.93 kg), whereas lowest bunch weight was noticed in control (14.03 kg). The maximum weight of the third hand (2.09 kg) was recorded in  $T_9$  (potassium silicate 0.60%) bunches and it was statistically on par (1.88 kg) with  $T_8$  (Potassium silicate-0.40%) and  $T_3$ (1.82 kg). At the same time, the minimum hand weight was observed in control (1.49 kg). Whereas statistically, a nonsignificant difference was observed in the number of hands per bunch and number of fingers in the third hand of the banana cv Rajapuri bunches among treatments. The bunches sprayed with the potassium silicate (0.40 and 0.60%) had shown the highest weight of the bunch and third hand. This may be attributed to the potassium and silicon supplied to the bunches before 30 days of harvest increased the fruit weight and fruit quality (Table 1). According to Smith (2011)<sup>[23]</sup>, the application of silicon helped to stimulate plants nutrients uptake and more photosynthesis. The increase in fruit weight was mainly due to cell division in the initial stages and later due to cell expansion associated with the movement of water and other metabolites into the cell leading to an increase in the overall weight of the fruit (Young *et al.*, 1996)<sup>[27]</sup>. Similar findings have been reported by Nesreen et al. (2011)<sup>[18]</sup> in pod weight of beans; Mustaffa et al. (2004) <sup>[17]</sup> in banana; Bhavya (2010)<sup>[5]</sup> in grapes; Ravishankar (2016)<sup>[21]</sup> in banana and Jaishankar (2018)<sup>[8]</sup> in custard apple.

 Table 1: Influence of pre-harvest sprays on bunch weight, number of hands per bunch, weight of third hand and number of fingers in third hand (at mature stage) of banana cv Rajapuri

| Treatments                                  | Bunch weight (kg) | Number of hands/ bunch | Weight of third hand (kg) | Number of fingers in third hand |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> - Control                    | 14.03             | 7.08                   | 1.49                      | 14.69                           |
| $T_2 - CaCl_2 (1.0\%)$                      | 14.93             | 7.31                   | 1.69                      | 14.84                           |
| T <sub>3</sub> - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (2.0%)   | 15.07             | 7.34                   | 1.82                      | 14.86                           |
| T <sub>4</sub> - Hexanal (2.0%)             | 14.60             | 7.25                   | 1.63                      | 14.81                           |
| T <sub>5</sub> - Hexanal (3.0%)             | 14.74             | 7.27                   | 1.67                      | 14.82                           |
| T <sub>6</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.40%)     | 14.37             | 7.24                   | 1.56                      | 14.79                           |
| T <sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)     | 14.44             | 7.18                   | 1.60                      | 14.78                           |
| T <sub>8</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.40%) | 15.34             | 7.38                   | 1.88                      | 14.89                           |
| T <sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%) | 15.63             | 7.39                   | 2.09                      | 14.92                           |
| Mean  | 14.79             | 7.27                   | 1.71                      | 14.82                           |
| S.Em±                                       | 0.17              | 0.07                   | 0.09                      | 0.04                            |
| C.D. @ 1%                                   | 0.68              | NS                     | 0.38                      | NS                              |

\*NS: Non significant

The number of hands per bunch and number of fingers in the third hand was not affected significantly by the different preharvest treatments indicating that, the application of preharvest chemicals before 30 days of harvest had no effect on the number of hands or number of fingers but it did effect on the quality, weight and other physiological parameters of the fruits.

| Treatments                                  | Finger weight (g) | Finger length (cm) | Finger girth (cm) | Pulp weight (g) | Peel weight (g) | Pulp to peel ratio |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> - Control                    | 101.08            | 14.91              | 13.08             | 72.18           | 28.90           | 2.50               |
| $T_2 - CaCl_2 (1.0\%)$                      | 114.75            | 15.44              | 14.12             | 84.36           | 30.39           | 2.78               |
| $T_3 - CaCl_2 (2.0\%)$                      | 122.18            | 15.53              | 14.17             | 90.72           | 31.46           | 2.88               |
| T <sub>4</sub> - Hexanal (2.0%)             | 109.72            | 15.23              | 13.68             | 79.44           | 30.27           | 2.62               |
| T <sub>5</sub> - Hexanal (3.0%)             | 112.72            | 15.34              | 13.66             | 82.40           | 30.32           | 2.72               |
| $T_6$ - Salicylic acid (0.40%)              | 103.97            | 15.09              | 13.28             | 74.65           | 29.32           | 2.55               |
| T <sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)     | 108.25            | 15.17              | 13.44             | 78.21           | 30.04           | 2.60               |
| T <sub>8</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.40%) | 125.96            | 15.62              | 14.28             | 94.16           | 31.80           | 2.96               |
| T <sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%) | 139.74            | 15.76              | 14.64             | 106.34          | 33.39           | 3.18               |
| Mean  | 115.37            | 15.34              | 13.81             | 84.72           | 30.65           | 2.75               |
| S.Em±                                       | 4.50              | 0.08               | 0.18              | 3.92            | 0.50            | 0.08               |
| C.D. @ 1%                                   | 18.05             | 0.32               | 0.72              | 15.70           | 2.00            | 0.32               |

 Table 2: Influence of pre-harvest sprays on finger weight, finger length, finger girth, pulp weight, peel weight and pulp to peel ratio (at mature stage) of banana cv Rajapuri

The statistics suggest a highly significant variation in finger weight, finger length, finger girth, pulp weight, peel weight and pulp to peel ratio between pre-harvest sprayed treatments and control (Table 2). The more finger weight was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (139.74 g), which was at parity with T<sub>8</sub> (125.96 g) and  $T_3$  (122.18 g). In comparison, lower finger weight was in control fruits (101.08 g). The highest finger length was observed in T<sub>9</sub> (15.76 cm), which was on par with T<sub>8</sub> (15.62 cm),  $T_3$  (15.53 cm) and  $T_2$  (15.44 cm). The lowest finger length was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (14.91 cm). Finger girth was maximum in the case of  $T_9$  (14.64 cm), which was statistically on par with the  $T_8$  (14.28 cm),  $T_3$  (14.17 cm) and  $T_2$  (14.12 cm). While the minimum finger girth was in control fruits (13.08 cm). The highest pulp weight was in  $T_9$  (106.34 g), it was at parity with  $T_8$  (94.16 g) and  $T_3$  (90.72 g) respectively. Whereas, the lowest was recorded in control (72.18 g). The peel weight was maximum in  $T_9$  (33.39 g), which was on par with  $T_8$  (31.80 g) and  $T_3$  (31.46 g). However, the minimum peel weight was in control (28.90 g). The highest pulp to peel ratio was noticed in  $T_9(3.18)$ , it was on par with  $T_8(2.96)$  and  $T_3$  (2.88). Conversely, the low pulp to peel ratio was in control fruits (2.50).

The maximum pooled finger weight, finger length and finger girth (139.74 g, 15.76 cm, 14.64 cm and 125.96 g, 15.62 cm, 14.28 cm) were recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Spray of potassium silicate-0.60%) and  $T_8$  (Spray of potassium silicate- 0.40%) respectively. Similarly, the highest pooled pulp weight, peel weight and pulp to peel ratio (106.34 g, 33.39 g, 3.18 and 94.16 g, 31.80 g, 2.96) was also recorded in bunches sprayed with potassium silicate (0.60%) and potassium silicate (0.40%) respectively (Table 2). Whereas significantly minimum pooled finger weight, finger length, finger girth, pulp weight, peel weight and pulp to peel ratio was recorded in the untreated fruits (101.08 g, 14.91 cm, 13.08 cm, 72.18 g, 28.90 g and 2.50 respectively). The increase in fruit size might be due to higher photosynthetic activity and biomass production in the plant which might have resulted in more metabolites in the fruits (Young et al., 1996)<sup>[27]</sup>. As the growth and development of the fruits advances, a large amount of water and other metabolites translocated into the fruits resulting in improvement in the growth and quality of fruits.

The next best treatments for maximum pooled bunch weight, weight of the third hand, finger weight, finger length and girth, pulp and peel weight and the pulp to peel ratio was  $T_3$  (Spray of CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2.0%) and  $T_2$  (Spray of CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1.0%) sprayed bunches. The application of the calcium chloride to bunches 30 days before the harvest was proved to enhance the quality parameters of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits. Calcium

maintains the cell wall structure in fruit by interacting with the pectic acid in the cell walls to form calcium pectate. Ca<sup>2+</sup> forms cross-links between pairs of negatively charged homo galacturonans, thus tightening the cell wall (Picchioni et al., 1998)<sup>[20]</sup>. Calcium helps to bind polygalactonic acid to each other and make the membrane strong and rigid. Calcium treatments have been commercially applied in apples to increase the shelf life and reduce post-harvest disorders (Sharma et al., 1991)<sup>[22]</sup>. An increase in fruit parameters was also noticed by Karemera and Habimana (2014a and 2014b) <sup>[11, 12]</sup> in mango due to calcium chloride sprays. This may be due to its effects in the formation and changes of carbohydrates and carbohydrate enzymes, others reasons might be the reduction of abscission and the calcium influence in maintaining the middle lamella cells and improved vegetative developments may increase the weight of the banana bunch (Karemara and Habimana, 2014a). Calcium was known to have a profound influence on fruit maturation (Ferguson, 1984)<sup>[7]</sup>. Foliar feeding of calcium was beneficial for accelerating the development of growth characters, quality and shelf life of fruits (Bhatt et al., 2012) <sup>[4]</sup>. Similar findings were reported by Manasa (2015) <sup>[15]</sup> in mango and Jaishankar (2018)<sup>[8]</sup> in custard apple.

Weight loss is a major predictor of the post-harvest shelf life of fresh produce. Water loss during metabolic processes such as respiration and transpiration is the chief cause of weight loss during storage. Transpiration results in direct moisture loss and the cellular breakdowns in the fruit tissue hasten the rate of transpiration (Woods, 1990) <sup>[26]</sup>. PLW of the banana cv. Rajapuri fruits showed an increasing trend throughout the storage period irrespective of the treatments with significant differences (Table 3). At 3 and 6 DAS significantly minimum PLW was recorded in  $T_9$  (1.47 and 2.70%) which was on par with  $T_8$  (1.68 and 3.12%),  $T_3$  (2.16 and 3.33%) and  $T_2$  (2.30) and 3.47%) whereas, maximum PLW was noticed in the control (5.10 and 12.61%). After seven days of storage control fruits lost their keeping quality, so at 9 DAS statistical analysis was not done because of less degrees of freedom. Among remaining treatments, minimum PLW was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (4.12%) followed by T<sub>8</sub> (4.61%), whereas the maximum was in  $T_6$  (13.19%), which was followed by  $T_7$  (13.02%). Banana cv. Rajapuri fruits of treatment 6 and 7 lost their keeping quality after the 9<sup>th</sup> day. So after 12 days of storage, minimum PLW was noticed in  $T_9$  (7.73%) followed by  $T_8$ (7.93%), whereas the maximum was in the case of T<sub>4</sub> (14.31%) which was followed by  $T_5$  (13.96%). At 15 DAS, all the treatments lost their keeping quality except T<sub>2</sub> (spray of 1.0% CaCl<sub>2</sub>), T<sub>3</sub> (spray of 2.0% CaCl<sub>2</sub>), T<sub>8</sub> (spray of 0.40% potassium silicate) and T<sub>9</sub> (spray of 0.60% potassium silicate).

The Pharma Innovation Journal

Among these four treatments, minimum PLW was recorded in  $T_9(12.10\%)$  and maximum was in  $T_2(13.60\%)$ .

| Treatments   |      | Days after storage |       |       |       |  |  |
|--|------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
|  |      | 6                  | 9     | 12    | 15    |  |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> - Control   | 5.10 | 12.61              | *     | *     | *     |  |  |
| $T_2 - CaCl_2 (1.0\%)$   | 2.30 | 3.47               | 5.23  | 8.88  | 13.60 |  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (2.0%)                              | 2.16 | 3.33               | 4.91  | 8.45  | 13.08 |  |  |
| T <sub>4</sub> - Hexanal (2.0%)  | 3.24 | 6.03               | 11.80 | 14.31 | *     |  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> - Hexanal (3.0%)  | 2.82 | 5.71               | 11.04 | 13.96 | *     |  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.40%)                                | 3.86 | 9.54               | 13.19 | *     | *     |  |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)                                | 3.63 | 9.02               | 13.02 | *     | *     |  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.40%)                            | 1.68 | 3.12               | 4.61  | 7.93  | 12.63 |  |  |
| T <sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%)                            | 1.47 | 2.70               | 4.12  | 7.73  | 12.10 |  |  |
| Mean   | 2.92 | 6.17               | 8.49  | 10.21 | 12.85 |  |  |
| S.Em±  | 0.22 | 0.20               | -     | -     | -     |  |  |
| C.D. @ 1%  | 0.88 | 0.82               | -     | -     | -     |  |  |
| * No observation was recorded as the fruits lost their keeping quality |      |                    |       |       |       |  |  |

 Table 3: Influence of pre-harvest sprays on physiological loss in weight (%) of banana cv. Rajapuri under ambient storage

Respiration, a catabolic process, leads to the consumption of preserved foods. Weight loss due to respiration occurs when a carbon dioxide molecule is produced from an absorbed oxygen molecule and a corresponding carbon atom is lost from the fruit and released into the atmosphere. Data reveals that as the storage period progressed, the respiration rate increased until it reached the climacteric peak and declined later, indicating the initiation of the senescence (Table 4). Initially, fruits showed respiration rates of 21.80 and 26.43 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h in 2020 and 2021, respectively. Significantly, lower respiration rate was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (28.16 and 74.65 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) which was at parity with T<sub>8</sub> (31.74 and 82.11 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h), T<sub>3</sub> (33.72 and 87.30 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) and T<sub>2</sub> (38.09 and 99.35 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) whereas, highest was noticed in T<sub>1</sub> (87.51 and 265.82 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) at 3 and 6 days after storage respectively. After nine days of storage, the respiration rate was minimum in  $T_9$  (134.04 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h), it was followed by T<sub>8</sub> (150.34 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) and the maximum respiration rate was in T<sub>4</sub> (260.92 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) which was followed by T<sub>5</sub> (249.89 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h). Minimum respiration rate was noticed in T<sub>9</sub> (218.28 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) followed by T<sub>8</sub> (232.68 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) and the maximum was in T<sub>2</sub> (276.02 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) followed by T<sub>3</sub> (249.61 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) at 12 days after storage respectively. The minimum respiration rate at 15 days of storage was recorded in T2 (226.16 ml CO2/kg/h), indicating initiation of senescence and the maximum was in T<sub>9</sub> (268.16 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h).

**Table 4:** Influence of pre-harvest sprays on respiration rate (ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) of banana cv. Rajapuri under ambient storage

| Treatments                                  |       | Days after storage |        |        |        |  |  |  |
|---|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| 1 reatments                                 | 3     | 6                  | 9      | 12     | 15     |  |  |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> - Control                    | 87.51 | 265.82             | *      | *      | *      |  |  |  |
| $T_2$ - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (1.0%)            | 38.09 | 99.35              | 164.08 | 276.02 | 226.16 |  |  |  |
| $T_3 - CaCl_2 (2.0\%)$                      | 33.72 | 87.30              | 149.50 | 249.61 | 240.97 |  |  |  |
| T <sub>4</sub> - Hexanal (2.0%)             | 46.50 | 109.13             | 260.92 | 233.39 | *      |  |  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> - Hexanal (3.0%)             | 43.45 | 103.73             | 249.89 | 242.05 | *      |  |  |  |
| $T_6$ - Salicylic acid (0.40%)              | 73.73 | 257.34             | 237.54 | *      | *      |  |  |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)     | 66.97 | 249.71             | 243.17 | *      | *      |  |  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.40%) | 31.74 | 82.11              | 150.34 | 232.68 | 259.96 |  |  |  |
| T <sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%) | 28.16 | 74.65              | 134.04 | 218.28 | 268.16 |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 49.99 | 147.68             | 198.68 | 242.01 | 248.81 |  |  |  |
| S.Em±                                       | 2.59  | 6.45               | -      | -      | _      |  |  |  |
| C.D. @ 1%                                   | 10.53 | 25.20              | _      | -      | -      |  |  |  |

\* No observation was recorded as the fruits lost their keeping quality

In the present study, the minimum physiological loss in weight and respiration rate was achieved in the T<sub>9</sub> (Spray of potassium silicate- 0.60%) and T<sub>8</sub> (Spray of potassium silicate- 0.40%) treated fruits. While maximum PLW and respiration rate was noticed in the control fruits  $(T_1)$ . The two potassium treatments were on par with each other throughout the course of the study. The minimum respiration rate in potassium silicate (0.60 and 0.40%) sprayed banana fruits was mainly due to its anti-senescence nature of the chemical which caused the inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis (Babak and Majid, 2011) <sup>[1]</sup> or because of the reduced rate of metabolism in the treated fruits. The results of the study were in harmony with the work of Barbang et al. (2002) <sup>[2]</sup>; Stamatakis et al. (2003) [24]; Kaluwa et al. (2010) [10] in tomato; Ravishankar (2016)<sup>[21]</sup> in banana and Jaishankar (2018)<sup>[8]</sup> in custard apple.

The minimum PLW and respiration rate was also noticed in the calcium chloride (2 and 1.00%) treated fruits (Table 3 and 4). This was due to the action of calcium applied to the banana bunches before one month of harvest, which lowered the phospholipid, protein and ion leakage losses in calcium chloride treated fruits with maintaining the membrane functionality and integrity. So, lower weight loss was seen in these treatments. Similar findings were found in muskmelons (Lester and Grusak, 1999)<sup>[13]</sup>. A similar effect of calcium was reported by Sharma *et al.* (1991)<sup>[22]</sup>; Picchioni *et al.* (1998)<sup>[20]</sup>; Benavides *et al.* (2002)<sup>[3]</sup>; Vali *et al.* (2011)<sup>[25]</sup> and Casero *et al.* (2004)<sup>[6]</sup> in apple, Mahmad *et al.* (2008)<sup>[14]</sup> in papaya, Monica *et al.* (2013)<sup>[16]</sup> in litchi, Jaishankar (2015)<sup>[9]</sup> in sapota and Manasa (2015)<sup>[15]</sup> in mango.

### Conclusion

Among different pre-harvest spray treatments,  $T_9$  (Spray of potassium silicate- 0.60%) and  $T_8$  (Spray of potassium silicate- 0.40%) treated fruits recorded maximum enhanced shelf life with better physical and physiological behaviour compared to other treatments during the storage under ambient conditions.

### Acknowledgement

Authors extend thanks to ICAR-AICRP on Fruits, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi for providing necessary raw materials required for the study.

### References

- Babak J, Majid R. Carnation flowers senescence as influenced by nickel, cobalt and silicon. J. Biol. Environ. Sci. 2011;5(15):147-152.
- 2. Barbang S, Susanto S, Novita T, Kodir K, Harran S. Studies on the physiology of polyamines and ethylene during ripening of banana and papaya fruits. Acta Hort. 2002;575:651-657.
- Benavides A, Recasens I, Casero T, Soria Y, Puy J. Multivariate analysis of quality and mineral parameters on golden smoothee apples treated before harvest with calcium and stored in controlled atmosphere. Food Sci. Technol. Int. 2002;1(8):139-145.
- 4. Bhatt A, Mishra NK, Mishra DS, Singh CP. Foliar application of potassium, calcium, zinc and boron enhanced yield, quality and shelf life of mango. Hort. Flora Res. Spectrum. 2012;1(4):300-305.
- 5. Bhavya HK. Effect of foliar silicic acid and boron in bengaluru blue grapes, M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Univ.

Agric. Sci., Bengaluru, Karnataka (India), 2010.

- 6. Casero T, Benavides A, Puy J, Recasens I. Relationships between leaf and fruit nutrients and fruit quality attributes in golden smotthee apples using multivariate regression techniques. J. Plant Nutr. 2004;27:313-324.
- 7. Ferguson IB. Calcium in plant senescence and fruit ripening. Plant cell Environ. 1984;7:477-489.
- Jaishankar HP. studies on storage and processing of custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Horti. Sci. Bagalkot (India), 2018.
- Jaishankar HP. Effect of postharvest treatments and storage studies on different varieties of sapota (*Manilkara achras* (Mill.) Fosberg). M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Hortic. Sci., Bagalkot, Karnataka (India), 2015.
- Kaluwa K, Bertling I, Bower JP, Tesfay SZ. Silicon application effects on 'Hass' avocado fruit physiology. South African avocado Growers association year book, 2010, 33.
- 11. Karemera NJU, Habimana S. Influence of pre-harvest sprays of calcium chloride on post-harvest behavior of mango fruits, cv. Alphonso. Int. J Social Sci. 2014a;2:1-13.
- 12. Karemera NJU, Habimana S. Performance of calcium chloride sprays on ripening, shelf-life and physical chemical proprieties of mango fruits (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Totapuri. Int. J Agric. Soil Sci. 2014b;2(3):33-38.
- 13. Lester GE, Grusak MA. Postharvest application of calcium and magnesium to honey dew and netted muskmelons: Effects on tissue ion concentrations, quality and senescence. J Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1999;124:545-552.
- Mahmad TMM, Eryani RA, Syed OSR, Zaki ARM, Eryani AR. Effects of different concentrations and applications of calcium on storage life and physicochemical characteristics of papaya (*Carica* papaya L.). American J Agril. Biol. Sci. 2008;3(3):526-533.
- 15. Manasa B. Pre and post-harvest approaches for quality improvement in mango fruits cv. 'Alphonso'. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Hortic. Sci., Bagalkot, Karnataka (India), 2015.
- Monica R, Raj KK, Anju B, Satish KS. Response of postharvest treatments on nutritional characteristics and shelf life of litchi (cv. Dehradun). The Bioscan. 2013;8(4):1219-1222.
- Mustaffa MM, Tanuja B, Sivakumar KC, Kumar V, Sathiamoorthy S. Effect of pre harvest treatments on bunch parameters, quality and shelf life of banana cv. Nendran: technological advancements (Singh, H. P., and Uma, S. eds). © AIPUB, Trichy, 2004, 124-132.
- Nesreen H, Abou B, Abd EM, Mohsen MA. Use of silicate and different cultivation practices in alleviating salt stress effect on bean plants. Australian J Basic & App. Sci. 2011;5(9):769-781.
- 19. Panse VS, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 1985.
- 20. Picchioni GA, Watada AE, Conway WS, Whitaker B, Sams CE. Postharvest calcium infiltration delays membrane lipid catabolism in apple fruit. J Agric. Food Chem. 1998;46:2452-2457.
- 21. Ravishankar MP. Studies on effect of pre-harvest bunch treatment and bagging on yield and postharvest quality of

banana. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Hortic. Sci., Bagalkot, Karnataka (India), 2016.

- 22. Sharma RK, Jitender K, Rana S. Shelf life of grapes as affected by various packaging materials and chemicals. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 1991;20:39-43.
- 23. Smith A. Silicon's key role in plant growth. Australian grain, 2011, 35.
- Stamatakis A, Papadantonakis N, Lydakis SN, Kefalas P, Savvas D. Effects of silicon and salinity on fruit yield and quality of tomato grown hydroponically. Acta Hort. 2003;609:141-147.
- 25. Vali R, Elham S, Yavar S, Najmedin M. Effects of postharvest applications of calcium nitrate and acetate on quality and shelf life improvement of "Jonagold" apple fruit. J. Medi. Pl. Res. 2011;5(19):4912-4917.
- 26. Woods JL. Moisture loss from fruits and vegetables. Post-harvest news inf, 1990, 195-199.
- Young N, Kyoungmi K, Sangcheol L, Jongchun P. Effects of lime and silica fertilizer application on grape cracking. J. Agric. Sci., Soil & Fert. 1996;38(1):410-415.