



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
 ISSN (P): 2349-8242
 NAAS Rating: 5.23
 TPI 2022; SP-11(2): 1897-1898
 © 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
 Received: 16-12-2021
 Accepted: 19-01-2022

DD Srigantha

Department of Plantation,
 Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic
 crops, College of Horticulture,
 Bagalkot, Udyanagiri,
 Karnataka, India

Sudeesh Kulkarni

Department of Plantation,
 Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic
 crops, College of Horticulture,
 Bagalkot, Udyanagiri,
 Karnataka, India

Study on benefit cost ratio of coffee (*Coffea arabica* cv. Chandragiri) for nursery raising

DD Srigantha and Sudeesh Kulkarni

Abstract

This study was conducted with an objective to find out the cheap and best container and media to grow coffee seedlings in nursery. It was revealed from the study that, the root trainer filled with red soil + pressmud + sand in 3:1:1 (C₄M₄) recorded maximum benefit cost ratio (2.85:1) and least benefit cost ratio (0.98:1) was obtained in raised bed grown seedlings using red soil+ cocopeat+FYM in 3:1:1 (C₅M₂).

Keywords: Coffee, root trainer, media.

Introduction

Coffee is the second important commodity in international trade, next to petroleum products in trade volume and value. Coffee (*Coffea* sp.) belongs to family Rubiaceae. As per 2019-20 data Karnataka leads in production (2,03,445 MT) next top producer states are Kerala and Tamilnadu (Anonymous, 2020) [1]. India exports 70-80 per cent of its produce. Commercially coffee is propagated through seeds. There are various factors that influence the initial development of coffee growing in the field, such as the seedlings production process and, specially, the container and substrate used (Vallone *et al.*, 2009) [3]. Regarding the substrate, conventionally Forest soil: FYM: Sand (6:2:1) is used, but the availability of forest soil is difficult because of diminishing of forest area.

Material and Method

An experiment was conducted during 2016-17 at the College of Horticulture, Mudigere to know the benefit cost ratio of coffee seedlings grown in different container and media for large scale nursery programme. The required seeds were collected from Central Coffee Research Institute, Balehonnur.

An experiment was laid out in a two factorial randomized block design. Coffee cultivar Chandragiri was used. Seeds were sown in portrays, 2 seeds per well and raised bed of 15 cm height and 1 m wide size at a distance of 2 cm between seeds and 10 cm between rows in primary nursery. Coffee seedlings of 45 days (topee stage) old were transplanted to secondary nursery into different containers and media as per the treatment.

Treatment detail

Factor I: Container		Factor II: Media	
Treatment	Container	Treatment	Media
C ₁	Black polythene bag (6"×9") [Control]	M ₁	Red soil + sand + FYM in 3:1:1 ratio (Control)
C ₂	Transparent polythene bag (6"×9")	M ₂	Red soil + cocopeat + FYM in 3:1:1 ratio
C ₃	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag	M ₃	Red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1
C ₄	Root trainees	M ₄	Red soil + sand + pressmud in 3:1:1
C ₅	Raising in bed	M ₅	M ₁ + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (5g/ kg)
		M ₆	M ₅ + VAM – <i>Gigaspora gigantean</i> (10g/kg FYM)
		M ₇	M ₅ + PSB – <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> (10g/kg FYM) + Nitrifying Bacteria – <i>Azospirillum</i> (10g/kg FYM)

Corresponding Author**DD Srigantha**

Department of Plantation,
 Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic
 crops, College of Horticulture,
 Bagalkot, Udyanagiri,
 Karnataka, India

Table 1: Effect of container and media on benefit-cost ratio of coffee cv. Chandragiri for nursery raising

Tr. No.	Treatment	Total cost (₹ /seedling)	Gross income (₹ /seedling)	Benefit: Cost ratio
C ₁ M ₁	Black polythene bag + red soil+ sand+ FYM in 3:1:1	4.61	2.39	1.51:1
C ₁ M ₂	Black polythene bag + red soil+ coco peat+ FYM in 3:1:1	5.61	1.39	1.24:1
C ₁ M ₃	Black polythene bag + red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1	5.49	1.51	1.27:1
C ₁ M ₄	Black polythene bag + red soil + sand + press mud in 3:1:1	4.41	2.59	1.58:1
C ₁ M ₅	Black polythene bag + M ₁ + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (5g/ kg FYM)	4.79	2.21	1.46:1
C ₁ M ₆	Black polythene bag + M ₅ +VAM (10g/kg FYM)	5.04	1.96	1.38:1
C ₁ M ₇	Black polythene bag + M ₅ + PSB (10g/kg) + Nitrogen fixing bacteria (10g/kg FYM)	5.29	1.71	1.32:1
C ₂ M ₁	Transparent polythene bag + red soil+ sand+ FYM in 3:1:1	4.10	2.90	1.7:1
C ₂ M ₂	Transparent polythene bag + red soil+ coco peat+ FYM in 3:1:1	5.10	1.90	1.37:1
C ₂ M ₃	Transparent polythene bag + red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1	4.98	2.10	1.40:1
C ₂ M ₄	Transparent polythene bag + red soil + sand + press mud in 3:1:1	3.90	3.10	1.79:1
C ₂ M ₅	Transparent polythene bag + M ₁ + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (5g/ kg FYM)	4.28	2.72	1.63:1
C ₂ M ₆	Transparent polythene bag + M ₅ +VAM (10g/kg)	4.53	2.47	1.54:1
C ₂ M ₇	Transparent polythene bag + M ₅ + PSB (10g/kg FYM) + Nitrogen fixing bacteria (10g/kg FYM)	4.78	2.22	1.46:1
C ₃ M ₁	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + red soil+ sand+ FYM in 3:1:1	4.21	2.79	1.66:1
C ₃ M ₂	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + red soil+ coco peat+ FYM in 3:1:1	5.21	1.79	1.34:1
C ₃ M ₃	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1	5.09	1.91	1.37:1
C ₃ M ₄	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + red soil + sand + press mud in 3:1:1	4.01	2.99	1.74:1
C ₃ M ₅	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + M ₁ + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (5g/ kg FYM)	4.39	2.61	1.59:1
C ₃ M ₆	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + M ₅ +VAM (10g/kg FYM)	4.64	2.36	1.50:1
C ₃ M ₇	Protray raised seedlings in black polythene bag + M ₅ + PSB (10g/kg FYM) + Nitrogen fixing bacteria (10g/kg FYM)	4.89	2.11	1.43:1
C ₄ M ₁	Root trainers + red soil+ sand+ FYM in 3:1:1	2.47	4.52	2.82:1
C ₄ M ₂	Root trainers + red soil+ coco peat+ FYM in 3:1:1	2.59	4.41	2.70:1
C ₄ M ₃	Root trainers + red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1	2.58	4.42	2.71:1
C ₄ M ₄	Root trainers + red soil + sand + press mud in 3:1:1	2.45	4.55	2.85:1
C ₄ M ₅	Root trainers + M ₁ + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (5g/ kg FYM)	2.54	4.46	2.75:1
C ₄ M ₆	Root trainers + M ₅ +VAM (10g/kg FYM)	2.63	4.37	2.65:1
C ₄ M ₇	Root trainers + M ₅ + PSB (10g/kg FYM) + Nitrogen fixing bacteria (10g/kg FYM)	2.72	4.28	2.57:1
C ₅ M ₁	Raising in beds + red soil+ sand+ FYM in 3:1:1	5.10	1.90	1.37:1
C ₅ M ₂	Raising in beds + red soil+ coco peat+ FYM in 3:1:1	7.10	0.10	0.98:1
C ₅ M ₃	Raising in beds + red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1	6.85	0.15	1.02:1
C ₅ M ₄	Raising in beds + red soil + sand + press mud in 3:1:1	4.70	2.30	1.48:1
C ₅ M ₅	Raising in beds + M ₁ + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (5g/ kg FYM)	5.47	1.53	1.27:1
C ₅ M ₆	Raising in beds + M ₅ +VAM (10g/kg FYM)	5.97	1.03	1.17:1
C ₅ M ₇	Raising in beds + M ₅ + PSB (10g/kg FYM) + Nitrogen fixing bacteria (10g/kg FYM)	6.47	0.53	1.08:1

Results and Discussion

It was found that, treatment root trainers filled with red soil + sand + press mud in 3:1:1 (C₄M₄) recorded maximum benefit cost ratio (2.85) which was followed by C₄M₁ where root trainer filled with red soil + sand + FYM in 3:1:1 (2.82) and C₄M₃ (2.71) where seedlings were grown in root trainer using the media red soil + sand + vermicompost in 3:1:1. Whereas, the minimum benefit cost ratio (0.98) was obtained in C₅M₂ (Raising in beds using red soil + coco peat + FYM in 3:1:1 (Table 24 and Fig 23). This is because root trainer cups once purchased can be used for 8-10 years. Cost of root trainer cup is ₹ 5, every year the cost involved is ₹ 50 paisa per root trainer which is cheaper compared to polybag and raised bed (Sunil, 2014) [2].

References

1. Anonymous, 2020. www.indiacoffee.org/database-coffee.
2. Sunil DT. Coffee nursery the Brazilian way forward. *Krushikakannada monthly magazine*, 2014, 14-18.
3. Vallone HS, Rubens JG, Antonio NGM, Carlos ASS, Fabio PD, Alex MC. Recipients and substrates in the production of seedlings and initial development of coffee trees after planting. *Science and Agrotechnology*. 2009;33(5):1327-1335.