



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(2): 1398-1401  
© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 17-11-2021  
Accepted: 26-01-2022

**Kanhaiya Lal Regar**  
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Manjhi,  
Saran, Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Central Agricultural University,  
Pusa, Bihar, India

**Vijay Kumar**  
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Manjhi,  
Saran, Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Central Agricultural University,  
Pusa, Bihar, India

**Abhay Kumar Singh**  
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Manjhi,  
Saran, Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Central Agricultural University,  
Pusa, Bihar, India

**MS Kundu**  
Directorate of Extension  
Education, Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Central Agricultural University,  
Pusa, Bihar, India

**Atul Kumar**  
Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar  
Agricultural University,  
Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

**Santosh Kumar Singh**  
Department of Soil Science, Dr.  
Rajendra Prasad Central  
Agricultural University, Pusa,  
Bihar, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Kanhaiya Lal Regar**  
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Manjhi,  
Saran, Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Central Agricultural University,  
Pusa, Bihar, India

## Response of different methods of zinc application on yield attributes, yield and economic profitability of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in semi-arid region of Bihar

**Kanhaiya Lal Regar, Vijay Kumar, Abhay Kumar Singh, MS Kundu, Atul Kumar and Santosh Kumar Singh**

### Abstract

The nutrient Zinc (Zn) next to nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) is the most important nutrient that its deficiency is considered to be a serious widespread nutritional disorder of the world's paddy fields which causes yield reduction ultimately reduced the farmer's economy. The two years (2020-21 & 2021-22) of open field trial was conducted to explain the effect of Zn application in the ways of basal and foliar on growth, yield and economics of rice. The open field trial performed under randomised block design involved seven replication of three different treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub>: NPK- 130:40:20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Farmer's Practice), T<sub>2</sub>: RDF (NPK-120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Zn @ 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and T<sub>3</sub>: RDF (NPK-120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + foliar spray of 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub> at 25 DAT. The pooled results of two years trial revealed that basal application of RDF-NPK and Zn (T<sub>2</sub>) significantly improved the effective tillers per meter square (428.03), no of panicle per meter square (15.70) and grain yield (43.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by basal application of RDF-NPK + foliar application of Zn @5% at 25 DAT (T<sub>3</sub>) and farmers practice (T<sub>1</sub>: NPK-130:40:20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest grain yield recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> was reflected to satisfactory net return after two years of investigation i.e., Rs. 41208.00 ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by treatment T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>. The two years of investigation can be concluded that in order to produce better crop performances and economics, the basal application of RDF-NPK and Zn@ 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was effective in semi arid region of Bihar.

**Keywords:** Zinc, basal application, foliar application, yield, economic, rice

### Introduction

The world population continues to increase and it is projected to reach 9.1 billion by 2050 (FAO, 2009) [1]. This increase is projected to come mostly from the developing world (United Nations, 2009) [2]. Therefore, the pressing need to ensure increased food supply and food security on the limited amount of land in the region is obvious. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops of the world, grown in wide range of climatic zones, to nourish the mankind. Earlier studies reveal that judicious and proper use of fertilizers can markedly increase the yield and improve the quality of rice (Place *et al.*, 1970) [3]. Micronutrients are essential for increasing crop production and enhancing animal and human health. About one third of agricultural soils in the world are estimated to be low in available zinc (Zn), resulting in poor crop yields and nutritional quality of the harvested grains (Alloway, 2008) [4]. Among the micronutrients, zinc (Zn) deficiency is considered as a major threat to the global and regional food security (Rana and Kashif, 2014) [5] as it is the most deficient micronutrient in soils worldwide (Shivay *et al.*, 2008) [6] and more than 30% of soils have low Zn availability (Alloway, 2004) [4]. In high rice consuming areas, zinc deficiency caused yield reduction and Zn malnutrition in humans (Tiong, 2014) [7]. Zn acts as an essential component of many enzymes and controls several biochemical processes in the plants required for growth (IRRI, 2000) [8]. Sudha and Stalin, 2015 reported, reduced rice grain yields with low zinc concentrations when there is low supply of zinc. Flood-irrigated rice is more prone to Zn deficiency (Rehman, 2012) [9] as submergence condition of rice cultivation influences electrochemical and biochemical reactions and alters pH, PCO<sub>2</sub> as well as the concentration of certain ions.

The grain and straw yield of in different rice genotypes significantly increased to the tune of 14 and 16% respectively with the application of zinc (Sudha and Stalin, 2015) [10]. Naik and Das, 2010 [11] reported that the application of zinc in low land rice soil of West Bengal caused an increase in yield of grain and straw respectively over the control to the tune of 37.8% and 20.9%.

The concentration of Zn in average soils ranged from 10 to 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987) [12]. In spite of the fact that the total soil Zn content is relatively high but a small fraction of it is available to plants (Nadeem *et al.*, 2013) [13]. In addition to high-yielding cultivars and heavy fertilizer inputs, Zn deficiency in rice may also induced by several other factors, including high soil pH, excess bicarbonate and low redox potential. Zinc concentration is decreased at high pH. If pH of soil increased then Zn concentration decreased 100 fold by one unit increased in pH (Nadeem *et al.*, 2013) [14]. Adsorption of Zn to clay and CaCO<sub>3</sub> under high pH is the primary reason of reduced availability of Zn in calcareous soils (Broadley, 2007) [15]. In biological systems Zn is enjoying unique status of being the only metal which is the component of enzymes of all six enzyme classes, i.e., oxidoreductases, transferases, hydrolases, lyases, isomerases and ligases. Zinc plays a very important regulatory role in various metabolic processes of plants including carbohydrate metabolism, cell wall development, gene expression, protein synthesis and respiration (Broadley, 2007) [15]. Rice is sensitive low Zn condition which is common in submerged paddy soils therefore; Zn deficiency frequently occurs (Hazra, 1987) [16]. Zinc deficient rice plants show poor ability root respiration during especially under flooded paddy soils (Slaton *et al.*, 2001) [17]. Application of zinc salts e.g., zinc sulphate is a common practice to correct Zn deficiency. Efficient uptake and transport of micronutrients to the grains can be increased by foliar application of micronutrient containing fertilizers. Therefore, like other micronutrients, foliar application of Zn is considered as potential method to ameliorate Zn deficiency in cereal grains (Cakmak, 2008) [18]. Hence, this study was aimed to investigate the effects of different methods of Zn applied through soil and foliar on rice growth, yield and finally economic profitability.

## Materials and Methods

### Study area

Two years of on-farm trial was established during 2020-21 & 2021-22 at farmer's fields of district Saran, Bihar, under the supervision of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Manjhi, Saran, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar. The area falls in the subtropical, humid agro-climatic zone of Bihar. The average annual rainfall of the area is about 800-1100 mm. and mean monthly maximum & minimum temperature varies from 24-33 °C and 16-23 °C, respectively. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture with alkaline pH (8.44), EC (0.32 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), low in organic carbon content (0.38%), low in Nitrogen (214.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in Phosphorus (11.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in Potassium (129 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Low in Zinc (0.48 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

### Experimental Design

An experiment on response of different methods of Zn application was established at seven farmer fields of Saran district of Bihar under supervision of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Manjhi, Saran (Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa Samastipur) during 2020-21 & 2021-22 (Two season). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design, replicated seven times involved three treatments i.e., T<sub>1</sub>: NPK- 130:40:20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Farmer's Practice), T<sub>2</sub>: RDF (NPK-120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Zn @ 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>3</sub>: RDF (NPK-120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + foliar spray of 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub> at 25 DAT. A total of twenty one plots were

established, with each plot sized at 180.0 m × 22.2 m.

### Nursery raising

The seed was treated with fungicide SAAF (Carbendazim+Mencozeb) @ 3g/kg seed before sowing to protect the crops from seed borne diseases. Seed of rice variety Sahbhagi was raised in nursery by "Wet bed method". Seed beds of 8 × 1.25 m size were prepared in dry condition. In addition 1 kg of nitrogen, 1 kg of phosphorus and 0.5 kg of potash were also applied @ 1000 sq. m through Urea, DAP and MOP, respectively at the time of last ploughing. Further, top dressing was done with @ 1.0 kg N/1000 sq. m in the form of urea at 10 days after sowing. Need based irrigation and weeding was also done.

### Field preparation

The experimental field was ploughed immediately after the harvest of previous wheat crop by a tractor drawn harrow in summer to expose weeds and the eggs of harmful insects. The field was prepared by following two cross disc harrowing and two cross tiller operations and finally the field was levelled by planking. Thereafter, the field was flooded with water and puddled by tractor. After puddling field was levelled finally.

### Nutrients application

Irrespective of treatments, the recommended doses of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O) were used 120 kg, 60 and 40 kg per hectare, respectively. N, P, K were applied in the form of urea, di-ammonium phosphate and muriate of potash. Entire dose of P and K fertilizers was applied at the time of field preparation. The nitrogen fertilizer was applied in four equal splits i.e., 1/4 at basal dressing, 1/4 at mid-tillering, 1/4 at active tillering and 1/4 at panicle initiation in rice cropping. Zinc fertilizers were applied in the form of zinc sulphate @ 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal dose. The foliar application of Zn was applied @ 5% at 25 day after transplanting.

### Data collection

#### Yield attributed and yield

Yield attributing characters i.e., effective tillers per meter square, No. of panicle per hills were recorded. The crops were harvested manually from 1 m<sup>2</sup> randomly selected 3 quadrats from each plot and recorded the grain yields.

### Economic profitability

The net return was calculated by considering the variable as well as fixed inputs and prevailing market rates, the expenditure incurred on various inputs and operations. The fixed cost includes tillage, seed & seed sowing, irrigation, pesticide, harvesting and transportation. Similarly, variable costs included fertilizer. The cost of human labour used for tillage, seeding, irrigation, fertilizer and pesticide application, weeding and harvesting of crops was based on man power per days per hectare. Simultaneously, gross returns were worked out for each treatment based on quality and market prices (Minimum Support Price, Government of India) of the produce. The net returns were worked out by deducting the cost incurred from the gross return of the particular treatment.

### Data Analysis

The data generated from the present investigation were subjected to statistical analysis using the statistical package

SPSS 13.0. The least significant difference (LSD) at 5% for testing the significant difference among the treatment means (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) [19].

## Results and Discussion

### Yield attributes and yield

The pooled data regarding the yield attributes and yield presented in Table 1 indicated that the application of basal dose of RDF-NPK and Zn (T<sub>2</sub>) significantly improved the effective tillers per meter square by 21.54% over farmer practice (control) whereas, the basal application of RDF-NPK and foliar application of Zn @ 5% (T<sub>3</sub>) improved the effective tillers per meter square by 14.11% over farmer practice (T<sub>1</sub>: control). Similarly, the No. of panicle per hills was recorded the highest in treatment T<sub>2</sub> which was statistically followed by T<sub>3</sub>, and the lowest value of No. of panicle per hills was observed under farmers practice (T<sub>1</sub>). The maximum improvement in yield attributes of rice by basal application of RDF-NPK & Zn compared to other treatments, might be due to increase in availability of essential nutrients as compared to other methods. Savithri *et al.*, 1999 [20] and Umar Khan *et al.*, 2003 [21] reported similar results. Similarly, Slaton *et al.*, 2005b [22] reported that application of zinc significantly affected total number of tillers. Increased tiller production can be attributed to Zn induced enzymatic activity and auxin metabolism in plants. These results are similar to the findings of Singh *et al.*, 2020 [23].

Yield attributes of rice were well reflected satisfactory yield (Table 1) of rice in treatment T<sub>2</sub> which was statistically followed by T<sub>3</sub> and farmers practices. An improvement in rice yield with the basal application of Zn and RDF-NPK by 7.74% & 24.22%, respectively over treatment T<sub>3</sub> & T<sub>1</sub>. Similar results were reported by Shamim *et al.*, 1991 [24] who reported greater grain yield with soil application of Zn than foliar application. Kumar *et al.*, 1997 [25] and Savithri *et al.*, 1999 [20] also reported somewhat similar results.

**Table 1:** Response of different methods of Zn application on Yield attributes and yield of rice.

Treatments	Years		Pooled average
	2020-21	2021-22	
<b>Effective tillers per meter square</b>			
T <sub>1</sub>	350.33a	354.00a	352.17a
T <sub>2</sub>	425.00b	431.05b	428.03b
T <sub>3</sub>	399.67c	404.10c	401.89c
<b>No. of panicle per hills</b>			
T <sub>1</sub>	11.67a	12.60a	12.14a
T <sub>2</sub>	15.00b	16.40b	15.70b
T <sub>3</sub>	13.00c	14.52c	13.76c
<b>Grain yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>			
T <sub>1</sub>	35.10a	35.50a	35.30a
T <sub>2</sub>	43.30b	44.40b	43.85b
T <sub>3</sub>	40.20c	41.30c	40.70c
* Within variable means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different from each other			

### Economic profitability

Similar to grain yield, the basal and foliar application of Zn had produced significant effect on economic profitability in terms of net return in two years of study (Table 2). the pooled value of net return from rice production was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub>: RDF-NPK and Zn which was 1.13 times and 1.45 times higher as compared to RDF-NPK and foliar

application of Zn @ 5% (T<sub>3</sub>) and farmer practice (T<sub>1</sub>: control), respectively due to better crop growth and yield. The cost of cultivation was numerically the lowest in Farmers practice due to lower rate of RDF-NPK without Zn followed by T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The gross return depends on yield of crop and the highest gross return was incurred in treatment T<sub>2</sub> followed by T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest value of gross return incurred from farmers practice due to the lower crop yield.

**Table 2:** Response of different methods of Zn application on economic profitability of rice.

Treatments	Years		Pooled average
	2020-21	2021-22	
<b>Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>			
T <sub>1</sub>	42260.00a	43370.00a	42815.00a
T <sub>2</sub>	46060.00a	47170.00a	46615.00a
T <sub>3</sub>	44660.00a	45680.00a	45170.00a
<b>Gross return (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>			
T <sub>1</sub>	67690.00a	73420.00a	70555.00a
T <sub>2</sub>	83670.00b	91976.00b	87823.00b
T <sub>3</sub>	77680.00c	85552.00c	81616.00c
<b>Net return (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>			
T <sub>1</sub>	25430.00a	30050.00a	27740.00a
T <sub>2</sub>	37610.00b	44806.00b	41208.00b
T <sub>3</sub>	33020.00c	39872.00c	36446.00c
* Within variable means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different from each other			

### Conclusion

The two years of study concluded that the basal application of RDF- NPK and Zn (T<sub>2</sub>) was produced significant effect on yield attributes, yield and net return in rice cultivation which were statistically followed by basal application of RDF-NPK + foliar application of Zn @5% at 25 DAT (T<sub>3</sub>) and farmers practice (T<sub>1</sub>: NPK- 130:40:20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, in order to produce better crop performances and economics the basal application of RDF-NPK and Zn was effective in semi arid region of Saran, Bihar.

### Acknowledgement

The support of Director Extension Education, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University Pusa, Bihar and Agricultural Technology Application Research Institute, Patna, Bihar for providing basic infrastructure for this study is duly acknowledged.

### References

1. FAO. Global Agriculture Towards. 2050, FAO, Rome. 2009.
2. United Nations. World Population Prospects. The 2008 revision. New York. 2009.
3. Place GA, Sims JL, Hall UL. Effects of nitrogen and phosphorous on the growth yield and cooking, characteristics of rice. *Agronomy Journal*. 1970;62:239-41
4. Alloway BJ. Zinc in Soils and Crop Nutrition. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, IFA, Paris. 2008.
5. Rana WH, Kashif SR. Effect of different zinc sources and methods of application on rice yield and nutrients concentration in rice grain and straw. *Journal of Environmental and Agricultural Sciences*. 2014, 1-9.
6. Shivay YS, Kumar D, Prasad R, Ahlawat IPS. Relative

- yield and zinc uptake by rice from zinc sulphate and zinc oxide coatings onto urea. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystem*. 2008;80:181-188.
7. Tiong J, McDonald GK, Genc Y. HvZIP mediates zinc accumulation in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) at moderately high zinc supply. *New Phytologist*. 2014;201(1):131-143.
  8. IRRI. Nutritional disorders and nutrient management in rice. *Inter. Rice Res. Ins. Manila, Philippines*. 2000.
  9. Rehman HU, Aziz T, Farooq M. Zinc nutrition in rice production systems: A review. *Plant Soil*. 2012;361(1, 2):203-226.
  10. Sudha S, Stalin P. Effect of zinc on yield, quality and grain zinc content of rice genotypes. *International Journal of Farm Sciences*. 2015;5(3):17-27.
  11. Naik SK, Das DK. Evaluation of various zinc extractants in low land rice soil under the influence of zinc sulphate and chelated zinc. *Communication of Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2010;41:122-134.
  12. Mengel K, Kirkby EA. Principles of plant nutrition. 4th International Potash Institute, Bern, Switzerland. 1987, 525-535.
  13. Mandal LN, Dutta D, Mandal B. Availability of zinc in submerged soil and zinc nutrition of rice. *Indian Journal of Soil Science Society*. 1992;40:119-124.
  14. Nadeem F, Ahmad R, Rehmani MIA, Ali A, Ahmad M, Iqbal J. Qualitative and chemical analysis of rice kernel to time of application of phosphorus in combination with zinc under anaerobic conditions. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Biology*. 2013;1:67-75.
  15. Broadley MR, White PJ, Hammond JP, Zelko I, Lux A. Zinc in plants. *New Phytologist*. 2007;173:677-702.
  16. Hazra GC, Mandal B, Mandal LN. Distribution of zinc fractions and their transformation in submerged rice soils. *Plant and Soil*. 1987;104:175-181.
  17. Slaton NA, Wilson CE, Norman RJ, Boothe DL. Evaluation of zinc seed treatments for rice. *Agronomy Journal*. 2001;93:152-157.
  18. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc. Agronomic or genetic biofortification? *Plant and Soil*. 2008; 302:1-17.
  19. Gomez K, Gomez A. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley & Sons New York, USA. 1984.
  20. Savithri P, Perumal R, Nagrajan R, Balasubramanian Y, Ladha JK, Dennining GL. Soil and crop management technologies for enhancing rice production under micronutrient constraints. *Resource management in rice system: Nutrients. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 1999;53:83-92.
  21. Yoshida S, Melean GW, Shafi M, Miller KE. Effects of different methods of Zn application on growth and yields of rice in a calcareous soil West Pakistan. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 1970;16:47-149.
  22. Slaton NA, Gbur EE, Wilson CE, Norman RJ. Rice response to granular sources varying in water soluble zinc. *Soil Science Society of American Journal*. 2005b;69:443-452.
  23. Ghani A, Shah M, Khan DR. Response of rice to elevated rates of zinc in mountainous areas of Swat. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 1990;6:411-415.
  24. Shamim R, Hussain SMA, Karim MM, Siddique MA, Chowdhury, AKMS. Effect of sulphur and method of zinc application on rice. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1991;18:11-14.
  25. Kumar B, Sing VP, Kumar B. Zinc status of rice plant as influenced by different levels and methods of zinc application. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. 1997;7:105-108.