



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; SP-11(11): 2002-2007
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 02-09-2022
Accepted: 06-10-2022

Bamudi Sai Sandeep
Department of Animal
Nutrition, C.V.Sc. & A.H.,
Odisha University of Agriculture
and Technology, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Niranjan Panda
Department of Animal
Nutrition, C.V.Sc. & A.H.,
Odisha University of Agriculture
and Technology, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Kamdev Sethy
Department of Animal
Nutrition, C.V.Sc. & A.H.,
Odisha University of Agriculture
and Technology, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Sudhanya Nath
Department of Animal
Nutrition, Institute of
Veterinary Science and Animal
Husbandry, SOA Deemed to be
University, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Corresponding Author:
Kamdev Sethy
Department of Animal
Nutrition, C.V.Sc. & A.H.,
Odisha University of Agriculture
and Technology, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Effect of dietary supplementation of amla (*Emblica officinalis*) powder and equivalent synthetic vitamin C on growth performance in black rock broiler chicken

Bamudi Sai Sandeep, Niranjan Panda, Kamdev Sethy and Sudhanya Nath

Abstract

An experiment was conducted to explore the effect of dietary supplementation of amla powder on growth performance in Black Rock broiler chicken in comparative parallel study with equivalent synthetic vitamin C. In total one hundred forty (n=140) day old Black Rock chicks were randomly distributed into 7 dietary treatments with two replicates having 10 birds in each replicate. The dietary treatments were: T₁ (Control group): Basal diet; T₂: Basal diet + 0.5% amla powder; T₃: Basal diet + 1% amla powder; T₄: Basal diet + 2% amla powder; T₅: Basal diet+ Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 0.5% amla powder; T₆: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 1% amla powder; T₇: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 2% amla powder. The experiment was scheduled for 7 weeks in two phases with starter (1st - 3rd week) and finisher ration (4th-7th week) during mid-January to March having average temperature-humidity index (THI) value of 76.64. Results revealed that there was no significant difference in body weight and feed intake but significantly better FCR was noticed in T₁, T₂ and T₃ groups than control group. From the above experimental study, the following conclusion could be stated that supplementation of 1% amla powder to basal diet improved FCR. Synthetic vitamin C needs double the dose of natural form of vitamin C to exert same effect on FCR.

Keywords: Amla, black rock broiler chicken, growth, synthetic vitamin C

Introduction

The poultry industry has now emerged as a highly structured and effervescent agri-business enterprise, internationally. India as a leading producer of eggs stands at number third and fifth in broiler meat producer in the world. As recommendation of National Institute of Nutrition (NIN) Hyderabad, per capita meat consumption of animal protein should be 11 kg/year, while India's per capita availability of meat is 3.8 kg/year, which is very low as compared to 43 kg in the United States, 34 kg in South Africa, 31 kg in Canada, 10.5 kg in China, and 17 kg for the whole world [1]. Chicken meat is a rich source of high biological value quality protein with high choice of preference in Indian society due to its reasonable price in comparison to mutton and chevon. There is a wide gap between the recommended and availability of poultry meat, so to meet the need poultry industry in general and broiler sector in particular has emerged as one of the robust emergent sector of the agriculture segment in India. Due course of time, widespread researches have been conducted on feed additives as an key implement in poultry diet to improve FCR, growth performance and disease resistance leading to peak productivity. Supplementations of phyto-based feed additives in poultry nutrition are mainly aimed to improve absorption and assimilation of numerous nutrients [2] thus, augmenting commercial profit margins by minimizing huge expenditure on feed and cost of production [3]. Ban of antibiotics as feed additive, specifically as growth promoters in European Union on March 2006 led towards paying more attention on natural phytogenic remedies. In this regard, natural growth promoters comes into action to play a vital role in feed industry as herbal feed additives to promote growth and optimize production levels with safeguarding consumers' health also [4].

Indian gooseberry, commonly known as Amla (*Emblica officinalis*), a dynamic fruit laid its importance on biological system defined and proved in ancient ayurvedic and unani therapies, which is available in tropical and sub-tropical areas like south-east Asian countries. As per Ayurveda, it has a long range of actions on body by balancing three vital properties of body named vata, pitta and kapha [5]. Amla was preferred as additive due to its wide range of therapeutic values and immune-boosting antioxidant effects reflected in ancient ayurvedic

unani textures. Amla fruit is rich in phyto-active chemical constituents such as polyphenols, flavonoids, alkaloids, gallic acid, phyllembin and hydrolysable tannins and a potential source ascorbic acid [6]. So it has a wide range of pharmacological action such as anti-atherogenic, anti-mutagenic, anti-inflammatory, immuno-stimulant, gastro-protective, hypolipidemic, anti-microbial, anti-viral, anticancer, anti-diabetic, antioxidant, nephro protective, hepato protective, anti-ageing, cyto protective, cardio protective, chemo protective, radio protective, free radical scavenging, ulcer- protective, wound healing, anti-diarrheal properties [7, 8, 9]. Bunch of medicinally effective substances like flavonoids, phyllembin, vitamin C, gallic acid alkaloids and tannins define its crucial role as feed additive. Among them, antioxidant effect is enhanced by flavonoids, tannin and antiscorbutic vitamin which are present in great amount [10]. It is also considered as affluent wellspring of amino acids, minerals and vitamin C [11]. The active principles of amla invigorate different enzymes like catalase, superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase and thus ameliorates effect of free radicals on cells by activating innate antioxidant mechanism [12]. The ancient ayurvedic polyherbal supplements include amla powder for its potent action against bacteria [13] and fungus [14]. Pulverized amla fruit also enhances performance of broiler bird by incorporating its anti stress, immuno modulatory, adaptogenic [15, 16] and anti-inflammatory [17] functions.

Due to obtainable limited literatures on amla mixed poultry diet formulations and its impact on growth and health status of birds, the experiment was conducted to know the outcome of dietary supplementation of amla powder and synthetic Vitamin C regarding growth performance in commercial broiler chicken (Black Rock).

Materials and Methods

Selections of birds and dietary treatments

The experiment was executed at the Department of Animal Nutrition, C.V.Sc. & A.H., OUAT, Bhubaneswar in alliance with Instructional Livestock Farm, Faculties of veterinary and animal science, OUAT. A total number of one hundred forty (n=140) day old Black Rock chicks were brought from Central Poultry Development Organization (CPDO), Eastern Region, Bhubaneswar and randomly distributed into seven dietary treatment groups with two replicates for each treatment group having ten birds in each replicate. The chicks were reared in deep litter system with optimum brooding conditions from 1 - 49 days of age (marketable age). The dietetic treatments were: T₁ (Control group): Basal diet; T₂: Basal diet + 0.5% amla powder; T₃: Basal diet + 1% amla powder; T₄: Basal diet + 2% amla powder; T₅: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 0.5% amla powder; T₆: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 1% amla powder; T₇: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 2% amla powder. The basal diets were formulated as per the specification of BIS-2017 recommendation [18]. The starter and finisher feed were provided up to 21 and 49 days respectively. The ingredient composition of the basal diets and their proximate composition have been presented in Table 1 and 2 respectively.

Table 1: Ingredients composition of starter and finisher experimental ration

Ingredients	Starter (%)	Finisher (%)
Maize	64	65
Soyabean Meal	33	27
Deoiled Rice bran	-	05
Mineral mixture	2.6	2.6
Common Salt	0.3	0.3
L-Lysine	0.03	0.03
DL-methionine	0.05	0.05
Additives		
Livoline	0.25	0.25
Biocholine	0.50	0.50
K-zyme	0.50	0.50
Biobantox	0.50	0.50

Table 2: Proximate compositions of basal diets (% on DM basis)

Components	Starter	Finisher
Crude protein	22.84	19.47
Ether extract	2.36	2.21
Crude fibre	4.70	6.02
Total ash	8.29	9.03
Nitrogen-free extract*	61.81	63.27
Calcium	1.18	0.89
Available phosphorus	0.62	0.51

*Calculated value

Source of Amla and Vitamin C

Amla

Fresh amla fruits were brought from nearby fruit market. After deseeding, it was cut into small thin slices and dried in cabinet tray dryer with the help of Dept. of Agril. Processing & Food Engg., OUAT. Amla fruit slices were spread over the aluminum trays at a uniform thickness and initially temperature was started at 50°C and within 2hrs temperature was increased gradually which was fixed at 60°C for 21 hrs [19, 20]. The ascorbic acid content of tray dried amla powder was estimated through colorimetric method and valued 494.70mg/100g of amla powder. Thus, 0.5% amla (5g/kg basal diet), 1% amla (10g/kg basal diet) and 2% amla (20g/kg basal diet) contain 25, 50, and 100 mg Vitamin C respectively. After 21hrs of drying, the small dried crunchy pieces were collected from trays and crushed in electric grinder in order to get powder form. Subsequently it was stored in an air tight amber colour bottle to prevent oxidation.

Vitamin C

Limcee tablets of Abbott Health Care Pvt. Ltd. were used as Vitamin C supplementation in the experiment. Each uncoated tablet comprised of ascorbic acid @ 100 mg and Sodium ascorbate @ 450 mg which is equivalent to 400 mg ascorbic acid. So in total, a tablet had 500 mg ascorbic acid.

Management of experimental birds

The poultry house was thoroughly cleaned, disinfected and dried before the onset of the experiment. Before bringing chicks to the poultry shed, disinfection process was carried out by Malathion (1%), formalin (10%). Circular and linear feeders, fountain waterers and chick guards were thoroughly cleaned with detergent and KMnO₄ solution, followed by fumigation. Chicks were weighed individually and tied with wing band on 0 day (day of procurement). Wing band tying was necessary before putting them in to the pen as they are helpful for identification. Adequate light (24 hr) and

ventilation were facilitated during brooding period. Deep litter system was adopted for the maintenance of chicks. The routine vaccination measures were followed as per vaccination schedule. Optimum fresh clean drinking water was provided regularly. Artificial light using 200watt bulb was facilitated during brooding period (0-2 weeks) and in other day for adequate photoperiod.

Measurement of growth traits

Weekly weighing of birds up to 7th week was carried out using electric pan weighing machine. Group wise offering of daily feed to the birds were recorded. Average feed intake for a specific week was determined by deducting total leftover feed from total feed given in that week. FCR was also calculated. All the data generated in the experiment was statistically analyzed using SPSS 21.0.

Results

Effect on body weight

There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in weekly body weight of birds from 0 day to 7th week (Table 3). The final body weights of all group of birds at 7th week varied from 1431.35 to 1522.95 showing no significant variation among the treatments, however numerically lowest body weight of 1431.35 g was observed in control group and highest in T₇ which was of 1522.95 g.

Weekly cumulative feed intake

There was significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in weekly

cumulative feed intake of birds during 2nd and 3rd week (Table 4) and in other weeks, there was non-significant ($p > 0.05$) difference of feed intake. It was found that as the body weight advances the feed consumption increased. Up to experimental period of 7th week the total feed consumption was varied from 4420 to 4624 g in different groups.

Effect on weekly cumulative feed conversion ratio (FCR)

The cumulative FCR was calculated dividing the body weight with feed intake up to that week (Table 5). Upto 3rd week the FCR was 1.47 to 2.19 but after that the FCR was increased and at the end of the experiment (at 7th week) the FCR varied from 2.974 to 3.330 in different groups. From the 2nd week onwards significant variation ($p < 0.05$) of FCR was noticed and it was seen that control has the highest FCR. Upto 3rd week it was found that T₃ which was supplemented with 1.0% amla powder had better FCR of 1.776 which significantly better than control and T₄ groups. All the supplemented groups had better FCR than control groups except T₄ group which was fed 2.0% amla powder. During 4th week, all the supplemented groups had better ($p < 0.05$) FCR than control except T₄ and T₅ groups. From 5th to 7th week, there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in FCR values of the birds. After 7th week supplementation highest ($p > 0.05$) cumulative FCR was seen in control groups (3.330) and lowest FCR was noticed in T₇ (2.974). From the analysis it was revealed that T₂, T₃, T₆ and T₇ had significantly ($p < 0.05$) better FCR than control, T₄ and T₅ groups.

Table 3: Weekly body weight (g) of birds in different dietary treatments

Week	Treatments*							SEM	P value
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇		
0 Day	37.60	37.95	37.70	35.00	34.45	35.45	36.70	0.76	0.080
1 st	103.90	101.11	102.95	99.25	100.95	97.90	99.40	2.13	0.214
2 nd	237.75	245.17	245.45	236.70	234.90	243.45	247.55	6.34	0.470
3 rd	525.50	557.64	549.85	512.60	520.75	544.45	543.80	14.78	0.051
4 th	680.15	729.69	721.35	680.05	678.35	724.65	711.50	19.06	0.120
5 th	806.60	881.32	861.60	819.70	817.30	860.70	870.05	23.35	0.076
6 th	1080.55	1159.58	1154.30	1117.55	1102.50	1138.25	1172.15	32.01	0.353
7 th	1431.35	1510.60	1504.80	1468.45	1453.70	1488.80	1522.95	33.21	0.407

*T₁ (Control group): Basal diet; T₂: Basal diet + 0.5% amla powder; T₃: Basal diet + 1% amla powder; T₄: Basal diet + 2% amla powder; T₅: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 0.5% amla powder; T₆: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 1% amla powder; T₇: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 2% amla powder

Table 4: Weekly cumulative feed intake (g) of birds under different dietary treatments

Week	Treatments*							SEM	P value
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇		
1 st	110	104	95	105	103	103	106	4.44	0.453
2 nd	432 ^a	387 ^c	372 ^c	425 ^{ab}	398 ^{bc}	398 ^{bc}	423 ^{ab}	16.39	0.013
3 rd	1022 ^a	972 ^{ab}	908 ^c	1012 ^{ab}	963 ^b	963 ^b	1003 ^{ab}	28.85	0.014
4 th	1722	1674	1600	1701	1677	1677	1637	33.11	0.122
5 th	2495	2439	2409	2511	2497	2497	2375	50.02	0.381
6 th	3513	3450	3354	3483	3507	3507	3365	60.46	0.261
7 th	4624	4532	4399	4576	4618	4582	4420	79.68	0.223

*T₁ (Control group): Basal diet; T₂: Basal diet + 0.5% amla powder; T₃: Basal diet + 1% amla powder; T₄: Basal diet + 2% amla powder; T₅: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 0.5% amla powder; T₆: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 1% amla powder; T₇: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 2% amla powder

^{abc}Values bearing different superscripts in a row differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)

Table 5: Weekly cumulative FCR of birds under different dietary treatments

Week	Treatments*							SEM	P value
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇		
1 st	1.751	1.626	1.470	1.610	1.552	1.656	1.690	0.09	0.470
2 nd	2.199 ^a	1.860 ^c	1.797 ^c	2.131 ^{ab}	1.986 ^{abc}	1.916 ^{bc}	2.006 ^{abc}	0.11	0.040
3 rd	2.112 ^{ab}	1.868 ^c	1.776 ^c	2.193 ^a	1.981 ^{bc}	1.894 ^c	1.978 ^{bc}	0.10	0.015
4 th	2.697 ^a	2.417 ^{bc}	2.343 ^c	2.683 ^a	2.605 ^{ab}	2.435 ^{bc}	2.426 ^{bc}	0.11	0.040
5 th	3.264	2.890	2.927	3.277	3.190	3.026	2.850	0.14	0.064
6 th	3.387	3.074	3.007	3.260	3.284	3.181	2.964	0.130	0.128
7 th	3.330	3.076	3.000	3.225	3.254	3.153	2.974	0.11	0.098

*T₁ (Control group): Basal diet; T₂: Basal diet + 0.5% amla powder; T₃: Basal diet + 1% amla powder; T₄: Basal diet + 2% amla powder; T₅: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 0.5% amla powder; T₆: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 1% amla powder; T₇: Basal diet + Equivalent synthetic vitamin C as present in 2% amla powder

^{abc}Values bearing different superscripts in a row differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)

Discussion

Effect on body weight

The weekly body weight of the Blackrock birds showed no significant variation among control (T₁), amla supplemented (T₂, T₃, T₄) and Vitamin C supplemented (T₅, T₆, T₇) dietary treatments. Day old BW of Blackrock chicks of all the dietary treatments range from 34.45 to 37.95 g. Birds reared up to 7th week and final body weight varied from 1431.35 to 1522.95 g in different groups. Lowest body weight of 1431.35 was observed in control group (T₁) and highest in T₇ (2% Equivalent synthetic vitamin C) which of 1522.95 g but no significant difference were revealed across the dietary treatments ($p > 0.05$). Similar results were reported by Nakajothi *et al.*, (2009) [21], that there was no improvement in the body weight gain of vitamin C supplementation @ 250 mg/ kg diet and amla supplementation up to 20 g/kg of diet [21]. Untoo (2010) also observed no significant effect on weight gain and final body weight in birds treated amla single or in combination with probiotics [22]. Above researches showed that supplementation of amla either alone or in combination with other herbal sources did not show any significant body weight gain or final body weight. This implies that dietary supplementation of amla might not have any effect on body weight.

In contrast to our studies, some of the research findings revealed significant increase in body weight in commercial broilers fed *E. Officinalis* powder [23, 24]. Same type of investigations was observed on improved body weight [25 - 27]. In some experimental studies, it was reported that there was improved body weight gain, when chicken were supplemented with amla alone or in addition with other phytoactive herbal feed additives [28, 30, 31, 32]. Tangade (2007) also stated that significant rise in weekly body weight gain in natural Vitamin-C (*E. officinalis*) supplemented chicken in comparison to synthetic Vitamin-C [33]. In this experiment, it is quite evident the THI 76.64 (CWO.OUAT) indicating a moderate environmental stress to birds during the period of rearing which might be the reason behind insignificant body weight gain.

Effect on feed intake

The weekly cumulative feed consumption varied significantly ($p < 0.05$) among all the dietary treatments in 2nd and 3rd week but not in 1st week and from 4th to 7th week. Patel *et al.* (2016) [24] and Patil *et al.* (2014) [27] reported that feed intake did not differ significantly up to 6th week. Lohakare *et al.* (2005) [29] also reported that no significant impact noticed on overall feed intake of Ross chicken up to 6th week. Similar to our results, there was decrease in feed consumption in amla supplemented dietary treatments in comparison to control in

2nd and 3rd week [26, 33 - 36]. Some researchers found that dietary supplementation of *E. officinalis* caused significantly improved feed intake than control [31, 38].

In the experimental study, BlackRock breed which was used is a low-in-put meat type chicken. The genetic potential of growth performance traits of these birds are not yet exploited fully. So the range of growth performance and feed consumption values was not similar with commercial broiler strains (Vencobb, Suguna) and did not show any difference statistically except 2nd and 3rd week of experiment.

Effect on cumulative Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)

After 7th week supplementation, the highest cumulative FCR was seen in control groups (3.330) and lowest FCR was noticed in T₇ (2.974). From the analysis it was found that T₂, T₃, T₆ and T₇ have significantly better FCR than Control and T₄, T₅. It implies that 2% amla powder addition in basal diet didn't have any effect on FCR. Rather 0.5% or 1% amla supplementation shows better results on FCR. Correspondingly, synthetic vitamin C needs double the dose of natural form of vitamicC to exert same effect on FCR. Several researches were reported to be similar to the above showing lower FCR in amla supplemented groups either single or with other herbal mixtures than control [21, 25, 26, 30, 35, 39, 40]. In contrast to above, Patel *et al.* (2016) [24] and Patil *et al.* (2014) [27] stated that FCR remains same in amla fed groups with control also and no such high difference noticed. Due to phytogetic supplements, stimulation of gastric enzymes and enhanced gut morphology [41] contribute towards better intestinal absorption and assimilation of nutrients [42, 43]. The enhanced performance and FCR noticed in *E. officinalis* supplemented groups might be due to antioxidant properties of bioactive constituents such as ascorbic acid, polyphenols, flavonoids, tannic acid and Gallic acid [44]. Hashemi and Davoodi (2011) also reported that the positive effects of phytobased additives on growth performance can be better explained due to its antioxidant properties, immune-boosting activity, gastric juice stimulation, safeguarding and maintaining gut friendly microflora and suppressing harmful microbes [45].

Conclusion

From the above experimental study, the following conclusion could be stated that supplementation of 1% amla powder (10 g/kg feed) to basal diet improved FCR of birds. To get equivalent effect, the supplementation of synthetic vitamin C @ 50 mg/kg feed is recommended in Black Rock birds. Further researches can be suggested to study the exact mechanism of action of amla on antioxidant activity and immune system of body based on molecular changes at

cellular levels.

References

1. FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to food security and nutrition for all. Rome; c2016. p. 200.
2. Mandey JS, Sompie FN. Phytogetic Feed Additives as an Alternative to Antibiotic Growth Promoters in Poultry Nutrition. *Advanced Studies in the 21st Century Animal Nutrition*. 2021;8:19.
3. Pandey NK, Singh DP, Niwas R. Broiler characteristics, sensory qualities, and economic efficiency in Vencobb-400 chicks supplemented with a conjugated herbal feed additive in diet. *Animal Science*. 2013;7(4):128-132.
4. Huyghebaert G, Ducatelle R, Van Immerseel F. An update on alternatives to antimicrobial growth promoters for broilers. *The Veterinary Journal*. 2011;187(2):182-188.
5. Garg N, Jain A. Therapeutic and medicinal uses of amalaki: A review, *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2017;6(02):512-524.
6. Baby B, Antony P, Vijayan R. Antioxidant and anticancer properties of berries, *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 2017;58(15):2491-2507.
7. Priya KT, Thangavel A, Leela V. Antioxidant status in broiler breeder cocks supplemented with amla (*Emblica officinalis*) and grape (*Vites venifera*) seed. *Tamil Nadu Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science*. 2010;6(1):1923.
8. Elizabeth Manju DK, Thangavel A, Leela V. Effect of dietary supplementation of amla and grape seed powders on antioxidant status in the seminal plasma of broiler breeder cocks. *Tamil Nadu Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*. 2011;7(5):229-233.
9. Dasaraju S, Gottumukkala KM. Current Trends in the Research of *Emblica officinalis* (Amla): A Pharmacological Perspective. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research*. 2014;24(2): 150-159.
10. Kaur C, Kapoor HC. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of some Asian vegetables, *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2002;37(2):153-161.
11. Yokozawa T, Kim HY, Kim HJ, Okubo T, Chu DC, Juneja LR. Amla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) prevents dyslipidemia and oxidative stress in the ageing process. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2007;97(6):1187-119.
12. Rajak S, Banerjee SK, Sood S, Dinda AK, Gupta YK, Gupta SK *et al.* *Emblica officinalis* causes myocardial adaptation and protects against oxidative stress in ischemic reperfusion injury in rats. *Phytotherapy Research*. 2004;18(1):54-60.
13. Verghese LS, Alex N, Ninan MA, Soman S, Jacob S. Evaluation of growth inhibitory activities of extracts of whole plant of *Emblica officinalis* on Gram Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria. *International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine*. 2013;5(1):48-54.
14. Hossain MM, Mazumder K, Hossen SM, Tanmy TT, Rashi MJ. *In vitro* studies on antibacterial and antifungal activities of *Emblica officinalis*. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research*. 2012;3(4):1124.
15. Sapkota D, Upadhyaya TN, Islam R, Choudhury KB Dev. Effect of dietary *Emblica officinalis* in ameliorating aflatoxicosis in broiler chicken: gross and histopathological studies. *Indian Poult. Sci. Association, XXIII Annual Conference*; c2005.
16. Wadhwa D, Sood S, Meena K, Sharma VK, Chounan JS. Effect of supplementation of gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis*) powder supplementation on biological performance of commercial broilers. *XXIV Annu. Conf. of IPSA and National Symposium, Ludhiana*; c2007. p. 95.
17. Jeevangi S, Manjunath S, Sakhare PM. A study of anti-hyperlipidemia, hypolipidemic and anti-atherogenic activity of fruit of *Emblica officinalis* (amla) in high fat fed albino rats. *International Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*. 2013;1(2):70-77.
18. BIS. Bureau of Indian standards. Poultry feeds specifications (fifth revised edition) IS-1374; c2007.
19. Minj P, Mahilang KKS, Raj JD, Sonboier K. Studies on some Drying Characteristics of Amla. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 2018;7(02):2113-2118.
20. Minj P, Mahilang KKS, Sonboier KK, Porte P, Lal K. Some Physico Chemical Properties of Dried Amla. *International Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience*. 2018;6(1):642-644.
21. Nakajothi N, Nanjappan K, Selvaraj P, Jayachandran S, Visha P. Production performance and blood biochemical changes in broiler chicken fed amla during induced-stress conditions. *Indian Journal of Animal Science*. 2009;79(11):1124-1126.
22. Untoo M. Antistress effect of Indian gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis*) and probiotic on the performance of broilers during hot humid weather. M.V.Sc. thesis, CCS HAU, Hisar; c2010.
23. Daisy K, Patel M, Kumar A, Bharadwaj RK, Hore SK. Effect of amla (*Emblica officinalis*) on performance of broiler. In Proc. "XXIV Annul Conf. of Indian Poultry Science Association and National Symposium" held at Ludhiana, Punjab, India; c2007. p. 99-100.
24. Patel AP, Bhagwat SR, Pawar MM, Prajapati KB, Chauhan HD, Makwana RB. Evaluation of *Emblica officinalis* fruit powder as a growth promoter in commercial broiler chicken. *Veterinary World*. 2016;9(2):207-210.
25. Maini S, Rastogi SK, Korde JP, Madan AK, Shukla SK. Evaluation of Oxidative Stress and its Amelioration through Certain Antioxidants in Broilers during summer. *The Journal of Poultry Science*. 2007;44(3):339-347.
26. Kumari M, Wadhwa D, Sharma VK, Sharma A. Effects of amla (*Emblica officinalis*) pomace feeding on growth performance of commercial broilers. *Indian journal of animal nutrition*. 2012;29(4):388-392.
27. Patil AS, Wankhede SM, Kale VR. Effects of *Emblica officinalis* (amla) and vitamin E addition in diet on growth performance of broiler chicken reared under nutritional stress. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*. 2014;31(4):389-392.
28. Sanjyal S, Sapkota S. Supplementation of broilers diet with different sources of growth promoters. *Nepal Journal of Science Technology*. 2012;12:41-50.
29. Lohakare JD, Ryu MH, Hahn TW, Lee JK, Chae BJ. Effect of supplemental ascorbic acid on the performance and immunity of commercial broilers. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*. 2005;14(1):10-19.
30. Reddy. Effect of herbal preparations on the performance of broilers. M.V.Sc. Thesis; c2010.

31. Sujatha V, Korde JP, Rastogi SK, Maini S, Ravikanth K, Rekhe DS. Amelioration of heat stress induced disturbances of the antioxidant defense system in broilers. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*. 2010;2(3):18-28.
32. Kumar M, Kumar V, Roy D, Kushwaha R, Vaiswani S. Application of herbal feed additives in animal nutrition- A review. *International Journal of Livestock Research* 2014;4:1-8.
33. Tangade SU. Effect of Herbal and Synthetic Vitamin 'C' Supplementation on Performance of Broilers under Intense Summer Condition, Doctoral dissertation, MAFSU, Nagpur; c2007.
34. Wadhwa D, Sood S, Meena K, Sharma VK, Chouhan JS. Effect of supplementation of gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis*) powder supplementation on biological performance of commercial broilers. XXIV Annu. Conf. of IPSA and National Symposium, Ludhiana; c2007. p. 95.
35. Kumar M, Sharma RK, Chaudhari Mand Jakhar A. Effect of Indian gooseberry and multi- enzyme supplementation on the performance of broilers during hot weather, *Haryana Vet*. 2013;52:66-68.
36. Chaudhary RK, Singh VK, Singh SP, Gautam S, Tewari D. Effect of Herbal Supplements of *Curcuma longa*, *Emblica officinalis* and *Nigella sativa* on Performance of Broilers. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*. 2015;32(1):90-95.
37. Gaikwad DS, Nage SP, Chavan SD. Effect of Supplementation of Amla (*Emblica officinalis*) on Growth Performance of Broilers. *International Journal Tropical Agriculture*. 2016;34(3):679-683.
38. Ghavate AM, Wankhede SM, Deshmukh SV. Effect of feeding different levels of *Emblica officinalis* (Amla) on performance of broilers. In: Proc 13th Biennial Conference of Animal Nutrition Society of India; c2009; p. 207.
39. Dhore RN, Tangade SU, Dhok AP. Effect of herbal and synthetic vitamin C supplementation on performance of broilers under intense summer conditions. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*. 2014;49(1):48-51.
40. Mandal AB, Kulkarni R, Rokade JJ, Bhanja SK, Singh R. Effect of Dietary Addition of Amla (*Emblica officinalis*) on Performance and HSP70 Gene Expression in Colored Broiler Chicken during Extreme Summer. *Journal of Animal Research*. 2017;7(2):233-241.
41. Jamroz D, Wertelecki T, Houszka M, Kamel C. Influence of diet type on the inclusion of plant origin active substances on morphological and histochemical characteristics of the stomach and jejunum walls in chicken. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*. 2006;90(5-6):255 -268.
42. Windisch W, Schedle K, Plitzner C, Kroismayr A. Use of phytogetic products as feed additives for swine and poultry. *Journal of Animal Science*. 2008;86:140-148.
43. Frankic T, Voljc M, Salobir J, Rezar V. Use of herbs and spices and their extracts in animal nutrition. *Acta agriculturae Slovenica*. 2009;94(2):95-102.
44. McDowell LR. Comparative aspects to human nutrition. Vitamin C, A and E. *Vitamins in Animal Nutrition*. LR McDowell, ed. Academic Press, London, UK; c1989. p. 93-131.
45. Hashemi SR, Davoodi H. Herbal plants and their derivatives as growth and health promoters in animal nutrition. *Veterinary Research Communication*. 2011;35(3):169-180.