www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; SP-10(9): 200-202 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 01-07-2021 Accepted: 03-08-2021

A Senthilkumar

Farmers Training Centre, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Theni, Tamil Nadu, India

T Chandrasekar

Farmers Training Centre, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Theni, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author A Senthilkumar Farmers Training Centre, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Theni, Tamil Nadu, India

Efficacy of TANUVAS MASTI GUARD for prophylactic control of subclinical mastitis in dairy cattle

A Senthilkumar and T Chandrasekar

Abstract

Prophylactic control of subclinical mastitis is the most important and effective management tool to reduce the incidence of mastitis in dairy cattle. The present study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of TANUVAS MASTI GUARD for prophylactic control of subclinical mastitis in dairy cattle. Out of 20 animals screened, 6 animals were found to the sub clinical mastitis. These 6 sub clinical mastitis cases, pre-application of teat protect for the period of 6 weeks and another 12 weeks period after application/treatment of the TANUVAS MASTI GUARD. The somatic cell count, milk yield and milk P^H was recorded. The TANUVAS MASTI GUARD is found to be an easily identified and efficacious in reducing the incidence of sub clinical mastitis under field condition.

Keywords: efficacy, prophylactic control, sub-clinical mastitis and TANUVAS MASTI GUARD

Introduction

Bovine mastitis is the most costly disease of dairy cattle due to economic losses from reduced milk production, treatment costs, increased labour, milk withheld following treatment, death and premature culling (Miller *et al.*, 1993) ^[6], especially in its sub clinical form (Ali and Shook, 1980) ^[1]. Sub clinical mastitis in dairy cattle is a major and silent problem causes higher economic losses to the farmers. It is one major reason for low yield and poor quality milk and ranks first among the diseases that causes substantial loss to owners (Kumari *et al.*, 2018) ^[5]. Clinical mastitis can be easily detected by farmer but sub clinical mastitis can only be detected by the measurement of inflammatory components and pathogens in the milk (Woolford, 2001) ^[14]. Prevention of bovine mastitis is the most important and efficient component for successful dairy production. Since, Somatic Cell Count (SCC) and P^H of milk has been shown to be an excellent markers it can be to detect the sub-clinical mastitis.

One way to prevent and overcome the incidence of subclinical mastitis in dairy farms is by using teat dipping after post milking (Putri *et al.*, 2015)^[8]. Dipping or spraying the teat immediately after milking has been practiced for many years. The chemicals present in the spraying solution kill the bacteria present on any sores on the teat and promoted quicker healing. Teat disinfection helps keep the teat skin healthy and heal skin lesions. These methods are most important for the mastitis management (Hillerton, 1997)^[3]. Thus, the present study was conducted to estimate the prophylactic control of subclinical mastitis in dairy cattle using TANUVAS MASTI GUARD which contain TANUCHEK SCC and Teat Protect spray.

Materials and Methods

The Study was conducted on Theni district of Tamil Nadu, India. The efficacy of TANUVAS MASTI GUARD was assessed in farmer's field during on farm trail on 2019. The TANUVAS MASTI GUARD is a combined screening (TANUCHEK SCC kit) and teat protection (Teat Protect Spray). TANUCHEK SCC kit is an on-farm test kit for quick determination of the somatic Cell Count (SCC) and Teat Protect Spray is a unique germicital teat protective spray for mastitis (TANUVAS, Chennai, 2006)^[12]. Twenty 350 to 375 kg body weights with midlactating crossbred cattle were randomly selected for this study. All the selected cattle were screened by TANUCHEK SCC kit. Out of 20 animals, 6 animals were found positive for subclinical mastitis, taken as a treatment group (II). Remaining 14 animals, 6 animals (free from sub-clinical mastitis) were taken as a control group (I). The treatment group animals were subjected with Teat Protect Spray which was sprayed on all four teats and udder daily immediately after milking for period of 12 weeks.

It was easily removed when udder was washed before milking. 30 ml of milk samples were collected once in a week from all the animals of control as well as treatment group in a 30 ml oven dried glass bottles for SCC and P^{H} of milk estimation. A drop of collected milk sample were added in a TANUCHEK SCC tube and 3 drops of enhancer was added in the milk sample, allowed to wait 30 minute to develop colour in the sample, the developed colour was compared with colour card and somatic cell count was measured. The milk P^{H} is measured by using ready made available P^{H} papers. All the control as well as treatment group animals daily milk yield was also recorded the 12 weeks study period. The collected parameters were analyzed with the standard statistical procedures (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994)^[11].

Result and Discussion

The average value of somatic cell count, P^H of milk and daily milk yield in control and treatment groups of dairy cows were presented in Table I. In normal healthy cow group (I) the mean somatic cell count (SCC) was 1.48 \pm 0.14 lakhs, 1.54 \pm 0.12 lakhs and 1.52 ± 0.16 lakhs cells/ml in milk at 0, 1 to 6 and 7 to 12 weeks of study period. In subclinical mastitis, treatment group (II) mean SSC before spraying was $2.78 \pm$ 0.18 lakhs cells/ml in milk and 0-6 weeks and 7-12 weeks after spraying was 1.50 ± 0.12 lakhs and 1.49 ± 0.14 lakhs cells/ml in milk respectively. The assessment of difference between treatment group indicated increase in SCC in subclinical mastitis group as compared to normal healthy group. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Sharma et al. (2000)^[10]; Diami (2004)^[2] and Thangadurai and Shanmugam (2019) ^[13]. The increase in SCC in subclinical mastitis might be due to shift of leukocytes to udder as a protective mechanism against infection (Murcus et al., 1994)^[7]. After application TANUVAS MASTI GUARD Teat Protect Spray, the SCC of milk was decreased 2 week post spraying. After 6 weeks post spraying in subclinical mastitis group animals, the SCC comes to normal range that is observed in healthy cows. After 6 to 12 weeks post treatment there is no change in SCC. These findings indicated that TANUVAS MASTI GUARD helped in improving the normalcy within 6 weeks and maintain the normal range of SCC upto 12 weeks of post spraying period. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Thangadurai and Shanmugam (2019)^[13].

In normal healthy cow group (I) p^{H} of milk was 6.5 \pm 0.11, 6.6 ± 0.16 and 6.5 ± 0.12 at 0, 1 to 6 and 7 to 12 weeks study period. In subclinical mastitis group (II) the mean P^H of milk before treatment was 6.8 ± 0.18 , 1to 6 weeks and 7 to 12 weeks were 6.7 \pm 0.12 and 6.5 \pm 0.13 respectively. The milk P^H of treatment group was alkaline before treatment when compared to normal healthy cow but restored to normal on 6th weeks and sustained upto 12 weeks treatment in treatment group. This indicates the effectiveness of TANUVAS MASTI GUARD spray in restoring normal P^H and further maintaining the P^H within that range. The result correlated with the finding of Diami (2004)^[2] and Thangadurai and Shanmugam (2019) ^[13]. The Milk constituents responsible for p^H are casein, citrate, phosphate, dissolved CO₂ and bicarbonates which are balanced with permeability of udder cells to mammary blood capillaries. In mastitis due to mammary gland infection, increased permeability of the udder tissue to blood components viz., bicarbonate ions, results higher value of p^{H} in the milk (Rao, 1990)^[9]. Joshi et al. (1976)^[4] suggested that increase in chloride concentration might be responsible for increase in p^H of milk in subclinical mastitis.

In the present study, the milk yields of all cows were recorded up to 12 weeks study period. In intact group, the average milk yield was 8.2 litre / day at day 0, whereas it being 7.3 litre / day in treatment group, indicating decreasing pattern in subclinical mastitis group. After 6 weeks treatment the average milk yield was 8.11itre / day in treatment group and maintain upto 12 weeks of the study period. Here, the subclinical mastitis was easily identified and effectively managed by the farmers by using TANUVAS MASTI GUARD under field condition. Thus, properties of TANUVAS MASTI GUARD may be responsible easily identified with normalization of SCC, $p^{\rm H}$ of the milk and leading to increase milk yield. The present study findings indicated that TANUVAS MASTI GUARD controlling the incidence of subclinical mastitis and reducing the SCC and p^H of subclinical mastitis milk in dairy cows under field condition.

Table 1: TANUVAS MASTI GUARD Teat Protect Spray Performance in Normal and Subclinical Mastitis Groups	
---	--

Groups	Particulars	Somatic Cell Count (cells per ml) in lakhs	P ^H of milk	Average daily milk yield in litres
Ι	0 Week	1.48 ± 0.14	6.5 ± 0.11	8.2
	1 to 6 weeks	1.54 ± 0.12	6.6 ± 0.16	8.2
	7 to 12 weeks	1.52 ± 0.16	6.5 ± 0.12	8.1
Π	Before treatment	2.78 ± 0.18	6.8 ± 0.18	7.3
	1 to 6 weeks treatment	1.50 ± 0.12	6.7 ± 0.12	8.1
	7 to 12 weeks treatment	1.49 ± 0.14	6.5 ± 0.13	8.1



Fig 1: Applied teat spray after milking $\sim 201 \sim$

Conclusion

In the present study 100% success was noticed without any post treatment complications in TANUVAS MASTI GUARD in subclinical mastitis in field condition. The TANUVAS teat protect application for subclinical mastitis had given good results and increased one liter of milk per day in a cow which could be due to the action of constituent ingredients present the preparation.

References

- Ali A, Shook ME. An optimum transformation for somatic cell concentration in milk. J Dairy Sci 1980;63:487-490.
- 2. Diami SGR. Therapeutic evalution of autogenous vaccine with and without herbal drugs on subclinical mastitis in cows. Thesis submitted to MAFSU, Nagpur 2004.
- Hillerton JE. Control of mastitis in Philips CJC (ed) Progress in Dairy Science. CAB international 1997, 171-191.
- 4. Joshi HC, Kumar M, Saxena MJ, Chhabra MB. Herbal gel for the control of subclinical mastitis Ind, J Dairy Sci., 1976;49:631-634.
- Kumari T, Bhakat C, Choudhary RK. A Review on Sub Clinical Mastitis in Dairy Cattle, Int. J Pure App. Bio Sci 2018;6(2):1291-1299.
- 6. Miller GY, Bartlett PC, Lance SE, Anderson J, Heider LE. Costs of clinical mastitis and mastitis prevention in dairy herds. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 1993;202:1230-1236.
- Murcus E, Kehril JR, Dale ES. Factors affecting milk cell and their role in health of the bovine mammary gland. J Dairy Sci 1994;77:619-627.
- 8. Putri P, Sudjatmoko, Suprayogi TH. Pengaruh lama waktu dipping denganmenggunakan larutan kaporit terhadaptampilan total bakteri dan derajat keasamansusu sapi perah. Anim. Agric. J 2015;4:132-136.
- 9. Rao KSR. Milk formation-Alteration in Mastitis milk composition. Indian Dairy man 1990;42:341-316.
- Sharma M, Mandian RK, Katoch RC, Batta MK, Nagal KB. Therapeutic efficacy of mastilep gel in treating subclinical mastitis of variable etiology in lactation cows. Indian Vet. J 2000;77:261-262.
- 11. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods, 8th Edition, IOWA State University Press, Amos, USA 1994.
- 12. Tanuvas, Masti-Guart. Pamphlet, TRVBV, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai 2006.
- 13. Thangadurai R, Shanmugam PS. Assessment of prophylactic control of subclinical mastitis in Dairy cattle. Indian Vet. J 2019;96(05):27-29.
- 14. Woolford M. Teat spray to halve mastitis. Dairy Exporte 2001, 23.