www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(9): 1717-1720 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 17-06-2021

Accepted: 29-08-2021

PK Charathi

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

P Behera

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture AICRP on Honey bees and Pollinators Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

UK Behera

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture Bhawanipatna, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Kalahandi, Odisha, India

R Mohapatra

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture AICRP on Honey bees and Pollinators Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

CR Satapathy

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture AICRP on Honey bees and Pollinators Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Corresponding Author: P Behera

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture AICRP on Honey bees and Pollinators Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Studies on chemical properties of honey collected from different parts of Odisha

PK Charathi, P Behera, UK Behera, R Mohapatra and CR Satapathy

Abstract

Investigations on "Physicochemical properties of apiary honey of Odisha" was carried out to study the chemical properties of honey of *Apis cerana indica & Apis mellifera*. The honey samples collected from 18 different locations of Odisha including hive honey of the All India Coordinated Research Project on Honeybees and Pollinators and All India Coordinated Research Project on Cashew during July 2019 to January 2020. Among the 18 samples analysed, 11 samples were of *A. c. indica* and 7 samples were of *A. mellifera*. The chemical properties *viz.*, reducing sugar (%), sucrose (%) and ash content (%)of the collected apiary honey samples varied from 62.81 to 74.96, 2.30 to 3.88 and 0.01 to 0.44 respectively. Amongst the parameters studied, the reducing sugar property satisfy the standards of ISI specification of Indian honey under A grade, special grade and standard grade.

Keywords: Honeybee, Apis cerana indica, Apis mellifera, Chemical properties, reducing sugar (%), sucrose (%), ash, apiary

Introduction

Honeybees are the eusocial hymenopterans which entirely dependent on nectar and pollen resources for their dietary requirement. They are unique which support the live on earth through their free pollination services in the nature. Neverthless they are important as producer of various hive products viz. Honey, wax, pollen, propolis, royal jelly and venom which remains as primary objective of beekeeper practicing beekeeping. Among the products honey is a natural gift to mankind having immense value to mankind. Honey bees collect and store surplus honey in the beehive. The honey produced by different species of honeybees from the nectars of flowers, nectar glands of plant parts and honey dew of sucking insects. These substances collected by honeybees are processed and transformed to ripen and matured honey by combining with specific substances of their own in the honeycomb (Codex A. Codex Standard for Honey, FAO) ^[5]. These honeys vary widely with respect to its physical and chemical properties. Though the precise composition of honey varies according to the plant species on which the bee forages, the main constituents are the same in all honeys. On the average, honey is composed of moisture (17.2%), fructose (38.19%), glucose (31.28%), sucrose (1.31%), disaccharides calculated as maltose (7.31%), higher sugars (1.5%), free acid as gluconic (0.43%), lactone as gluconolactone (0.14%), total acid as gluconic (0.57%), ash (0.16%) and nitrogen (0.041%) (Jeffrey and Echazarreta, 1996)^[6].

Materials and Methods

Total reducing sugars and sucrose content

The total reducing sugars of honey samples collected from different locations from Odisha were recorded as per Layne-Enyon method. The prepared diluted honey solution was taken in a burette and titrated against 10 ml of mixed Fehlings solution A&B using methylene blue as an indicator. The solution then boiled for two minutes, added with three drops of methylene blue indicator and titration was completed within a minute. The end point was observed when decolourization of indicator occurred. The results were expressed as percentage of total reducing sugar.

Total Reducing sugars $\% = \frac{factor \times dilution \times 100}{titre \ volume \times \ weight \ of \ sample(g)} = \frac{125}{titre \ volume}$

Determination of Sucrose

A measured amount of honey solution (50 ml) was taken from each of the honey samples

collected in a volumetric flask to which concentrated HCL was added and kept for hydrolyzation overnight at room temperature. Next day, the solution was neutralized with saturated NaOH solution followed by a drop of phenolphthalein, finally the volume was made upto the mark with distilled water. This solution was treated against Fehlings solution A&B as done previously in case of reducing sugars. Titration value was used to calculate the per centof total sugars using the formula.

Total sugars% = $\frac{factor \times dilution \times 100 \times 100}{50 \times titre \ volume \times weight \ of \ sample(g)} = \frac{250}{titre \ volume}$

Sucrose (%) = Total sugars(%)-total reducing sugar(%)

Ash content

Ash was indirectly determined by using the measured electrical conductivity and using the equation^[15], X1 = (X2 - 0.143)/1.743,

where: X1 = ash value; X2 = electrical conductivity in mS at 20 $^0\mathrm{C}$

Results and Discussions

Reducing sugars of honey

The percentage of reducing sugars of *Apis cerana indica* honey samples ranged from 62.81 - 74.96 (Table 1.) which were found to be in the normal limit (Anonymous, 2012)^[2]. The highest value (74.96 per cent) of reducing sugar of honey samples recorded from of Arjunpur, Bhadrak followed by Bhubaneswar (71.76 per cent) and Kishanagar, Cuttack (71.32 per cent). The sample obtained from Balliguda, Bhadrak having the least reducing sugar percentage i.e., 62.81 per cent. The findings of earlier work (Anupama *et al.*, 2003)^[3] that the reducing sugars ranged from 61.3 to 72.6 per cent supported the present study.

The reducing sugars of *Apis mellifera* honey samples ranged from 65.95 to 73.21 per centin sample of Maliput, Koraput and of AICRP on cashew, Bhubaneswar respectively. The samples with 70.40 per centreducing sugar recorded from Janiguda, Koraput followed by 69.75 per centin Gudikhamara, Koraput and 69.41 per centfrom Damanjodi, Koraput (Table 1). The above findings supported the view of previous researchers (Nayik *et al.*, 1999) ^[12] and (Khandelwal *et al.*, 2020) ^[7] who stated that the mean reducing sugar values of acacia honey, pine honeydew and multifloral honey 66.24%, 60.6%, 72.81% respectively as well as the samples collected from Wardha, Nagpur, Yavatmal and Gadchiroli districts were measured 69.63±1.74, 73.94±1.84, 72.14±1.80 and 72.66±1.81 per cent respectively.

As per the ISI specifications the honey samples obtained from Kishanagar, Bhubaneswar, Odosingha, Bhagatpur, Arjunpur, Janiguda and AICRP on cashew, Bhubaneswar are of special grade honey. Whereas, the honey samples of Balanga, Dhamnagar, Mahulpalli, Khandagiri, Damanjodi, Koraput, Maliput, Koraput, Chindri, Gudikhamara and Nandpur, are of A Grade honey.

Sucrose content of honey

The sucrose content of Apis cerana indica honey samples ranged from 2.39to3.88per cent. The highest sucrose per cent in honey sample of Kishanagar, Cuttack (3.88per cent) followed by Balanga, Puri (3.65per cent), Bhagatpur, Bhadrak (3.58 per cent) and Mahulpalli, Sundergarh (3.49per cent). Whereas, the least (2.39per cent) was recoded from honey sample of Odosingha, Narasinghpur. The sucrose content of Apis mellifera honey samples ranged from 2.30 per cent in Maliput, Koraputto 3.86per cent in AICRP on cashew, Bhubaneswar. The highest sucrose per cent values was followed by 3.22per cent in Damanjodi, Koraput, 3.07per cent in Chindri, Koraput honey samples. The least sucrose content was recorded from Maliput, Koraput sample with 2.30 per cent (Table 2). The variations in the sucrose levels may be indicative of the effect that different geographical origin have on the compositional differences of honey (Nayik et al., 2015) ^[11]. The relative variation between glucose and fructose, with a high percentage of sucrose sugar may be due to the inability of enzymes secreted by bees to contain and break down this huge amount of sucrose sugar (Vanhanen et al., 2011) (Cantarelli et al., 2008) [13, 4].

Ash content of honey

The ash content of Apis cerana indica honey samples collected from different geographical locations ranged from 0.01to 0.44per cent (Table-3). The highest ash content (0.44%) was recorded from sample of Parlakhemundi, Gajapati followed by 0.16per cent in Kishanagar, Cuttack and 0.1per cent in Balliguda, Bhadrak sample. Among the eighteen samples tested the Dhamnagar, Bhadrak sample recorded the minimum (0.01 per cent) ash content. Highest ash content of Apis cerana indica honey recorded in Gudi Khamara, Koraput (0.20 per cent) samples whereas, least was recorded in Chindri, Koraput sample (0.03 per cent). The ash percentage found in honey expresses its richness in mineral content and constitutes a quality parameter (Moniruzzaman, et al.,2013) ^[10] for botanical and geographical origin of honey (Vanhanen et al., 2011)^[13] The variability in the mineral content of honeys could be due to harvesting processes, beekeeping techniques and the material collected by the bees while foraging on the flora. The work of the present author was in agreement with earlier results which indicated thatash content of honey from Northern India was in a range of 0.08-0.49 per cent (Kumar et al., 2018)^[8] and 0.1-1.0 per cent of honey samples of Ethiopia (Adgaba, et al., 2017) (Marchini et al.,2007)^[1,9].

Table 1: Reducing sugars of apiary honey samples collected from different locations of Odisha

Sample No.	Location	Bee Species	Major source	Reducing sugars (%)	Honey grade as per ISI specifications
1	Balanga, Puri	Apis cerana indica	Mango	67.16	A grade
2	Dhamnagar, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	65.06	A grade
3	Kishanagar, Cuttack	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	71.32	Special
4	Bhubaneswar	Apis cerana indica	Mango	71.76	Special
5	Mahulpalli, Sundergarh	Apis cerana indica	Jamun	68.46	A grade
6	Odosingha, Narasinghpur	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	70.73	Special
7	Parlakhemundi, Gajapati	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	68.17	A grade
8	Bhagatpur, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	70.01	Special
9	Arjunpur, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	74.96	Special
10	Balliguda, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Mango	62.81	Standard

11	Khandagiri, Bhubaneswar	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	65.69	A grade
12	Damanjodi, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Eucalyptus	69.41	A grade
13	Maliput, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Coriander	65.95	A grade
14	Chindri, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Niger	67.89	A grade
15	Gudikhamara, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Karanj	69.75	A grade
16	Janiguda, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Wild Tulsi	70.40	Special
17	AICRP on cashew, Bhubaneswar	Apis mellifera	Cashew	73.21	Special
18	Nandpur, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Wild Tulsi	66.28	A grade

Table 2: Sucrose content of apiary honey samples collected from different locations of Odisha

Sample No.	Location	Bee Species	Major source:	Sucrose (%)
1	Balanga, Puri	Apis cerana indica	Mango	3.65
2	Dhamnagar, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	2.68
3	Kishanagar, Cuttack	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	3.88
4	Bhubaneswar	Apis cerana indica	Mango	3.47
5	Mahulpalli, Sundergarh	Apis cerana indica	Jamun	3.49
6	Odosingha, Narasinghpur	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	2.39
7	Parlakhemundi, Gajapati	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	2.56
8	Bhagatpur, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	3.58
9	Arjunpur, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	2.58
10	Balliguda, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Mango	3.45
11	Khandagiri, BBSR	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	3.29
12	Damanjodi, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Eucalyptus	3.22
13	Maliput, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Coriander	2.30
14	Chindri, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Niger	3.07
15	Gudi khamara, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Karanj	2.61
16	Janiguda Koraput	Apis mellifera	Wild Tulsi	2.98
17	AICRP on cashew, BBSR	Apis mellifera	Cashew	3.86
18	Nandpur, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Wild Tulsi	2.98

Table 3: Ash content of apiary honey samples collected from different locations of Odisha

Sample No.	Location	Bee Species	Major source	Ash (%)
1	Balanga, Puri	Apis cerana indica	Mango	0.08
2	Dhamnagar, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.01
3	Kishanagar, Cuttack	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.16
4	Bhubaneswar	Apis cerana indica	Mango	0.05
5	Mahulpalli, Sundergarh	Apis cerana indica	Jamun	0.03
6	Odosingha Narasinghpur	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.02
7	Parlakhemundi	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.44
8	Bhagatpur, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.07
9	Arjunpur, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.09
10	Balliguda, Bhadrak	Apis cerana indica	Mango	0.10
11	Khandagiri, BBSR	Apis cerana indica	Multifloral	0.07
12	Damanjodi, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Eucalyptus	0.04
13	Maliput, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Coriander	0.17
14	Chindri, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Niger	0.03
15	Gudi Khamara, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Karanj	0.20
16	Janiguda, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Wild Tulsi	0.13
17	AICRP on cashew, Bhubaneswar	Apis mellifera	Cashew	0.14
18	Nandpur, Koraput	Apis mellifera	Wild Tulsi	0.13

Conclusion

The reducing sugars of *Apis cerana indica* and *Apis mellifera* honey samples ranged from 62.81 to 74.96per cent and 65.95 to 73.21 per cent respectively. Out of the eighteen honey samples collected from different geographical locations seven samples are examined as special grade honey and nine samples are A grade honey as per the ISI specifications. The sucrose content of *Apis cerana indica* and *Apis mellifera* honey samples ranged from 2.39 to 3.88 per cent and 2.30 to 3.86 per cent respectively. The ash content of *Apis cerana indica* honey samples ranged from 0.01 to 0.44 whereas, that of *Apis mellifera* ranged from 0.03 to 0.2 per cent.

References

1. Adgaba N, Al-Ghamdi AA, Getachew A, Tadesse Y,

Belay A, Ansari MJ, Radloff SE *et al.* Characterization of honeys by their botanical and geographical origins based on their physico-chemical properties and chemo metrics analysis, Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization 2017;11(3):1103-1117.

- 2. Anonymous. Food safety and standard authority of India, 2012.GOI.
- Anupama D, Bhat KK, Sapna V. Sensory and physicochemical properties of commercial samples of honey, Food Research International.2003;36(2):183-191.
- 4. Cantarelli MA, Pellerano RG, Marchevsky EJ, Camina JM. Quality of honey from Argentina: study of chemical composition and trace elements, The Journal of the Argentine Chemical Society 2008;96(1-2): 33-41.
- 5. Codex A. Codex Standard for Honey, FAO, Rome.

Alinorm. 2001;1:19-26.

- 6. Jeffrey AE, Echazarreta CM. Medical uses of honey. Rev. Biomed. 1996;7:43-49.
- Khandewal P, Paliwal G, Zade V. Physicochemical Composition of *Apis Dorsata* Honey Collected from +The Vidarbha Region of Maharashtra State in India, International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Research 2020;11(2):37431-37437.
- Kumar A, Gilla JPS, Bedia JS, Manav M, Ansaric MJ, Walia GS. Sensorial and physicochemical analysis of Indian honeys for assessment of quality and floral origins. Food Research International. 2018;108:571-583.
- 9. Marchini LC, Moreti ACCC, Otsuk IP. Physicochemical composition of Apis mellifera honey samples from Sao Paulo State, Brasil, Quimica Nova 2007;30:1653-1657.
- Moniruzzaman M, Sulaiman SA, Khalil MI, Hua Gan S. Evaluation of physicochemical and antioxidant properties of sourwood and other Malaysian honeys: a comparison with manuka honey, Chemistry Central Journal, 2013;7:138.
- 11. NayikGA, Dar BN, Nanda V. Physico-chemical, rheological and sugar profile of different unifloral honeys from Kashmir valley of India, Arabian Journal of Chemistry 2015;12(8).
- 12. Persano OL, Piazza MG, Pulcini P. Invertase activity in honey, Apidologie 1999;30:57-65.
- 13. Vanhanen LP, Emmertz A, Savage GP. Mineral analysis of mono-floral New Zealand honey, Food Chemistry, 2011;128(1):236-240.