



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2021; 10(9): 1576-1578
© 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 17-06-2021
Accepted: 30-08-2021

Bajrang Lal Nodal
Department of Horticulture,
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Dr. Anita Kerketta
Department of Horticulture,
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Samir E Topno
Department of Horticulture,
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Bajrang Lal Nodal
Department of Horticulture,
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Effect of different micronutrient on plant growth, bunch yield and fruit quality of banana (*Musa paradisiaca*) cv. Udhayam (ABB group)

Bajrang Lal Nodal, Dr. Anita Kerketta and Dr. Samir E Topno

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at the Research Farm Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj during the year 2020-2021 to find out the effect of different micronutrient on plant growth and bunch yield and fruit quality of banana (*Musa paradisiaca*) cv. Udhayam (ABB group). The field experiment was set up in RBD with 13 treatments and three replications. The levels of Micronutrients play a major role in crop production due to their essentiality in plant metabolism and adverse effects that manifest due to their deficiency. From the present investigation it is concluded that the soil application of different micronutrient is best suited and beneficial for the growth, yield and quality of banana. Soil application of $\text{inT11 } 25 \text{ Kg ZnSo}_4 + 25 \text{ Kg FeSo}_4 + 10 \text{ Kg Borex} + 10 \text{ Kg CuSo}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ was found best in respect of growth, yield and fruit quality parameter of Banana (*Musa spp.*) cv. Udhayam (ABB) group. The results of the investigation are found significantly increased with the higher plant height (407.57cm), plant girth (91.78cm), number of leaves per plant (14.57), bunch weight (37.32kg), number of hands per bunch (14.79), no. of fingers hand⁻¹ (25.69), total no. of finger bunch⁻¹ (318.00), total no. of finger bunch⁻¹ (15.66), average fruit width(12.46cm), average fruit weight (86.51g), total soluble solids (16.76tss °brix), titrable acidity (0.15%) and ascorbic acid (7.16) of Banana (*Musa spp.*) cv. Udhayam (ABB) group.

Keywords: Banana, micronutrient

Introduction

Banana (*Musa spp.*) is considered as the symbol of 'prosperity and fertility' owing to its greater socio-economic significance and multifaceted uses, banana is referred as 'Kalpatharu' (plant of virtues) and Kalpavriksh. Burhanpur is the largest banana producing district in Madhya Pradesh. Banana feeds heavily on soil. To sustain high production with quality banana, it is of paramount importance to ensure proper nutrition of the crop. The adverse environment and soil effects of modern agriculture characterized by intensive use of fertilizers, pesticides and other off-farm inputs have been documented worldwide Singh *et al.*, (2018) [5].

Banana and plantains are grown in about 120 countries. Total annual world production is estimated at 86 million tons of fruits. India leads the world in banana production with an annual output of about 14.2 million tons. Other leading producers are Brazil, Ecuador, China, Philippines, Indonesia, Costa Rica, Mexico, Thailand and Colombia. Banana is a very popular fruit due to its low price and high nutritive value. It is consumed in fresh or cooked form both as ripe and raw fruit.

Micronutrients are required by plants in minute quantities, although these are very effective in regulating plant growth as they form a part of the enzyme system and thus regulate plant life. Micronutrients like Cu, Zn, Mo, B and Mn are necessary for healthy growth of banana (Srivastava, 1964). Deficiencies of Zn, Cu, Fe and Mo affected the growth and production in banana (Charpentier and Martin, 1965).

Nutrients play a major role in crop production due to their essentiality in plant metabolism and adverse effects that manifest due to their deficiency. These trace elements also play a major role in disease resistance in cultivated crop species. Furthermore, these micro-nutrients also help in uptake of major nutrients and play an active role in the plant metabolism process starting from cell wall development to respiration, photosynthesis, chlorophyll formation, enzyme activity, hormone synthesis, nitrogen fixation and reduction etc. (Das, 2003) [2]. Nevertheless, micronutrients can tremendously boost horticultural crop yield and improve quality and post-harvest life of horticultural produce (Raja, 2009) [7]. Hence, micronutrients are essentially as important as macronutrients to have better growth, yield and quality in plants.

Materials and Methods

The present Experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 13 treatments of combination of NPK and organic manures, with three replications in the Research field of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during August 2020 to April 2021. Total number of treatments were thirteen viz. T₀ Control, T₁ (15 Kg ZnSo₄), T₂ (15 Kg FeSo₄), T₃ (10 Kg Borax), T₄ (10 Kg CuSo₄), T₅ (20 Kg ZnSo₄), T₆ (20 Kg FeSo₄), T₇ (12.5 Kg Borax), T₈ (12.5 Kg CuSo₄), T₉ (15 Kg ZnSo₄ + 15 Kg FeSo₄ + 7 Kg Borex + 7 Kg CuSo₄), T₁₀ (20 Kg ZnSo₄ + 20 Kg FeSo₄ + 7.5 Kg Borax + 7.5 Kg CuSo₄), T₁₁ (25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄), T₁₂ (30 Kg ZnSo₄ + 10 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg CuSo₄).

Climatic condition in the experimental site

The area of Prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the south east of Utter Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46° C- 48° C and seldom falls as low as 4 °C- 5 °C. The relative humidity ranges between 20 to 94%. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4 mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months.

Results and Discussion

The present experiment entitled “Effect of micronutrients on plant growth, bunch yield and fruit quality of Banana (*Musa* spp.) cv. Udhayam (ABB) group” was carried out during 2020-2021 at Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj. The results obtained during the course of investigation are presented with the help of tables, plates and illustrated graphically. Data on various parameters studied were subjected to statistical analysis in order to draw the valid conclusion of results, which have been presented in the succeeding pages. The experiment was conducted in Randomized block design with 13 treatments, three replications.

The results of the experiment are summarized below.

Growth parameter

The maximum plant height (407.57cm) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum plant height (316.62cm) was found in T₀ Control. Such a range of variability in plant height might be due to the growing climatic conditions and due to the difference in the dose of the fertilizers applied which may be as a result of high plant density per unit area due to competition among plants.

The maximum plant girth (91.78cm) was observed in T11 25

Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum plant girth (56.61cm) was found in T₀ Control. Such a range of variability in plant girth may be due to sufficient amount of doses applied to sustain vegetative growth.

The maximum number of leaves per plant (14.57) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum number of leaves per plant (6.34) was found in T₀ Control. Significantly higher number of leaves maybe due increasing activity of beneficial microbes in the soil resulting to improvement in soil health.

Flowering and yield parameter

The maximum Bunch weight (37.32kg) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum Bunch weight (25.68kg) was found in T₀ Control. Significant variation in number of hands may be due to addition of higher dose of farmyard manure thus, improving root biomass therefore influencing the number of hands per bunch.

The maximum number of hands per bunch (14.79) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum number of hands per bunch (6.50) was found in T₀ Control.

The maximum No. of fingers hand⁻¹ (25.69) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum No. of fingers hand⁻¹ (15.34) was found in T₀ Control. Significant variation in number of fingers per hand may be due to absorption rate of phosphorus by their fibrous root system.

The maximum Average fruit weight (86.51g) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum Average fruit weight (49.44g) was found in T₀ Control. Significant variation in bunch weight may be due to increased leaf area and more number of leaves produced at all growth stages.

Quality parameter

The maximum Total soluble solids (16.76 TSS °Brix) was observed in T11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ and the minimum Total soluble solids (12.26 TSS °Brix) was found in T₀ Control. Significant variation in total soluble solids may be due to early ripening of fruit.

Economics parameter

The maximum cost of cultivation was recorded in Control T₁₁ and the minimum cost of cultivation was recorded in T₀.

The maximum gross return was recorded in T₁₁ and the minimum gross return was recorded in T₀.

Table 1: Different growth parameters

| Treatment symbols | Treatment combinations (Kg/ha) | Plant height (cm) at Harvest | Plant girth (cm) at harvest | Increased no. of leaves per plant (at harvest) |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| T ₀ | Control | 316.62 | 56.61 | 6.34 |
| T ₁ | 15 Kg ZnSo ₄ | 350.80 | 83.76 | 8.41 |
| T ₂ | 15 Kg FeSo ₄ | 349.91 | 65.80 | 8.53 |
| T ₃ | 10 Kg Borax | 345.19 | 72.36 | 8.47 |
| T ₄ | 10 Kg CuSo ₄ | 330.10 | 63.43 | 7.57 |
| T ₅ | 20 Kg ZnSo ₄ | 359.77 | 90.19 | 8.66 |
| T ₆ | 20 Kg FeSo ₄ | 357.50 | 84.16 | 9.57 |
| T ₇ | 12.5 Kg Borax | 354.41 | 75.48 | 10.88 |
| T ₈ | 12.5 Kg CuSo ₄ | 346.45 | 76.46 | 10.90 |
| T ₉ | 15 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 15 Kg FeSo ₄ + 7 Kg Borax + 7 Kg CuSo ₄ | 389.93 | 88.08 | 12.84 |

| | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|-------|-------|
| T10 | 20 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 20 Kg FeSo ₄ + 7.5 Kg Borax +7.5 Kg CuSo ₄ | 398.84 | 91.78 | 13.35 |
| T11 | 25 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 25 Kg FeSo ₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo ₄ | 407.57 | 96.14 | 14.57 |
| T12 | 30 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 10 Kg FeSo ₄ + 10 Kg CuSo ₄ | 380.30 | 86.62 | 16.80 |
| F-Test | | S | S | S |
| C.D.at 5% | | 1.991 | 0.347 | 0.880 |
| S.Ed. (+) | | 0.965 | 0.168 | 0.427 |

Table 2: Different yield and quality parameter

| Treatment symbols | Treatment combinations (Kg/ha) | Bunch weight (kg) | Number of hands per bunch | Total no. of finger bunch ⁻¹ | No. of fingers hand ⁻¹ | Average fruit length(cm) | Average fruit width(cm) | Average fruit weight (g) | Total soluble solids (TSS) | Titration Acidity (%) | Ascorbic acid |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| T0 | Control | 10.36 | 6.50 | 99.83 | 15.34 | 9.22 | 7.24 | 49.44 | 12.26 | 0.44 | 6.14 |
| T1 | 15 Kg ZnSo ₄ | 30.79 | 11.67 | 215.76 | 18.49 | 10.46 | 9.66 | 65.07 | 14.90 | 0.40 | 6.57 |
| T2 | 15 Kg FeSo ₄ | 31.86 | 10.44 | 223.79 | 21.42 | 12.53 | 8.66 | 64.65 | 13.61 | 0.27 | 6.40 |
| T3 | 10 Kg Borax | 31.84 | 12.41 | 248.03 | 19.98 | 11.49 | 9.36 | 62.07 | 14.39 | 0.22 | 6.50 |
| T4 | 10 Kg CuSo ₄ | 29.22 | 11.49 | 256.21 | 22.30 | 12.24 | 10.25 | 71.02 | 14.43 | 0.23 | 6.32 |
| T5 | 20 Kg ZnSo ₄ | 30.19 | 8.57 | 184.93 | 21.59 | 13.65 | 9.84 | 76.30 | 15.32 | 0.23 | 6.76 |
| T6 | 20 Kg FeSo ₄ | 31.00 | 9.27 | 186.41 | 20.12 | 11.44 | 10.22 | 73.37 | 14.39 | 0.24 | 6.68 |
| T7 | 12.5 Kg Borax | 31.54 | 10.26 | 227.04 | 22.14 | 12.34 | 9.28 | 74.90 | 13.39 | 0.27 | 6.36 |
| T8 | 12.5 Kg CuSo ₄ | 33.34 | 11.43 | 256.49 | 22.44 | 10.27 | 9.18 | 71.91 | 13.34 | 0.24 | 6.48 |
| T9 | 15 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 15 Kg FeSo ₄ + 7 Kg Borax + 7 Kg CuSo ₄ | 35.84 | 13.65 | 333.73 | 24.45 | 14.31 | 10.83 | 81.74 | 15.38 | 0.21 | 6.84 |
| T10 | 20 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 20 Kg FeSo ₄ + 7.5 Kg Borax +7.5 Kg CuSo ₄ | 36.74 | 14.29 | 354.17 | 24.79 | 15.25 | 11.49 | 83.33 | 16.76 | 0.17 | 7.16 |
| T11 | 25 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 25 Kg FeSo ₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo ₄ | 37.32 | 14.79 | 379.82 | 25.69 | 15.66 | 12.46 | 86.51 | 16.20 | 0.15 | 6.79 |
| T12 | 30 Kg ZnSo ₄ + 10 Kg FeSo ₄ + 10 Kg CuSo ₄ | 34.30 | 13.50 | 318.00 | 23.55 | 14.22 | 10.69 | 80.31 | 15.79 | 0.20 | 6.72 |
| F-Test | | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| C.D.at 5% | | 0.801 | 0.287 | 14.178 | 1.158 | 0.376 | 0.221 | 2.782 | 0.508 | 0.029 | 0.121 |
| S.Ed. (+) | | 0.388 | 0.139 | 6.869 | 0.561 | 0.182 | 0.107 | 1.348 | 0.246 | 0.014 | 0.059 |

Conclusion

From the present investigation it is concluded that the soil application of different micronutrient is best suited and beneficial for the growth, yield and quality of banana. Soil application of inT11 25 Kg ZnSo₄ + 25 Kg FeSo₄ + 10 Kg Borax + 10 Kg CuSo₄ ha⁻¹ was found best in respect of growth, yield and fruit quality parameter of Banana (*Musa spp.*) cv. Udhayam (ABB) group. The results of the investigation are found significantly increased with the higher plant height (407.57cm), plant girth (91.78cm), number of leaves per plant (14.57), bunch weight (37.32kg), number of hands per bunch (14.79), no. of fingers hand⁻¹ (25.69), total no. of finger bunch⁻¹ (318.00), total no. of finger bunch⁻¹ (15.66), average fruit width(12.46cm), average fruit weight (86.51g), total soluble solids (16.76tss °brix), titrable acidity (0.15%) and ascorbic acid (7.16) of Banana (*Musa spp.*) cv. Udhayam (ABB) group.

Reference

- Bhalerao PP, Patel BN. Effect of foliar application of Zn, Ca, Fe and B on physiological Attributes, Yield, Nutrient Status and Economics of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Red Lady. Madras Agric. J 2012;99(4-6):298-300.
- Das DK. Micronutrients: Their behaviors in soils and plants, Kalyani Publ., Ludhiana. Easterwood 2003,111-214p.
- Jiang YM, Joyce DC, Macnish AJ. Extension of the shelf life of banana fruit by 1- Methylcyclopropene in combination with polythene bags. Post harvest Biology and Technology 1999;16:187-1193.
- Krishnamoorthy V, Noorjahan Hanif AKA. Influence of Micronutrients on Growth and Yield of Banana. J Krishna Vigyan 2017;5(2):87-89.
- Kumar Hemant Panigrahi, Kumar Tikeshwar, Dikshit SN, Singh Prabhakar. Effect of Different Micro-Nutrients on Flowering and Fruiting Characters and Crop Duration of Banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) cv. Grand Naine. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;Special Issue-6:452-458.
- Kumar N, Jeyakumar P. Influence of micronutrients on growth and yield of banana (*Musa sp.*) cv. Robusta (AAA). W. J. Horst *et al.* (Eds.), Plant nutrition – Food security and sustainability of agro-ecosystems 2001,354-355.
- Raja EM. Importance of micronutrients in the changing horticultural scenario. J. Hort. Sci 2009;4(1):1-27.
- Singh Ajeet, Jaiswal Monika, Vibhute Megha, Mustafa Mohd, Kumar Sunil. Effect of Organic Fertilizer Vermicompost and Micronutrient on Growth and Yield of Banana Cv. Grand Nain. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;SpecialIssue-7:5079-5083.
- Vasanthu Srilatha, Sunil K Kumar, Padmodaya B, Kiran C, Kumar Reddy. Effects of foliar application of boron on leaf boron content and yield of papaya cv. Red Lady. Journal of Applied Horticulture 2015;17(1):70-75.
- Yadav MK, Patel NL, Parmar BR, Kirtibarhan, Singh Parmveer. Effect of micronutrients on growth and crop duration of Banana cv. Grand Nain. Progressive Horticulture 2010;42(2):162-164.