www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(7): 1084-1087 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 03-05-2021 Accepted: 18-06-2021

Jitendra Kumar

Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

GC Yadav

Associate Professor and Head, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Jitendra Kumar Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of

Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

Appraisement of heritability in narrow sense and genetic advance in per cent of mean for different characters in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.)

Jitendra Kumar and GC Yadav

Abstract

An investigation was carried out to evaluate the 36 genotypes (28 F_1 and parental lines) of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) at Main Experimental Station, Department of Vegetable Science, A.N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) during season 2019-20 and 2020-2021. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. The data were recorded for 17 characters including total fruit yield per plant. The findings of the present investigation revealed that high heritability (h²ns) coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for plant height, average fruit weight and total fruit yield per plant in both the seasons and pooled. High estimates of heritability with high genetic advance as per cent of mean provides a clear picture to the breeders for improvement during the process of selection.

Keywords: Tomato, Solanum lycopersicon L., heritability and genetic advance

Introduction

A significant impact of globalization on horticulture has been an increasing demand for quality improvement and the wider adoption of quality standards for fruit, vegetable and salad commodities. Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (2n = 2x = 24) is one of the most important Solanaceous vegetable crops grown worldwide under outdoor and indoor conditions. It has become an important commercial crop so far as the area, production, industrial values and its contribution to human nutrition is concerned. Tomato belongs to the family Solanaceae.

Tomato is one of the most popular and widely grown vegetable crops of the world next to potato. The genus Solanum consists of annual or short lived perennial herbaceous, typical day neutral plant and warm season crops. Tomato is reasonably susceptible to frost as well as high temperature but it is grown in a variety of climatic conditions. Tomato probably originated in Peru-Ecuador region (Rick and Holle, 1990)^[17] and was introduced into Italy at the beginning of the sixteenth century as an ornamental plant. It did not begin to be grown for food until the middle of that century. Its cultivation has become widespread over the subsequent centuries and is now one of the world's major food crops (Frusciante *et al.*, 2000)^[6].

Tomato fruit are eaten raw or cooked called as "Protective Food" is being extensively grown as annual plant all over the world. Tomato in large quantities is used to produce several items like paste, syrup, juice, ketchup, puree and drinks etc. Green Tomato are also used for pickles and preserves. It is a rich source of vitamins, minerals and organic acid. There are various types of flavoring compounds found in the fruits, which enrich the taste.

India ranks third in terms of production of tomato after China and USA. In India the leading tomato growing states are, Karnataka, West Bengal, Maharashtra, U.P., Haryana, Punjab, Gujarat and Bihar. These states are account for 90% of the total production of the country in India. The total area covered under tomato cultivation is 0.781 Mha with production of 19.007 MT and its productivity is 24.34 tonnes per ha (NHB database, 2019).

The estimate of narrow sense heritability provides the index of transmissibility of characters and serves as a useful guide to the breeders for practicing selection. Genetic advance give more clear view about overall efficiency of the selection for improvement of the characters.

Material and Methods

The experimental materials consisted of 36 genotypes comprised of a set of 8x8 diallel cross (excluding reciprocals) and their 8 parents of tomato *viz*: NDT-2-2, NDT-7, Kashi Aman, NDT-4, NDT-5, Arka Vikas, $S_5 \times NDT$ -3-2-1-1 and 2013/TODVAR-2-1-1. Eight parents and

their 28 hybrids were grown in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications during Rabi 2019-20 and 2020-2021 at the Main Experiment Station (MES) of the Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) India. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted to the main field and irrigated just after transplanting. Each hybrids and parents were grown in rows spaced at 0.60 meters apart with a plant to plant spacing of 0.50 meter. Thus, there were 12 plants in each entry per replication in both the years and all the cultural practices regarding tomatoes were followed as recommended. The data were recorded on 5 randomly selected healthy plants from each plot on seventeen characters, viz., days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit harvest, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), pericarp thickness (mm), number of locules per fruit, polar diameter (cm), equitorial diameter (cm), total fruit yield per plant (kg), marketable fruit yield per plant (kg), total soluble

solids (TSS), titrable acidity (%), ascorbic acid content (mg/100g) and total sugar (mg/100g). The data were recorded from 28 F_1 's and 8 parental lines on seventeen characters were subjected to estimate nature and magnitude of heritability in narrow sense (Crumpacker and Allard, 1962) and genetic advance in per cent of mean (Johnson *et al.*, 1955)^[9].

Result and Discussion

The knowledge of heritability of a character is important to the breeder since it indicates the possibilities and extent to which, improvement is possible through selection (Robinson *et al.*, 1949)^[18]. Heritability, which showed the proportion of additive genetic variance to the total variability, is a measure of genetic relationship between parents and progeny and has been widely used in determining the degree to which characters may be transmitted from parent to offspring. Singh *et al.* (2005) ^[20] pointed out that the heritability in combination with intensity of selection and amount of variability present in the population

Table 1: Heritability (ns & bs) and genetic advance as tomato over two seasons (Y1, Y2) and pooled.

Characters	Seasons	Heritability in narrow sense (%)	Heritability in broad sense (%)	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
Days to 50% flowering	Y1	3.39	90.68	18.85
	Y ₂	14.55	82.19	12.32
	Pooled	2.97	31.40	7.05
Days to first fruit harvest	Y1	16.12	97.96	19.44
	Y ₂	17.32	98.11	19.53
	Pooled	10.19	26.67	5.42
Plant height (cm)	Y1	60.47	96.39	32.80
	Y ₂	54.79	97.45	33.37
	Pooled	57.84	55.92	20.26
Primary branches per plant	Y1	16.28	65.05	8.29
	Y ₂	25.18	81.04	12.84
	Pooled	2.50	14.72	3.28
Fruits per cluster plant	Y1	19.71	87.78	17.21
	Y_2	45.91	87.68	18.57
	Pooled	13.41	30.85	7.94
Fruits per p Lant	Y1	15.04	83.28	18.44
	Y ₂	23.64	87.94	22.08
	Pooled	3.31	25.56	7.97
	Y1	51.77	96.79	29.64
Average fruit weight (g)	Y ₂	17.93	93.56	26.45
2 2 2	Pooled	13.44	39.80	12.80
Pericarp thickness(mm)	Y1	16.97	84.64	12.48
	Y ₂	16.93	83.11	11.59
	Pooled	14.82	9.65	1.83
	Y_1	76.75	92.14	17.88
Locules per fruit	Y_2	14.40	93.31	19.41
1	Pooled	8.10	27.07	6.25
Characters	Seasons	Heritability in narrow sense (%)	Heritability in broad sense (%)	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
	Y_1	22.05	82.90	9.93
Polar diameter (cm)	Y ₂	20.28	81.28	7.31
	Pooled	24.23	17.20	2.56
Equitorial diameter (cm)	Y_1	27.61	70.45	4.47
	Y ₂	33.94	72.05	4.72
				1.40
	Pooled	30.51	12.94	1.40
		30.51 17.60		
Marketable fruit yield per	Y1		12.94 80.29 85.86	24.48
Marketable fruit yield per plant (kg)		17.60	80.29 85.86	24.48 27.51
	Y ₁ Y ₂	17.60 22.40	80.29	24.48
plant (kg)	Y ₁ Y ₂ Pooled	17.60 22.40 17.22	80.29 85.86 47.40	24.48 27.51 19.80
	$\begin{array}{c} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \end{array}$	17.60 22.40 17.22 29.74	80.29 85.86 47.40 60.69	24.48 27.51 19.80 4.48
plant (kg)	$\begin{array}{c} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{array}$	17.60 22.40 17.22 29.74 27.08	80.29 85.86 47.40 60.69 59.86	24.48 27.51 19.80 4.48 4.50
plant (kg) Total soluble solids (%)	$\begin{array}{c} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \end{array}$	17.60 22.40 17.22 29.74 27.08 28.34	80.29 85.86 47.40 60.69 59.86 15.55	24.48 27.51 19.80 4.48 4.50 2.15
plant (kg)	$\begin{array}{c} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \end{array}$	17.60 22.40 17.22 29.74 27.08 28.34 15.55	80.29 85.86 47.40 60.69 59.86 15.55 93.23	24.48 27.51 19.80 4.48 4.50 2.15 21.98
plant (kg) Total soluble solids (%) Titrable acidity (%)	$\begin{array}{c} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \hline Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{array}$	17.60 22.40 17.22 29.74 27.08 28.34 15.55 62.90	80.29 85.86 47.40 60.69 59.86 15.55 93.23 90.90	24.48 27.51 19.80 4.48 4.50 2.15 21.98 18.34
plant (kg) Total soluble solids (%)	$\begin{array}{c} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Pooled \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Pooled \end{array}$	17.60 22.40 17.22 29.74 27.08 28.34 15.55 62.90 4.27	80.29 85.86 47.40 60.69 59.86 15.55 93.23 90.90 31.15	24.48 27.51 19.80 4.48 4.50 2.15 21.98 18.34 7.98

~ 1085 ~

Total sugar(mg/100g)	Y1	10.68	90.97	14.78
	Y ₂	65.98	87.78	12.56
	Pooled	5.10	21.57	4.01
Total fruit yield per plant(kg)	Y1	29.82	90.60	33.70
	Y ₂	85.44	92.91	35.09
	Pooled	5.84	50.92	22.26

Influence the gains to be obtained from selection. Since the genetic gain is yet another important selection parameter which is although dependent and represents the expected genetic gain under selection. It measures the differences between the mean genotypic values of the selected lines and means genotypic value of base population from which these lines were selected. Thus, it is necessary to utilize the heritability in conjunction with selection differential, which would indicate the expected genetic gain. The estimate of heritability with genetic advance as per cent of mean provides a better picture to the breeders during the process of selection. The estimates of heritability in narrow-sense (h²ns) have been classified according to Crumpacker and Allard, (1962) as (i) High (> 30%), (ii) Moderate (10% to 30%) and (iii) low (< 10%). In this study high estimate of heritability in narrowsense was recorded for plant height in both the years and pooled, fruits per cluster in Y₂, average fruit weight in Y₁, equatorial diameter Y₂ and pooled, titrable acidity, total fruit yield in Y₂ and total sugar in Y₁. (Table-1), Similar finding for high estimate of narrow sense heritability for different tomato traits have been also reported by Rani et al.(2011)^[15], Singh et al. (2011, Islam et al. (2012)^[8], Mohamed et al. (2012)^[11], Hasanuzzaman et al. (2012)^[7], Reddy et al. (2013)^[16], Shalaby (2013) ^[19], Patel et al. (2013) ^[14], E l-Gabry et al. (2014)^[5], Meitei et al. (2014)^[10], Doddamaniv et al. (2017) ^[4], Barragan et al. (2019) ^[2].

The findings of the present investigation revealed that high heritability (h^2ns) coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for plant height in Y_1, Y_2 and pooled, average fruit weight in Y_1 and total fruit yield per plant in Y_2 . Similar results had also been reported by Patel *et al.* (2013)^[14], Meitei *et al.* (2014)^[10], Bhandari *et al.* (2017)^[3] and Doddamani *et al.* (2017)^[4].

Moderate estimate of heritability in narrow sense was observed for days to first fruit harvest, primary branch per plant, fruits per cluster, fruits per plant, pericarp thickness, polar diameter, equatorial diameter, marketable fruit yield per plant, total soluble solids ascorbic acid, titrable acidity and total sugar in Y1, days to 50% flowering in plant in Y₂, days to first fruit harvest in Y₂ and pooled, primary branch and locules per fruit in Y₂, fruits per plant in pooled, pericarp thickness, polar diameter, marketable fruit yield, total soluble solid, ascorbic acid, average fruit weight in Y₂ and pooled. Similar finding for moderate estimate of narrow sense heritability for different tomato traits have been also previously reported by Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2012)^[7], Reddy *et al.* (2013)^[16], Shalaby (2013)^[19], Doddamaniv *et al.* (2017) ^[4].

High heritability's (h²ns) coupled with moderate to low genetic advance were observed for fruits per cluster in Y₂, locules per fruit in Y₁, titrable acidity in Y₂ and total sugar in Y₂. Similar results had also been reported by Reddy *et al.* $(2013)^{[16]}$ Shalaby $(2013)^{[19]}$, Barragan *et al.* $(2019)^{[2]}$.

The estimate of high genetic advance in per cent of mean was observed for plant height and total fruit yield in Y_1 , Y_2 and pooled, average fruit weight and marketable fruit yield per plant in Y_1 , Y_2 , fruits per plant in pooled, titrable acidity in Y_1 . while, moderate estimate of genetic advance in per cent of mean was observed for days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit harvest primary branch, fruits per cluster, pericarp thickness, locules per fruit and total sugar in Y_1 , Y_2 , fruits per plant, marketable fruit yield per plant in Y_2 , titrable acidity in Y_2 , similar results had also been reported by earlier workers Ghobary and Ibrahim (2010), Rani *et al.* (2011) ^[15], Reddy *et al.* (2012), Panthee *et al.* (2015) ^[13], Panchbhaiya *et al.* (2018) ^[12].

Conclusion

The findings of the present investigations high heritability (h^2ns) coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean for the traits plant height, average fruit weight and total fruit yield per plant revealed that these traits governed by additive gene action and selection may be effective for those traits.

References

- 1. Anonymous. Horticulture Data Base, National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, Ministry of Agriculture, India 2019.
- Barragan OG, Benitez AL, Herrera SRA, Maas JNDM, Hidalgo-Ramos DMH, Alcala-Rico JSGJ. Studied on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Agron. Res 2019;17(1):77-85.
- 3. Bhandari HR, Srivastava K, Reddy GE Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci 2017;6(7):4131-4138.
- Doddamani MB, Jagadeesha RC, Suresh GJ, Ramanagouda SH, Raghunatha RRL, Rathnaker S. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality traits in F₃ population of cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum L. var. ceraciformae*). Int. J Pure App. Biosci 2017;5(6):86-91.
- 5. El-Gabry MAH, Solieman TIH, Abido AIA. Combining ability and heritability of some tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) cultivars. Sci. Hortic 2014;167:153-157.
- Frusciante L, Barone A, Carputo D, Ercolano MR, Rocca F, Esposito S. Evaluation and use of plant biodiversity for food and pharmaceuticals. Fitoterapia 2000;71:566-572.
- 7. Hasanuzzaman M, Hakim MA, Fersdous J, Islam MM, Rahman L. Combining ability and heritability analysis for yield and yield contributing characters in tomato landraces. Plant Omics J 2012;5(4):337-344.
- Islam MS, Mohanta HC, Ismail MR, Rafii MY, Malek MA. Genetic variability and trait relationship in cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. var. cerasiforme (Dunnal) A. Gray). Bangladesh J Bot 2012;41(2):163-167.
- 9. Johnson HW, Robinson HW, Comstock RF. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron J 1955;74:314.
- 10. Meitei KM, Bora GC, Singh SJ, Sinha AK. Morphology based genetic variability analysis and identification of important characters for Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) crop improvement. Am.

Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci 2014;14(10):1105-1111.

- 11. Mohamed SM, Ali EE, Mohamed TY. Study of heritability and genetic variability among different plant and fruit characters of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). Int. J Sci. Tech. Res 2012;1(2):55-58.
- Panchbhaiya A, Singh DK, Verma P, Mallesh S. Assessment of genetic variability in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Under poly house condition for fruit quality and biochemical traits. Int. J Chem. Stud 2018;6(6):245-248.
- 13. Panthee DR, Veazie PP, Anderson C, Ibrahem R. Diallel Analysis for Lycopene Content in the Hybrids Derived from Different Colored Parents in tomato. Am. J 2015.
- 14. Patel SA, Kshirsagar DB, Attar AV, Bhalekar MN. Study on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in tomato. Int. J P. Sci 2013;8(1):45-47. Plant Sci 2013;6:1483-1492.
- 15. Rani KR, Anitha V, Reddy MT. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Int. J Bio-re Resour and Stress Manag 2011;2(4):382-385.
- Reddy BR, Reddy DS, Reddaiah K, Sunil N. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci 2013;2(9):238-244.
- 17. Rick CM, Holle M. Andean *Lycopersicon esculentum* var. *cerasiforme*: genetic variation and its evolutionary significance. Econ. Bot 1990;43(3):69-78.
- Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Estimation of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. Agron. J 1949;41:353-359.
- 19. Shalaby TA. Mode of gene action, heterosis and inbreeding depression for yield and its components in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Sci. Hortic 2013;164:540-543.
- 20. Singh AK, Pan RS, Rai M. Combining ability studies of yield and its contributing traits in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Veg. Sci 2005;32(1):82-83.