www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; SP-10(6): 507-513 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 13-04-2021 Accepted: 15-05-2021

### Kalyani Thakur

Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

### VM Dhoot

Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

### **GR Bhojne**

Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

# SV Upadhye

Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

#### **AP** Somkuwar

Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

### Corresponding Author: Kalyani Thakur Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

# Effect of probiotic on hemato-biochemical alterations in dogs with chronic kidney disease

# Kalyani Thakur, VM Dhoot, GR Bhojne, SV Upadhye and AP Somkuwar

# Abstract

Kidney disease is more common in canine population today. Hence the need of medications for kidney diseases also increased recently. The purpose of the present study is to assess the efficacy of probiotics in the management of chronic kidney disease in dogs. A probiotic formulation of selected microbial strains administered through oral route in dogs with chronic kidney disease may extend renoprotection via intraintestinal extraction of toxic waste solutes. The aim behind the study is to prevent the production of major damaging uremic toxins by targeting the process of bacterial protein fermentation in the gut and improving renal function. Dogs with chronic kidney disease have been shown to experience a disturbed gut flora, which promotes the increased production of those harmful toxins. By supplementing with a specific combination of bacteria *viz. Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactobacillus acidophilus,* and *Bifidobacterium longum* (probiotics) and beneficial fibre i.e. psyllium (prebiotics), to support the growth of the good bacteria, it improves the health of the gut, suppressing the growth of harmful bacteria, thus decreasing the production of the toxins.

Keywords: chronic kidney disease, dogs and probiotics

# Introduction

Kidney disease is a pathological process that affects any part of the kidney and may or may not be related with alterations in kidney function. It is characterized as a decrease in one or several kidney functions such as the loss of urine concentrating ability, followed by the elimination of small-molecular-weight molecules from the plasma, characterizing azotemia i.e. increase of Plasma-Urea and/or Plasma-Creatinine (Braun and Lefebvre, 2008) <sup>[5]</sup>. Kidney disease can occur abruptly or progressively over time. Chronic kidney disease is considered as an irreversible disease that is usually progressive (Polzin, 2013) <sup>[36]</sup>. Cases of azotemia are not always primary renal azotemia caused by parenchymal damage however can be prerenal or postrenal azotemia producing from reduced kidney perfusion and interferences with urine excretion, respectively (DiBartola, 2005) <sup>[9]</sup>.

Regardless of the cause, it is mandatory to decrease the levels of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine in CKD patients. Uremic illness occurs as a result of accumulation of organic waste products, so-called as Uremic Retention Solutes (URSs) that are normally excreted by the kidneys. A number of treatment modalities focusing URS have been proposed (Ramezani and Raj, 2014)<sup>[38]</sup>. Unfortunately, most of the treatment plans exhibit inherent disadvantages such as side-effects, high cost, etc.

The gut microflora is crucial for regulating the normal function of the intestinal barrier as it promotes immunological tolerance to antigens from nutrients or organisms, controls nutrient uptake and metabolism, and prevents propagation of pathogenic organisms (Power *et al.* 2014)<sup>[37]</sup>. Hence, the concept has emerged that dysregulation of intestinal microflora may have a significant role in kidney disease associated with dysbiotic gut microbiotia (Vaziri *et al.* 2012)<sup>[52]</sup>. In recent times, the concept of 'probiotics' has been in limelight by health professionals (Alvarez-Olmos and Oberhelman, 2001; Doron and Gorbach, 2006)<sup>[1, 12]</sup>. Probiotics confer a benefit on the host after its administration (Huebner and Surawicz, 2006)<sup>[16]</sup>. Blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine levels in pigs and rats were reported to decrease by probiotic bacteria (Ranganathan *et al.* 2005)<sup>[40]</sup>. Therefore, this study was designed to examine the effects of oral administration of probiotic bacteria in dogs with chronic kidney disease.

# **Materials and Methods**

The present study was carried out at the Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Ethics and Jurisprudence and the cases referred to Teaching Veterinary Clinical Complex (TVCC)

Nagpur during the period from June 2019 to January 2020. In the present study, dogs of both the sexes and of different age groups, presented at TVCC, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur and suspected for kidney diseases were subjected for estimation of blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine as a component of routine clinical evaluation. In case of azotemic dogs, a detail history, physical examination findings, urinalysis and blood tests were carried out. Clinical data *viz.* age, sex, breeds, body weight and duration of illness were also recorded. The clinical observations included clinical signs and symptoms shown by the animals.

The study included six dogs with kidney disease of different stages of chronic kidney disease. Dogs with elevated blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine were clinically examined and projected for response to conventional fluid therapy for a period of 28 days. Depending upon the electrolyte imbalance and blood homeostasis, these cases were instituted intravenous fluids such as Ringers lactate, Dextrose with Normal Saline, or 5% Dextrose. The antibiotics were given based on urine AbST results. Dogs with hyperphosphatemia, Tab Sevelamer Hydrochloride 50 mg/kg b.w. and Sucralfate 1 gm was given per orally twice a day till the serum phosphorus levels returned to normalcy. The loop diuretic Furosemide was given @ 2 mg/ kg b.w. twice a day in cases of oliguria. Inj. Erythropoietin 50 IU/kg. b. w. thrice a week was given in cases having severe anaemia (Hb. below 6.0 g/dL). Other symptomatic treatment such as Ondansetron (0.5 mg/kg b.w.) and Ranitidine (0.5 mg/kg b.w.) were given intramuscularly or orally in cases with vomiting and anorexia.

All the affected dogs further received proprietary formulation of three strains of beneficial bacteria *viz*. *Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactobacilus acidophilus,* and *Bifidobacterium longum* and a prebiotic (psyllium) orally in the form of capsule @ 3 capsules for dogs weighing  $\geq$  5 kg b.w. (2 in the morning and 1 in the evening) for a period of 28 days were given along with the conventional treatment. The dogs were monitored daily for improvement in clinical condition and the treatment regimen was modified as per the requirement. The owners counselling was done and they were advised to offer renal diet after ascertaining the hemato-biochemical parameters.

Statistical analyses were conducted using WASP (version 2.0) software www.icargoa.res.in following the methods described by Snedecor and Cochran (2004)<sup>[44]</sup>. Comparisons were assessed using descriptive statistic, complementary randomised design and paired t-test. Variables with P<0.05 were considered as statistically significant, variables with P<0.01 were considered as statistically highly significant and variables with P>0.05 were considered as statistically non-significant.

# **Result and Discussion**

The affected dogs were classified into different stages of chronic kidney disease based on serum creatinine value which was done according to the methodology designed by International Renal Interest Society (IRIS) CKD Staging Guidelines (Modified 2019) that not only helped us with early diagnosis but also facilitated customize treatment and monitoring for individual dog (Table No. 1).

The average age of dogs was  $8.50 \pm 1.32$  years (range 5 to 13) years) (Table No. 1). These findings were in accordance with Karunanithy et al. (2019) <sup>[19]</sup> and Thade et al. (2019) <sup>[47]</sup>. Bhojne et al. (2016)<sup>[3]</sup> recorded highest prevalence of renal insufficiency in 6 to 9 years of age group followed by 10 to 13 years of age group and 14 and above age group. With ageing, renal function decreases as a result of renal vascular and glomerular sclerosis and renal tubular atrophy and further slowly progresses into mild renal insufficiency without exhibiting apparent clinical symptoms and signs. Out of six dogs, there were 50.00% (n = 03/06) male dogs and 50.00% (n = 03/06) female. Vaden (2011) <sup>[50]</sup> noticed equal representation of glomerular disease in both males and females. An interesting fact about progression of kidney disease is gender disparity where a male dog shows a faster rate of progression of certain CKD because of the effects of the interaction of circulating steroids mainly androgens on specific kidney receptors which exaggerate kidney diseases, whereas estrogens confer renal protection (Lu et al. 2007).

Patient ID	Patient name	Age (Years)	Sex	Body weight (kg)	Breed	Sr. Creatinine (mg/dl)	UPC	IRIS classification
1	Leo	6.5	Male	48.0	St. Bernard	4.3	2.27	Stage 3
2	Pari	9.0	Female	25.0	Labrador	3.6	1.74	Stage 3
3	Tony	11.5	Male	14.0	Non-Descript	5.3	3.81	Stage 4
4	Daisy	6.0	Female	33.5	Rottweiler	4.9	2.88	Stage 3
5	Lola	13.0	Female	20.0	Dalmatian	6.8	6.84	Stage 4
6	Danny	5.0	Male	31.0	Labrador	5.2	1.51	Stage 4
Average $\pm$ SE		$8.50 \pm 1.32$		$28.58 \pm 4.85$		$5.01 \pm 0.44$	$3.17\pm0.80$	

 Table 1: Signalment of the patients

The breeds reported for the present study were Labrador retriever, Rottweiler, St. Bernard, Dalmatian, and Non-Descript. The present findings were in agreement with Tufani *et al.* (2015) <sup>[49]</sup> and Nabi *et al.* (2018) <sup>[30]</sup> who recorded highest prevalence of renal failure in Labrador followed by

German shepherd and Pomeranian. Labrador retriever is the most common breed diagnosed with bladder tumors (Krawiec, 1989)<sup>[22]</sup>. There was not enough population size in the present study in order to estimate the prevalence of breed on chronic kidney disease.

Fable 2: Chief	complaint a	nd clinical	signs of	the patients
----------------	-------------	-------------	----------	--------------

Patient ID	Patient name	Patient complaint and Clinical signs	Duration of illness (Days)	Diet
1	Leo	Inappetence, Vomiting, Diarrhoea, Dullness, Anaemia	15	Commercial
2	Pari	Anorexia, Vomiting, Lethargic, Polyuria	10	Homemade + Commercial
3	Tony	Anorexia, Vomiting, Diarrhoea, Anaemic, Dental Tartar and	21	Homemade

		Halitosis		
4	Daisy	Inappetence, Pyometra, Vomiting, Polyuria	17	Homemade
5	Lola	Anorexia, Vomiting, Cachexic, Anaemic, Melena, Dental Tartar	15	Homemade + Commercial
6	Danny	Anorexia, Vomiting, Anaemia, Dullness	15	Homemade + Commercial

The data regarding the chief clinical signs exhibited by each patient, duration of illness and diet history are summarized in Table No. 2. It was observed that inappetence/anorexia and vomiting were the cardinal clinical signs observed in 100% of the cases. Anaemia related to chronic kidney disease was noticed followed by diarrhoea and oral ulceration with dental tartar and halitosis. Majority of the patients exhibited dull and lethargic behaviour at presentation. Polyuria was seen in only two dogs. The observations of various clinical signs in the present study were in accordance with Tripathi and Mehta (2010) <sup>[48]</sup> and Bartges (2012) <sup>[2]</sup> who also reported the similar clinical findings in the dogs affected with chronic kidney diseases. Oburai et al. (2015) [32] and Dunaevich et al. (2020) <sup>[13]</sup> also observed indistinguishable symptoms in dogs suffering from chronic renal failure viz. anorexia, followed by vomiting, dullness and weight loss, oral ulcer, hypertension, polyuria and polydipsia, recumbency and blindness.

The hematological investigation of packed cell volume

(PCV), hemoglobin and total erythrocyte count (TEC) value in all the affected dogs were slightly lower compared to referral limit and did not reveal any significant differences during various days of treatment protocol (Table No. 3). These observations were in line with Sawale et al. (2012)<sup>[43]</sup>, Oburai et al. (2015) [32] and Devipriya et al. (2018) [8] who also noticed remarkable reduction in the haemoglobin, PCV and total erythrocyte count on day first of study. Dunaevich et al. (2020) <sup>[13]</sup> documented lower haematocrit (<37.1%) and TEC (4.0 x 10<sup>6</sup>/µL) concentration in dogs suffering from acute on chronic kidney disease. The root cause for the decrease in these counts could be the impaired ability of the kidneys to produce a sufficient quantity of erythropoietin and shortened survival period of red blood cells. Other secondary causes for anaemia could be iron-deficiency anaemia or Vitamin B<sub>12</sub>/folate deficiency, poor nutrition, and spontaneous blood loss.

Table 3: Average ± S.E. of haematological parameters of dogs during treatment period

Parameters	Referral range	0th Day	7th Day	14th Day	21st Day	28th Day	CD (0.01)	CD (0.05)
Packed cell volume	37 - 55%	$35.35 \pm 4.98$	$34.21 \pm 4.67$	$35.73 \pm 4.42$	$36.78 \pm 3.98$	$35.88 \pm 3.62$	NS	NS
Haemoglobin	12 - 18 gm%	$10.63\pm0.82$	$10.16\pm0.67$	$10.38\pm0.71$	$10.73\pm0.61$	$10.73\pm0.51$	NS	NS
Red blood cells	5.5 - 8.5 106/µl	$4.76\pm0.39$	$4.37 \pm 0.44$	$4.52\pm0.39$	$4.63\pm0.34$	$4.69\pm0.26$	NS	NS
TLC	06 - 17 x 103/µL	$18.3 \pm 1.08 \text{ a}$	$16.13 \pm 1.26$ ab	$14.58\pm1.32~ab$	$13.13 \pm 1.84$ b	$12.33 \pm 1.54$ b	NS	4.184

Different superscripts row-wise indicates significance, NS indicates non-significant difference, CD = Critical difference

There was a significant difference (P < 0.05) in the average TLC ( $10^{3}/\mu$ L) values between the 0<sup>th</sup> day and 28<sup>th</sup> of treatment at statistical level (Table No. 4). Sumit et al. (2018) [46] found higher mean values of total leucocyte count (16.40  $\pm$  2.73 x  $10^{3}$ /mm<sup>3</sup>) in all affected dogs when compared to the referral value. Likewise, Karunanithy et al. (2019)<sup>[19]</sup> and Dunaevich et al. (2020) <sup>[13]</sup> also recorded increased levels of total leucocyte count in dogs affected with chronic kidney diseases. The possible cause of leukocytosis in the present study could be the increased production of TLC by the bone marrow in response to an infection or inflammation and stress in any part of the kidneys. There was a significant (P < 0.05) decrease in the TLC values and were found in the normal range at the end of treatment period. This notable reduction in the TLC values after treatment could be attributed to the resolution of infection or inflammation.

The average concentration of BUN (mg/dl) in all dogs before treatment was  $67.85 \pm 6.94$  and post treatment were  $56.25 \pm 7.10, 51.86 \pm 7.54, 42.13 \pm 6.61$  and  $30.51 \pm 4.16$  on 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, and 28<sup>th</sup> day. A highly significant decrease (*P*<0.01) in the blood urea nitrogen value was observed on 21<sup>st</sup> day and 28<sup>th</sup> day post treatment compared to 0<sup>th</sup> day (Table No. 4).

The increased concentration blood urea nitrogen observed in the present study could be attributed to various prerenal causes like cardiac decompensation, water depletion due to decreased intake or excessive loss, increased protein catabolism, and high protein diet whereas renal causes glomerulonephritis, includes acute chronic nephritis. polycystic kidney disease, nephrosclerosis, and tubular necrosis and postrenal causes comprises of all types of obstruction of the urinary tract, such as stones, enlarged prostate gland, tumors. There could be few extrarenal causes also responsible for increased BUN such as protein intake, gastrointestinal bleeding, catabolic states, malnutrition, heart failure, dehydration, use of glucocorticoids, and hepatic urea synthesis. An elevated concentration of blood urea nitrogen was observed before treatment which gradually decreased after the treatment course and these findings were in correlation with Palmquist (2006)<sup>[33]</sup>, Ross (2006)<sup>[41]</sup>, Sumit et al. (2018)<sup>[46]</sup>, Karunanithy et al. (2019)<sup>[19]</sup>, Nakang et al. (2019) [31] and Dunaevich et al. (2020) [13]. All the authors have reported an increased blood urea nitrogen level in dogs suffering from kidney diseases.

Table 4: Average  $\pm$  S.E. of biochemical parameters of dogs during treatment period

Parameters	Referral range	0th Day	7th Day	14th Day	21st Day	28th Day	CD (0.01)	CD (0.05)
Blood urea nitrogen	12 - 25 mg/dl	$67.85a \pm 6.94$	$56.25ab \pm 7.10$	$51.86ab \pm 7.54$	$42.13bc \pm 6.61$	$30.51c \pm 4.16$	25.948	19.180
Serum creatinine	05 - 1.5 mg/dl	$5.01a \pm 0.44$	$4.53ab \pm 0.49$	$4.03abc \pm 0.49$	$3.40bc \pm 0.44$	$2.91c \pm 0.48$	NS	1.383
Serum phosphorus	2.2 - 5.5 mg/dl	$7.53a \pm 0.58$	$6.21ab \pm 0.55$	$5.93b\pm0.48$	$5.63b\pm0.45$	$5.46b \pm 0.36$	NS	1.438
Serum sodium	141 - 153 mEq/L	$135.00a \pm 2.46$	$140.33ab\pm2.36$	$142.66bc \pm 1.99$	$146.95cd\pm1.35$	$148.96d \pm 1.16$	7.661	5.662
Serum potassium	3.7 - 5.8 mEq/L	$3.96a \pm 0.24$	$4.60ab \pm 0.34$	$4.96ab \pm 0.24$	$5.11bc \pm 0.21$	$5.36c \pm 0.16$	0.991	0.733
Serum chloride	105 - 115 mEq/L	$103.33a \pm 1.26$	$106.11ab \pm 1.33$	$104.95 abc \pm 2.00$	$108.96bc \pm 2.08$	$110.86c \pm 1.83$	NS	5.068

Total protein	5.4 - 7.7 gm/dl	$6.34\pm0.33$	$5.79 \pm 0.25$	$5.92\pm0.38$	$5.76\pm0.28$	$5.67 \pm 0.25$	NS	NS	
Albumin	2.3 - 3.8 gm/dl	$2.42\pm0.17$	$2.54\pm0.14$	$2.74\pm0.20$	$3.09\pm0.28$	$3.17\pm0.32$	NS	NS	
Nifferent superscripts row-wise indicates significance. NS indicates non-significant difference. CD – Critical difference									

The highly significant decrease in the BUN concentration in the present study could be an effect of probiotic therapy used in all dogs that has a property of catabolizing uremic solutes in the gut. Palmquist (2006) [33] observed a very clear relationship between use of the probiotic and decreasing azotemia where 100% of cases showed decrease in BUN value varying from 4.7% to 36.5 per cent. Ranganathan et al. (2009)<sup>[39]</sup> also observed a mean change in BUN concentration of -2.93 mmol/L during probiotic treatment period differing significantly from the mean change in BUN concentration of 4.52 mmol/L during the placebo period by feeding an oral probiotic selected bacteria in stage 3 & 4 chronic kidney disease. Koppe et al. (2015) [21] explained that administration of probiotics during chronic kidney diseases helps in removing uremic retention solutes (URSs). Thus, when the production of URSs primarily by protein degradation is not completely blocked by a low-protein diet, modelling intestinal microbiota could be considered as an additional beneficial intervention by reducing the conversion of amino acids into trimethylamine n-oxide, p-cresyl sulphate, or indoxyl-sulfate.

Urea is hydrolysed by the urease-producing probiotic species and maintains a concentration gradient that supports diffusion of urea from the blood to the gastrointestinal tract lumen. Thus, reduces uremia, serum creatinine concentration and number of fatalities (Wynn, 2009) <sup>[54]</sup>.

The average concentration of serum creatinine (mg/dl) in all dogs before treatment was  $5.01 \pm 0.44$  and post treatment were  $4.53 \pm 0.49$ ,  $4.03 \pm 0.49$ ,  $3.40 \pm 0.44$  and  $2.91 \pm 0.48$  on 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, and 28<sup>th</sup> day. There was significant difference between day 0<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> day of treatment. A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the serum creatinine value was observed on 0<sup>th</sup> day, 14<sup>th</sup> day and 28<sup>th</sup> day of post treatment. The possible reason for such increased values of serum creatinine in the present study could be attributed to increase production of creatinine by the muscles especially in large breed of dogs with large muscle mass, in the study such as St. Bernard, Labrador retriever and Rottweiler. Another reason could be reduction in extracellular fluid volume due to dehydration or intestinal absorption of exogenous creatinine (Lefebvre *et al.* 2015) <sup>[6]</sup>.



Fig 1: Relationship between blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine at different intervals of treatment (n = 6/6)

An increased concentration of serum creatinine was observed before treatment which gradually decreased after the treatment course and these findings were in accordance with Ross (2006) [41], Sawale et al. (2012) [43], Oburai et al. (2015) <sup>[32]</sup>, Sumit et al. (2018) <sup>[46]</sup>, Karunanithy et al. (2019) <sup>[19]</sup>, Nakang et al. (2019) [31] and Dunaevich et al. (2020) [13]. Each author has reported an increased serum creatinine level in dogs suffering from kidney diseases. The values of the serum creatinine concentration were significantly decrease before and after treatment in the present study could be due to the probiotic supplementation given in all cases. Palmquist (2006) <sup>[33]</sup> observed a very clear relationship between use of the probiotic and decreasing azotemia where 85.7% (6/7) of cases showed decrease in creatinine value ranging from 10% to 51.9 per cent. McCain et al. (2011)<sup>[27]</sup> also reported that the serum urea nitrogen and creatinine concentrations decreased after 60 days of probiotic administration in azotaemic big cats. Jo et al. (2014) <sup>[17]</sup> also documented significant decrease in the blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine concentration on 30<sup>th</sup> day of dietary probiotics treatment along with supportive conventional treatment in a dog diagnosed with chronic

kidney disease.

There was marked reduction in the values of serum phosphorus between day 0th, 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th day of treatment. A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in serum phosphorus value was observed on 0th day and 14th day of treatment. However, the values remained constant within the normal referral range from 14th day to 28th day during the treatment period. Results of the present study are in accordance with Martinez and Carvalho et al. (2010) [26], Meuten (2012) [28], Devipriya et al. (2018) [8], Sumit et al. (2018) <sup>[46]</sup>, Karunanithy et al. (2019) <sup>[19]</sup> and Nakang et al. (2019) <sup>[31]</sup> who also noticed a significantly higher concentration of serum phosphate in dogs with stage 3 and 4 of chronic kidney disease when compared to the other dogs. An elevated level of serum phosphorus at 0<sup>th</sup> day in the present study could be attributed primarily to an increased absorption of phosphorus in the intestines and decreased phosphate excretion through urine and secondary due to a transport of phosphate ions from the intracellular to extracellular compartment.

The changes in the average electrolyte values of serum sodium, serum potassium and serum chloride (mEq/L) before and after treatment are mentioned in Table No. 4. The difference of serum sodium and serum potassium were highly significant (P < 0.01) in all the affected dogs before and after treatment, similarly the value of serum chloride also showed significant difference (P < 0.05) between different days of treatment. Results of the present study were in line with Martinez and Carvalho et al. (2010) <sup>[26]</sup>, Meuten (2012) <sup>[28]</sup> and Sumit et al. (2018) [46] delineated hyponatremia with slight reduction in the serum sodium value in chronic kidney disease affected dogs. Slight hyponatremia was observed in the present study and the prominent reason could be volume depletion associated with extrarenal losses such as dehydration, vomiting and diarrhoea observed in majority of the affected dogs or renal losses such as decreased glomerular filtration rate in advanced stages of CKD or diuretic therapy induced by private practitioner in the patients.

The results of the present study showed slight depletion of serum potassium levels on 0<sup>th</sup> day which is in accordance with DiBartola (2006) <sup>[10]</sup>, Stockham and Scott (2008) <sup>[45]</sup>, and Langston (2017) <sup>[23]</sup> who also opined that hypokalemia is more likely to occur in patients of chronic kidney disease and hyperkalemia occurs only until the glomerular filtration rate falls below 15-20 mL/min. Mild hypokalemia observed in the present study could be attributed to various reasons such as prolonged anorexia, loss of muscle mass and excessive renal wasting associated with polyuria in the affected dogs especially in the later stages of chronic kidney disease. Gastrointestinal loss of potassium such as vomiting and

diarrhea also contributed to hypokalemia in the affected dogs. The observation of the present study with regard to serum chloride concentration was in agreement with Meuten (2012) <sup>[28]</sup>, Sumit *et al.* (2018) <sup>[46]</sup> and Dunaevich *et al.* (2020) <sup>[13]</sup> who also reported significant lower concentration of serum chloride in dogs suffering from chronic kidney disease. Hypochloremia generally occurs in conjugation with hyponatremia (Stockham and Scott, 2008) <sup>[45]</sup> and this concept also implies in the present study wherein hyponatremia was observed on 0<sup>th</sup> day that gradually increased with the help of conventional fluid therapy, simultaneously the chloride value increased with the effect of treatment and the changes were statistically significant.

The biochemical investigation did not reveal any significant differences in serum total protein and serum albumin among all the affected dogs during different days of treatment. The result of the present study regarding total protein concentration was in accordance with Sumit et al. (2018) [46] and Dunaevich et al. (2020) [13] who also observed nonsignificant changes in the serum total protein concentration in dogs suffering from chronic kidney disease. Hypoproteinemia is common in dogs with chronic kidney disease and the primary reason could be proteinuria which results due to malfunctioning of normal renal handling of proteins. Additionally, diets with very high amount of protein intake also have deleterious effects on kidneys of dogs. Completely restricting or excessively limiting the dietary protein intake can further aggravate protein malnutrition and thus, high quality protein sources were advised in the diet formulation in order to minimize the risk of hypoproteinemia in dogs.

**Table 5:** Average  $\pm$  S.E. of urinalysis of dogs during treatment period

Parameters	<b>Referral range</b>	0th Day	14th Day	28th Day	CD (0.01)	CD (0.05)
Urine specific gravity	1.001-1.065	$1.012a \pm 0.002$	$1.020ab \pm 0.003$	$1.023b\pm0.002$	NS	0.008
UPC ratio	< 0.2	$3.17a \pm 0.80$	$1.44b \pm 0.39$	$0.75b\pm0.18$	NS	1.597
D'00 .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • •	1100 OD O	1.1.00	

Different superscripts row-wise indicates significance, NS indicates non-significant difference, CD = Critical difference

The observed values of urine specific gravity in all dogs were found significantly decreased on 0<sup>th</sup> day of study and the values showed improvement at the end of the therapy. There was a statistical significant difference (P < 0.05) observed between 0<sup>th</sup> day and 28<sup>th</sup> day of treatment course (Table No. 5). The observation with respect to urine specific gravity in the present study commensurate with Forterre et al. (2004) <sup>[14]</sup>, Oburai et al. (2015) <sup>[32]</sup>, Karunanithy et al. (2019) <sup>[19]</sup> and Dunaevich et al. (2020) [13]. These authors have also reported significant decrease in urine specific gravity of dogs with chronic kidney disease when compared with normal healthy dogs. The urine specific gravity ranging between 1.008-1.020 is considered as isosthenuria where the urine specific gravity is similar to serum osmolality. Braun and Levebvre (2008)<sup>[5]</sup> opined isosthenuria as a frequent finding in dogs with chronic renal failure. Braun and Lefebvre (2015)<sup>[6]</sup> states that a urine specific gravity < 1.008 or > 1.030 reflects the diluting or concentrating function of kidneys that certainly preclude chronic renal failure in dogs. This might be the potential reason of isosthenuria observed in the present study.

The average urine protein to creatinine ratio (UPC) in all dogs before treatment was  $3.17 \pm 0.80$  and the post treatment values for  $14^{\text{th}}$  and  $28^{\text{th}}$  day were  $1.44 \pm 0.39$  and  $0.75 \pm 0.18$ , respectively. The average value was remarkably increased on the 0<sup>th</sup> day of treatment; however a significant decrease (*P*<0.05) in the urine protein to creatinine ratio was observed between 0<sup>th</sup> day and 14<sup>th</sup> day of therapy and further decline on

28<sup>th</sup> day of treatment. The result of urine protein to creatinine ratio (UPC) of the present study correlated with Forterre et al. (2004) <sup>[14]</sup> who documented UPC of 1.62 in dogs with renal disease, Pillai et al. (2012) [35] who reported UPC of >3.5 mg/mmol in a dog with nephrotic syndrome and Oburai et al. (2015) <sup>[32]</sup> who also noticed significantly increased UPC of  $2.29 \pm 0.25$  in dogs with chronic renal failure. Nakang *et al.* (2019) <sup>[31]</sup> observed a significantly high UPC ratio of 2.25  $\pm$ 0.16 in dogs with renal dysfunction compared to healthy dogs with  $0.03 \pm 0.01$  UPC ratio. In normal dogs, the urine protein to creatinine ratio (UPC) of <0.2 is considered as nonproteinuric however, in dogs with chronic kidney disease, the urine protein to creatinine ratio of >1.0 is associated with threefold greater risk of developing uremic crisis and death (Vaden and Elliott, 2016)<sup>[51]</sup>. Harley and Langston (2012)<sup>[15]</sup> quoted urine protein to creatinine ratio of > 2.0 as severe proteinuria which is a significant clinicopathological sign of glomerular disease and very high proteinuria with UPC >10.0 is suggestive of acquired or reactive glomerular amyloidosis. According to Nabity (2011) [29], for UPC monitoring in dogs, if the UPC value has changed from the baseline i.e. between 0.5 and 12, it must either increase or decrease by a minimum of 35-80% with a higher per cent change required at lower UPC values that correlates with the results of present study. Out of the total number of dogs, patient ID No. 02, 04 and 06

showed remarkable recovery in terms of resolution of clinical symptoms and significant improvement in the blood and urine

parameters. All these dogs were advised to maintain on probiotic supplements even after the treatment course period along with other oral conventional supplementation. Recurrences of clinical symptoms were not observed during their follow-up visits until 1 to 6 months. Patient ID No. 01 and 03 were also advised to maintain on probiotic supplements; however, the owners could not afford the expenses of capsules and discontinued the treatment and were maintained on other oral conventional supplementation. Recurrences of symptoms were noticed after 3-4 months of their follow-up visit. Patient ID No. 05 also successfully completed the study period with partial correction of clinical signs such as improvement in appetite, fecal consistency and anemia. The blood and urine parameters were also significantly improved however, the owner refused to continue with the treatment plan further looking after the expenses and costs of medicines. The dog succumbed almost after 40 days of post treatment.

# References

- 1. Alvarez-Olmos MI, Oberhelman RA. Probiotic agents and infectious diseases: a modern perspective on a traditional therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2001;32(11):1567-76. doi: 10.1086/320518.
- 2. Bartges JW. Chronic Kidney Disease in Dogs and Cats. Veterinary Clinics of North America Small Animal Practice 2012;42(4):669-692.

doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2012.04.008. PMID: 22720808.

- 3. Bhojne GR, Dakshinkar NP, Kothekar MD, Kurkure NV, Dhoot VM, Upadhye SV *et al.* Prevalence of Renal Insufficiency in Dogs of Nagpur City. Indian Journal of Canine Practice 2016;8(2):107-111.
- Biewenga WJ, Gruys E, Hendriks HJ. Urinary Protein Loss in the Dog: Nephrological Study of 29 Dogs without Signs of Renal Disease. Research in Veterinary Science 1982;33(3):366-374. PMID: 7156512.
- Braun JP, Lefebvre HP. Kidney Function and Damage. In book: Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals; 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Elsevier Inc 2008, 485-528. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-370491-7.00016-7
- Braun JP, Lefebvre HP. Early Detection of Renal Disease in the Canine Patient 2015. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242734560\_E\_ arly\_detection\_of\_Renal\_Disease\_in\_the\_canine\_patient
- Braun JP, Lefebvre HP, Watson AD. Creatinine in the Dog: A Review. Veterinary Clinical Pathology 2003;32(4):162-179. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-165x.2003.tb00332.x. PMID:

 14655101.
 Devipriya K, Lavanya C, Selvaraj P, Ezakial Napolean R. Early Diagnosis of Renal Insufficiency in Dogs with Haemato: Biochemical Findings. Journal of Entomology

and Zoology Studies 2018;6(5):-703-705.
9. DiBartola SP. Renal Disease: Clinical Aroach and Laboratory Evaluation. In: Ettinger, S.J. and E.C. Fieldmen. Ed: Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine.

6th Ed. Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia 2005, 1716-1730. 10. Di Bartola SP. Introduction to Acid Base Disorders. In:

- Fluid, Electrolyte, and Acid-Base Disorders in Small Animal Practice. 3rd ed. Elsevier Saunders, Missouri 2006, 229-251.
- 11. Dibartola SP, Chew DJ, Jacobs G. Quantitative Urinalysis Including 24-Hour Protein Excretion in the Dog. Journal of the American Animal Hospital

Association 1980;16:537-546.

https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID = US19820717091

- Doron S, Gorbach SL. Probiotics: their role in the treatment and prevention of disease. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2006;4(2):261-75. doi: 10.1586/14787210.4.2.261.
- Dunaevich A, Chen H, Musseri D, Kuzi S, Mazaki-Tovi M, Aroch I *et al.* Acute on Chronic Kidney Disease in Dogs: Etiology, Clinical and Clinicopathologic Findings, Prognostic Markers, and Survival. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine 2020;34(6):2507-2515. doi: 10.1111/jvim.15931.
- Forterre S, Raila J, Schweigert FJ. Protein Profiling of Urine from Dogs with Renal Disease using Protein Chip Analysis. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 2004;16(4):271-277. doi: 10.1177/104063870401600403.
- Harley L, Langston C. Proteinuria in Dogs and Cats. Canadian Veterinary Journal 2012;53(6):631-638. PMID: 23204582
- Huebner ES, Surawicz CM. Probiotics in the prevention and treatment of gastrointestinal infections. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2006;35(2):355-65. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2006.03.005.
- Jo S, Kang M, Lee K, Lee C, Kim S, Park S *et al.* Probiotic Dietary Supplementation in a Dog with Chronic Kidney Disease. The Journal of Biomedical Research 2014;15(1):040-043.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12729/jbr.2014.15.1.040

- Kandula S, Karlapudi SK. Prevalence of Renal Disorders in Dogs-A Clinical Study. International Journal of Agriculture Science & Veterinary Medicine 2014;2(3):146-148. ISSN 2320-3730.
- Karunanithy M, Thakur N, Dey S. Prevalence of Renal Disorders in Dogs of Bareilly Area of Uttar Pradesh, India. Biological Rhythm Research 2019, 01-11 doi: 10.1080/09291016.2019.1587840
- 20. Katoch A, Wadhwa DR, Sharma A. Epidemiological Observations on Canine Renal Disorders. Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research 2017;43(2):135-138.
- Koppe L, Mafra D, Fouque D. Probiotics and Chronic Kidney Disease. Kidney International 2015;88(5):958-966. doi:10.1038/ki.2015.255
- Krawiec DR. Urologic Disorders of the Geriatric Dog. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice 1989;19(1):75-85. doi:10.1016/s0195-5616(89)50006-8
- Langston CE. Managing Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders in Kidney Disease. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice 2017;47(2):471-490. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2016.09.011.
- 24. Lefebvre HP, Watson ADJ, Heiene R. Creatinine (Dog): Interpreting Blood Creatinine Concentration in Dogs. International Renal Interest Society (IRIS) 2015. http://www.iris-

kidney.com/education/creatinine\_dogs.html

- Lu H, Lei X, Klaassen C. Gender Differences in Renal Nuclear Receptors and Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor in 5/6 Nephrectomized Rats. Kidney International 2007;70(11):1920-1928. doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5001880
- 26. Martinez PP, Carvalho MB. Participation in the Renal Excretion of Calcium, Phosphorus, Sodium and Potassium Homeostasis in Healthy Dogs and Dogs with Chronic Kidney Disease. Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira

2010;30(10):868-876.

doi: 10.1590/S0100-736X2010001000010

- 27. McCain S, Allender MC, Schumacher J, Ramsay E. The Effects of a Probiotic on Blood Urea Nitrogen and Creatinine Concentrations in Large Felids. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine 2011;42(3):426-429. doi: 10.1638/2010-0235.1. PMID: 22950314
- Meuten D. Laboratory Evaluation and Interpretation of the Urinary System - Clinical Chemistry of Common Domestic Species. In: Veterinary Hematology and Clinical Chemistry, 2nd Edition Mary Anna Thrall, Glade Weiser, Robin W. Allison and Terry W. Campbell, Wiley-Blackwell Publication 2012, 323-377.
- 29. Nabity MB. Urine Protein and Microalbuminura. In: Nephrology and Urology of Small Animals. Edited by Bartges J and DJ Polzin, Blackwell Publishing Ltd 2011, 58.
- 30. Nabi SU, Dey S, Shah OS, Hussain T, Amin U, Vala J *et al.* Incidence of Renal Disorders in Canines and its Relation with Age Breed and Sex. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2018;7(1):87-89.
- Nakang H, Changkija B, Baishya B, Mahato G, Devi P, Kalita M. Clinical and Haemato-Biochemical Alterations in Canine Renal Dysfunction. International Journal of Livestock Research 2019;9(8):164-171. doi: 10.5455/ijlr.20190401063632
- 32. Oburai LN, Rao VV, Naik BR. Clinical and Nephrosonographic Findings in Canine Chronic Renal Failure: A Prospective Study. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science 2015;8(6):11-16. doi:10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.1453236.V1
- Palmquist RA. Preliminary Clinical Evaluation of Kibow Biotics, A Probiotic Agent, on Feline Azotemia. American Holistic Veterinary Medical Association 2006;24:23-27.
- 34. Pedrinelli V, Lima DM, Duarte CN, Teixeira FA, Porsani M, Zarif C *et al.* Nutritional and Laboratory Parameters Affect the Survival of Dogs with Chronic Kidney Disease. PLoS ONE 2020;15(6):e0234712. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234712
- Pillai US, Kanaran PP, Ambily VR, John E, Jayakumar KM. Nephrotic Syndrome in a Dog - A Case Report. Indian Journal of Canine Practice 2012;4(2):93.
- 36. Polzin DJ. Evidence-Based Step-Wise Approach to Managing Chronic Kidney Disease in Dogs and Cats. Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care 2013;23(2):205-215. doi: 10.1111/vec.12034.
- Power SE, O'Toole PW, Stanton C, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF. Intestinal microbiota, diet and health. Br J Nutr 2014;111(3):387-402. doi: 10.1017/S0007114513002560.
- Ramezani A, Raj DS. The gut microbiome, kidney disease, and targeted interventions. J Am Soc Nephrol 2014;25(4): 657-70. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2013080905.
- 39. Ranganathan N, Friedmanb EA, Tamc P, Raod V, Ranganathana P, Dheera R. Probiotic dietary supplementation in patients with stage 3 and 4 chronic kidney disease: a 6-month pilot scale trial in Canada. Current Medical Research and Opinion 2009;25(8):1919-1930. doi:10.1185/03007990903069249
- 40. Ranganathan N, Patel B, Ranganathan P, Marczely J, Dheer R, Chordia T *et al.* Probiotic amelioration of azotemia in 5/6th nephrectomized Sprague-Dawley rats. The Scientific World Journal 2005;5:652-660. doi:

10.1100/tsw.2005.86.

- 41. Ross LA. Acute Renal Failure. Standards of Care: Emergency and Critical Care Medicine 2006;8:1-9.
- 42. Sant'Anna MC, Martins GF, Flaiban KMC, Trautwein LGC, Martins MIM. Protein-to-Creatinine Urinary in the Early Diagnosis of Renal Injury in Canine Pyometra. Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira 2019;39(3):186-191. doi: 10.1590/1678-5150-PVB-5624
- 43. Sawale GK, Mustare AK, Mhase AK, Rohi RR, Ingole KH, Mahalankar SS *et al.* Clinicopathology of Uraemia in Dog-Case Report. Indian Journal of Canine Practice 2012;4(1):67-69.
- 44. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH publishing Co 2004.
- 45. Stockham SL, Scott MA. Monovalent Electrolytes and Osmolality In: Fundamentals of Veterinary Clinical Pathology, 2nd Edition Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa, USA 2008, 495-558.
- 46. Sumit Goel P, Kumar P, Gulia D, Jhambh R, Sindhu N, Chaudhary RN. Haematobiochemical and Serum Electrolytes Alteration in Dogs with Chronic Kidney Disease. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2018;7(11):302-306.
- 47. Thade GC, Bhojne GR, Dhoot VM, Upadhye SV, Panchbhai CK, Gurnoor Kaur. One-Year Prevalence Study of Renal Failure in Canines of Nagpur. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Alied Sciences 2019;8(10):xx-xx. doi: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.810.xx
- 48. Tripathi R, Mehta H. Diagnosis of Renal Disorders in Dogs Using Ultrasound Technique. Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications 2010;2(2):213-214.
- 49. Tufani NA, Singh JL, Kumar M, Gupta D, Shekhar P, Rajora VS. Renal Failure in Indian Dogs: An Epidemiological Study. Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine 2015;35(1):7-11.
- Vaden SL. Glomerular Disease. Top Animal Companion Medicine 2011;26(3):128-134. doi: 10.1053/j.tcam.2011.04.003.
- Vaden SL, Elliott J. Management of Proteinuria in Dogs and Cats with Chronic Kidney Disease. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice 2016;46(6):1115-1130. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2016.06.009. PMID: 27485278.
- 52. Vaziri ND, Wong J, Pahl M, Piceno YM, Yuan J, DeSantis TZ *et al.* Chronic kidney disease alters intestinal microbial flora. Kidney Int 2012;83(2):308-315. doi: 10.1038/ki.2012.345.
- 53. Wijayawardhane KAN, Karunathilake KGSS, Kumara VSS. Assessment and Comparison of Urinary Protein: Creatinine Ratios of Healthy Domestic Dogs and Working Dogs in Kennels Division in Sri Lanka Police for Early Detection of Renal Disease. Sri Lanka Veterinary Journal 2016;63(2):11-16. doi: http://doi.org/10.4038/slvj.v63i2.10
- Wynn SG. Probiotics in Veterinary Practice. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 2009;234(5):606-613.