www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; SP-10(6): 320-326 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 28-04-2021 Accepted: 30-05-2021

#### Amit Tomar

College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### SS Singh

College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Vijay Kumar Mishra

College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Usha

College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Sandeep Upadhyay

College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

V David Chella Baskar

College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Amit Tomar College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

### First report of front line demonstrations (FLDs) on *Kharif* maize in Bundelkhand region

## Amit Tomar, SS Singh, Vijay Kumar Mishra, Usha, Sandeep Upadhyay, and V David Chella Baskar

#### Abstract

Front Line Demonstrations (FLDs) on maize was conducted in five villages namely; Pipra in Jhansi District of Uttar Pradesh, Punchampura, Kunwarpura & Daryan Kala in Tikamgarh District & Sanora in Datia District of Madhya Pradesh. Total 40 farmers were selected on the basis of their socio-economic conditions. The main objective of the FLDs to improve the socio-economic condition of the Bundelkhand farmers, increasing the maize cultivated area during *kharif* season in Bundelkhand region and also utilization of the *kharif* fellow land for cultivation of maize crop. The results revealed that farmers were benefitted from the FLDs practice in comparison to the their own traditional practices. The average yield production of maize from farmers practices and from FLDs practices was 12 quintals per hectare and 40 quintals per hectare, respectively. The cost : benefit ratios from farmers & FLDs practices was 1.2 & 3.6, respectively.

Keywords: front line demonstrations (FLDs), Kharif maize & Bundelkhand region

#### Introduction

Bundelkhand is a geographical and cultural region and also a mountain range in central & North India. The hilly region is now divided between the states of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh, with the larger portion lying in the latter state. Bundelkhand region are covered 15 Districts of both Uttar Pradesh & Madhya Pradesh. In Uttar Pradesh seven Districts namely; Jhansi, Jalaun, Lalitpur, Chitrakoot, Banda, Hamirpur & Mahoba and eight Districts namely; Datia, Chhatarpur, Damoh, Panna, Sagar, Tikamgarh, Vidisha & Niwari in Madhya Pradesh comes under Bundelkhand region. Maize is very old crop of Bundelkhand region after pulses and oilseeds. It is cultivated from several years back in Bundelkhand but due to more damaging by the "Anna Pashu" and with low productivity farmers replace the maize by growing pulses & oilseeds either they left the field fellow in kharif season. The currently cultivated maize (Zea mays ssp. Mays) originates from the human interaction, from wild ancestors, the teosinte, of the parviglumis and Mexican subspecies (Zea mays ssp. parviglumis), performed about 9000 years ago by the peoples of Central America, particularly of Mexico. The probable place of domestication is the Central- South region of Mexico, at average altitudes of approximately 1500 m above sea level. Currently, the cultivation is carried out all over the world, at several latitudes (ranging from 58° N to 40° S) and altitudes (from 3500 m to sea level), which demonstrates its wide adaptability. Maize was the food base which allowed the development of several important civilizations over the centuries, such as the Incas, in Peru and in a wide region located in the Andes, the Aztecs, in Mexico, and the Maya, in Central America and Southern Mexico. The navigators were the ones who took maize seeds to Europe, Asia and Africa, and today, in the African continent, this cereal is the most cultivated crop and with the highest total grain yield. In South America, it is estimated that maize cultivation began over 4000 years ago by indigenous people, who planted different cultivars according to the purpose of use. In Brazil, maize was already cultivated by the indigenous people as well, both for food and for other purposes, before the Portuguese navigators arrived in the country. After the arrival of the colonizers, maize consumption increased due to the population growth and the diversity of uses, not only for human food, but also for the feeding of domestic animals, making maize one of the most important sources of income in family farms. The species became a constituent part of the food culture of the Brazilian population from North to South of the country, because it is present in a variety of foods, such as pamonha, porridge, cuscuz, among other derivatives produced from maize flour.

In nutritional terms, maize has a carbohydrate-rich composition, mainly in the form of starch, and also has proteins, lipids, vitamins and minerals. One important feature is that grains can be directly consumed, without the need for processing to remove the hull as it is done with other cereals, such as rice and wheat. In sub-Saharan Africa, maize is the most important agricultural species, both for being the most cultivated and produced in quantitative terms and for being the one which supplies 40 to 50% of the calories and proteins consumed in Malawi, Zimbabwe and Zambia. In Zambia, maize is the most important cereal, and about 90% of the maize produced is used for human consumption.

In the production systems of commercial plantations, there is a greater economic investment, aiming at obtaining higher yields with higher level of management, through the chemical control of insects and diseases, greater application of fertilizers, use of irrigation and seeds of hybrid cultivars. Hybrids are developed by following methodologies with scientific nature and show characteristics such as high production, response to fertilizers, short stature and synchronicity in the development. The crossing of previously selected endogamous strains leads to a F1 generation with high phenological, morphological and genetic uniformity and demanding with respect to environment to express all its production potential. On the other hand, if the farmer uses grains produced from F1, the generation F2, as seeds in the next season, will undergo a reduction in production, and the plants will be greatly uneven. The need to purchase new seeds at each season raises the costs of production, since the seeds

of F1 hybrid are commercialized with very high values, and it also makes the farmer dependent on the seed-producing companies. The estimated area, production & productivity of maize in India are 1255 mha, 501 mt & 3.99 q/ha, respectively during 2019-20. In India maize are cultivated in different states name; Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Gujarat, Maharashtra, West Bengal, Orissa, etc. In Uttar Pradesh maize cultivated Districts are Jhansi, Meerut, Saharanpur, Muzaffarnagar, Bareilly, Muradabad, Rampur, Dhampur, Jalaun, Lalitpur, Kanpur, Sahmli, Aligarh, Etawa, Firozabad, Sikohabad, Allahabad, Varansi, etc.

#### Methodology

#### About FLDs on maize

Front Line Demonstrations (FLDs) on maize conducted in five villages name; Pipra in Jhansi District of Uttar Pradesh, Punchampura, Kunwarpura & Daryan Kala in Tikamgarh District & Sanora in Datia District of Madhya Pradesh in Bundelkhand region during *kharif*-2020 under Schedule Caste Sub-plan (SCSP) which was funded by Indian Institute of Maize Research (IIMR), New Delhi, Sub-Campus, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab. The total sanction amount was 3.5 lakh for successfully conducted FLDs on maize during *kharif*-2020 in Bundelkhand region. Total 40 FLDs were conducted in 40 hectare areas. The FLDs techniques was used as "Hybrid *vs.* Commercial cultivars (local check)" The complete details are given as below in table-1.

**Table 1:** Details of the FLDs on *kharif* maize during-2020.

S. No.	Details	Quantity to be used
1.	Total number of FLDs conducted	40 FLDs
2.	Total area covered (1 hectare for 1 FLDs)	40 hectare/100acre
3.	Total quantity of seeds used to distribute to the farmers to conduct FLDs on Maize	800 kg/8 Quintal
4.	Quantity of seed distributed for 1 acre area	8 kg
5.	Quantity of fertilizers (18:18:18 super phosphate) used to distribute to the farmers	3 kg/acre
6	Hybride used to conduct FLDs on Maize	DHM-117 = 48 kg
0.	Hybrids used to conduct FLDS off Maize	DHM-121 = 752  kg
7.	Total = 800  kg	

#### Selection of the farmers

Total 40 Schedule Caste (SC) farmers were selected on the basis of their socio-economic conditions and also on the basis of their own choice for conducted Front Line Demonstrations (FLDs) on maize during *kharif*-2020 in Bundelkhand region for maximize the production and double your income as per the suggestions of our Hon'ble Prime Minister Shri Narendra Modi Ji. The details are given as below in table-2.

**Table 2:** List of the farmers under SCSP programme on *kharif* maize.

S. No.	Name of villages	District	State	Total no. of farmers	Caste
1.	Pipra	Jhansi	Uttar Pradesh	05	SC
2.	Punchampura	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	10	SC
3.	Kunwarpura	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	10	SC
4.	Daryan Kala	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	10	SC
5.	Sanora	Datia	Madhya Pradesh	05	SC
6.	Т	otal No. of Farmers	40		

#### Features of Hybrids & Seed Distribution Programme

Two high yielding single cross hybrids namely DHM-117 & DHM-121 were used for distribution of seed under FLDs on maize during *kharif*-2020 in Bundelkhand region. Both the hybrids are suitable for growing in Bundelkhand region,

because these hybrids were released for zone-IV. The average yield of these hybrids are 80 q/ha. and mature in medium duration (90-100 days). Farmers was used a local commercial cultivars for grain production of *kharif* maize. Details are given as below in table-3.



Fig 1: Distribution of hybrid maize seeds to farmers at Villages of Tikamgarh District, Madhya Pradesh.

Table 3.	Important	features	of single	cross	maize	hybride
Table 5:	important	reatures	or single	cross	maize	nyonus.

S. No.	DHM-117	DHM-121
1.	Medium to late maturity (105-110 days)	Medium maturity (95-100 days)
2.	Single cross hybrid	Single cross hybrid
3.	Released in 2009	Released in 2014
4.	Yield-80 to 100 q/ha	Yield-75 to 80 q/ha
5.	Suitable for Bundelkhand region (Zone- IV <sup>th</sup> )	Suitable for Bundelkhand region(Zone- IV <sup>th</sup> )

#### Fertilizer distribution programme

Water soluble fertilizers (18:18:18 super phosphate) were distributed to the farmers. 3 kg 18:18:18 super phosphate fertilizer were distributed for 1 FLDs/ 1 hectare area. The

significant responses were recorded of that fertilizers after applying in *kharif* maize under Front Line Demonstrations (FLDs) onmaize during *kharif*-2020. The details are given as below in table-4.

Table 4: Total fertilizers distribute to the farmers under FLDs on maize during kharif- 2020

S. No.	Name of villages	Districts	States	Total no. of farmers	Area	Fertilizers quantity
1.	Pipra	Jhansi	Uttar Pradesh	05	05 ha.	15 kg
2.	Punchampura	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	10	10 ha.	30 kg
3.	Kunwarpura	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	10	10 ha.	30 kg
4.	Daryan Kala	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	10	10 ha.	30 kg
5.	Sanora	Datia	Madhya Pradesh	05	05 ha.	15 kg
6.		Totals		40	40 ha.	120 kg

#### **Results & Discussion**

**1. Crop stand, insect infestation & their control:** The results were revealed that the performance of crop stand was good with healthy crops. The number of cobs was recorded 1 to 3 cobs per plant. The number of grain rows was recorded approximately 20 rows per cob and the number of grains was recorded 400-500 grains per cob. In infestation of Fall army worm was seen during the early crop growing (vegetative) and later (milking to maturity and after maturity) stage. This is the very serious insect of maize crop which is damage the crop in each and every stage of crop. Scientist were suggested

that use of Spynosed 45 S.C. 0.5 ml/litre of water or Emidamectin benjoate 5 S.C. 100 g or Endoksacarb 14.5 S.C. 200 ml/litre or Fifronil 5 S.C. 500 ml/litre or Chlor entriniliprole 250 ml/litre chemicals spraying the crop with eddying 800-1000 litre of water at insect attacking stage. These findings were also similar to Vedna *et al.* (2007), Chaoudhary *et al.* (2012) <sup>[4]</sup>, Yadav *et al.* (2012) <sup>[14]</sup>, Yadav *et al.* (2013) <sup>[15]</sup>, Choudhary *et al.* (2013) <sup>[11]</sup>, Choudhary *et al.* (2013b) <sup>[3]</sup> & Pooniya *et al.* (2015) <sup>[11]</sup>. The complete details are given as below in table-5.

Table 5: Percent falll army worm infestation on maize field in different villages of Bundelkhand region during Kharif -2020.

S. No.	Name of Villages	No. of fields	Percent infestations
1	Pipra Jhansi	5	46
2	Sanora-Datia	5	64
3	Daryan Kala-Tikamgarh	5	56
4	Kuwarpura-Tikamgarh	12	48
5	Punchampura-Tikamgarh	25	40.55

**2. Details of the yield from FP & FLDs practices:** The average yield production of *kharif* maize were recorded 12 quintals per hectare and 40 quintals per hectare, respectively from farmers and FLDs practices. The average net returns (Rs/ha) of *kharif* maize were recorded 15,000 Rs/ha and

50,000 Rs/ha, respectively from farmers & FLDs practices. The average cost of production of *kharif* maize were recorded 6,000 Rs/ha and 16,000Rs/ha, respectively for both farmers & FLDs practices. The average yield gains & net return gains were recorded 20-25% & 35,000 Rs/ha, respectively. These

findings were also reported by Dass *et al.* (2002) <sup>[7]</sup>, Hiremath & Nagaraju (2009) <sup>[9]</sup>, Choudhary *et al.* (2009) <sup>[6]</sup>, Dhaka *et al.* (2010) <sup>[8]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2010) <sup>[10]</sup>, Choudhary *et al.* (2015)

<sup>[5]</sup> & Kumar *et al.* (2015) <sup>[11]</sup>. The details are given as below in table-6 & 7.

S. No.	Area (ha)	Average yield (q/ha)		Aver (	rage net returns э000 Rs/ha)	Average yield gains (%)	Average net return gain	Average Cost : Benefit ratio	
		FP	FLDs	FP	FLDs		(Rs/ha)	FP	FLDs
1	1 ha	12	40	15,000	50,000	20-25	35,000	1.2	3.6

#### Table 7: Details of the yield from FP & FLDs practices

C No	Area (ha)	Yield (q/ha)		Net returns ('000 Rs/ha)		Viold soins (0/)	Nat materia and (Dalka)	Cost : Benefit ratio	
5. NO.	Area (na)	FP	FLDs	FP	FLDs	Yield gains (%)	Net return gain (Ks/na)	FP	FLDs
1	1 ha	10.6	35.5	10486	57110	24.9	46624	1.2	4.11
2	1 ha	15	30	18408	46454	15	28046	2.1	3.5
3	1 ha	11.9	31.4	12841	49079	19.5	36238	1.4	3.5
4	1 ha	12.5	32	13912	50311	19.5	36399	1.6	3.6
5	1 ha	10.5	33.4	10304	53011	23.9	42707	1.1	3.8
6	1 ha	12.7	35	14283	56144	22.3	41861	1.6	4.6
7	1 ha	13.8	30	16241	46141	16.2	29900	1.8	3.4
8	1 ha	14	30	16631	46112	16	29481	1.9	3.4
9	1 ha	15	34	18434	54211	19	35777	2.1	3.9
10	1 ha	10.9	32	11043	50134	21.1	39091	1.2	3.6
11	1 ha	13.9	34	16426	54043	20.1	37617	1.9	3.8
12	1 ha	11.4	33	11953	52103	21.6	40150	1.3	3.7
13	1 ha	14.8	32	18046	50111	17.2	32065	2.1	3.6
14	1 ha	15	35	18424	56032	20	37608	2.1	4.9
15	1 ha	10.2	32.6	9772	51311	22.4	41539	1.1	3.6
16	1 ha	12.4	32.7	13731	51443	20.3	37712	1.5	3.7
17	1 ha	13.6	32	15901	50013	18.4	34112	1.8	3.6
18	1 ha	11.6	34.9	12281	55815	23.3	43534	1.4	3.9
19	1 ha	14.7	33.4	17862	52921	18.7	35059	2.1	3.9
20	1 ha	11.3	32	11744	50013	20.7	38269	1.3	3.6
21	1 ha	12.4	33.4	13743	52911	21	39168	1.6	3.9
22	1 ha	12.8	30	14441	46044	17.2	31603	1.6	3.4
23	1 ha	15	31	18401	48112	16	29711	2.1	3.5
24	1 ha	14.2	32.9	16963	51843	18.7	34880	1.9	3.8
25	1 ha	13.9	33.4	16431	52921	19.5	36490	1.9	3.9
26	1 ha	13.8	32.5	16242	51121	18.7	34879	1.8	3.7
27	1 ha	13	32	14812	50103	19	35291	1.7	3.6
28	1 ha	12	30	13013	46001	18	32991	1.5	3.3
29	1 ha	14	32	16603	50125	18	33522	1.9	3.6
30	1 ha	15	32.8	18501	51613	17.8	33112	2.1	3.7
31	1 ha	14.7	31.2	17862	48513	16.5	30651	2.1	3.5
32	1 ha	13.4	33.8	15521	53713	20.4	38192	1.8	3.9
33	1 ha	12.4	34.2	13722	54543	21.8	40821	1.5	3.9
34	1 ha	13	35.5	14801	57121	22.5	42320	1.7	4.11
35	1 ha	14.5	33.9	17502	53941	19.4	36439	2.1	3.9
36	1 ha	13.6	32.7	15882	51512	19.1	35630	1.8	3.7
37	1 ha	15	31.6	18401	49213	16.6	30812	2.1	3.6
38	1 ha	12.4	30.5	13722	47103	18.1	33381	1.5	3.9
39	1 ha	11.9	32.6	12821	51344	20.7	38523	1.4	3.7
40	1 ha	12.4	33.9	13722	53820	21.5	40098	1.5	3.9

**3. Cost: benefit ratios from FP & FLDs practices:** The significant average cost: benefit ratio of *kharif* maize production were recorded 1.2 & 3.6 from both farmers &

FLDs practices, respectively. The details are given as below in table-6.



Fig 2: Monitoring of the FLDs maize Field

4. Differences between FP & FLDs practices: The significant differences were recorded from farmers practices and FLDs practices in several points such as variety, seed rate, seed treatment, time of sowing, method of sowing,

fertilizers management, water management, plant protection, threshing, harvesting & marketing, etc. The details are given as below in table-8.

 Table 8: Significant differences between farmers practices & FLDs practices.

S. No.	Particulars	Technology Interventions	Farmer's practices
1	Variety	DHM 117 & DHM 121 Hybrids	Local variety
2	Seed rate	8 kg/acre	8-10 kg/acre
3	Seed treatment		Х
4	Time of sowing	15/07/2020	01/07/2020
5	Method of sowing	Line sowing	Broad caste
6	Fertilizer management		
7	Water managemnt		
8	Plant protection	X	
9	Threshing		
10	Harvesting		
11	Storage	X	
12	Marketing	Local market	Local market

**5.** Farmers training under Front Line Demonstrations (FLDs) on *kharif* maize in Bundelkhand region: Total 12 farmers trainings has been conducted in 10 selected villages of District Lalitpur (Dhovalkheri, Pachoni & Varkhiria), Datia (Sanora), Tikamgarh (Kunwarpura & Punchanpura) & Jhansi

(Roniza, Lakara, Parbai & Dikauli) from both the states Uttar Pradesh & Madhya Pradesh of Bundelkhand region. 150 farmers were benefitted from each villages & total 1500 farmers were benefitted from the training programme.

Table 1: List of the beneficiary farmers selected for conducted training under FLDs on maize during kharif-2020.

S. No.	Name of villages	District	State	Total no. of beneficiary farmers	Caste
1.	Dhovalkheri	Lalitpur	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
2.	Pachoni	Lalitpur	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
3.	Varkhiria	Lalitpur	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
4.	Sanora	Datia	Madhya Pradesh	150	SC
5.	Kunwarpura	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	150	SC
6.	Punchampura	Tikamgarh	Madhya Pradesh	150	SC
7.	Roniza	Jhansi	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
8.	Lakara	Jhansi	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
9.	Parbai	Jhansi	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
10.	Dikauli	Jhansi	Uttar Pradesh	150	SC
	Total n	o. of beneficiary	farmers	1500	



Fig 3: Farmers training at Villages of Lalitpur District under SCSP programme during kharif-2020.



#### **Summary & Conclusion**

The Farmers with or without resources keep their land fallow in *Kharif* and cultivate wheat, gram, linseed and lantil in *Rabi*. Here we found Kharif Maize a promise crop to increase Croppingintensityin Bundelkhand region. Withmajority of Scheduled Castes population living in villages under studied area were economically isolated. Those were below poverty line and their livelihood dependant solely on agriculture and livestock rearing the SCST Plan seems to be boon for uplifting their status.



Fig 4: Farmers training and distribution of Maize production technology folders at Villages of Tikamgarh District under SCSP programme during *kharif*-2020.

#### Acknowledgement

Authors acknowledge to Dr. Arvind Kumar, Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor of Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi for providing the travels facilities, constant support, and giving valuable suggestions during whole research & also thankful to Indian Institute of Maize Research, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana for their financial support.

#### References

- Choudhary AK. Technological and extension yield gaps in pulses in Mandi district of Himachal Pradesh. Indian J Soil Cons 2013;41(1):88-97.
- 2. Choudhary AK, Pooniya V, Kumar A, Sepat S, Bana RS, Jat SL. Scope and potential of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in north- western Himalayas. In: Maize production systems for improving resource-use efficiency and livelihood

security (Eds: Kumar *et. al.* 2013), A publication of Directorate of Maize Research, New Delhi 2013a, 69-73.

- Choudhary AK, Thakur SK, Suri VK. Technology transfer model on integrated nutrient management technology for sustainable crop production in high value cash crops and vegetables in north-western Himalayas. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal 2013b;44(11):1684-1699.
- 4. Choudhary AK, Thakur SK, Yadav DS. Development of integrated farming system model for marginal and small farmers of Mandi district of Himachal Pradesh: An innovative extension tool. J Hill Agri 2012;3(1):46-52.
- Choudhary AK, Thakur SK, Yadav DS, Rahi S, Sood P, Chauhan K *et al.* One scientist-one technology-one village programme: An innovative model for dissemination of farm technology. Ann. Agric. Res 2015;36(2):225-232.
- Choudhary AK, Yadav DS, Singh A. Technological and extension yield gaps in oilseeds in Mandi district of Himachal Pradesh. Indian J Soil Cons 2009;37(3):224-229.
- Dass A, Patnaik US, Sudhishri S, Choudhary PR. Vegetable cultivation: A major intervention for tribal watershed development. Indian J Soil Cons 2002;30(3):240-247.
- Dhaka BL, Meena BS, Suwalka RL. Popularization of improved maize production technology through frontline demonstrations in south-eastern Rajasthan. J Agri. Sci 2010;1(1):39-42.
- Hiremath SM, Nagaraju MV. Evaluation of front line demonstration trials on onion in Haveri district of Karnataka. Karnataka J Agric. Sci 2009;22(5):1092-1093.
- 10. Kumar A, Kumar R, Yadav VPS, Kumar. Impact assessment of frontline demonstrations of Bajra in Haryana State. Indian Res. J Ext. Edu 2010;10(1):105-108.
- 11. Kumar A, Rana KS, Rana DS, Bana RS, Choudhary AK, Pooniya V. Effect of nutrient and moisture management practices on crop productivity, water-use efficiency and energy dynamics in rainfed maize (*Zea mays* L.) + soybean (*Glycine max* L.) intercropping system. Indian J Agron 2015;60(1):152-156.
- Pooniya V, Jat SL, Choudhary AK, Singh AK, Parihar CM, Bana RS *et al.* 'Nutrient expert' assisted sitespecific- nutrient- management: An alternative precision fertilization technology for maize-wheat cropping system in south-Asian Indo- Gangetic Plains. Indian J Agric. Sci 2015;85(8):996- 1002.
- 13. Vedna K, Kumar A, Kumar A, Bhateria. Demonstration -An effective tool for increasing the productivity of rapeseed- mustard in Kangra district of Himachal Pradesh. Him. J Agric. Res 2007;33(2):257-261.
- 14. Yadav DS, Thakur SK, Choudhary AK, Sood P. Training needs of agricultural extension officers about watershed management. Indian J Soil Cons 2012;40(2):179-182.
- 15. Yadav DS, Thakur SK, Sood P, Choudhary AK. Assessing training needs of extension functionaries about organic farming in north-western Himalayas. J Organic Syst 2013;8(1):17-27.