



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
 ISSN (P): 2349-8242
 NAAS Rating: 5.23
 TPI 2021; 10(6): 618-621
 © 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
 Received: 04-04-2021
 Accepted: 10-05-2021

M Yamini
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry, S.V.
 Agricultural College, Tirupati,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

V Munaswamy
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry, S.V.
 Agricultural College, Tirupati,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

KV Naga Madhuri
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry, S.V.
 Agricultural College, Tirupati,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

Y Reddi Ramu
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry, S.V.
 Agricultural College, Tirupati,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

Relationship between available p and p fractions in groundnut crop by the long-term effect of manure and Fertilizers

M Yamini, V Munaswamy, KV Naga Madhuri and Y Reddi Ramu

Abstract

The long-term field experiment which was started at the Regional Agricultural Research station, Tirupathi, Acharya N.G. ranga agricultural university during *kharif* – 2014. The soil of the experimental field was red sandy loam (Haplustalf). The soil was low in available nitrogen, medium in phosphorus and medium to high in potassium. Inorganic P fractions like Al-P, Fe-P, O-P, Ca-P, total-P and available P at 0-15 cm depth before sowing of the crop ranged from 20.43 to 37.62, 34.46 to 57.12, 16.97 to 31.31, 14.20 to 22.01, 27.25 to 54.50, 112.87 to 192.78, 27.00 to 45.00 mg kg⁻¹. At harvest 0-15 cm depth P fractions like Al-P, Fe-P, O-P, Ca-P, total-P and available P varied from 17.06 to 33.20, 31.872 to 53.31, 14.87 to 28.31, 10.62 to 20.25, 30.652 to 56.80, 105.40 to 180. 52, 21.002 to 45.00 mg kg⁻¹, similarly at 15-30 cm depth before sowing P fractions like Al-P, Fe-P, O-P, Ca-P, total-P and available P varied from 16.91 to 31.90, 32.27 to 49.95, 15.92 to 27.02, 12.57 to 22.72, 25.25 to 51.25, 106.72 to 185.47, 27.00 to 41.00 mg kg⁻¹ and at harvest 15-30 cm depth these are ranged from 15.62 to 29.68, 30.00 to 47.50, 14.25 to 20.56, 26.30 to 52.42, 101.97 to 175.77, 23.00 to 36.00 00 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. It was observed that P fractions in soil 0-15 cm depth either increased or decreased from sowing to harvest of groundnut crop. At harvest Al-P decreased -15.17 to 29.90 per cent, Fe decreased from 4.35 to -25.25 per cent. O-p decreased -0.08 to 27.95 per cent. Ca-P decreased from -7.99 to -44.13. Organic P increased from 2.47 to 20.59 per cent. Decrease in total P was small -1.09 to -10.16. At harvest decrease in available P -2.72 to 25.00 per cent. However at 15-30 cm depth also the values are varying but influence was more or less similar. By the correlation and regression analysis, Al-P(0.450***), Fe-P (0.505**) were positively and significantly correlated with available P but not with any other fraction. A positive correlation between total P with the fractions like Al-P (0.354*), Fe-P (0.551***) and occluded P (0.423**) was observed but not with other fractions. Available P at harvest significantly correlated with the Al-P (0.346*), Fe-P (0.472**), occluded P (0.362*) and total P (0.540**) but not with Ca-P (0.199) and organic P (0.220). Total P positively correlated with Al-P (0.383*), Fe-P (0.683**), occluded P (0.466*), Ca-P (0.370) and organic P (0.302*). By the multiple regression, analysis that value of Fe-P was significantly correlated with available P (0.095***) and total P (0.001*) Al-P and occluded P was significantly correlated with total P (0.094*** and 0.062***) respectively. At harvest the values of Al-P and occluded P correlated with total P (0.002**, 0.008***) positive correlation was also observed between total P and available P (0.067***).

Keywords: Inorganic P fractions (Al-P, Fe-P, Ca-P, occluded P) organic P, total p, available P, correlation, regression

Introduction

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is the major oilseed cum cash crop per millions of small scale farmers in the semi arid tropics. It is the worlds 4th most important source of edible oil and 3rd most important source of vegetable proteins. The use of groundnut are diverse: all parts of the plant could be used. The kernel is a rich source of edible oil, containing 36 to 54 percent and 25 to 30 percent proteins.

Phosphorus (P) is essential major element for plant growth. Therefore, maintainance of adequate amount of soil P through application of inorganic and organic P is critical for the sustainability of cropping systems (Sharpley *et al.*, 1994) [1]. Phosphorus like any other plant nutrient is present in soil in two major components *i.e.*, organic and inorganic. Organic P which is mainly confined to the surface layer is mineralized into inorganic forms, but plants mainly depend on inorganic P forms like Al-P, Fe-P, and CA-P, fractions for their P requirements. The availability and fractions of soil P may change due to long term continuous P fertilization besides its affect on yield (Fan *et al.*, 2003; Lai *et al.*, 2003) [5, 7].

Corresponding Author:
M Yamini
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry, S.V.
 Agricultural College, Tirupati,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

However the role of P in sustaining crop growth in relation to its various P fractions has not been studied so far, hence the present investigation is undertaken.

Material and Methods

A long term field experiment has been carried out at Regional Agricultural Research station, Tirupathi since 1981 laid out in randomized block design, replicated 4 times with 11 treatments. The treatments include T₁: control (no manure and fertilizers), T₂: Farm yard manure (FYM) @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (once in 3years), T₃: 20 kg Nitrogen (N) ha⁻¹, T₄: 10 kg Phosphorus (P) ha⁻¹, T₅: 25 kg Potassium (K) kg ha⁻¹, T₆: 250 kg gypsum ha⁻¹, T₇: 20 kg N + 10 kg P ha⁻¹, T₈: 20 kg N + 10 kg P + 25 kg K ha⁻¹, T₉: 20 kg N + 10 kg P + 25 kg K + 250 kg gypsum ha⁻¹, T₁₀: 20 kg N + 10 kg P + 25 kg K + 100 kg lime ha⁻¹, T₁₁: 20 kg N + 10 kg P + 25 kg K + 250 kg gypsum + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (once in three years). Hence treatments with FYM, N, P, K and gypsum either alone or in combination with lime and zinc sulphate were imposed.

During *kharif* -2014 the soil samples were collected before sowing and at harvest from 0–15 and 15-30 cm depth, available nutrients are analysed following the standard procedures laid down by Jackson (1973) [5]. Fractions of P were analysed as procedures described by Chang and Jackson (1957) [2]. Organic P by Saunders and Williams (1955) [10] and total P by Olsen and Sommers (1982) [8]. Relationship between available P and P fractions by correlation and regression.

Results and Discussion

The available N was low (148 to 205 kg ha⁻¹), P was medium (27 to 45 kg ha⁻¹) and K was medium to high (218 to 409 kg ha⁻¹),

Before sowing (0-15 cm) depth the highest value of Al-P was recorded in T₁₁ (37.62) and the lowest value in T₁ (20.43) the highest value of Fe-P were recorded in T₁₁ (57.12) and the lowest in T₁ (34.46) occluded P was highest in T₁₁ (31.31) and lowest in T₁ (16.97) the Ca-P was highest in T₉ (22.01) and lowest in T₉ (14.20). However the organic P content was highest in T₂ (54.50) and lowest in T₃ (27.25) the highest value of total P was in T₁₁ (192.78) and lowest in T₄ (112.87) available P content was lowest in T₆ (27.00) and highest in T₁₁ (45.00). At harvest (0-15 cm) depth highest value of Al-P was recorded in T₁₀ (33.20) and the lowest in T₁ (17.06). Fe-P highest value was noticed in T₁₀ (5331) and the lowest in T₄ (31.87). Occluded P highest value was recorded in T₁₀ (28.31) and the lowest in T₁ (14.87). Ca-P highest value was recorded in T₉ (20.25) and the lowest in T₆ (10.62). Organic P content highest value was noticed in T₂ (56.80) and lowest in T₃ (30.65). However highest value of total P was noticed in T₁₁ (180.52) and lowest in T₄ (105.40). Available P content highest value observed in T₁₁ (45.00) and the lowest in T₁ (21.00).

15-30 cm depth before sowing P fractions like Al-P was highest in T₁₁ (31.90) and lowest in T₁ (16.91). Fe-P highest value was noticed in T₁₁ (49.95) and the lowest in T₄ (32.27). Occluded P highest value in T₁₁ (27.02) and lowest in T₁ (15.92). Ca-P content highest value in T₉ (22.72) and lowest in T₁ (12.57). Organic P content highest value in T₂ (51.25) and lowest in T₃ (25.25). Available P highest value in T₁₁ (41.00) and lowest in T₁ and T₄ (27.00). At harvest highest value of Al-P was noticed in T₁₀ (29.68) and lowest in T₁ (15.62). Fe-P highest value was recorded in T₁₀ (47.50) and the lowest in T₄ (30.00). Occluded P highest value was

noticed in T₁₀ (25.25) and lowest in T₁ (13.75). Ca-P highest value was noticed in T₉ (20.56) and lowest in T₄ (14.25). Organic P content highest value was noticed in T₂ (52.42) and lowest in T₃ (26.30). Total P highest value was recorded in T₁₁ (175.77) and lowest in T₄ (101.97).

Changes in P fractions with crop growth

At harvest (0-15 cm) depth the Al-P decreased (-15.17 to -29.90 per cent) compared to the levels of Al-P at the time of sowing. The decrease in levels of Fe-P (-4.35 to -25.25 per cent) except in control T₁ (+8.82 per cent). The occluded P also decreased (-0.08 to 27.95 per cent) at harvest of crop, except in T₇ (+2.57) and T₁₀ (+3.81). The Ca-P content decreased (-7.99 to -44.13 per cent) at harvest in all the treatments except in T₁ (+25.84). The per cent decrease in total P was small (-1.09 to -10.16 per cent) at harvest. The decrease in available P at harvest was recorded in many treatments (-2.22 to 25.00 per cent). The decrease in P fractions and available P at the harvest might be contributed due to the good crop growth and yield in fertilizer plots due to cumulative effect of P in long-term use. Such decrease levels of P was reported by Babu (2007) [1]. The organic P increased in the treatments from 2.47 to 20.59 per cent. This can be attributed to continuous fertilization and leaf fall during the crop growth. Earlier works (Rajani *et al.*, 2010 and Devra *et al.*, 2014) [9, 3] have attributed the higher organic P levels to organic carbon, fertilizers and crop residue additions.

At 15-30 cm depth similar changes in P fractions was also observed in various treatments. However it was not discussed in detail as earlier, because it becomes repetition though the values are varying but influence was more or less similar and the groundnut crop roots mostly depends for its nutrients in surface layer.

This was interesting to record that Al-P (0.450**), Fe-P (0.505**) were positively and significantly correlated with available P but not with any other fraction. It indicates very clearly that the fractions of Al-P and Fe-P might have contributed to soil P and subsequently for better crop growth and yield as the experiment soil comes under slightly acidic conditions. A positive correlation between total P with fractions like Al-P (0.354*), Fe-P (0.551**) and occluded P (0.423**) was observed but not with other fractions. All these positive relations might be contributed for the better crop growth. Available P at harvest significantly correlated with the Al-P (0.346*), Fe-P (0.472**), occluded P (0.362*) and total P (0.540**), but not with Ca-P (0.199) and organic P (0.220). Interestingly total P positively correlated with Al-P (0.383*), Fe-P (0.683**), occluded P (0.466**), Ca-P (0.370*) and organic P (0.302*). That available P was significantly correlated with all inorganic P fractions (Al-P, Fe-P, occluded P and Ca-P) indicating that these fractions can be utilized by the plant for better crop growth and yield, that these results were similar with Jun *et al.* (2010) [6] and Zamuner *et al.* (2012) [12].

An attempt was made to study the inter relationship between different P fractions with available P and total P through multiple regression. From this study before sowing at 0-15 cm depth that values of Fe-P was significantly correlated with available P (0.095***) and total P (0.001*). Al-P and occluded P was significantly correlated with total P at (0.094*** and 0.062***) respectively. At harvest the values of Al-P and occluded P significantly correlated with the total P (0.002**, 0.008***). Positive relation was also observed between total P and available P (0.067***). Multiple

regression equation R^2 shows that the P fractions under study before sowing contributes to the extent of 31.1% for available P and 39.9% for total P. At harvest 32.8% for available P and

65.00% for available P. This indicates that the P fractions other than under study might also be contributed for the available P, total P and crop growth.

Table 1: Soil available nutrients and P fractions like Al-P, Fe-P, occluded P (mg kg^{-1}) as influenced by long-term application of manure and fertilizers before sowing and at harvest and also increase or decrease (%) at 0-15 cm

Treatments	Nitrogen (N)	Phosphorus (P_2O_5)	Potassic (K_2O)	Al-P		Increase or decrease (%)	Fe-P		Increase or decrease (%)	Occluded P		Increase or decrease (%)
				Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest	
T ₁ Control	148.0	28.0	218.0	20.43	17.06	-16.49	34.46	37.50	+8.82	16.97	14.87	-12.37
T ₂ FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ (once in 3 years)	187.0	43.0	302.0	35.96	25.18	-29.90	49.33	47.18	-4.35	29.40	21.18	-27.95
T ₃ N @ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	169.0	37.0	291.0	27.62	23.43	-15.17	46.88	43.43	-7.35	20.87	16.87	-19.16
T ₄ P @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	172.0	31.0	343.0	27.45	21.87	-20.32	42.58	31.87	-25.25	21.70	19.06	-12.52
T ₅ K @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	148.0	44.0	313.0	26.10	19.25	-26.42	48.07	45.62	-5.09	18.88	15.87	-15.94
T ₆ Gypsum @ 250 kg ha ⁻¹	156.0	27.0	376.0	26.63	20.50	-23.01	41.45	35.00	-15.56	20.76	17.75	-14.49
T ₇ NP	176.0	37.0	401.0	28.56	28.43	-0.46	45.58	43.12	-5.39	23.70	24.31	+2.57
T ₈ NPK	191.0	45.0	310.0	29.40	24.68	-16.05	55.68	48.12	-13.57	21.43	18.75	-12.50
T ₉ NPK+G	166.0	44.0	270.0	33.83	30.62	-9.48	50.40	48.75	-3.27	24.58	24.56	-0.08
T ₁₀ NPK+L	182.0	44.0	385.0	32.62	33.20	+1.16	52.52	53.31	+1.50	27.27	28.31	+3.81
T ₁₁ NPK+G+ZnSO ₄	205.0	45.0	409.0	37.62	33.00	-2.28	57.12	51.18	-10.39	31.31	24.62	
G M	172.63	40.0	328.6	29.65	25.20		47.64	44.09		23.35	20.55	
S.Em±	12.15	2.80	12.36	1.07	1.08		1.53	1.18		1.23	0.73	
CD (P=0.05)	35.09	8.20	35.71	3.08	3.12		4.42	3.41		3.56	2.13	

Table 2: P fractions like Ca-P, organic-P and total P (mg kg^{-1}) as influenced by long-term application of manure and fertilizers before sowing and at harvest and also increase or decrease (%) at 0-15 cm depth

Treatments	Ca-P		Increase or decrease (%)	Organic P		Increase or decrease (%)	Total P		Increase or decrease (%)	Available P		Increase or decrease (%)
	Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest	
T ₁ Control	14.20	17.87	+25.84	40.75	43.08	+6.33	131.86	127.08	-3.62	28.00	21.00	-25.00
T ₂ FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ (once in 3 years)	20.20	15.43	-23.61	54.50	56.80	+4.22	175.47	164.81	-6.07	43.00	35.00	-18.60
T ₃ N @ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	17.13	13.55	-20.89	27.25	30.65	+2.47	167.97	156.17	-7.02	37.00	31.00	-16.21
T ₄ P @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	17.81	12.07	-32.22	43.00	45.06	+4.79	112.87	105.40	-6.61	31.00	24.00	-22.58
T ₅ K @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	16.62	13.62	-18.05	39.25	38.82	-1.09	170.00	166.13	-2.27	44.00	35.00	-20.45
T ₆ Gypsum @ 250 kg ha ⁻¹	19.01	10.62	-44.13	34.25	37.51	+9.51	140.32	131.27	-6.44	27.00	23.00	-14.81
T ₇ NP	19.70	15.63	-20.65	51.00	53.65	+5.91	160.41	158.65	-1.09	37.00	30.00	-18.91
T ₈ NPK	18.03	16.18	-10.26	35.50	42.81	+20.59	188.11	168.98	-10.16	45.00	44.00	-2.22
T ₉ NPK+G	22.01	20.25	-7.99	48.75	53.08	+8.88	176.03	167.22	-5.00	44.00	40.00	-9.09
T ₁₀ NPK+L	19.97	16.37	-18.02	47.50	51.07	+7.51	180.41	170.83	-5.31	44.00	44.00	0
T ₁₁ NPK+G+ZnSO ₄	21.43	18.58	-13.29	42.22	44.25	+4.80	192.78	180.52	-6.35	45.00	45.00	0
G M	18.73	15.84		42.25	45.16	-	163.29	154.27	-	40.00	33.80	-
S.Em±	0.70	0.66		0.89	0.93	-	8.19	5.46	-	2.80	3.40	-
CD (P=0.05)	2.02	1.92		2.57	2.71	-	23.67	15.79	-	8.20	9.90	-

Table 3: P fractions like Al-P, Fe-P, occluded P (mg kg^{-1}) as influenced by long-term application of manure and fertilizers before sowing and at harvest and also increase or decrease (%) at 15-30 cm depth

Treatments	Al-P		Increase or decrease (%)	Fe-P		Increase or decrease (%)	Occluded P		Increase or decrease (%)	Ca-P		Increase or decrease (%)
	Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest	
T ₁ Control	16.91	15.62	-7.60	39.60	35.75	-9.72	15.92	13.75	-13.63	12.57	18.62	+48.13
T ₂ FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ (once in 3 years)	27.71	22.50	-18.80	45.25	41.87	-7.46	25.06	19.75	-21.18	19.35	17.81	-7.95
T ₃ N @ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	25.20	21.25	-15.67	42.31	40.00	-5.45	19.33	15.50	-19.81	16.82	14.88	-11.50
T ₄ P @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	27.33	19.06	-30.25	32.27	30.00	-7.03	19.96	18.06	-9.51	18.92	14.25	-24.68
T ₅ K @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	21.92	18.37	-16.19	43.92	41.87	-4.66	17.97	15.50	-13.74	15.76	15.50	-1.64
T ₆ Gypsum @ 250 kg ha ⁻¹	22.90	19.37	-15.41	37.63	34.06	-9.48	18.88	16.75	-11.28	18.88	14.37	-23.88
T ₇ NP	25.75	26.56	+3.14	41.06	39.16	-4.62	21.07	18.81	-10.72	19.58	17.25	-11.89
T ₈ NPK	24.58	22.50	-8.46	48.07	44.06	-8.34	23.45	19.06	-18.72	20.26	17.00	-16.09
T ₉ NPK+G	31.32	27.81	-11.20	45.33	45.62	+0.63	24.33	23.00	-5.46	22.72	20.56	-9.50
T ₁₀ NPK+L	28.57	29.68	+3.88	47.07	47.50	+0.91	22.20	25.25	+13.73	16.62	18.18	+9.38
T ₁₁ NPK+G+ZnSO ₄	31.90	28.12	-11.84	49.95	45.93	-8.04	27.02	20.00	-25.98	21.07	19.37	-8.06
G M	25.82	22.80		42.95	40.52		21.38	18.67		18.41	16.69	
S.Em±	0.79	0.50		1.12	0.91		0.93	0.90		0.75	0.62	
CD (P=0.05)	2.30	1.44		3.25	2.63		2.69	2.62		2.17	1.79	

Table 4: P fractions like organic P, total P and available P (mg kg⁻¹) as influenced by long-term application of manure and fertilizers before sowing and at harvest and also increase or decrease (%) at 15-30 cm depth

Treatments	Organic P		Increase or decrease (%)	Total P		Increase or decrease (%)	Available P		Increase or decrease (%)
	Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest		Before sowing	At harvest	
T ₁ Control	33.00	40.60	+23.00	116.83	111.42	-4.63	27.00	23.00	-14.81
T ₂ FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ (once in 3 years)	51.25	52.42	+2.28	170.96	159.95	-6.44	33.00	27.00	-18.18
T ₃ N @ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	25.25	26.30	+4.15	157.28	148.26	-5.73	32.00	28.00	-12.50
T ₄ P @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	41.00	44.70	+9.02	106.72	101.97	-4.45	27.00	33.00	+22.22
T ₅ K @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	37.00	35.50	-4.05	161.50	163.15	+1.02	31.00	28.00	-9.67
T ₆ Gypsum @ 250 kg ha ⁻¹	32.00	34.70	+8.43	131.13	125.17	-4.54	28.00	36.00	+28.57
T ₇ NP	48.00	50.63	+5.47	155.83	152.71	-2.00	31.00	31.00	0
T ₈ NPK	38.25	40.06	+4.73	177.26	166.40	-6.12	40.00	33.00	-17.50
T ₉ NPK+G	46.50	48.95	+5.26	173.02	166.16	-3.96	40.00	31.00	-22.50
T ₁₀ NPK+L	44.25	48.12	+8.74	175.67	167.56	-4.61	40.00	27.00	-32.50
T ₁₁ NPK+G+ZnSO ₄	39.75	42.00	+5.66	185.47	175.77	-5.22	41.00	33.00	-19.51
G M	39.65	42.18		155.60	148.95		33.63	30.00	
S.Em±	0.84	0.59		5.32	6.83		6.31	5.50	
CD (P=0.05)	2.43	1.70		15.38	19.74		NS	NS	

Table 5: Correlation coefficient of different phosphorus fractions before sowing and harvest at 0-15 cm depth with available P and total P

P fractions	r values (0-15 cm) depth	
	Before sowing	At harvest
Al-P vs Available P	0.450**	0.346*
Fe-P vs Available P	0.505**	0.472**
O-P vs Available P	0.227	0.362*
Ca-P vs Available P	0.263	0.199
Organic P vs Available P	0.086	0.220
Total P vs Available P	0.206	0.540**
Al-P vs Total P	0.354*	0.383*
Fe-P vs Total P	0.551**	0.683**
O-P vs Total P	0.423**	0.466**
Ca-P vs Total P	0.297	0.370*
Organic P vs Total P	0.140	0.302*

** Significant at 1% level * significant at 5% level

Table 6: Multiple linear regression between P fractions with available P and total P before sowing and at harvest (0-15 cm) depth

P fractions	Before sowing		At harvest	
	Available P	Total P	Available P	Total P
Al-P	0.173	0.094***	0.833	0.002**
Fe-P	0.095***	0.001*	0.595	0.000*
O-P	0.252	0.062***	0.867	0.008***
Ca-P	0.877	0.958	0.562	0.550
Organic P	0.646	0.651	0.814	0.463
Total P	0.818	-	0.067***	-
Available P	-	0.818	-	0.067***

R² = 0.311 R² = 0.399 R² = 0.328 R² = 0.650

Before sowing * significant at 1% level, ** significant at 5% level, *** significant at 10% level

Available P = -5.186+0.925 (Al-P)+0.632(Fe-P)-0.799(O-P)+0.139(Ca-P)+0.129(organic P)-0.018(total P);

Total P = 45.240 -2.35(Al-P)+2.42(Fe-P)+2.67(O-P)+0.098(Ca-P)+0.264(organic P) -0.079 (Available P);

At harvest

Available P = -16.74+0.16 (Al-P)+0.274(Fe-P)+0.170(O-P)-0.428(Ca-P)+0.076(organic P)+0.223(total P);

Total P = 33.41 -2.92(Al-P)+2.63(Fe-P)+3.41(O-P)+0.58(Ca-P)-0.315(organic P) +0.392 (Available P).

References

1. Babu KG, Munaswamy V, Padma Raju A. Effect of long-

term application of manure and fertilizers on yield and yield attributes rainfed groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). Journal of Oilseeds Research 2007;24(2):326-327.

2. Chang SC, Jackson ML. Fractionation of soil phosphorus. Soil Science 1957;84:133-144.

3. Devra P, Yadav SR, Gulati IJ. Distribution of different phosphorus fractions and their relationship with soil properties in western plain of Rajasthan. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2014;24(01):20-28.

4. Fan J, Hao MD, Wang YG. Effects of rotation and fertilization on soil fertility on upland of Loess Plateau. Research of soil water conservation 2003;10(1):31-36.

5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi 1973.

6. Jun W, Liu wen-zhao, han-feng MU, Dang ting-hui. Inorganic phosphorus fractions and phosphorus availability in a calcareous soil receiving 21-year superphosphate application. Pedosphere 2010;20(3):304-310.

7. Lai L, Hao MD, Peng LF. The variation of soil phosphorus of long-term continuous cropping and management on Loess Plateau. Research of Soil Water Conservation 2003;10(1):68-70.

8. Olsen SR, Sommers LE. Phosphorus. 403-430. In A.L. Page (ed.), Methods of soil analysis, Agron, No.9, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological properties. 2nd edition, American Society Agron, Madison, WI, USA 1982.

9. Rajani AV, Patil DV, Shobhana HK, Naria JN, Golakiya BA. Dynamics of osphorus fractions in a calcareous vertic haplusteps under AICRP-LTFE soils. An Asian Journal of Soil Science 2010;5(1):3-89.

10. Saunders WMH, Williams EG. Observations on the determination of total organic phosphorus in soil. Journal of Soil Science 1955;6:254-267.

11. Sharpley AN, Sims JT, Pierzynski GM. Innovative soil phosphorus availability indices. Assessing inorganic phosphorus. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, USA, 1994,115-142.

12. Zamuner EC, Picone LI, Diez AB. Effect of long-term phosphorus fertilization on soil phosphorus fractions. Spanish Journal of Soil Science 2012;2(2):50-61.