www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; SP-10(4): 356-357 © 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 11-02-2021 Accepted: 20-03-2021

S Haloi

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

L Borah

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

R Bhuvan

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

BN Saikia

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

M Requib

Department of Livestock Product Technology, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

CP Divit

Department of Animal Reproduction, Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati. Assam. India

CD Singh

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

Corresponding Author: S Haloi

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

Effect of feeding complete feed block and total mixed ration on milk quality in crossbred lactating cows

S Haloi, L Borah, R Bhuyan, BN Saikia, M Requib, CP Dixit and CD Singh

Abstract

The present study was undertaken to investigate the effect of feeding complete feed block and total mixed ration on quality of milk. Eighteen crossbred (HF x Jr) lactating cows were divided into three groups (n=6). Animals of group T_0 (control) were provided roughage and concentrate separately and complete feed block and total mixed ration were fed to the animals of T_1 and T_2 groups, respectively. System of feeding did not affect the milk quality in terms of titratable acidity, specific gravity, pH and total viable count.

Keywords: Complete feed block, milk quality, total mixed ration, total viable count

Introduction

In developing countries like India, where availability of feeds and fodder is low, complete feeding system is a boost to livestock production. Complete feed not only uses low grade roughages and crop by product but also improves their utilisation. Complete feed in their different forms such as total mixed ration (TMR), complete feed block (CFB) and pellets have been used by many researchers (Lailer *et al.*, 2005, Khan *et al.*,2010) ^[1, 2]. It has been observed that feeding of complete diet as TMR and CFB has been beneficial for improving milk quality (Sarker *et al.*, 2019) ^[3]. It is important to determine the quality of milk as it decides its acceptability. System of feeding may have some effect on quality of milk as nutrition also plays an important role in determining qualitative characteristics of milk. Therefore, present study has been carried out to ascertain the effect of feeding CFB and TMR on qualitative characteristics of milk.

Materials and Methods

Ethical statement

The present study was carried out according to the guidelines set by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati.

Experimental procedure

Eighteen crossbred lactating cows (HF x Jr) were allocated to three groups (T₀, T₁ and T₂) with six animals in each group at the Instructional Livestock Cattle Farm, ILF(C), College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati. The experiment was conducted for 90 days. Animals in group T₀ (control) were maintained on separate feeding of roughage and concentrate (60:40) whereas in T₁ and T₂ groups CFB and TMR were provided to the animals. The compositions of the three rations were the same except for the use of molasses as binder at the level 10% in CFB. The estimated nutritive values of the experimental rations have been presented in Table 1. Effects of the feeding systems were observed in terms of milk quality. Milk sample was collected from the experimental animal at 15 days intervals for analysis of titratable acidity, specific gravity and pH. The total viable count (TVC) was investigated on 0 day and 90th day. The titratable acidity of milk was determined as per the method of (Atherton and Newlander 1977) ^[4]. The specific gravity of milk was determined by the method of (Eckles *et al.*, 1957) ^[5]. Milk pH was determined by using microprocessor pH meter. The TVC was analysed using pour plate technique. SAS 9.3 ^[6] software was used for analysis of the experimental data.

Table 1: Average estimated nutritive value of the experimental rations

Particulars	T ₀ (Control)	T ₁ (CFB)	T ₂ (TMR)
DCP (%)	7.37	7.82	8.00
TDN (%)	76.03	77.47	79.08

Results and Discussions

The average values of titratable acidity, specific gravity, pH and TVC have been presented in Table 2. The titratable acidity in milk of the experimental animals was 0.17 percent in all three groups i.e., T_0 , T_1 and T_2 groups. Hence, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) among the experimental groups in terms of titratable acidity. The values were found to be in normal range of 0.10 to 0.17 percent (BIS 1960) [7]. Hussain (2018) [8] conducted an experiment in crossbred cows to study effect of different feeding systems on milk quality where he found that feeding of TMR did not alter the titratable acidity.

The pH of milk of the experimental animals was 6.59, 6.57 and 6.59 in T_0 , T_1 and T_2 groups, respectively. Statistical

analysis showed non-significant (P>0.05) difference among the experimental groups in terms of pH. Fresh cow milk generally has pH in the range of 6.5 to 6.7 (Marouf and Sara 2018) [9].

The specific gravity of milk of the experimental animals was found to be 1.032, 1.034 and 1.034 in T_0 , T_1 and T_2 groups, respectively. It was revealed that differences among the experimental groups in terms of specific gravity did not attain statistical significance (P>0.05). Normal milk seldom has a specific gravity below 1.03 (Kanwal *et al.*, 2004) [10]. The findings of the present investigation are in good agreement with Hussain (2018) [8] who did not observe significant difference in terms of specific gravity of milk in cows fed total mixed ration and conventional diet.

The values for the TVC were comparable among the groups which were 4.84, 4.81 and 4.82 Log₁₀ cfu/ml for T_0 , T_1 and T_2 groups, respectively. Values obtained were lower than (Khan *et al.*, 2008, Nanu *et al.*, 2007) [11, 12]. The effect of feeding complete diet on TVC has not been observed yet.

Table 2: Average titratable acidity (%), pH, specific gravity and total viable count (Log 10 cfu/ml) of the experimental groups

Parameters	Experimental group			SEM	P Value
rarameters	T ₀ (control)	T ₁ (CFB)	$T_2(TMR)$	SEM	rvalue
Titratable acidity (%)	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.02	0.876
рН	6.59	6.57	6.59	0.01	0.823
Specific gravity	1.032	1.034	1.034	0.00	0.324
Total viable count (Log 10 cfu/ml)	4.84	4.81	4.82	0.02	0.186

cfu, Colony forming unit

Conclusion

From the result obtained it can be inferred that feeding of CFB and TMR did not alter the normal quality of milk. Values of the quality parameters were in acceptable range. Therefore, CFB and TMR can be adopted as an alternative to the separate feeding of roughage and concentrate in conventional way for lactating crossbred cows.

References

- Lailer PC, Dahiya SS, Chauhan TR. Complete feed for livestock concept, present status and future trend: A review. Indian Journal of Animal Science 2005;75(1):84-91.
- 2. Khan SR, Singhl SK, Mudgal V. Effect of feeding complete rations on the performance of lactating crossbred cows. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition 2010;27(3):261-264.
- 3. Sarker NR, Yeasmin D, Habib MA, Tabassum F. Feeding effect of total mixed ration on milk yield, nutrient intake, digestibility and rumen environment in Red Chittagong Cows. Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research 2019;5(1):71-77.
- 4. Atherton HV, Newlander JA. Chemistry of testing dairy products. Fourth edition, The AVI Publishing company, INC, Wesport, Connecticut 1977.
- Eckles CH, WB Combs, H Macy. Milk and milk products, 4th Edn. McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc., NY, USA 1957;12:988-1120.
- SAS 9.3 software. SAS institute Inc., Cary, N.C., USA 2012.
- 7. BIS. Indian Standard Methods of Test for Dairy Industry. Part I Rapid Examination of Milk (IS 1479 (Part I): 1960) 1960.
- 8. Hussain J. Effect of feeding practices on the performance

- of crossbred cows, Ph.D. Thesis, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati 22 2018.
- 9. Marouf A, Sara IE. Monitoring pH During Pasteurization of Raw Cow's Milk using Nd: YAG Laser. International Journal of Advanced Research in Physical Science (IJARPS) 2018;4(12):1-4.
- 10. Kanwal R, Ahmed T, Mirza B. Comparative analysis of quality of milk collected from buffalo, cow, goat and sheep of Rawalpindi/Islamabad region in Pakistan. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 2004;3(3):300-305.
- 11. Khan MTG, Zinnah, MA, Siddique MP, Rashid MHA, Islam MA, Choudhury KA. Physical and microbial qualities of raw milk collected from Bangladesh agricultural university dairy farm and the surrounding villages. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine 2008;6(2):217-221.
- 12. Nanu E, Latha C, Sunil B, Prejit TM, Menon KV. Quality assurance and public health safety of raw milk at the production point. American Journal of Food Technology 2007;2(3):145-152.