# The Pharma Innovation 

ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: $\mathbf{5 . 2 3}$
TPI 2021; SP-10(12): 428-430 © 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 13-10-2021
Accepted: 15-11-2021
Sridevi B
Associate Professor, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

## Theja Sri J

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

[^0]
# Pre and post weaning performance of broiler rabbits 

Sridevi B and Theja Sri J


#### Abstract

Selective breeding of rabbits for body weights and litter size at birth is practised to improve the production. Data on 899 bunnies belonging to New Zealand White (NZW), Flemish Giant (FG), Soviet Chinchilla (SC), Grey Giant (GG), Californian White (CW), APAU Black (BL) and Fawn (FN) were analyzed to assess the influence of genetic groups on pre weaning and post weaning body weights and litter traits. The overall least square mean body weights at birth, 1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks of age were $49.776 \pm 0.917,114.82 \pm 2.691,163.293 \pm 3.999,229.504 \pm 7.470,338.944 \pm 12.955$, respectively and at 6,8 , $10,12,14$ and 16 weeks of age were $691.060 \pm 20.676,935.953 \pm 24.863,1164.426 \pm 27.859$, $1369.319 \pm 32.464,1567.659 \pm 39.089$ and $1841.987 \pm 51.090 \mathrm{~g}$ respectively. There was a significant effect of genetic groups on body weights at different pre weaning and post weaning ages and on litter traits of rabbits.
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## 1. Introduction

Rabbits are considered to have major contribution in the supply of animal protein factor to the mankind. Their commercial production is encouraged owing to their high prolificacy, early maturity, rapid growth rate, high genetic potential for selection, limited competition with humans for similar foods and high-quality nutritious meat ${ }^{[1]}$. Rabbits are medium size hopping animals with long legs, long ears, a short tail and they require small compartments unlike cattle and other small ruminants which require a large area of land ${ }^{[2]}$. They are noiseless and easy to manage. Rabbits utilise unusable vegetation material, residue from crop production, organic wastes from the food industry and domestic waste, converting these into rich high protein nutrition for human consumption ${ }^{[2]}$. Rabbits are largely kept by limited-resourced farmers who maintain small scale operations with the aim of producing meat and income. Rabbit meat is almost cholesterol free and is therefore most favourable for heart patients ${ }^{[3]}$. The sodium, calcium and phosphorous contents of rabbit meat are comparatively less than other meats ${ }^{[4]}$. The ratio of meat to bone ratio is high meaning there is more edible meat on the carcass than even a chicken. Rabbits contain selenium that works as an anti-oxidant to remove free radicals before they can do harm to the human body. Some types of cancer, as well as ravages of aging people can be battled with selenium which is contained in rabbits ${ }^{[5]}$. On average, rabbit meat contains calcium ( $21.4 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ ), phosphorus ( $347 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ ), fat $(9.2$ $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~g})$ and cholesterol ( $56.4 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ ) ${ }^{[6]}$. For the overall improvement of the rabbit production and a profitable enterprise, the performance levels at the pre-weaning and post weaning ages need to be established for the various genetic groups under the local climatic conditions. The pre weaning and post weaning growth of few exotic breeds of rabbit under Indian condition is studied in the present investigation.

## 2. Material and Methods

Data on 45 New Zealand White (NZW), 3 Grey Giant (GG), 25 Soviet Chinchilla (SC), 28 Flemish Giant (FG), 18 Californian White (CW), 24 APAU Fawn (FN) and 13 APAU Black (BL) rabbits litters obtained during 2017-18 in the "Rabbit Production for Meat" scheme of the Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science, Hyderabad were utilized for the present study. APAU black is a synthetic breed developed by crossing NZW, GG and local white rabbits up to F3 and F4 generations. All the does were reared under standard and uniform management in galvanized iron cages. Data on body weights from birth to weaning age of four weeks at weekly intervals and at $6,8,10,12,14$ and 16 weeks of post weaning ages were recorded and were subjected to least squares analysis ${ }^{[7]}$ to study the influence of genetic groups on the performance evaluation of body weight traits and litter traits of rabbits.

## 3. Results and Discussion

There was a significant effect of genetic groups on all the preweaning and post weaning body weights and litter traits studied. The overall least-squares mean body weights at birth, $1,2,3$ and 4 weeks of age were $49.776 \pm 0.917,114.82 \pm 2.691$, $163.293 \pm 3.999,229.504 \pm 7.470,388.944 \pm 12.955$, respectively (Table 1). The overall least-squares mean body weights at 6 , $8,10,12,14$ and 16 weeks of age were $691.060 \pm 20.676$, $935.953 \pm 24.863, \quad 1164.426 \pm 27.859, \quad 1369.319 \pm 32.464$, $1567.659 \pm 39.089$ and $1841.987 \pm 51.090 \mathrm{~g}$ respectively (Table 2 ). The means of weight in seven genetic groups ranged from $47.151 \pm 0.531 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{NZW})$ to $52.611 \pm 0.699 \mathrm{~g}$ (FG), $108.8 \pm 2.134 \mathrm{~g}$ (FN) to $119.948 \pm 3.005 \mathrm{~g}$ (BL), $156.339 \pm 3.197 \mathrm{~g}$ (SC) to $174.333 \pm 7.868 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ (GG), $\quad 203.5 \pm 6.014 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ (SC) to $259.167 \pm 14.595 \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{GG}), \quad 361.091 \pm 12.159 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ (CW) to $429.5 \pm 28.514 \mathrm{~g}$ (GG) at birth, 1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks of age,
respectively while the weights at $6,8,10,12,14$ and 16 weeks of age ranged from $630.942 \pm 11.913 \mathrm{~g}$ (NZW) to $825.083 \pm 45.232 \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{GG}), \quad 840.098 \pm 19.532 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ (SC) to $1127.083 \pm 54.080 \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{GG}), \quad 1055.841 \pm 21.578 \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{SC})$ to $1370 \pm 61.032 \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{GG}), \quad 1227.581 \pm 26.034 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ (SC) to $1575 \pm 67.525 \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{GG}), \quad 1393.698 \pm 30.671 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ (SC) to $1746.286 \pm 92.014 \mathrm{~g}$ (GG) and $1701.768 \pm 39.954 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{FN})$ to $2005.333 \pm 122.063 \mathrm{~g}$ (GG), respectively. The GG ( $6.6 \pm 0.308$ ) had higher litter size and CW ( $5.635 \pm 0.157$ ) had the lower litter size at birth, while FN $(4.708 \pm 0.152)$ had the higher and GG ( $3.040 \pm 0.346$ ) had the lower litter size at weaning. FN $(260.154 \pm 23.29 \mathrm{~g})$ had the lowest and GG $(419.667 \pm 48.483 \mathrm{~g})$ had the highest litter weight at birth, where as BL $(1783.923 \pm 202.09 \mathrm{~g})$ had the highest and SC $(1390.56 \pm 145.735 \mathrm{~g})$ had the lowest litter weight at weaning (Table 3).

Table 1: Pre weaning mean body weights (g) of rabbits

| Genetic group | At Birth |  |  | At 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ week |  |  | At $2^{\text {nd }}$ week |  |  | At 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ week |  |  | At 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean | SE | n | Mean | SE | n | Mean | SE | n | Mean | SE | n | Mean | SE |
| NZW | 272 | $47.151^{\text {c }}$ | 0.531 | 214 | $110.435^{\text {bc }}$ | 1.565 | 196 | $156.485^{\text {c }}$ | 2.384 | 191 | $216.230^{\text {cd }}$ | 4.480 | 175 | $388.440^{\text {ab }}$ | 7.467 |
| FG | 157 | $52.611^{\text {a }}$ | 0.699 | 134 | $115.649^{\text {abc }}$ | 1.977 | 130 | $166.715^{\text {abc }}$ | 2.928 | 126 | $238.032^{\text {abc }}$ | 5.516 | 118 | $385.839^{\text {ab }}$ | 9.093 |
| SC | 151 | $49.219^{\text {bc }}$ | 0.712 | 121 | $111.884^{\text {abc }}$ | 2.081 | 109 | $156.339^{\text {c }}$ | 3.197 | 106 | $203.500^{\text {d }}$ | 6.014 | 95 | $365.937^{\text {b }}$ | 10.134 |
| GG | 25 | $50.360{ }^{\text {ab }}$ | 1.751 | 18 | $118.778^{\text {ab }}$ | 5.395 | 18 | $174.333^{\text {a }}$ | 7.868 | 18 | $259.167^{\text {a }}$ | 14.595 | 12 | $429.500^{\text {a }}$ | 28.514 |
| CW | 96 | $50.583{ }^{\text {ab }}$ | 0.894 | 73 | $118.247^{\text {ab }}$ | 2.679 | 70 | $157.743^{\text {c }}$ | 3.990 | 68 | $245.000^{\text {ab }}$ | 7.509 | 66 | $361.091^{\text {b }}$ | 12.159 |
| BL | 68 | $50.500^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | 1.062 | 58 | $119.948^{\text {a }}$ | 3.005 | 56 | $171.357^{\text {ab }}$ | 4.461 | 56 | $224.054^{\text {bcd }}$ | 8.275 | 54 | $429.463^{\text {a }}$ | 13.442 |
| FN | 130 | $48.008^{\text {bc }}$ | 0.768 | 115 | $108.800^{\text {c }}$ | 2.134 | 111 | $160.081^{\text {bc }}$ | 3.168 | 110 | $220.545^{\text {bcd }}$ | 5.904 | 100 | $362.340^{\text {b }}$ | 9.878 |
| Overall | 899 | 49.776 | 0.917 | 733 | 114.82 | 2.691 | 690 | 163.293 | 3.999 | 675 | 229.504 | 7.470 | 620 | 388.944 | 12.955 |

Means with same superscript(s) do not differ significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ )
Table 2: Post weaning mean body weights (g) of rabbits

| Genetic group | At $6^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  | At $8^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  | At 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  | At 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  | At $14^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  | At 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ week |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean | SE | n | Mean | SE | n | Mean | SE | n | Mea | SE | n | M | SE | n | Mea | SE |
| NZW | 17 | $630.942^{\text {c }}$ | 11.913 | 169 | $875.473^{\text {cd }}$ | 14.4 | 165 | $1,112.558^{\text {cd }}$ | 15.758 | 31 | 1,273.847 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 19.567 | 2 | 1,49 | 21.862 | 16 | $3^{\text {c }}$ | 27 |
| FG | 115 | 69 | 14.611 | 115 | 93 | 17.4 |  | $1,177.730^{\text {bc }}$ | 19.213 | 95 | 1,425.947 | 22.977 | 86 | 1,682 | , 6 | 80 | $962.700^{\text {ab }}$ | 33.428 |
| SC | 94 | $638.426^{\text {c }}$ | 16.16 | 92 | 840.098 | 19.5 | 88 | $1,055.841^{\text {d }}$ | 21.578 | 74 | 1,227. | 26. | 63 | 1,393. | . 6 | 62 | 1,703.113 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 37 |
|  | 12 | 825.0 | 45.232 | 12 | 1,127.0 | 54.080 | 11 | $1,370^{\text {a }}$ | 61.032 | 11 | 1,575 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 67.525 | 7 | 1,746.28 | 92.014 | 6 | 2,005.333 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 122.063 |
| CW | 63 | $669.825^{\text {c }} 1$ | 19.741 | 62 | $877.613^{\text {cd }}$ | 23.792 | 60 | $1,092.133^{\text {cd }}$ | 26.132 | 55 | 1,290.000 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30.198 | 54 | 1,495.22 | 33.129 | 51 | $1,805.235^{\text {bc }}$ | 41 |
|  | 5 | $741.426^{\text {b }} 2$ | 21.323 | 53 | 1,018 | 25.733 | 46 | $1,229.696^{\text {b }}$ | 29.845 | 42 | 1,477.26 | 4.55 | 38 | 1,676.6 | 9.4 | 30 | $1,956.967^{\text {ab }}$ | 54. |
| FN | 99 | $637.424^{\text {c }}$ | 15.748 | 97 | $878.639^{\text {cd }}$ | 19.021 | 89 | 1,113.02 | 21.456 | 72 | 1,315.59 | 26.393 | 65 | 1,482.36 | 30.1 | 56 | 1,701.768 | 39.954 |
| Overall | 61 | 691.06 |  |  | 935.953 |  |  | 1164.426 |  |  | 1369.319 | 32.464 |  | 1567.659 | . |  | 1841.987 | 51.090 |

Means with same superscript(s) do not differ significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ )
Table 3: Litter traits of rabbits

| Genetic group | Litter size at birth |  | Litter size at weaning |  | Litter weight at birth |  | Litter weight at weaning |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| NZW | $6.316^{\text {ab }}$ | 0.093 | $4.040^{\text {bc }}$ | 0.105 | $278.804^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 12.381 | $1,477.761^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 107.437 |
| FG | $5.994^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | 0.123 | $4.274^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | 0.138 | $295.000^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 15.870 | $1,626.036^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 137.706 |
| SC | $6.454^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | 0.125 | $3.763^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | 0.140 | $297.280^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 16.795 | $1,390.560^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 145.735 |
| GG | $6.600^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.308 | $3.040^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.346 | $419.667^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 48.483 | $1,718.000^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 420.700 |
| CW | $5.635^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.157 | $3.667^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.176 | $285.647^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 20.367 | $1,401.882^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 176.729 |
| BL | $5.926^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | 0.186 | $4.618^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.210 | $264.154^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 23.290 | $1,783.923^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 202.098 |
| FN | $6.092^{\text {abc }}$ | 0.135 | $4.708^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.152 | $260.042^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 17.141 | $1,509.750^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 148.740 |

Means with same superscript(s) do not differ significantly $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05)$

The results obtained were in accordance with the published literature which revealed more or less similar body weights at birth and first week of age in different genetic groups and the body weights observed at birth, 1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks of age were in accordance with the published literature ranging from 47.77 to $66.5,88.84$ to $106.2,142.86$ to $175.35,209.54$ to 296.31 and 294.88 to 527.92 g respectively ${ }^{[8,9,10]}$. GG rabbits had the highest body weight at post weaning ages and a similar result was recorded in a study on effect of genetic factors on post weaning body weights of rabbits ${ }^{[11]}$. The
significant effect of genetic groups on post weaning body weights at different ages and fawn having the lowest litter weight at birth were in accordance with literature studied on post weaning performance in rabbits ${ }^{[12]}$. The litter weights at birth and weaning and body weights at different pre and post weaning ages are higher in FG when compared to NZW which are similar to previous findings in a study on performance of rabbits ${ }^{[13]}$.

## 4. Conclusion

Grey Giant rabbits recorded significantly higher body weights at different pre and post weaning ages while bunnies of Soviet Chinchilla breeds recorded significantly lower body weights at post weaning ages. To conclude, the pre-weaning and post weaning growth traits and litter traits are significantly influenced by the genetic group. Hence, selection of appropriate genetic group would go a long way in a more profitable enterprise. Higher litter size, litter weight and weaning body weights were observed in Grey Giant indicating its better feed efficiency and recommended for crossing to improve the body weights of other breeds.
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