www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(12): 180-182 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 02-09-2021

Accepted: 03-10-2021

## A Nithya Devi

Horticultural College and Research Institute for Women, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

#### V Ponnuswami

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

## K Kumanan

Vegetable Research Station, Palur, Tamil Nadu, India

### Corresponding Author: A Nithya Devi Horticultural College and Research Institute for Women, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

## Effect of post-harvest dips and packing treatments on Shelf life of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.)

## A Nithya Devi, V Ponnuswami and K Kumanan

## Abstract

The present study was taken up primarily with a view to evaluate the effects of dipping the fruits with different chemicals and the packing treatments on the storage behaviour of papaya cv. Co-7 under ambient condition. Among the various post harvest dips tried, the fruits treated with GA<sub>3</sub> recorded the lowest Post harvest loss in weight (11.9%) was observed on 9<sup>th</sup> DAS. At the end of storage, the maximum TSS (15.8 °B) was observed in Kinetin treated fruits. The untreated fruits were infected by disease on 7<sup>th</sup> DAS making it unfit for further evaluation. At the end of storage GA<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest ascorbic acid content (36.81 mg/100g). Among the packing treatments the lowest Post harvest loss in weight was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (vacuum packing). Among the various packing materials used for assessing the storage behaviour, vacuum packed fruits (350 guage polythene bags) showed the best results with prolonged shelf life (20 days) and acceptable TSS, total sugars, titrable acidity and ascorbic acid of papaya fruits. The shelf life of papaya cv. Co-7 was significantly influenced by packing.

Keywords: Papaya, post harvest dips, packaging, shelf life, quality parameters

## Introduction

In fruits like papaya, postharvest metabolic changes are of particular importance because the fruits are harvested at unripe and inedible stage and the quality of fruits ultimately depends upon the postharvest handling and storage methods. A proper understanding of the morphological, physiological and biochemical changes that occur in the fruit during ripening is essential for the development of good storage techniques (Ndubizu, 1985)<sup>[5]</sup>. Reduction of losses during post harvest handling is as good as increasing the production. Storage of papaya fruits at low temperature and controlled atmospheric storage is limited as they are susceptible to chilling injury and involvement of huge capital cost respectively. Packing is one of the factors that influence indirectly the quality of fruits. Adequate packaging protects the produce from physiological and pathological and more important from physical deterioration in the marketing channels retaining its attractiveness. The present study aims at increasing the shelf life and quality of papaya cv. Co-7 by standardizing the postharvest dip along with packing. This study would help to identify Co-7 as an export variety, which has excellent taste and flavour.

## **Materials and Methods**

Fruits used in the study were collected from the orchard of Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. In all the experiments, uniform sized mature fruits free from bruises or blemishes were used. The selected fruits were washed in running water and shade dried after smearing with paper on the fruit surface. Washed papaya fruits were gently dipped in  $GA_3$  40 ppm, kinetin 10 ppm, calcium nitrate 1% and calcium chloride 1% separately for five minutes, shade dried and stored in ambient conditions under room temperature. 300 guage thick polythene bags were used both for vacuum packing and packing without vents. The treated fruits were stored under ambient conditions at room temperature. The observations were recorded at regular intervals of every five days for physical and biochemical changes. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design with five treatments in three replications. The best performing chemical or growth regulator was selected and the fruits were treated with the same and then packed in polythene covers either without vents or vacuum packed.

## **Results and Discussion**

Among the postharvest treatments, the lowest PLW (11.9%) was observed in  $T_3$  (GA<sub>3</sub>) on 9<sup>th</sup> DAS which is on par with  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$  and the highest PLW (20.20) in fruits under control (Table 1). At the end of storage, the maximum TSS (15.8 °B) was observed in  $T_4$  (Kinetin) which is on par with  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ . The untreated fruits were infected by disease on 7<sup>th</sup> DAS making it unfit for further evaluation (Table 2).

The ascorbic acid shows a positive trend with increase in storage life. The postharvest treatments significantly influenced the ascorbic acid content. At the end of storage  $T_3$  (GA<sub>3</sub>) recorded the highest Ascorbic acid content (36.81 mg/100g) which is on par with  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_4$ . The lowest PLW (0, 0, 2.3, 5.12 and 6.89%) was recorded in  $T_1$  (vacuum packing) on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> DAS respectively. The shelf life of papaya cv. Co-7 was significantly influenced by packing (Table 3). Vacuum packing ( $T_1$ ) recorded highest shelf life of 20 days followed by  $T_2$  (12 days) while the unpacked fruits registered the lowest shelf life (9 days).

The observations on the effect of packing treatments on TSS, total sugars, titrable acidity and ascorbic acid of Co-7 papaya were furnished in table 4. There is no significant difference among the packing with regard to TSS, total sugars, titrable acidity and ascorbic acid of papaya fruits.

In the present study application of GA<sub>3</sub> possibly had retarded the production of ethylene in the tissue having a direct effect with the biochemical changes involved in the process of ripening. This corroborates with the findings of Gautam amd Chundawat (1990) <sup>[3]</sup>, Butani and Joshi (1993) <sup>[2]</sup>, Arparia (1994) <sup>[1]</sup> and Patel *et al.* (1995) <sup>[6]</sup>. The PLW was minimum in GA<sub>3</sub> treatment because it slows down the diffusion of free water from the tissue there by decreased metabolism. Fruits treated with GA<sub>3</sub> recorded extended shelf life. This was thought to be the consequence of slow ripening changes by GA<sub>3</sub> treatment because of its anti senescent property of GA<sub>3</sub>. GA<sub>3</sub> treated fruits showed maximum TSS and ascorbic acid. This might be due to GA<sub>3</sub> slowed down the activity of oxidizing enzymes like ascorbic acid oxidase, peroxidase and catalase during storage. Similar findings were reported by Singh (1988) <sup>[7]</sup> with GA<sub>3</sub> spray in mango.

 $O_2$  acts as co-substrate in ethylene biosynthesis pathway. In vacuum packing no  $O_2$  will be left inside the pack and also there won't be any diffusion or exchange of gas between the fruit and atmosphere. Hence the ethylene synthesis, respiration and transpiration get slowed down. This in turn slows down the ripening and there by enhance the shelf life of papaya fruits. Thus the vacuum packaging slowed down the metabolic activity of a produce and of the micro organisms present (both spoilage and pathogenic), by limiting the  $O_2$  supply and applying an elevated level of  $CO_2$  (Gorris and Peppelenbos, 1992)<sup>[4]</sup>.

Owing to lack of planning and climatic factors a huge accumulation of papaya takes place in a particular area resulting in market glut and therefore distress sale and a substantial reduction in quality of the produce leads to waste. To overcome the problem, extended storage life can be achieved even under room temperature by treating the fruits with  $GA_3$  along with vacuum packing. This could be of economic significance in a developing country like India, where sophisticated refrigeration or cold storage facilities are not adequate and are expensive. The results obtained from the study amply illustrate that this low cost technique can be an added advantage for marketing Co-7 papaya to distant markets and as well as for export relatively at a cheaper cost.

| Treatments  |                     | PLW (               | TSS (°B)       |                     |                |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
|   | 3 <sup>rd</sup> DAS | 6 <sup>th</sup> DAS | End of storage | 6 <sup>th</sup> DAS | End of storage |
| $T_1 - 1\%$ Cacl <sub>2</sub>                         | 6.50                | 8.20                | 12.80          | 12.30               | 15.70          |
| T <sub>2</sub> - 1% Ca(No <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> | 6.90                | 8.50                | 12.50          | 12.50               | 15.50          |
| T <sub>3</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> (40 ppm)             | 5.80                | 7.60                | 11.90          | 12.20               | 15.30          |
| T <sub>4</sub> – Kinetin (10 ppm)                     | 6.70                | 8.20                | 12.50          | 12.70               | 15.80          |
| T <sub>5</sub> - Control                              | 8.20                | 13.50               | 20.21          | 15.00               | 0.00           |
| C.D (0.05)  | NS                  | 1.1663              | 1.8245         | 0.99                | 0.86           |
| S.Ed  | NS                  | 0.5234              | 0.8188         | 0.45                | 0.38           |

Table 1: Effect of postharvest treatments on Physiological loss in Weight (%) and TSS of papaya under ambient condition

Table 2: Effect of postharvest treatments on shelf life (days), disease incidence (%) and titrable acidity (%) of papaya under ambient condition

| Treatments  | Shelf life (days) | Disease incidence (%) | Titrable acidity (%) |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| $T_1 - 1\%$ Cacl <sub>2</sub>                         | 8.00              | 8.00                  | 0.091                |
| T <sub>2</sub> - 1% Ca(No <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> | 8.00              | 10.00                 | 0.100                |
| T <sub>3</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> (40 ppm)             | 9.00              | 5.00                  | 0.096                |
| T <sub>4</sub> – Kinetin (10 ppm)                     | 7.00              | 9.00                  | 0.092                |
| T <sub>5</sub> - Control                              | 6.00              | 20.00                 | 0.090                |
| C.D (0.05)  | 1.0422            | 0.9124                | NS                   |
| S.Ed  | 0.4678            | 0.4095                | NS                   |

Table 3: Effect of packing on Physiological loss in Weight (%) and shelf life of papaya under ambient condition

|   | PLW (%)             |                        |                        |                      |                         |      | Shalf life |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|------------|
| Treatments  | 3 <sup>rd</sup> DAS | 6 <sup>th</sup><br>DAS | 9 <sup>th</sup><br>DAS | 12 <sup>th</sup> DAS | 20 <sup>th</sup><br>DAS | Mean | (days)     |
| T <sub>1</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> + vacuum packaging | 0.00                | 0.00                   | 2.30                   | 5.12                 | 6.89                    | 4.77 | 20.00      |
| $T_2$ - GA <sub>3</sub> + packs with 1% vent        | 3.20                | 6.80                   | 8.25                   | 13.50                | *                       | 7.94 | 12.00      |
| T <sub>3</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> dip alone          | 6.20                | 8.50                   | 12.80                  | *                    | *                       | 9.17 | 9.00       |
| C.D (0.05)  | 4.26                | 2.57                   | 3.28                   |                      |                         |      | 2.08       |
| S.Ed  | 1.74                | 1.05                   | 1.34                   |                      |                         |      | 0.85       |

\* - fruits were unfit for further evaluation due to decay

Table 4: Effect of packing on Titrable acidity (%), ascorbic acid (mg/100g), TSS (°B) and total sugars (%) of papaya under ambient condition

| Treatments  | Titrable acidity (%) | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | TSS (°B) | Total sugars (%) |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> + vacuum packaging   | 0.091                | 37.21                   | 15.20    | 10.10            |
| T <sub>2</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> + packs with 1% vent | 0.089                | 37.50                   | 15.50    | 9.90             |
| T <sub>3</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> dip alone            | 0.092                | 36.98                   | 15.80    | 10.30            |
| C.D   | NS                   | NS                      | NS       | NS               |
| S.Ed  | NS                   | NS                      | NS       | NS               |

## References

- 1. Arparia ML. Pre-harvest factors influencing postharvest quality of tropical and subtropical fruits. Hort. Sci 1994;29(9):982-985.
- Butani AM, Joshi DL. Effect of chemicals and picking methods on ripening and storage behaviour of guava cv. Sardar. Golden Jubilee symposium. Horticultural Research changing scenario. Horticulture society of India 1993, 346.
- 3. Gautam SK, Chundawat BS. Postharvest changes in sapota cv. Kalipatti: Effect of various postharvest treatments on physico-chemical attributes. Ind. J. Hort., 1990;47(3):246-269.
- 4. Gorris LGM, Peppelenbos HW. Modified atmosphere and vacuum packaging to extend the shelf life of respiring food products. Hort. Technol 1992;2(3):303-309.
- Ndubizu TDC. TAL-Prolong as a postharvest dip for plantains. International co-operation for effective plantain and banana research. Proceedings of the third meeting, Ivory Coast, 27-31 May. Hort. Abstr 1985;57(12):10011.
- 6. Patel AB, Katrodia JS, Sachan SCP. Effect of some treatment on the quality of sapota cv. Kalipatti. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal 1995;21(1):10-15.
- 7. Singh G. Effect of calcium nitrate and plant growth regulators on the storage of Allahabad Safeda guava. Indian J. Hort 1988;45:45-50.