



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2021; 10(10): 255-260

© 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 13-08-2021

Accepted: 30-09-2021

Sudhir Pal

Department of Soil Science and
Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra
Shekhar Azad University of
Agriculture and Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

SB Pandey

Department of Soil Science and
Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra
Shekhar Azad University of
Agriculture and Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ravindra Kumar

Department of Soil Science and
Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra
Shekhar Azad University of
Agriculture and Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Devendra Singh

Department of Soil Science and
Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra
Shekhar Azad University of
Agriculture and Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Anshul Singh

Department of Soil Science and
Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra
Shekhar Azad University of
Agriculture and Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Satyaveer Singh

Department of Agronomy,
Chandra Shekhar Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:**Sudhir Pal**

Department of Soil Science and
Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra
Shekhar Azad University of
Agriculture and Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Response of phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium* inoculation on growth attributes and productivity of chickpea

Sudhir Pal, SB Pandey, Ravindra Kumar, Devendra Singh, Anshul Singh and Satyaveer Singh

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted to evaluate Phosphorus, Boron and *Rhizobium* on growth attributes and yield of chickpea during rabi season 2018-19 and 2019-20 at students instructional farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur three levels of each phosphorus (0, 60, 90) kg ha⁻¹ and boron (0, 1, 2) kg ha⁻¹ was tested with and without *rhizobium* inoculation. The experiment consists of eighteen treatments with three replications. Results of the experiment indicated that plant height, number of nodules plant⁻¹ and dry weight of nodules plant⁻¹ increased with lapse of time and also due to application of phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium* inoculation during both the years of experimentation. Maximum plant height 23.03 cm, number of nodules plant⁻¹ 16.21 and dry weight of nodules plant⁻¹ 93.47 mg were recorded with T₁₈ (P₉₀+B₂+Rh) during second year. Grain, stover and biological yield significantly increased by the use of phosphorus, boron and inoculation of *rhizobium* during both the years. Significant increase was noted with T₁₄ (60 kg P₂O₅+1kg B ha⁻¹ + *rhizobium*). Grain, yield increased from 12.40 to 19.90 Q ha⁻¹ (60.48%) and stover 17.34 - 25.94 Q ha⁻¹ (48.48%) during second year. Biological yield also increased from 29.74 Q ha⁻¹ to 44.93 Q ha⁻¹(51.08%).

Keywords: Growth attributes, yield, chickpea, phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium*, Uday

Introduction

Pulses play a pivotal role and occupy a unique position in Indian agriculture by virtue of their inherent capacity to grow on marginal lands. It is an easily available source of protein in the rural heart of India. Pulses provide significant nutritional and health benefits and are known to reduce several non-communicable diseases such as colon cancer and cardio-vascular diseases (Jukanti *et al.* 2012) [8]. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a major legume crop cultivated for its edible seeds legume of the genus *Cicer*, Tribe *Cicereae*, family *Fabaceae* (*leguminaceae*), and subfamily *Papilionaceae*. It provide protein rich diet to the vegetarian of the Indian and complement the stable cereals in the diets with proteins, essential amino acids, vitamins and minerals (Pingoliya *et al.* 2013) [27]. They contain 22-24% protein, which is almost twice the protein in wheat & thrice that of rice (Shukla *et al.* 2013) [43] and carbohydrate (61.51%), fat (4.5%) and relatively free from anti nutritional factors (Saxena, 1990) [34]. Chickpea is rich in protein content (20.47g/100g), carbohydrate (62.95g/100g), fibre (12.2g/100g), phosphorus (252mg/100g), high amount of minerals such as calcium (57mg/100g), magnesium (79mg/100g), iron (4.31mg/100g) and zinc (15mg/100g), low in fat content and most of it is polyunsaturated (Wallace *et al.* 2016) [49]. Its nomenclature in different countries is well documented as gram, chickpea, hommos, chana, chiating vetch, nakhud, nakhut, kicher, pois chice, garbarzo etc. (Malik *et al.* 2003) [12]. Chickpea has many local names: hamaz (Arab world), shimbra (Ethiopia), nohud or lablabi (Turkey), chana (India) and garbanzo (Latin America) (Muehlbauer and Tullu, 1997) [16]. It is originated in south eastern turkey (Redden *et al.* 2007) [30]. Chickpea is the second most important pulse crop after pigeon pea in the world for human diet and other uses. Chickpea plays a significant role in improving soil fertility by fixing the atmospheric nitrogen (Balai *et al.* 2017) [4].

Legumes are heavy feeder of phosphorus and less responsive to nitrogen because of their capacity to meet their own nitrogen requirement through symbiotic nitrogen fixation (kumar *et al.* 2016). Phosphorus nick named as “energy currency” is a major plant nutrient next to nitrogen in augmenting plant metabolic activity ultimately affecting the crop yield. Phosphorus deficiency can limit nodule number, leaf area, and biomass and grain development in legumes.

Symbiotic nitrogen fixation has a high P demand because the process consumes large amounts of energy (Schulze *et al.* 2006) [35] and energy generating metabolism strongly depends upon the availability of P (Plaxton, 2004) [25].

Boron significantly affected the seed yield of chickpea (Khanam *et al.* 2000) [9]. Boron deficiency limits chickpea productivity less than Zn deficiency (Ahlawat *et al.* 2007) [1]. Boron application is most important when boron concentration in soil is below 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ and crop response to boron application is higher in chickpea than in some cereals (Ahlawat *et al.* 2007) [1]. Seed yield of chickpea increased with the application of boron @ 1.5-2.5 kg ha⁻¹ (Bharti *et al.* 2002).

Rhizobium are symbiotic bacteria that facilitate formation of nodules on the roots of legume hosts, within which the bacteria fix atmospheric nitrogen into ammonia. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation is the main route for sustainable input of nitrogen into ecosystems. Chick-pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a major pulse crop in India. There is a good possibility to increase its production by exploiting better colonization of the roots and rhizosphere through application of effective nitrogen fixing bacteria to the seed or to the soil. This can minimize uses of nitrogenous fertilizer, which is very costly in this country.

Materials and Methods

Site Description: Field experiments were conducted at students instructional farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad university of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur during the rabi season 2018-19 and 2019-20. The experimental field was well drained with uniform topography and assured source of water supply through tube well.

Geographical Location: Kanpur Nagar District is situated in subtropical and semi-arid zone between 25°26' and 26°58' north latitude and 79°31' & 80°34' east longitude with an elevation of 125.8 m from sea level in the alluvial belt of indo-gangetic plains of central Uttar Pradesh in India.

Soil Status and Analysis method: The soil was, sandy loam texture having organic carbon 0.35% (by Walkley and Black, 1934) [50], pH 7.97, EC 0.36 dsm⁻¹ by (Jackson 1973) [7] available N 197.25 kg ha⁻¹, (by Subbiah and Asija 1956) [44] P₂O₅ 12.14 kg ha⁻¹ (by Olsen *et al.* 1954) [22], K₂O 265.15 kg ha⁻¹ (by Jackson 1973) [7] and B 0.33 mg ha⁻¹ (by Jackson 1973) [7] at the start of the experiment in 0 to 15 cm soil layer during 2018-19.

Use of design, variety and sowing time: The experiment was laid out factorial randomized block design with three replications. Chickpea cultivar uday was sown in row 45 cm apart on 20 November in 2018 and 21 November in 2019 in field and harvested on 10th April 2019 and 11th April in 2020 respectively.

Time and method of fertilizer and irrigation application: Full dose of nitrogen and potash were applied uniformly at the time of sowing. Phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium* were applied as per treatments. N, P, K and B were applied through urea, SSP, muriate of potash and borax respectively. The crop received two uniform irrigations (pre sowing and pre flowering time).

Recorded observation

The observations for evaluation of the treatment effects were recorded on various plant characters during the course of investigation. In the present investigation, the plants were selected randomly in each plot and tagged with a level for recording various observations on growth and yield parameters. The Plant height, number of nodules plant⁻¹, dry wt. of nodules plant⁻¹, grain, straw and biological yield were recorded following standard procedures.

Plant height (cm): The height of the plants was recorded at periodic interval of crop growth i.e. at 30 and 60 DAS of crop. The plant height was taken from base of the plant (ground level) upto the last pair of leaves in cm. The already selected plants were used for this purpose. The average height of the five selected plants were taken for the purpose at each stage.

Number of nodules: Five plants were selected randomly from each plot for counting of nodules. Plants were digging out with the help of khurpi along with adhered soil. Nodules number counted and mean value of five plants was calculated as the number of nodules per plant. Observation was recorded after 30 and 45 days of sowing.

Dry matter accumulation: This observation was taken at 30, 45 and 60 DAS. Roots of the five sampled were cut and separated. Above ground part of all five plants was weighed at physical balance. These sample plants of fresh weight were sun dried and then oven dried at 60 °C for 24 hours and weight on electric operated top balance. The dry weight of individual components was recorded and to obtain dry weight (g plant⁻¹).

Harvesting and threshing: the crop was harvested at maturity and was allowed to dry in sun. Separate bundles were made for each plot and weighted. The after drying harvest was threshed manually.

Grain yield: After threshing the grain yield from each plot was separately weighed and recorded after converting into quintals per hectare.

Stover yield: After subtracting the grain yield per plot from the total biological yield. After converting the yields into quintals per hectare, yields were recorded.

Biological yield (t ha⁻¹): Seed yield and Stover yield together were regarded as biological yield. The biological yield was calculated with the following formula:

$$\text{Biological yield} = \text{Seed yield} + \text{Stover yield}$$

Statistical analysis: The growth parameters and yields were recorded and analyzed as per Gomez and Gomez (1984) the tested at 5% level of significance to interpret the significant differences.

Results and Discussion

Growth and yields

The data pertaining to growth parameter and yield of chickpea were presented in table 1 and 2.

Results of the experiment showed that plant height, number of nodules plant⁻¹, dry weight of nodules plant⁻¹ positively influenced by the use of phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium* over their respective control. The growth parameter also increased with lapse of time. Maximum plant height 23.05 cm, number of nodules plant⁻¹ 16.21 and dry weight nodules plant⁻¹ 93.47 mg were recorded with T₁₈ (P₉₀+B₂+Rh) at 60 days during second year and minimum plant height 9.10 cm, number of nodules plant⁻¹ 8.14 and dry weight of nodules plant⁻¹ 42.78 mg recorded under T₁ (P₀+B₀) at 30 days during first year. The interaction between phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium* levels on growth attributes was not statistically significant. The growth parameters of chickpea might be increased due to essentiality of phosphorus and boron for growth and developments. Phosphorus play positive role in increasing nodule number, biomass and developments. Boron is essential micronutrient and very essential for cell division, nitrogen fixation and ultimately increased growth of chickpea. *Rhizobium* fix atmosphere nitrogen by symbiotic process and mobilize other nutrient to the plant. Since the aforesaid characters together are responsible for the growth and developments that they were improved due to application of P, B and *rhizobium*. This finding supported by Meena *et al.* (2001)^[14] Ram and Dixit (2001)^[29] Singh and Vaishya (2001)^[42], Meena *et al.* (2002)^[15], Sharma *et al.* (2002)^[36], Rajput *et al.* (2002)^[28], Nadeem *et al.* (2003)^[18], Pathak *et al.* (2003)^[23], Samiullah and Khan (2003)^[32], Satish *et al.* (2003)^[33] Sharma and Singh (2003)^[38], Meena *et al.* (2004)^[4], Shiva Kumar *et al.* (2004)^[39] Choudhary and Goswami (2005)^[5],

Kumar and Sharma (2005)^[10] Thenua *et al.* (2010)^[45], Nawange *et al.* (2011)^[20], Thenua and Ravindra (2011)^[46], Kumar *et al.* (2019)^[11] and Tripathy *et al.* (2019)^[48].

Grain, stover and biological yield significantly increased due to use of phosphorus, boron and *rhizobium*. Significantly response on yield was recorded with T₁₄ (P₆₀+B₁+Rh) over other treatments. The grain, stover and biological yield increased to the magnitude of 12.40 to 19.90 Q ha⁻¹ (60.48%) 17.34- 25.94 Q ha⁻¹ (48.48%) and 29.74- 44.93 (51.08%). The growth parameters and yield of chickpea might be increased due to essentiality of phosphorus and boron for growth and developments. Phosphorus play positive role in increasing nodule number, biomass and grain developments. Boron is essential micronutrient and very essential for cell division, nitrogen fixation and ultimately increased growth and yield of chickpea. *Rhizobium* fix atmosphere nitrogen by symbiotic process and mobilize other nutrient to the plant. Since the aforesaid characters together are responsible for the production of grain and straw and they were improved due to application of P, B and *rhizobium*. Considerable increased in grain and stover yield were observed because of the application of each fertilizer nutrients (P & B). This finding supported by Rao and shaktawat (2001)^[31], Sharma *et al.* (2002)^[36], Yadav and Rathore (2002)^[51], Nilambari *et al.* (2003)^[19], Pramanik and Singh (2003)^[26], Tripathy *et al.* (2004)^[47], Bhat *et al.* (2005)^[2], Sharma and Rana (2006)^[37], Singh and Yadav (2008)^[41], Pawar *et al.* (2009)^[24], Nawange *et al.* (2011)^[20], Singh and Singh (2012)^[40], Murari *et al.* (2013)^[17], Neenu *et al.* (2014)^[21] and Kumar *et al.* (2019)^[11].

Table 1: Effect of treatments on growth attributes of chickpea crop

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				No. of nodules plant ⁻¹				Dry wt. of nodules at plant ⁻¹			
	30 DAS		60 DAS		30 DAS		45 DAS		30 DAS		45 DAS	
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20
T ₁	9.10	9.40	19.30	19.60	8.14	8.17	11.12	11.18	42.78	50.62	62.39	66.52
T ₂	9.73	9.82	20.98	21.02	8.26	8.34	11.38	11.49	46.02	54.12	68.49	71.20
T ₃	9.92	9.95	21.55	21.77	8.28	8.37	11.80	11.88	51.92	61.30	74.31	76.49
T ₄	9.99	10.17	20.48	20.54	8.20	8.32	13.44	13.53	45.23	53.05	65.49	72.03
T ₅	10.35	10.41	22.10	22.22	8.29	8.37	14.88	14.97	52.45	59.21	73.94	81.79
T ₆	10.52	10.61	22.18	22.34	8.31	8.41	15.04	15.17	59.63	65.42	78.34	83.21
T ₇	10.02	10.22	20.53	20.62	8.22	8.35	13.47	13.59	47.14	54.15	68.92	78.23
T ₈	10.41	10.49	22.15	22.29	8.30	8.39	14.92	15.05	54.05	61.72	78.21	84.32
T ₉	10.57	10.66	22.79	22.85	8.32	8.43	15.25	15.41	60.03	67.40	81.32	86.32
T ₁₀	9.34	9.61	20.45	20.78	8.21	8.24	11.54	11.65	46.05	53.17	67.43	71.92
T ₁₁	9.85	9.89	21.22	21.31	8.29	8.39	11.62	11.82	50.12	57.23	72.12	76.04
T ₁₂	9.94	9.97	21.67	21.88	8.34	8.42	12.10	12.18	56.13	63.29	77.39	81.23
T ₁₃	10.11	10.25	20.82	20.98	8.26	8.39	14.20	14.26	52.14	57.49	70.92	78.48
T ₁₄	10.44	10.49	22.85	22.88	8.34	8.40	15.42	15.48	58.19	64.20	81.93	88.89
T ₁₅	10.55	10.64	22.92	22.97	8.37	8.49	15.92	15.99	63.17	68.93	84.74	90.43
T ₁₆	10.15	10.32	20.99	21.12	8.27	8.41	14.28	14.30	53.28	59.09	73.47	82.37
T ₁₇	10.47	10.56	22.88	22.99	8.37	8.42	15.48	15.64	59.27	66.01	85.92	91.92
T ₁₈	10.59	10.71	22.97	23.05	8.39	8.53	16.21	16.11	65.92	70.14	87.80	93.47
Overall mean	10.11	10.23	21.60	21.73	8.29	8.38	13.78	13.87	53.53	60.36	75.17	80.83
SEm±	P 0.17 B 0.17	P 0.16 B 0.16	P 0.31	P 0.32	P 0.16	P 0.17	P 0.36	P 0.33	P 0.82	P 0.95	P 1.79	P 2.03
			B 0.31	B 0.32	B 0.16	B 0.17	B 0.36	B 0.33	B 0.82	B 0.95	B 1.79	B 2.03
			Rh 0.25	Rh 0.26	Rh 0.13	Rh 0.13	Rh 0.29	Rh 0.27	Rh 0.67	Rh 0.78	Rh 1.47	Rh 1.66
C.D. at 5%	P 0.35 B 0.35	P 0.33 B 0.33	P 0.63	P 0.65	P N.S.	P N.S.	P 0.72	P 0.68	P 1.66	P 1.93	P 3.63	P 4.12
			B 0.63	B 0.65	B N.S.	B N.S.	B 0.72	B 0.68	B 1.66	B 1.93	B 3.63	B 4.12
			Rh 0.51	Rh 0.53	Rh N.S.	Rh N.S.	Rh 0.59	Rh 0.55	Rh 0.95	Rh 1.57	Rh 2.97	Rh 3.36

T₁: P₀B₀ Rh₀ (0kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₂: P₀B₁ Rh₀ (0 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₃: P₀B₂ Rh₀ (0 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₄: P₁B₀ Rh₀ (60 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₅: P₁B₁ Rh₀ (60 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₆: P₁B₂ Rh₀ (60 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₇: P₂B₀ Rh₀ (90 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₈: P₂B₁ Rh₀ (90 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₉: P₂B₂ Rh₀ (90 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₁₀: P₀B₀ Rh₁ (0 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₁: P₀B₁ Rh₁ (0 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₂: P₀B₂ Rh₁ (0 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₃: P₁B₀ Rh₁ (60 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₄: P₁B₁ Rh₁ (60 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₅: P₁B₂ Rh₁ (60 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₆: P₂B₀ Rh₁ (90 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₇: P₂B₁ Rh₁ (90 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₈: P₂B₂ Rh₁ (90 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*).

Table 2: Effect of treatments on grain, stover and biological yield of chickpea crop

Treatments	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)								
	Grain			Stover			Biological		
	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled
T ₁	12.07	12.40	12.24	16.88	17.34	17.11	28.94	29.74	29.34
T ₂	13.92	14.10	14.01	19.40	19.62	19.51	33.32	33.38	33.35
T ₃	14.85	15.10	14.98	20.59	20.91	20.75	35.44	36.01	35.73
T ₄	14.37	14.65	14.51	19.54	19.86	19.70	33.91	34.51	34.21
T ₅	16.65	16.90	16.78	22.22	22.46	22.34	38.87	39.36	39.11
T ₆	17.71	17.89	17.80	23.11	23.29	23.20	40.82	41.18	41.00
T ₇	15.68	15.82	15.75	20.22	20.37	20.30	35.90	36.17	36.04
T ₈	17.95	18.13	18.04	22.94	23.00	22.97	40.87	41.13	41.01
T ₉	18.51	18.72	18.62	23.41	23.56	23.48	41.92	42.28	42.10
T ₁₀	13.42	13.85	13.64	18.76	19.34	19.05	32.19	33.19	32.69
T ₁₁	15.15	15.80	15.47	21.10	21.96	31.53	36.25	37.76	37.00
T ₁₂	16.05	16.30	16.18	22.21	22.49	22.35	38.26	38.79	38.53
T ₁₃	16.92	17.05	16.99	22.92	22.96	22.94	39.84	40.01	39.92
T ₁₄	18.15	18.52	18.33	24.06	24.44	24.25	42.21	42.96	42.59
T ₁₅	19.05	19.33	19.19	24.76	25.03	24.89	43.81	44.36	44.09
T ₁₆	17.82	18.03	17.93	22.93	23.16	23.05	40.75	41.19	40.97
T ₁₇	19.00	19.32	19.16	24.13	24.49	24.31	43.13	43.81	43.47
T ₁₈	19.65	19.90	19.78	24.77	25.94	25.35	44.42	44.93	44.67
Overall Mean	16.50	16.76	16.63	21.89	22.23	22.06	38.38	38.93	38.66
SEm±	P 0.55	P 0.61	P 0.40	P 0.69	P 0.89	P 0.54	P 0.82	P 0.93	P 0.61
	B 0.55	B 0.61	B 0.40	B 0.69	B 0.89	B 0.54	B 0.82	B 0.93	B 0.61
	Rh 0.45	Rh 0.50	Rh 0.32	Rh 0.56	Rh 0.72	Rh 0.42	Rh 0.67	Rh 0.76	Rh 0.52
C.D. at 5%	P 1.12	P 1.24	P 0.82	P 1.39	P 1.79	P 1.11	P 1.66	P 1.89	P 1.24
	B 1.12	B 1.24	B 0.82	B 1.39	B 1.79	B 1.11	B 1.66	B 1.89	B 1.24
	Rh 0.92	Rh 1.01	Rh 0.67	Rh 1.13	Rh 1.46	Rh 0.87	Rh 1.35	Rh 1.51	Rh 1.06

T₁: P₀B₀ Rh₀ (0kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₂: P₀B₁ Rh₀ (0 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₃: P₀B₂ Rh₀ (0 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₄: P₁B₀ Rh₀ (60 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₅: P₁B₁ Rh₀ (60 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₆: P₁B₂ Rh₀ (60 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₇: P₂B₀ Rh₀ (90 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₈: P₂B₁ Rh₀ (90 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₉: P₂B₂ Rh₀ (90 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ without *Rhizobium*), T₁₀: P₀B₀ Rh₁ (0 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₁: P₀B₁ Rh₁ (0 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₂: P₀B₂ Rh₁ (0 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₃: P₁B₀ Rh₁ (60 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₄: P₁B₁ Rh₁ (60 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₅: P₁B₂ Rh₁ (60 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₆: P₂B₀ Rh₁ (90 kg P+0 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₇: P₂B₁ Rh₁ (90 kg P+1 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*), T₁₈: P₂B₂ Rh₁ (90 kg P+2 kg B ha⁻¹ with *Rhizobium*).

Conclusions

On the basis of above results it can be concluded that application of 60 kg P₂O₅ and 1 kg B ha⁻¹ along with *rhizobium* inoculation to the chickpea crop with recommended N K fertilizer is the best way for achieving higher growth attributes and productivity by chickpea crop.

References

- Ahluwat IPS, Gangaiah B, Ashraf ZM. Nutrient management in chickpea. In: Chickpea breeding and management (yadav, S, S., Redden, R., Chen, W. and Sharma, B. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, United Kingdom 2007, 213-232.
- Bhat B, Kumar N, Chandra R. Rhizobium and VAM inoculation effect on mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) with varying phosphorus levels. Legume Res 2005;26(6):284-287.
- Bharti N, Murtaza M, Singh AP. Effect of boron Rhizobium relationship on yield, nitrogen and boron nutrient of chickpea. J Res. Birsa Agric. Uni 2002;14(2):175-179.
- Balai K, Jajoria M, Verma R, Deewan P, Bairwa SK. Nutrient content, uptake, quality of chickpea and fertility status of soil as influenced by fertilization of Phosphorus and Zinc. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(1):392-398.
- Choudhary VK, Goswami VK. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivar. Ann. Agric. Res. New Series 2005;26:322-325.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for Agriculture Research. 2nd edn. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1984, 194.
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi 1973.
- Jukanti AK, Gaur PM, Gowda CLL, Chibbar RN. Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). A Review British J Nutr 2012;108:S11-S26.
- Khanam R, Arefin MS, Haque MR, Islam MA, Jahiruddin M. Effect of magnesium, boron and molybdenum on the growth, yield and protein content of chickpea and lentil. Progress. Agric 2000;11(1-2):77-80.
- Kumar J, Sharma M Effect of phosphorus and molybdenum on yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Adv. Plant Sci 2005;16:809-873.
- Kumar J, Kumar S, Prakash V. Effect of Biofertilizers and Phosphorus Levels on Soil Fertility, Yield and Nodulation in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2019;67(2):199-203.
- Malik MA, Saleem MF, Asghar A, Ijaz M. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus application on growth, yield and quality of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). Pakistan J Agril. Sci 2003;40(3-4):133-136.
- Meena LR, Singh RK, Gautum RC. Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation under rainfed condition. Int. J Tropical Agric 2004;11:49-60.

14. Meena KN, Pareek RG, Jat RS. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on yield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res* 2001;22(3):388-390.
15. Meena LR, Singh RK, Gautam RC. Effect of moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation on chickpea under rainfed condition. *Indian J Agron* 2002;47:398-404.
16. Muehlbauer FJ, Tullu A. New crop fact sheet: chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) New York Times 1997. Available at: <http://hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/crops/cropfactsheets/chickpea.html>.
17. Murari Lal AK, Mathur HS, Meena RL, Solanki RL. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield, quality and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Environ. and Ecol* 2013;31(1A):325-327.
18. Nadeem A, Muhammad A, Anjum MA. Growth and yield response of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) crop to phosphorus and potassium application. *Pakistan J Agric. Sci* 2003;40:217-222.
19. Nilambari K, Sasode DS, Ajay Patil. Yield, nutrient uptake and economics of gram as influenced by P and S levels and PSB inoculation under irrigated conditions. *Legume Res* 2003;26(2):125-127.
20. Nawange DD, Yadav AS, Singh RV. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Legume Research- An Int. Journal* 2011;34(1):48-50.
21. Neenu S, Ramesh K, Ramana S, Biswas AK, Rao AS. Growth and yield of different varieties of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by the phosphorus nutrition under rainfed conditions on vertisols. *Internat. J Bio-resour. & Stress Mgmt* 2014;5(1):53-57.
22. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA, Cric* 1954;930:19-23.
23. Pathak S, Namdeo KN, Chakrawarti VK, Tiwari RK. Effect of biofertilizers, diammonium phosphate and zinc sulphate on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Crop Res* 2003;26(1):42-46.
24. Pawar SB, Shirame MD, Kamble KR, Aglave BN. Integrated nutrient management in Kabuli chickpea. Its proceeding at International Conference on Grain Legumes: Quality Improvement, Value Addition and Trade, February 14-16, 2009 at Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur 2009.
25. Plaxton WC. Plant response to stress: biochemical adaptations to phosphate deficiency. *In: Goodman R (eds.) Encyclopedia of Plant and Crop Science*. New York: Marcel Dekker 2004, 976-980.
26. Pramanik K, Singh RK. Effect of levels and mode of phosphorus and biofertilizers on chickpea under dryland conditions. *Indian J Agron* 2003;48:294-296.
27. Pingoliya KK, Dotaniya ML, Mathur AK. Role of phosphorus and iron in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Lap Lambert Academic Publisher, Germany 2013.
28. Rajput RS, Tiwari OP, Srivastava GK, Tripathi RS. Effect of integrated phosphorus management on the growth and dry matter production of pigeonpea. *Annals of Agril. Res* 2002;23:494-496.
29. Ram SN, Dixit RS. Growth, yield attributing parameters and quality of summer green gram as influenced by dates of sowing and phosphorus, *Indian J Agric. Res* 2001;35:275-277.
30. Redden B, Furman BJ, Upadhyaya HD, Pundir RPS, Gowda CLL, Coyne C *et al.* Biodiversity Management in Chickpea. *In: Yadav SS, Redden R., Chen, W., Sharma, B., editors. Chickpea Breeding & Mngement*. CABI, Wallingford, UK 2007, 355-368.
31. Rao SS, Shakhawat MS. Effect of organic manure, phosphorus and gypsum on growth, yield and quality of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Indian J Plant Physiol* 2001;6:306-311.
32. Samiullah, Khan NA. Physiological investigations on interactive effect of P and K on growth and yield of chickpea. *Indian J Plant Physiol* 2003;8:165-170.
33. Satish K, Singh RC, Kadian VS. Response of mungbean genotypes to phosphorus application. *Indian J Pulses Res* 2003;16(1):65-66.
34. Saxena MC. Problems and potential of chickpea production in the nineties. *In: Chickpea in the nineties. Proc Second Int. Workshop on chickpea Imp*, 4-8th December, 1989, ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India 1990, 13-25.
35. Schulze J, Temple G, Temple SJ, Beschow H, Vance CP. Nitrogen fixation by white lupin under phosphorus deficiency. *Annals of Botany* 2006;98:731-740.
36. Sharma SC, Vyas AK, Shakhawat MS. Effect of levels and sources of phosphorus under the influence of farmyard manure on growth determinants and productivity of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). *Indian! Agric. Res.* 2002;36(2):123-127.
37. Sharma RP, Rana SS. Response of rajma varieties to phosphorus in cold arid region of himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Pulse Research* 2006;19(2):231-233.
38. Sharma SK, Singh H. Effect of seed rate and fertilizer doses on growth, yield and seed quality of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) c.v. Arkel. *Seed Research* 2003;31:43-46.
39. Shivakumar BS, Balloli SS, Saraf CS. Effect of sources and levels of phosphorus with and without seed inoculation on the performance of rainfed chickpea. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series* 2004;25:320-326.
40. Singh D, Singh H. Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and quality chickpea. *Ann. Pl. Soil Res* 2012;14(1):71-74.
41. Singh RS, Yadav MK. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth yield and nutrient uptake of long duration pigeon pea under rainfed condition. *J Food Legumes* 2008;21:46-48.
42. Singh A, Vaishya RD. Effect of weed management techniques and phosphorus levels on weed infestation and yield of late sown chickpea. *Indian J Pulse Res* 2001;14:119-121.
43. Shukla M, Patel RH, Verma R, Deewan P, Dotaniya ML. Effect of bio-organics and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under middle Gujrat condition. *Vegetos* 2013;26(1):183-187.
44. Subbiah BV, Asija CL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in soil. *Curr. Sci* 1956;25:259-260.
45. Thenua OVS, Singh SP, Shivakumar BG. Productivity and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) + fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) cropping systems as influenced by phosphorus sources, bio-fertilizers and irrigation to chickpea. *Indian J Agron* 2010;55:22-27.
46. Thenua VS, Ravindra KS. Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on productivity and nutrient uptake of chickpea. *Ann. Agric. Res* 2011;32(3-4):116-119.

47. Tripathy RK, Pandey N, Mishra PK, Rajput RS. Branching behavior and production of chickpea as influenced by residual effect of cow dung blended nutrients applied to hybrid rice and direct application of nutrient to chickpea under hybrid rice-chickpea cropping system. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series* 2004;25:498-501.
48. Tripathy DK, Kumar S, Zaidy SFA. Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and micronutrients (Zinc and Boron) levels on performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett* 2019. 10.1007/s40009-019-00802-4.
49. Wallace TC, Murray R, Kathleen M, Zelman K. The nutritional value and health benefits of chickpeas and humus. *Nutrients* 2016;8(12):766.
50. Walkley A, Black CSA. Old piper, S.S. soil and plant analysis. *Soil Sci* 1934;37:29-38.
51. Yadav OP, Rathore JP. Effect of phosphorus and iron fertilizer in mungbean on loamy sandy soil in India. *J Ind. Soc. Soil Sci* 2002;23(2):203-205.