www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(10): 145-149 © 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 10-08-2021 Accepted: 17-09-2021

Archana Verma

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Bihar, India

Uday Pratap Shahi

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SVPUA&T, Meerut, India

Pankaj Chaurasia

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SVPUA&T, Meerut, India

Satdev

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Bihar, India

Shruti Kumari

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Bihar, India

Rakesh Kumar

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author: Satdev Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar

Agricultural University, Bihar, India

Effect of mode of micronutrient application on the yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) in sandy loam soil

Archana Verma, Uday Pratap Shahi, Pankaj Chaurasia, Satdev, Shruti Kumari and Rakesh Kumar

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out during the *kharif* season 2018 at Crop Research Centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P) to evaluate the effect of mode of micronutrients application on yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) in sandy loam soil. Nine treatments consisting of micronutrients (Zn, Fe and B) *viz* T₁ [Control (NPK)], T₂ (ZnSO4@ 25 kg/h), T₃ (Fe SO4@ 40 kg/ha), T₄ (Borax@ 10 kg/ha), T₅ (ZnSO4+ FeSO4+ Borax), T₆ (foliar spray ZnSO4@0.5%), T₇ (foliar spray FeSO4 @1%), T₈ (foliar spray Borax@0.2%), T₉ (foliar spray ZnSO4+ Borax) were tested in randomized block design with three replications. Recommended doses of NPK was commonly applied in all the plots. The experimental results revealed that yields *viz.*, grain, straw and biological pigeon pea differ significantly among different treatments and were maximum with the foliar spray of ZnSO4+ FeSO4+ Borax. Therefore, the combined application of various micronutrients should be taken into consideration.

Keywords: Micronutrients, foliar spray, borax, grain yield, straw yield and biological yield etc.

Introduction

In India, pulses are an integral part of the diet. India is the largest producer of pulse in the whole world which produces 19.98 million tonnes per annum from 30-million-hectare area. India contributes 35% area for total global pulse production which is 25% of world's pulse producing area. An area of 85.19 million hectares is occupied by pulses all over world hence it contributes 77.43 million tonnes to global food basket (Annual report 2016-17, GOI)^[2]. The scenario of productivity in India for pulses lies between 600-650 kg ha⁻¹ (Gowda et al., 2014) which is far below, compared to average global productivity of pulses which is 909 kg ha⁻¹ (Annual report 2016-17, GOI)^[2]. Pigeon pea had originated in South Asia and it is now growing in tropical and sub-tropical regions also. It is grown throughout the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, between 30° N and 35° S latitudes. However, major area under pigeon pea in India is lying between 14° S and 28° N latitudes. After chickpea, pigeon pea is the second most important pulse crop in India, grown in an area of 56.02 lakh hectare with 32.90 lakh tones production (Annual Report 2016-17, GOI)^[2]. It is to be noted that in our country, the productivity of pigeon pea is quite low due to number of factors i.e. agronomic, genetic, pathogenic and entomological as well as their interaction with environment. Inclusion of legumes especially in the monsoon (rainy season) are, however, very limited in the Upper Gangetic Plain (UGP) transect of the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), where rice-wheat is the dominant cropping system. With the development of short duration varieties of pigeon pea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.] In recent years, it has become possible to introduce this crop to substitute rice especially in upland and water scarcity situations (Mandal et al., 2013)^[13]. Although India stands first in area and production of this crop, still there is need to import this pulse crop from other countries, it is mainly due to the higher domestic consumption demands in our country. In pulses, the limited nitrogen fixation by legume- rhizobium symbiosis is the result of mineral nutrient deficiencies. There is deficiency of both macronutrients as well as micronutrients (Zn, Fe, B, Mo etc.) take place which inhibits or limits the legume production (Bhuiyan et al., 1999)^[3]. These deficiencies of micronutrients in the crop also causes deficiency in human populations (Adhikary et al., 2020)^[1] and Zn deficiency in human is one of the most widespread and Zn deficiency can cause serious health problems in countries like India (Ray et al., 2016) [18]. Zinc (Zn) is one of the most deficient micronutrients all over the world (Oliver and Gregory 2015)^[16].

Most of the Zn fertilizer studies have focused on increasing grain yield, although grain Zn concentration is also starting to be addressed (Cakmak, 2009)^[4]. The boron improves the grain and straw yield, nutrient content, nutrient uptake and quality in legume crops (Singh et al., 2004 [21] and Singh et al., 2006 ^[22]). Boron deficiency limits the production of pulse crops (Mani and Haldar, 1996) [14]. The symptoms of iron deficiency in pigeon pea growing in solution culture have been discussed by Nichols (1964)^[15]. Since iron is immobile in the plant, the youngest leaves showed the symptoms first and interveinal areas also became pale green. In severe cases, the entire area of the leaflet became chlorotic and small necrotic patches developed. The low yield of pigeon pea is mainly attributed to their cultivation on poor soils with inadequate and imbalanced macronutrients as well as micronutrients like zinc, iron and boron application. Among the micronutrients Zn, Fe and B are supposed to improve the vield appreciably and foliar spray of micronutrients proved to be economical in pulses (Savithri et al., 2001)^[20].

Material and Methods:

In order to study the the effect of mode of micronutrients application on yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) in sandy loam soil a field experiment was carried out during the *kharif* season 2018 at Crop Research Centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P) which is situated at $29^{0}8^{1}$ NE latitude and $77^{0}40^{1}$ E longitude at an altitude of 237 m above the mean sea level. Mean weakly data on mean temperature, relative humidity, and total rainfall recorded during the crop season, i.e. summer season 2018, at the meteorological observatory located at the Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.), are shown graphically in Fig. I. In

general, maximum temperature exhibited a gradual decline with advancement in crop age. The mean weakly lowest and highest temperature recorded during the crop growth period were 6.5°C and 40.0°C in the month of July and December. The mean weakly lowest and highest relative humidity recorded during the crop growth period varied from 45.4 to 96.6 per cent. The cop received 36.95 mm of rainfall during its growing period. It shows the impact of climate on the growth and yields of the pigeon pea crop in the experiment. UPAS-120, which is a short duration variety of pigeon pea was grown in randomized block design with three replications. Total 9 treatments were used viz. T₁ [Control (NPK)], T₂ (ZnSO₄@ 25 kg/h), T₃ (Fe SO₄@ 40 kg/ha), T₄ (Borax@ 10 kg/ha), T₅ (ZnSO₄+ FeSO₄+ Borax), T₆ (foliar spray ZnSO₄@0.5%), T₇ (foliar spray FeSO₄ @1%), T₈ (foliar spray Borax@0.2%), T₉ (foliar spray ZnSO₄+ FeSO₄+ Borax). In order to mechanism of growth and development of plant, it was necessary to study the behaviour of the developing plant under the influence of different treatment. An area was marked for recording various growth observations on crop. Yield attributes were recorded at harvest. After harvesting, the pigeon pea crop was sun dried and then weight of net plot area harvested was recorded in kg and expressed as kg ha⁻¹. The weight of grains harvested from net plot area was recorded and finally expressed as kg ha⁻¹. Straw yield from net plot area was computed by subtracting the grain yield from the biological yield and later converted into kg ha⁻¹. The harvest index, an index to partitioning of dry matter towards grains was calculated by fallowing formula:

Harvest index =
$$\frac{\text{Economic yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} x100$$



Fig 1: Mean weakly data on mean temperature, relative humidity, and total rainfall recorded during the crop season, i.e. summer season 2018

Result

In the present study soil and foliar application of micronutrients viz. Zn, Fe and B exhibited a significant

impact on yield of pigeon pea crop. Biological, grains and straw yields were significantly affected by soil and foliar application of Zn, Fe and B.

Effect of Soil and Foliar spray of Zn, Fe and B on Biological yield

After harvesting, the pigeon pea crop was sun dried and then weight of net plot area harvested was recorded and expressed as t ha⁻¹. It has been found that maximum biological yield 7.93 t ha⁻¹ recorded in T₉ where foliar spray ZnSO₄+ FeSO₄+ Borax was done while minimum yield was observed in control (T₁) i.e. 7.04 t ha⁻¹. Biological yield significantly ranged from 7.04 to 7.93 t ha⁻¹ under different treatments significantly. Maximum biological yield recorded in T₉ was at par to the treatments T₅ and T₇. In the treatment T₉ the biological yield was 12.64% higher than the control plot which shows that the biological yield of the pigeon pea crop was significantly influenced with the foliar spray of Zinc, iron and boron micronutrients.

Effect of Soil and Foliar spray of Zn, Fe and B on straw yield

The weight of grains harvested from net plot area was recorded and finally expressed as kg ha⁻¹. Straw yield varied from 5.87 to 6.47 t ha⁻¹ under different treatments. Maximum

straw yield 6.47 t ha⁻¹ was found in T₉ (foliar spray ZnSO₄+ FeSO₄+ Borax) while minimum 5.87 t ha⁻¹ in treatment T₁ i.e. control plot. The straw yield differs significantly under the influence of different treatments. Due to foliar spray ZnSO₄+ FeSO₄+ Borax (T₉) straw yield increased by 10% and it was at par to the T₅ and T₇.

Effect of Soil and Foliar spray of Zn, Fe and B on grain yield

Straw yield from net plot area was computed by subtracting the grain yield from the biological yield and later converted into t ha⁻¹. Different treatments showed a significant effect on grain yield which ranged from 1.17 to 1.47 t ha⁻¹. Maximum grain yield 1.47 t ha⁻¹ obtained by T₉ (foliar spray of ZnSO₄+ FeSO₄+ Borax) was significantly higher than remaining treatments except T₅, T₆, T₇. Minimum grain yield i.e. 1.17 t ha⁻¹ was recorded in the treatment where only NPK was applied (T₁). Combined foliar application of Zn, Fe and B (T₉) significantly improved the grain yield over control which was 25.2% higher over control. In treatments T₅, T₆ and T₇ grain yield increment was 17.6%, 13.9% and 15.2% respectively.



Fig 2: Effect of mode of micronutrients (Zn, Fe and B) application on yields (Grains, straw and biological) and harvest index of pigeon pea

Effect of Soil and Foliar spray of Zn, Fe and B on harvest index

The harvest index, an index to partitioning of dry matter towards grains was numerically highest in the treatment where foliar spray of $ZnSO_4+$ FeSO₄+ Borax was done, i.e. harvest index value (18.5%), while lowest harvest index (16.4%) was recorded in control plot (T₁). Harvest index express proportion of economic yield in total biological yield shows a non-significant difference by soil and foliar application of Zn, Fe and B during the experimentation.

Discussion

It has been observed that the low yield of pigeonpea is mainly attributed to their cultivation on poor soils with inadequate and imbalanced nutrient application, almost without application of micronutrients. (Srikanth Babu *et al.*, 2012)^[23]. The foliar application of micronutrients and soil application of the same may boost up the vegetative growth and development as well as help in supply of photosynthetes to reproductive part and ultimately increases the yield.

Yield increase in these treatments may be the result of

inhibition in flower and pod abscission, improvement in morpho-physiological characters (stem girth, early vigour and crop establishment) and enhanced dry matter production Reddy et al. (2007) ^[19]. The minimum grain biological and straw yield was obtained in the control but as we added the micronutrients, these yields get improved. Zinc is reported to enhance the absorption of native as well as added macro nutrients which might have been attributed to improvement in the yield. Boron's involvement in hormone synthesis and translocation, carbohydrate metabolism and DNA synthesis probably contributed to additional growth and yield (Ratna Kalyani et al. 1993)^[8]. Foliar application of iron (T₇) showed better results in terms of yield attributes as compare to soil application it might be due to plant utilise iron in reduced form and less usable in oxidised condition in soil. Wankhade et al. (1995) [27] said that application of Fe significantly increased the yield of pigeon pea compared to control. Boron also can play major role in augmenting the yield. Similar findings were also reported by Thamke (2017) ^[25], Gowda et al. (2015)^[7], Khrogamy and Farnia (2009)^[9].

Table 1: Effect of mode of micronutrients (Zn, Fe and B) application on yields (Grains, straw and biological) and harvest index of pigeon pea

Treatments	Yields (t ha ⁻¹)			Harvest index
	Grains	Straw	Biological	
T ₁ Control (20:50:40)	1.17	5.87	7.04	16.6
T ₂ ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg/h	1.28	6.23	7.51	17.1
T ₃ Fe SO ₄ @ 40 kg/ha	1.26	6.21	7.47	16.8
T ₄ Borax@ 10 kg/ha	1.22	6.21	7.44	16.4
T ₅ ZnSO ₄ + FeSO ₄ + Borax	1.42	6.39	7.82	18.2
T ₆ foliar spray ZnSO ₄ @0.5%	1.36	6.35	7.70	17.6
T ₇ foliar spray FeSO ₄ @1%	1.38	6.38	7.76	17.8
T ₈ foliar spray Borax@0.2%	1.31	6.23	7.54	17.4
T9 foliar spray ZnSO4+ FeSO4+ Borax	1.47	6.47	7.93	18.5
SE m (±)	0.04	0.27	0.25	-
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.13	0.49	0.71	NS

Summary and conclusion:

Grain, straw and biological yields were enhanced by the soil and foliar spray of Zn, Fe and B. The highest yields were obtained by T₉ followed by T₅. Although, soil applied Zn, Fe and B individually gave lower yields but their combined application show the best results. Harvest index remained almost constant with the soil and foliar application of micronutrients although it increased in the micronutrients treated plots with the advancement in crop growth.

References

- 1. Adhikary S, Mandal N, Rakshit R, Das A, Kumar V, Kumari N *et al.* Field evaluation of Zincated nanoclay polymer composite (ZNCPC): Impact on DTPA-extractable Zn, sequential Zn fractions and apparent Zn recovery under rice rhizosphere. Soil & Tillage Research 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104607.
- Annual report. Directorate of Pulses Development 2016-17.

(http://dpd.gov.in/Web%20Annual%20Report%202016-17%20-.pdf)

- 3. Bhuiyan MAH, Khanam D, Ali MY. Chickpea root nodulation and yield as affected by micronutrient application and rhizobium inoculation. International Chickpea and Pigeon pea Newsletter 1999;6:28-29.
- Cakmak I. Enrichment of fertilizers with zinc: An excellent investment for humanity and crop production in India. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology 2009;23:281-289.
- Chalak AL, Vaikar SL, Barangule Sanjivani. Effect of varying levels of potassium and zinc on yield, yield attributes, quality of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.). International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018;6(5): 1432-1435.
- 6. Debnath P, Ghosh SK. Assessment of critical limit of available boron for pea in acidic Alfisols of East Sikkim, India. Legume Research 2014;37:508-514.
- Gowda KM, Halepyati, AS, Koppalkar BG, Rao S. Response of Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) to application of micronutrients through soil and foliar spray of macronutrient on yield economics and protein content. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 2014;27(4):460-463.
- 8. Kalyani RR, Devi VS, Satyanarayana NV, Rao KVM. Effect of foliar application of B on crop growth and yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. *Millspaugh*). Indian Journal of Plant Physiology 2013;4:223-226.
- 9. Khorgamy A, Farnia A. Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on yield and yield components of chick pea cultivars. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings

2009;9:205-208.

- Mahadule PA, Sale RB. Effect of foliar sprays of boron on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Entisol: A review. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018;7(5):74-78.
- Mandal N, Datta SC, Dwivedi BS, Manjaiah KM, Meena MC, Bhowmik A. Zincated Nanoclay Polymer Composite (ZNCPC): Effect on DTPA-Zn, Olsen- P and Soil Enzymatic Activities in Rice Rhizosphere, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 2021. DOI: 10.1080/00103624.2021.1908325
- Mandal N, Datta SC, Manjaiah KM, Dwivedi BS, Kumar R, Aggarwal P. Evaluation of zincated nanoclay polymer composite in releasing Zn and P and effect on soil enzyme activities in a wheat rhizosphere. European Journal of Soil Science 2019,1-19. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12860
- Mandal N, Dwivedi BS, Meena MC, Singh D, Datta SP, Tomar RK *et al.* Effect of induced defoliation in pigeon pea, farmyard manure and sulphitation press mud on soil organic carbon fractions, mineral nitrogen and crop yields in a pigeon pea–wheat cropping system. Field Crops Research 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.08.007
- Mani PK, Haldar M. Effect of dolomite on boron transformation in acid soil in relation to nutrition of green gram. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 1996;44:458-461.
- 15. Nichols R. Studies on the moor- element deficiencies of the pigeon pea (*Cajanus caj*an) in sand culture. Plant and Soil 1964;22(1):112-126.
- Oliver MA, Gregory PJ. Soil, food security and human health: A review. European Journal of Soil Science 2015;66(2):257–76.
- 17. Rammukut M. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and productivity of forage sorghum. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur 2009.
- Ray P, Datta SP, Rakshit R, Golui D. Agronomic Biofortification of food crops with zinc and iron for ameliorating their deficiencies in humans: constraints and possibilities. Indian Journal of Fertilizers 2016;12:28-35.
- 19. Reddy CCS, Majumder TK. Effect of foliar spray of boron and IAA on vegetative growth and yield of black gram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper). Environment and Ecology 2007;22:445-446.
- 20. Savithri P. International Symposium on Pulses and Oilseeds for Sustainable Agriculture. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2001,87.

- Singh RN, Kumar B, Singh S. Effect of lime and boron application on gram (Cicer arietinum) in acid soils of Jharkhand. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2004;52(3):283-285.
- 22. Singh RN, Singh S, Kumar B. Interaction effect of Sulphur and boron on yield and nutrient uptake and quality characters of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merill) grown in acidic upland soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2006;54(4):516-518.
- 23. Srikanth B, Koppalkar BG, Nagalikar VP, Pramod K. Yield and yield components and economics of pigeon pea cultivation as influenced by organic manures and graded levels of zinc sulphate. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 2012;25(4):527-530.
- 24. Thalooth A, Tawfik MM, Mohamed HM. A Comparative Study on the Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc, Potassium and Magnesium on Growth, Yield and Some Chemical Constituents of Mungbean Plants Grown under Water Stress Conditions. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2006;2(1):37-46.
- 25. Thamke SS. Studies on effect of graded levels of potassium and zinc on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of pigeon pea. Thesis (Unpublished) Submitted to Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Prabhani 2017.
- 26. Valenciano JB, Boto JA, Marcelo V. Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) yield to zinc, boron and molybdenum application under pot conditions. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research 2010;8:797-807.
- 27. Wankhade SG, Dakhole RC, Wanjari SS, Vyas JS. Micronutrient uptake of legumes. Legume Research 1995;18(4):211-214.