



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
 ISSN (P): 2349-8242
 NAAS Rating: 5.03
 TPI 2021; 10(1): 174-179
 © 2021 TPI
 www.thepharmajournal.com
 Received: 03-11-2020
 Accepted: 07-12-2020

Ashwini Pardeshi
 Student, Department of Soil
 Science and Agricultural
 Chemistry, Dr. Balasaheb
 Sawant Konkani Krishi
 Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

Pooja Sawant
 Assistant Professor,
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkani
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

PB Sanap
 Vegetable Specialist,
 Central Experimentation
 Station, Wakawali,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkani
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

SB Dodake
 Head, Department of Soil
 Science and Agricultural
 Chemistry, Dr. Balasaheb
 Sawant Konkani Krishi
 Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Ashwini Pardeshi
 Student, Department of Soil
 Science and Agricultural
 Chemistry, Dr. Balasaheb
 Sawant Konkani Krishi
 Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

Quality parameters of chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*) germplasm under Konkani condition

Ashwini Pardeshi, Pooja Sawant, PB Sanap and SB Dodake

Abstract

Hundred chilli germplasm viz., pure germplasm, F₂ generation, F₅ generation and F₆ generation cultivated in Konkani region were studied for quality parameter viz., pungency and natural pigments viz., anthocyanine and chlorophyll content in Vegetative Improvement Scheme, Central Research Station, Wakawali, Dapoli. WKLC-1 of F₅ generation showed highest pungency (1.26%), while Konkani Kirti of pure germplasm showed lowest pungency (0.21%). The anthocyanin content ranged from 21.36 to 31.57 mg 100 g⁻¹ with maximum content (31.57 mg 100 g⁻¹) in Konkani kirti of pure germplasm. Among hundred chilli germplasm, chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content were found to be maximum in DPLC-2 of pure germplasm.

Keywords: Chilli germplasm, pungency, natural pigments

1. Introduction

Chillies (*Capsicum annum L.*) belong to the family *Solanaceae*. Cultivated chillies are of American origin and have been discovered in the pre-historic remains of Peru. Chilli is the third important crop of family *Solanaceae* after tomato and potato (Naz, 2006). It is rich source of vitamin A and C. Chili fruits having deep red colour, without pungency are used as paprika colour is the principal criterion for assessing its quality. The main functional properties of chilli are pungency, antioxidant activity, vitamin C and natural pigments (Saryth and Nosova, 1982; Garcia *et al.*, 1998; Jagadeesh, 2000) [13, 3, 5]. Color of ripe pepper fruits originate from carotenoids, and two red carotenoids, capsanthin and capsorubin, naturally found only in *Capsicum* (Govindarajan, 1986; Levy *et al.*, 1995; Deli *et al.*, 2001; Pino *et al.*, 2006) [4, 7, 2, 9]. Thus, the present work aims to study the some of the quality parameter of hundred chilli germplasm under Konkani condition.

2. Materials and methods

The present investigation entitled "Quality parameter of chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*) germplasm under Konkani condition" was conducted during 2018-2019 at Vegetable Improvement Scheme, Central Experiment Station, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkani Krishi Vidyapeeth, Wakawali, Dapoli. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomised block design with three replication.

2.1 Quality Parameters

2.1.1 Capsaicin (Green chilli)

Determination of Capsaicin content was done by Colorimetric method as described by Quagliotti (1971) [10]. In a glass-stoppered test tube or volumetric flask, 0.5 g sample (dry chilli powder) was taken. 10 mL dry acetone was pipetted out into the flask and placed it for 3 h in a mechanical shaker. The contents were allowed to settle down or centrifuged (10,000 rpm for 10 min). 1 mL of the clear supernatant was pipetted out into a test tube and evaporated to dryness in a hot water-bath. The residue in 5 mL of 0.4% sodium hydroxide solution was dissolved and 3 mL of 3 % phosphomolybdic acid was added to it. The contents were shaken and allowed to stand for 1 h. The solution was filtered quickly into centrifuge tubes to remove any floating debris and centrifuged at about 5,000 rpm for 10-15 min. The clear blue coloured solution was transferred directly into the cuvette and the absorbance read at 650 nm.

$$\% \text{ capsaicin} = \frac{\mu\text{g capsaicin}}{1000 \times 1000} \times \frac{100}{1} \times \frac{100}{2} = \frac{\mu\text{g capsaicin}}{100}$$

2.1.2 Anthocyanin (Red chilli)

Anthocyanin content was determined as described by Ranganna, (1997) ^[11] using Spectrophotometer. To 10 g of fruit sample 20 ml ethanolic HCl was added and the mixture was ground. Then 15 ml ethanolic HCL was added for washing blender. Then it was stored overnight in a refrigerator at 4 °C. The extract was filtered by using whatman filter paper no. 1. The extract was then transferred in 50 ml volumetric flask and volume made upto the mark with ethanolic HCL. Then it was read on spectrophotometer at 533 nm.

$$\text{Total Absorbance (mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Absorbance at } 533 \text{ nm} \times 10 \times 50 \times 100}{2 \times 10}$$

2.1.3 Chlorophyll Content

Chlorophyll was extracted in 80% acetone and the absorption at 663 nm and 645 nm were read in a spectrophotometer. Using the absorption coefficients, the amount of chlorophyll was calculated (Arnon, 1949) ^[1].

Chlorophyll 'a', 'b' and total chlorophyll were estimated by taking 1 g of finely cut and well mixed representative sample of leaf or fruit tissue into a clean mortar. The tissues were ground to a fine pulp with the addition of 20 mL of 80 % acetone. The pulp was centrifuged (5,000 rpm for 5 min) and the supernatant was transferred to 100 mL volumetric flask.

The residue was ground with 20 mL of 80% acetone, centrifuged and the supernatant was transferred to the same volumetric flask. This procedure was repeated until the residue became colourless. The mortar and pestle were washed thoroughly with 80% acetone and the clear washings were collected in the volumetric flask. The volume was made up to 100 mL with 80% acetone. The absorbance of the solution was read at 645, 663 and 652 nm against the solvent (80% acetone) blank.

$$\text{Chlorophyll a (mg g}^{-1} \text{ tissue)} = 12.7 (A_{663}) - 2.69 (A_{645}) \times \frac{V}{1000} \times W$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll b (mg g}^{-1} \text{ tissue)} = 22.9 (A_{645}) - 4.68 (A_{663}) \times \frac{V}{1000} \times W$$

$$\text{Total chlorophyll (mg g}^{-1} \text{ tissue)} = 20.2(A_{645}) + 8.02(A_{663}) \times \frac{V}{1000} \times W$$

2.1.4 Statistical analysis

The experimental data was analyzed statistically by the technique of analysis of variance as applicable to randomized block design. The significance of treatment difference was tested by 'F' (Variance ratio) test. Critical difference (CD) at 5 per cent level of probability was worked out for comparison and statistical interpretation of the treatment means (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967) ^[8].

Table 1: Details of chilli germplasms used for the study.

Sr. No.	Germplasm
1.	DPLC – 1
2.	DPLC – 2
3.	DPLC – 3
4.	DPLC – 4
5.	DPLC – 5
6.	DPLC – 6
7.	DPLC – 7
8.	DPLC – 8
9.	DPLC – 9
10.	DPLC – 10
11.	DPLC – 11
12.	DPLC – 12
13.	DPLC – 13
14.	DPLC – 14
15.	DPLC – 15
16.	Jwala
17.	Jayanti
18.	Pb. Gucchedar
19.	BC – 24
20.	BC – 28
21.	Konkan Kirti
22.	Sangam
23.	Wakawali-13
24.	Wakawali-19
25.	Wakawali-20
26.	ACS – 9818
27.	R.H.R. – 16 – 5
28.	R.H.R. – 57
29.	Pant-C3
30.	P. Tejas
31.	LCA-206
32.	LCA-283
	F₂ Generation
33.	WKLC – 1
34.	WKLC – 2
35.	WKLC – 3
36.	WKLC – 4

37.	WKLC – 5
38.	WKLC – 6
39.	WKLC – 7
40.	WKLC – 8
41.	WKLC – 9
42.	WKLC – 10
43.	WKLC – 11
44.	WKLC – 12
45.	WKLC – 13
46.	WKLC – 14
47.	WKLC – 15
48.	WKLC – 16
49.	WKLC – 17
50.	WKLC – 18
51.	WKLC – 19
52.	WKLC – 20
53.	WKLC – 21
54.	WKLC – 22
55.	WKLC – 23
56.	WKLC – 24
57.	WKLC – 25
58.	WKLC – 26
59.	WKLC – 27
60.	WKLC – 28
61.	WKLC – 29
6.	WKLC – 30
63.	WKLC – 31
64.	WKLC – 32
65.	WKLC – 33
66.	WKLC – 34
	F₅ Generation
67.	WKLC – 1
68.	WKLC – 2
69.	WKLC – 3
70.	WKLC – 4
71.	WKLC – 5
72.	WKLC – 6
73.	WKLC – 7
74.	WKLC – 8
75.	WKLC – 9
76.	WKLC – 10
77.	WKLC – 11
78.	WKLC – 12
79.	WKLC – 13
80.	WKLC – 14
81.	WKLC – 15
82.	WKLC – 16
83.	WKLC – 17
	F₆ Generation
84.	WKLC – 1
85.	WKLC – 2
86.	WKLC – 3
87.	WKLC – 4
88.	WKLC – 5
89.	WKLC – 6
90.	WKLC – 7
91.	WKLC – 8
92.	WKLC – 9
93.	WKLC – 10
94.	WKLC – 11
95.	WKLC – 12
96.	WKLC – 13
97.	WKLC – 14
98.	WKLC – 15
99.	WKLC – 16
100.	WKLC – 17

3. Results and Discussion

The result of the experiment are presented. Among the hundred chilli germplasm, capsaicin content ranged from 0.21 to 1.26%. Highly capsaicin content noticeable in WKLC-1 (1.26%) of F₅ generation. The findings of the study agree with the findings of Manju and Sreelathakumary (2002) and Pandiyaraj *et al.* (2016) who have reported the capsaicin content in chilli germplasm in the range of 1.20 to 3.74% and 0.32 to 1.26, respectively. Maximum anthocyanin content observed in Konkan kirti (31.57 mg 100 g⁻¹) of pure

germplasm. While WKLC-22 (21.36 mg 100 g⁻¹) of F₂ generation showed minimum anthocyanin content. Taleb and Abu-zahra (2014) have reported an anthocyanin content of 38.54 mg 100 g⁻¹ in sweet pepper fruits. DPLC-2 of pure germplasm showed highest chlorophyll 'a'(0.53 mg g⁻¹), Chlorophyll 'b'(0.92 mg g⁻¹) and total chlorophyll (1.44 mg g⁻¹) content over the hundred chilli germplasm. The findings are in line with the study conducted by Adhikari and Pradhan (2014) who have reported the chlorophyll content of chilli varieties to be in the range of 0.13 to 2.10 mg 100 g⁻¹ fruits.

Table 2: Quality Parameters of pure chilli germplasm

Pure germplasm	Capsaicin % (Green chilli)	Anthocyanin (mg 100 g ⁻¹) (Red chilli)	Chlorophyll 'a' (mg g ⁻¹)	Chlorophyll 'b' (mg g ⁻¹)	Total Chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹)
DPLC-1	1.12	24.40	0.45	0.79	1.28
DPLC-2	0.44	21.48	0.53	0.92	1.44
DPLC-3	0.76	29.59	0.38	0.62	1.00
DPLC-4	0.67	28.27	0.39	0.71	1.10
DPLC-5	0.41	27.48	0.42	0.75	1.18
DPLC-6	0.25	25.74	0.38	0.63	0.98
DPLC-7	0.51	22.94	0.40	0.75	1.15
DPLC-8	0.77	26.83	0.42	0.85	1.28
DPLC-9	0.75	25.64	0.42	0.82	1.21
DPLC-10	0.34	28.44	0.43	0.76	1.19
DPLC-11	0.46	26.51	0.42	0.77	1.18
DPLC-12	0.37	24.75	0.37	0.62	0.99
DPLC-13	0.36	26.59	0.41	0.61	1.02
DPLC-14	0.37	30.96	0.44	0.82	1.26
DPLC-15	0.43	29.15	0.46	0.86	1.33
Jwala	0.88	31.53	0.47	0.84	1.34
Jayanti	0.75	28.39	0.41	0.85	1.26
Pb. Gucchedar	0.33	23.48	0.38	0.60	0.98
BC-24	0.29	21.51	0.50	0.90	1.40
BC-28	0.34	26.54	0.48	0.83	1.35
Konkan Kirti	0.21	31.57	0.35	0.69	1.04
Sangam	0.35	27.61	0.42	0.83	1.25
Wakawali-13	0.60	28.53	0.42	0.84	1.27
Wakawali-19	0.25	22.45	0.45	0.81	1.26
Wakawali-20	0.50	26.73	0.39	0.56	1.06
ACS-9818	0.43	26.25	0.41	0.80	1.22
RHR-16-5	0.50	27.33	0.39	0.83	1.23
RHR-57	0.37	23.88	0.45	0.79	1.24
Pant-C3	0.88	25.45	0.42	0.86	1.27
P. Tejas	0.71	25.47	0.40	0.63	1.04
LCA-206	0.23	29.37	0.42	0.63	1.20
LCA-283	0.36	28.57	0.47	0.72	1.27
SE ±	0.009	0.026	0.002	0.005	0.004
CD (P=0.05)	0.025	0.072	0.006	0.014	0.011

Table 3: Quality Parameters of F₂ generation of chilli germplasm

F ₂ Generation	Capsaicin % (Green chilli)	Anthocyanin (mg 100 g ⁻¹) (Red chilli)	Chlorophyll 'a' (mg g ⁻¹)	Chlorophyll 'b' (mg g ⁻¹)	Total Chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹)
WKLC-1	1.24	23.74	0.41	0.76	1.17
WKLC-2	0.65	27.42	0.39	0.78	1.17
WKLC-3	0.67	26.76	0.43	0.83	1.26
WKLC-4	0.26	29.95	0.46	0.84	1.31
WKLC-5	0.66	25.26	0.45	0.82	1.27
WKLC-6	0.25	26.23	0.40	0.78	1.18
WKLC-7	0.38	23.93	0.41	0.78	1.19
WKLC-8	0.41	22.47	0.38	0.68	1.06
WKLC-9	0.57	25.60	0.45	0.82	1.28
WKLC-10	0.25	30.37	0.40	0.70	1.10
WKLC-11	0.35	25.32	0.44	0.83	1.26
WKLC-12	0.47	27.34	0.42	0.80	1.21
WKLC-13	0.26	23.64	0.44	0.77	1.22
WKLC-14	0.24	29.60	0.42	0.81	1.22
WKLC-15	0.56	25.36	0.48	0.84	1.32

WKLC-16	0.21	22.74	0.42	0.81	1.23
WKLC-17	0.16	22.43	0.45	0.81	1.26
WKLC-18	0.26	27.40	0.45	0.84	1.28
WKLC-19	0.34	25.38	0.41	0.79	1.20
WKLC-20	0.19	22.89	0.41	0.54	1.18
WKLC-21	0.29	21.36	0.51	0.88	1.39
WKLC-22	0.20	26.69	0.48	0.86	1.35
WKLC-23	0.24	24.48	0.44	0.80	1.24
WKLC-24	0.30	29.38	0.43	0.79	1.22
WKLC-25	0.22	23.46	0.46	0.81	1.27
WKLC-26	0.66	26.01	0.46	0.82	1.28
WKLC-27	0.32	26.42	0.42	0.77	1.18
WKLC-28	0.17	23.27	0.41	0.78	1.19
WKLC-29	0.84	30.43	0.45	0.82	1.27
WKLC-30	0.49	23.76	0.44	0.82	1.26
WKLC-31	0.29	22.59	0.44	0.83	1.27
WKLC-32	0.68	28.52	0.45	0.87	1.32
WKLC-33	0.65	31.13	0.41	0.78	1.22
WKLC-34	0.94	29.33	0.42	0.81	1.23
SE \pm	0.009	0.023	0.007	0.008	0.003
CD (P=0.05)	0.026	0.064	0.021	0.022	0.010

Table 4: Quality Parameters of F₅ generation of chilli germplasm

F ₅ Generation	Capsaicin % (Green chilli)	Anthocyanin (mg 100 g ⁻¹) (Red chilli)	Chlorophyll 'a' (mg g ⁻¹)	Chlorophyll 'b' (mg g ⁻¹)	Total Chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹)
WKLC-1	1.26	27.49	0.44	0.77	1.22
WKLC-2	0.79	24.53	0.49	0.85	1.34
WKLC-3	0.93	22.80	0.43	0.80	1.23
WKLC-4	0.82	26.79	0.42	0.78	1.20
WKLC-5	1.32	29.08	0.45	0.79	1.24
WKLC-6	0.69	24.39	0.44	0.83	1.27
WKLC-7	0.61	23.52	0.47	0.81	1.28
WKLC-8	0.72	22.55	0.42	0.76	1.18
WKLC-9	0.52	27.54	0.39	0.65	1.04
WKLC-10	0.69	25.57	0.42	0.75	1.18
WKLC-11	1.33	23.43	0.41	0.81	1.23
WKLC-12	0.75	22.76	0.45	0.81	1.26
WKLC-13	0.93	28.34	0.38	0.63	1.01
WKLC-14	0.45	23.52	0.48	0.85	1.34
WKLC-15	0.50	24.27	0.50	0.87	1.37
WKLC-16	0.78	30.51	0.52	0.88	1.40
WKLC-17	0.71	27.75	0.38	0.64	1.02
SE \pm	0.006	0.035	0.003	0.003	0.004
CD (P=0.05)	0.017	0.101	0.010	0.009	0.011

Table 5: Quality Parameters of F₆ generation of chilli germplasm

F ₆ Generation	Capsaicin % (Green chilli)	Anthocyanin (mg 100 g ⁻¹) (Red chilli)	Chlorophyll 'a' (mg g ⁻¹)	Chlorophyll 'b' (mg g ⁻¹)	Total Chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹)
WKLC-1	0.42	25.68	0.45	0.79	1.24
WKLC-2	0.62	27.25	0.43	0.80	1.23
WKLC-3	0.54	24.22	0.45	0.82	1.27
WKLC-4	0.70	30.53	0.46	0.83	1.30
WKLC-5	0.47	29.53	0.43	0.79	1.22
WKLC-6	0.94	27.40	0.42	0.78	1.20
WKLC-7	0.47	25.68	0.40	0.65	1.05
WKLC-8	0.74	26.53	0.47	0.83	1.30
WKLC-9	0.65	25.38	0.52	0.87	1.38
WKLC-10	0.87	24.94	0.40	0.80	1.20
WKLC-11	0.67	23.60	0.44	0.80	1.23
WKLC-12	0.38	29.48	0.46	0.80	1.26
WKLC-13	0.61	27.75	0.43	0.77	1.20
WKLC-14	0.42	25.20	0.42	0.82	1.24
WKLC-15	0.50	27.56	0.42	0.80	1.22
WKLC-16	0.50	28.51	0.46	0.78	1.24
WKLC-17	0.39	26.45	0.51	0.86	1.37
SE \pm	0.006	0.033	0.013	0.003	0.004
CD (P=0.05)	0.018	0.096	0.036	0.008	0.010

4. Conclusion

From the above study it can be indicated that significant difference observed in quality parameter of hundred chilli germplasm.

5. References

1. Arnon DI. Plant Physiol 1949;24:1.
2. Deli J, molnar P, matus Z, toth G. Carotenoid composition in the fruits of red paprika (*Capsicum annuum* var. *Lycopersiforme rubrum*) during ripening; biosynthesis of carotenoids in red paprika. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2001;49(3):1517-1523.
3. Garcia JA, Wall MM, Waddell CA. Endogenous levels of tocopherols and ascorbic acid during fruit ripening of new Mexican type chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cultivars. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 1998;46:5093-5096.
4. Govindarajan VS. Capsicum production, technology, chemistry and quality. In: Part-II Processed products, standards, world production and trade. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 1986;23(3):201-288.
5. Jagadeesh RC. Genetics of yield, Yield components and fruit quality parameters in Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Ph.D Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India 2000.
6. Koshale Chandraprabha, Devendra Kumar, Kurrey, Lochan Das Banjare. Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield and physiological parameter of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018;6(4):118-122.
7. Levy A, Harel S, Palevitch D, Akiri B, Menagem E, Kanner J *et al.* Carotenoid pigments and β -carotene in paprika fruits (*Capsicum spp.*) with different genotypes. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 1995;43:362-366.
8. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi 1967, 381.
9. PINO J, Sauri-Duch E, Marbot R. Changes in volatile compounds of Habanero chile pepper (*Capsicum chinese* Jacq. cv. *Habanero*) at two ripening stages. Food Chemistry 2006;94:394-398. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.11.040>.
10. Quagliotti L. Effect of Soil moisture and nitrogen level on pungency of berries of (*Capsicum annum* L.). Hort Res 1971;11(1):93-97.
11. Ranganna S. Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetables products. Tata Mc Graw Hill publishing Co. Ltd., New Delhi 1997, 9-82.
12. Staryth GA, Nosova LL. Productivity and fruit quality of early capsicum cultivars. Pavyshenie Produ-khivisosti plodovykh-i, Ovoshchnykh Kultur 1982, 74-79.