www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2020; 9(7): 379-382 © 2020 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 16-05-2020 Accepted: 18-06-2020

G Sandeep

P. G Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Dr. U Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy

Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. PV Ramesh Babu

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. P Kavitha

Associate Professor and Head, Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. M Srinivasa Reddy

Associate Professor and Head, Department of Agronomy Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: G Sandeep P. G Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural Collu-

Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Cultivar and sowing date effect on yield attributes and yield parameters of redgram (*Cajanus cajan* L.)

G Sandeep, Dr. U Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Dr. PV Ramesh Babu, Dr. P Kavitha and Dr. M Srinivasa Reddy

Abstract

A field investigation on "Cultivar and sowing date effect on productivity of redgram (Cajanus cajan L.)" was conducted during kharif, 2019 at college farm, Agricultural College, Mahanandi of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University. The experimental site was sandy loam soil and it was neutral in reaction with a pH of 7.38, EC of 0.20 ds m^{-1} . The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept (FRBD) having twelve treatments and three replications. The experiment comprised three redgram cultivars viz., C1: LRG-52; C2: PRG-176 and C3: ICPL-87119 and four sowing dates viz., D1: 2nd FN of June; D2: 1st FN of July; D3: 2nd FN of July and D4: 1st FN of August. Among the cultivars tried, C₃ (ICPL-87119) recorded significantly highest range of pods plant⁻¹(822), seed yield (1405 kg ha⁻¹ ¹) and haulm yield (5352 kg ha⁻¹) than other cultivars, which is on par with C_1 (LRG-52) at harvest. C_1 (LRG-52) recorded more number of grains $pod^{-1}(4.2)$ and test weight (12.9 g) over other cultivars. The highest harvest index (15.51%) was found in C₂ (PRG-176) than other cultivar, which is on par with C₃ (ICPL-87119). Among the sowing dates, D₂ (1st FN of July) produced significantly highest number of pods plant⁻¹ (723), test weight (12.8 g), seed yield (1432 kg ha⁻¹), haulm yield (5342 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (15.66%) than other rest of sowing dates at harvest, which is on par with $D_1(2^{nd}$ FN of June). The number of grains $\text{pod}^{-1}(4.2)$ were significantly in D₁ (2nd FN of June), which is however on par with D₂ (1st FN of July) over other sowing dates.

Keywords: Cultivars, sowing dates, redgram, pods plant⁻¹, grains pod⁻¹, test weight, seed yield, haulm yield, harvest index

Introduction

Redgram [*Cajanus cajan* L.] commonly known as pigeonpea, arhar or tur. It is most drought tolerant crop among pulses with deep root system, often cross pollinated, C3 plant and short day plant. Redgram is one of the important pulse crop grown in India under different agroclimatic conditions. It is the second most important pulse crop after the gram and an important *kharif* crop within the country. India ranks first in area and production within the world with 80 and 77% of world's area and production, respectively. Pigeonpea is especially cultivated and consumed in developing countries of the globe. Pigeonpea could be a more protein made staple food. It contains 22% of protein, which is nearly 3 times that of cereals. Pigeonpea provides a major share of protein demand of vegetarian population of the country

Various factors responsible for low yield of redgram at the farmer's fields are unawareness of farmers about optimum sowing date, suitable variety, improper plant population, insufficient plant protection and imbalanced use of fertilizers. Time of seeding and appropriate variety is most important agronomic factors for realizing the yield potential of improved varieties.

Time of sowing is a non-monetary input, which will influence the growth and yield of the redgram. Sowing time has prominent influence on vegetative and reproductive stages of pigeonpea. It also depends on crop duration and vigor of genotype. Date of sowing determines time of flowering and dry matter accumulation, seed set and seed yield. Redgram is known to be sensitive to photoperiod and temperature. Early sowing produces more number of pods plant⁻¹ and seeds pod⁻¹, which results in higher yields. Hence, it is necessary to identify the best sowing time which are suited to changes in the environment on sustained production. Delayed sowing beyond the optimum period produced less grain yields of pigeonpea (Kumar *et al.*, 2008) ^[3].

Besides appropriate sowing date, cultivars are utmost importance for yield potential in any crop and for the better utilization of the resources. Cultivars may vary in productivity and are equally important in realizing the potential yield of crop.

Materials and methods

The field experiment was conducted to study the "Cultivar and sowing date effect on productivity of redgram (Cajanus cajan L.)" at college farm, Agricultural College, Mahanandi during kharif season of 2019. The experiment was conducted in Agricultural college farm, Mahanandi campus of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, which is situated geographically at 15°.51' N latitude and 78°.61' E longitude with an altitude of 233.5 meters above the mean sea level in scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh and according to Troll's classification, it falls under Semi-Arid Tropics (SAT). The experimental site was sandy loam and it was neutral in reaction with a P^H of 7.38, EC of 0.20 ds m⁻¹, low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potassium. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with factorial concept (FRBD) having twelve treatments and three replications. The experiment comprised three redgram cultivars viz., C1: LRG-52; C2: PRG-176 and C3: ICPL-87119 and four sowing dates viz., D1: 2nd FN of June; D2: 1st FN of July; D₃: 2nd FN of July and D₄: 1st FN of August. The weekly mean maximum temperature during the crop period (24-06-2019 to 18-02-2020) ranged from 28.7°C to 34.4°C, with an average of 30.9°C. The weekly mean minimum temperature ranged from 17.9°C to 26.5°C, with an average of 22.2°C. The weekly mean relative humidity during the crop period ranged from 74.7 per cent to 98.5 per cent, with an average of 93.3 per cent. The rainfall received and number of rainy days during the crop growth period was 1355 mm and 49, respectively.

Results and discussion

The result of present investigation, cultivars and sowing dates significantly influence the number of pods plant⁻¹, number of grains pod⁻¹, test weight (100 seed weight), seed yield, haulm yield and harvest index presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Among cultivars, more number of pods $plant^{-1}$ (822) were found in C₃ (ICPL-87119) over other cultivars, while less number of pods plant⁻¹ (355) were recorded in C_2 (PRG-176). The more number of pods plant⁻¹ were recorded in early sown cultivar C₃ (ICPL-87119) at all dates of sowing tried in experimentation. This might be due to genetic makeup of cultivar that has helped in improving photosynthetic activity due to increased source capacity and efficient translocation of photosynthates to the sink and similar results were reported earlier by Parameshwarappa (2002)^[5] and Kashyap et al. (2003). Significantly more number of grains pod^{-1} (4.2) was produced in C₁ (LRG-52), while less number of grains pod- $^{1}(3.2)$ was found in C₃ (ICPL-87119). This might be due to more production, efficient translocation of photosynthates to the sink and bold seed nature of LRG-52. The results of more number of grains pod⁻¹ are in close conformity with the results of Sahajadeva et al. (2019)^[8]. C₃ (ICPL-87119) cultivar recorded highest seed yield (1405 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (5352 kg ha⁻¹) which is statistically superior over C_1 (LRG-52) and C₂ (PRG-176). Significantly highest seed and haulm yield was obtained in early sown redgram over delayed sowings. The results are in close association with the findings of Parameshwarappa (2002)^[5], Pramod *et al.* (2010)^[7] and Kumar et al. (2018)^[4]. The highest harvest index (15.51) was produced in C₂ (PRG-176), which is on par with C₃ (ICPL-

87119).

Among the sowing dates, significantly more number of pods plant⁻¹ (723) were recorded in D₂ (1st fort night of July), which is on par with D_1 (2nd fort night of June) (703), but higher number of grains pod⁻¹ (3.8) was recorded in D₁ (2nd fort night of June), which is on par with D_2 (1st fort night of July) (3.7) over rest of sowing dates, while less number of pods plant⁻¹ (362) and number of grains pod⁻¹ (3.5) were recorded by D₄ (1st fortnight of August). The early sown redgram produced more number of pods plant⁻¹ and number of grains pod⁻¹ than delayed sowings. This might be due to early sowing, which enjoyed favorable weather conditions like light, temperature, precipitation and genetic makeup of cultivar that has helped in improving photosynthetic activity due to increased source capacity and efficient translocation of photosynthates to the sink. The similar results were reported by Vijaykumar (1997) ^[9]. The crop sown during D_2 (1st fort night of July) produced highest seed (1432 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (5342 kg ha⁻¹), which is on par with seed (1401 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (5336 kg ha⁻¹) of D_1 (2nd fort night of June) than other sowing dates, while lowest seed (799 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (3503 kg ha⁻¹) were recorded by D_4 (1st fortnight of August).

This might be due to favorable weather conditions like light, temperature, precipitation, which promoted better growth and inherited genetic makeup of cultivar resulting in higher growth parameters and yield attributing characters like number of pods plant⁻¹. It may be the result of maximum translocation of photosynthates towards seed formation in early sown crop. The results are in same line with the results of Vijaykumar (1997)^[9], Dahariya et al. (2018)^[1] and Kumar et al. (2018)^[4]. The highest harvest index (15.66%) was found in D_2 (1st fort night of July), which is in parity with D_1 (2nd fort night of June) (15.36%) than rest of sowing dates, while lowest harvest index was recorded by D₄ (1st fortnight of August) (13.24%). Harvest index was significantly highest in early sown crop over other sowing dates. This might be attributed to high assimilate use efficiency with increased sink capacity for a longer period of time. These results are closely in conformity with the findings of Pramila and Rajireddy $(2010)^{[6]}$.

Statistically significant interaction existed among the cultivars and sowing dates on number of pods plant⁻¹, number of grains pod⁻¹, seed yield, haulm yield and harvest index. The C₃D₂ (1115) treatment recorded more number of pods plant⁻¹, which is on par with C_3D_1 (1065) treatment than other treatments, while less number of pods plant⁻¹ (155) were produced in C_2D_4 . The C_1D_1 and C_1D_2 treatment recorded more number of grains pod⁻¹ (4.3), which is on par with C_1D_3 (4.1) treatment, while less number of grains pod⁻¹ was produced in C₃D₄ and C_3D_3 (3.2) treatments over other treatment combinations. The C₃D₂ (1914 kg ha⁻¹) treatment recorded highest seed yield, which is on par with C_3D_1 (1879 kg ha⁻¹) treatment. The C_1D_2 (6058 kg ha⁻¹) recorded highest haulm yield, which is on par with C_3D_2 (5995 kg ha⁻¹) and C_3D_1 (5936 kg ha⁻¹) while C_2D_4 (550 kg ha⁻¹) and C₂D₃ (2545 kg ha⁻¹) recorded lowest seed and haulm yield, respectively. The treatment C_2D_3 (18.03%) recorded highest harvest index, which is on par with C_3D_2 (17.78%) and C₃D₁(17.49%), while lowest harvest index was recorded in $C_1D_3(8.53\%)$.

Treatments	Number of pods plant ⁻¹	Number of grains pod ⁻¹	Test weight (g)						
Cultivars (C)									
C1 : LRG-52	550	4.2	12.9						
C2: PRG-176	355	3.6	12.2						
C ₃ : ICPL-87119	822	3.2	11.8						
SE (m)±	15.2	0.02	0.23						
CD (p = 0.05)	45	0.1	0.7						
	Sowing date	e (D)							
$D_1: 2^{nd}$ FN of June	703	3.8	12.6						
D ₂ : 1 st FN of July	723	3.7	12.8						
D ₃ : 2 nd FN of July	515	3.6	12.0						
D4: 1 st FN of August	362	3.5	11.8						
Cultivars	Cultivars								
SE (m)±	17.6	0.03	0.26						
CD (p = 0.05)	52	0.1	0.8						
(Interaction) C X D									
SE (m)±	30.5	0.05	0.45						
CD (p = 0.05)	89	0.1	NS						

Table 1: Cultivar and sowing d	ate effect on yield	l attributes of redgram.
--------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------

Table 2: Interaction among cultivars and sowing dates on number of
pods plant⁻¹.

Treatment	Number of Pods plant ⁻¹					
Treatment	\mathbf{D}_1	D ₂	D ₃	D 4	Mean	
C1	610	617	387	589	550	
C_2	434	437	392	155	355	
C3	1065	1115	764	343	822	
Mean	703	723	515	362		

 Table 3: Interaction among cultivars and sowing dates on number of grains pod⁻¹.

Treatment		Number of grains pod ⁻¹						
Treatment	D 1	D ₂	D ₃	D 4	Mean			
C1	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.2			
C_2	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6			
C3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2			
Mean	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5				

Table 4: Cultivar and sowing date effect on yield parameters of redgram.

	Treatments	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)					
Cultivars (C)									
C1 :	LRG-52	1002	5001	12.74					
C ₂ :	PRG-176	986	3329	15.51					
C3:	ICPL-87119	1405	5352	14.92					
	SE (m)±	14.2	54.8	0.2					
	CD (p = 0.05)	42	161	0.60					
		Sowing date (D)							
D1:	2 nd FN of June	1401	5336	15.36					
D2:	1 st FN of July	1432	5342	15.66					
D3:	2 nd FN of July	893	4061	13.30					
D4:	1 st FN of August	799	3503	13.24					
	Cultivars								
	SE (m)±	16.4	63.2	0.2					
	CD (p = 0.05)	48	185	0.69					
(Interaction) C X D									
	SE (m)± 28.4 109.5 0.4								
	CD (p = 0.05)	83	321	1.2					

 Table 5: Interaction among cultivars and sowing dates on seed yield

 (kg ha⁻¹).

Treatmonta	Seed yield					
Treatments	D 1	D ₂	D 3	D 4	Mean	
C1	1153	1183	591	1080	1002	
C_2	1170	1200	1025	550	986	
C3	1879	1914	1063	766	1405	
Mean	1401	1432	893	799		

Table 6: Interaction among cultivars and sowing dates on haulmyield (kg ha⁻¹).

Treatments	Haulm yield					
Treatments	D ₁	\mathbf{D}_2	D ₃	D_4	Mean	
C1	5920	6058	4788	3237	5001	
C_2	4153	3942	2545	2678	3329	
C3	5936	5995	4850	4593	5352	
Mean	5336	5342	4061	3503		

 Table 7: Interaction among cultivars and sowing dates on harvest index (%).

Treatments	Harvest index					
Treatments	\mathbf{D}_1	D ₂	D 3	D 4	Mean	
C1	12.55	12.81	8.53	17.06	12.74	
C2	15.63	16.37	18.03	11.59	15.51	
C3	17.49	17.78	13.34	11.07	14.92	
Mean	15.36	15.66	13.30	13.24		

Conclusion

The cultivar C₃ (ICPL-87119) recorded higher seed and haulm yield over other cultivars. Among the sowing dates, D₂ (1st fort night of July) gave better yields, which is on par with D₁ (2nd fort night of June) over other sowing dates. Cultivar C₃ (ICPL-87119) sown on D₂ (1st fort night of July) produced significant higher yields, which is on par with sown on D₁ (2nd fort night of June) than other combinations.

References

- Dahariya L, Chandrakar DK, Chandrakar M. Effect of dates of planting on the growth characters and seed yield of transplanted pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Mill Sp.) International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018; 6(1):2154-2157.
- Kashyap TL, Shrivastava GK, Lakpale R, Choubey NK. Productivity potential of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) genotypes in response to growth regulators under Vertisols of Chhattisgarh plains. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2003; 24(2):449-452.
- Kumar N, Gopinath KA, Anil K, Vastva S, Vinay M. Performance of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) at different sowing dates in the mid-hills of Indian Himalayas. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2008; 54(5):507-514.
- 4. Kumar A, Dhanoji MM, Meena MK. Phenology and productive performance of pigeonpea as influenced by date of sowing. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018; 7(5):266-268.
- 5. Parameshwarappa SG. Performance of pigeonpea cultivar in medium black soils of northern transitional zone of Karnataka under rainfed conditions. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural sciences. 2002; 15(3):502-503.
- Pramila RB, Rajireddy D. Performance of pigeonpea in sole and inter cropping system in vertisols of Krishna -Godavari zone in Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010; 44(3):225-228.
- Pramod G, Pujari BT, Basavaraja MK, Mahantesh V, Gowda V. Yield, yield parameters and economics of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) as influenced by genotypes, planting geometry and protective irrigation. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010; 6(2):422-425.
- 8. Sahajadeva Ch, Rao VP, Lakshmi V, Rao PGMV. Impact of a pigeonpea variety LRG 52 in rainfed areas of Prakasam district. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2019; 11(14):8834-8836.
- 9. Vijaykumar N. Sesamum based cropping systems in Dryland Alfisols. *M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis*, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, 1997.