



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.03

TPI 2020; 9(4): 170-175

© 2020 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 18-02-2020

Accepted: 20-03-2020

**Manju Rani Sahu**

Department of vegetable science  
IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,  
India

**Ashirbachan Mahapatra**

Department of agronomy IGKV,  
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Madan Kumar Jha**

Department of vegetable science  
IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,  
India

## Effect of different weed management practices on onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

**Manju Rani Sahu, Ashirbachan Mahapatra and Madan Kumar Jha**

### Abstract

The field experiment was conducted during Rabi season of 2016-17 at the Horticulture Research cum Instructional farm, BTC CARS, Bilaspur (C.G.). The treatments consisted of ten combination of different agro input management practices viz., T1 (control weedy check), T2 (weed free), T3 (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)), T4 (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)), T5 (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (Post-emergence)), T6 (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (Pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (Post-emergence)), T7 (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (Pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (Post-emergence)), T8 (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT), T9 (Black polythene mulch), T10 (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha). The weed population weed fresh weight and weed dry matter, varied significantly with all the stages of crop. It showed increasing trend with lowest at 20 DAT and highest at 60 DAT. Considering the weed control strategies significantly lower weed population. Weed fresh weight, weed dry matter, weed index and relatively higher weed control efficiency were recorded in weed free plot (T2) then rest of all the treatments and in herbicidal treatments T7 (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (Pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (Post-emergence)), was attribute to the effective control of weeds and in terms of alone application of herbicide Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) control weed effectively than other treatments.

**Keywords:** Pendimethalin, oxyfluorfen, quizalofop-ethyl, and onion

### Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important commercial vegetable crops grown all over the world. It is native of Central Asia and Mediterranean region. It belongs to family Alliaceae and the plant is either biennial or perennial. Its semi-cylindrical leaves emerge from a subterranean bulb, which bears fascicled, short and scarcely branched roots. The stem is erect and an umbel-like inflorescence composed of white or greenish-white small flowers grow at the tip of the stem. The fruit is capsule, which contain black flat seeds. The edible bulb is composed of several overlapping layers on a central core. Onion possess as culinary, dietary and medicinal importance in daily life of people in the whole world, it is also a major vegetable crop to gain foreign currency.

It becomes a major cash crop with higher market demand and price. It is popularly known as “Queen of kitchen” because of its characteristic flavour and taste of food. Onion is a condiment crop, which is consumed fresh in salads or added in cooking dishes as a spice. Apart from furnishing nutrition, onion also provides relishing flavours to our diets. Recent research has suggested that onion in the diet may play a part in preventing heart diseases and other ailments (Sangha and Baring, 2003).

In Chhattisgarh, it is being grown on an area of 20.06 ('000 ha) with a production of 308.10 ('000) mt and the productivity is 15.36 ton/ha (NHRDF, Nashik). The maximum cultivated area and production of onion is Mahasamund followed by Durg, Kanker, and Raipur district (Anon, 2013) [2]. Onion is very rich in various nutrients and vitamins like vitamin „A“ thiamine, riboflavin, niacin and ascorbic acid and rest are the carbohydrates which make up the dry matter of the bulb. Under such circumstances application of herbicides offer a suitable method for weed control by producing maximum sized bulbs and higher yield.

The conventional method of weed control (hoeing and manual weeding) is very labourious, expensive and insufficient Weed infestation is the important constraint in onion production, which causes reduction in bulb and seed yield to the tune of 40 to 80% (Channapagoudar and Biradar, 2007). Onion is slow growing, shallow rooted crop with narrow upright leaves and non-branching habit. Due to this type of growing habit, it cannot compete well with weeds. In addition to this, frequent irrigation and fertilizer application allows for successive flushes of

**Corresponding Author:**

**Manju Rani Sahu**

Department of vegetable science  
IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,  
India

weeds in onion.

## Material and methods

### 1. Weed population at 20, 40, and 60 DAT

Weeds density in the experimental plots was recorded at three times *i.e.* 20, 40 and 60 DAT. Species wise weed count was made by four quadrates of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> in each plot randomly.

### 2. Weed fresh weight at 20, 40 and 60 DAT

Weeds fresh weight in the experimental plots was collected at three times *i.e.* 20, 40 and 60 DAT. Fresh weed sample was collected by four quadrates of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> in each plot randomly.

### 3. Weed dry matter at 20, 40 and 60 DAT

For dry weight matter of weeds, the fresh weed sample collected at 20, 40 and 60 DAT. The weeds were kept for drying in oven at 50°C for 48 hours. After complete drying, the dry matter production of weeds was recorded for different treatments.

### 4. Weed control efficiency (WCE)

The weed control efficiency was calculated on the basis of reduction in dry matter production in treated plot in comparison with the control plot and expressed in percentage. WEC%:  $DWC-DWT/DWC \times 100$

### 5. Weed index (%)

It is a measure of the efficacy of a particular treatment when compared with a weed free treatment. It is expressed as percentage of yield potential under weed free. Higher weed index means greater loss due to weeds. Weed index was

calculated by the formula outlined by Gill and Vijaya Kumar (1969).

$$\text{Weed index} = X-Y/X \times 100$$

## Results and discussion

### 1. Weed population at 20, 40, and 60 DAT

The data presented in table 1 show the effect of various herbicidal treatments on weed density at 20 days after transplanting.

At 20 DAT the weed population ranged from (4.04 to 9.21), the weed density at 20 days after transplanting was found to be significantly affected by different weed management treatments. The lowest weed population (4.04/m<sup>2</sup>) was recorded under T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free), it was significantly lowest weed population than all the other treatments. Among the treatments T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (6.81/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (6.75/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (6.49/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (6.39/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (6.38/m<sup>2</sup>), were significantly at par to each other and the lower weed population than rest of the treatments. Treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (8.29/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (9.16/m<sup>2</sup>), were recorded lower weed population over T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (9.21/m<sup>2</sup>), and T<sub>1</sub> (Control weedy check plot) (9.21/m<sup>2</sup>). Treatment T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and treatment T<sub>10</sub> recorded the maximum weed population.

**Table 1:** Effect of different weed management practices on weed population (Number of weed/m<sup>2</sup>) of onion at 20, 40 and 60 DAT\*

Treatment	Treatment detail	Weed population (Number of weed/m <sup>2</sup> )		
		20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (weedy check)	9.21 (84.84)	19.50 (380.22)	29.82 (889.07)
T <sub>2</sub>	Weed free	4.04 (16.40)	3.51 (12.53)	3.78 (14.53)
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	6.75 (45.64)	8.22 (67.62)	14.11 (199.29)
T <sub>4</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	6.38 (40.80)	8.07 (65.21)	14.06 (197.60)
T <sub>5</sub>	Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	9.16 (83.90)	7.98 (63.73)	13.46 (181.28)
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	6.81 (46.40)	7.66 (58.67)	13.33 (177.60)
T <sub>7</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	6.49 (42.27)	6.80 (46.40)	13.11 (171.95)
T <sub>8</sub>	Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT	9.21 (84.93)	4.79 (23.04)	9.74 (95.00)
T <sub>9</sub>	Black polythene mulch	6.39 (40.81)	9.31 (86.80)	10.67 (113.80)
T <sub>10</sub>	Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha	8.29 (68.80)	13.35 (178.44)	14.82 (219.68)
	SEM±	0.17	0.20	0.16
	CD (P=0.05)	0.49	0.59	0.49

\*DAT= Days after transplanting \*\*The data in the parentheses have been subjected to square root transformation

At 40 DAT the weed population ranged from (3.51/m<sup>2</sup> to 19.50/m<sup>2</sup>), the weed population at 40 days after transplanting was recorded to be significantly affected by different weed management treatments. The lowest weed population (3.51/m<sup>2</sup>) was found under T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free), it was significantly lowest weed population than all the treatment. Treatment (T<sub>8</sub>) Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT (4.79/m<sup>2</sup>) and (T<sub>7</sub>) Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg /ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence) (6.80/m<sup>2</sup>), were reported lower weed population. Treatment Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence) (T<sub>6</sub>) (7.66/m<sup>2</sup>), Quizalofop-ethyl @1 kg/ha (post-emergence) (T<sub>5</sub>) (7.98/m<sup>2</sup>), Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) (T<sub>4</sub>) (8.07/m<sup>2</sup>) and Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha

(pre-emergence) (T<sub>3</sub>) (8.22/m<sup>2</sup>), were significantly at par with each other. Treatment Black polythene mulch (T<sub>9</sub>) (9.31/m<sup>2</sup>), Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha (T<sub>10</sub>) (13.35/m<sup>2</sup>), also produced lower weed population than the weedy check plot (T<sub>1</sub>) in which weed population was recorded (19.50/m<sup>2</sup>). The different weed management treatments marked significant effect on weed population recorded at 60 DAT, the ranged from (3.78/m<sup>2</sup> to 29.82 /m<sup>2</sup>), Further, among the different treatments, significantly less weed population (3.78/m<sup>2</sup>) was observed under weed free (T<sub>2</sub>) than all other treatments, followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub> (two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (9.74/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (10.67/m<sup>2</sup>) which produced lower weed population. Treatment T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-

ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (13.11/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (13.33/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (13.46/m<sup>2</sup>) were at par with each other and lower weed population than rest of the treatments. Treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (14.06/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (14.11/m<sup>2</sup>), were also significantly at par to each other and the treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (14.82/m<sup>2</sup>), was recorded lower weed population than the Weedy check plot (T<sub>1</sub>) (29.82/m<sup>2</sup>).

All the weed management practices caused significant reduction in weed population compared with the weedy check. However, magnitude of reduction in population varied depending on the control measures adopted. The periodical weed density varied at different stages of the crop. Lowest weed population recorded in weed free (T<sub>2</sub>) at 20 DAT (4.04/m<sup>2</sup>), 40 DAT (3.51/m<sup>2</sup>) and 60 DAT (3.78/m<sup>2</sup>), population of weeds was more as compared to one stage to the other stages. Though, the herbicide treated plots has low population of weed due to mortality of weeds by herbicides, than T<sub>1</sub> control weedy check. The findings were in conformity with those reported by Ramalingam *et al.* (2013) [12], Sharma and Khandwe (2008) [13], Marwat *et al.* (2005) [10].

## 2. Weed fresh weight at 20, 40 and 60 DAT

Table 2 reveals that different weed management practices showed significant effect on total dry matter of weeds.

At 20 DAT the weed fresh weight ranged from (1.98 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 4.84 g/m<sup>2</sup>), the weed fresh weight at 20 days after transplanting was found to be significantly affected by different weed management treatments. T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free) treatment was produced significantly lower fresh weight (1.98 g/m<sup>2</sup>). Among the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (3.67 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (3.66 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg /ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (3.60 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (3.40 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg /ha (pre-emergence)) (3.53 g/m<sup>2</sup>), were significantly at par to each other. Treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (4.54 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>1</sub> (Weedy check plot) produced (4.83 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (4.84 g/m<sup>2</sup>), and T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (4.84 g/m<sup>2</sup>) were similar to produced maximum fresh weight of weed in initial stage at 20 DAT.

At 40 DAT the it ranged from (2.22 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 34.39 g/m<sup>2</sup>), at 40 DAT, weed free treatment exhibited significantly minimum fresh weight of weeds (2.22 g/m<sup>2</sup>)

**Table 2:** Effect of different weed management practices on fresh weight (g) of weed in onion at 20, 40 and 60 DAT\*

Treatment	Treatment detail	Fresh weight (g) of weed		
		20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (weedy check)	4.83 (23.34)	34.39 (1183.00)	52.50 (2756.37)
T <sub>2</sub>	Weed free	1.98 (3.92)	2.22 (4.93)	2.84 (8.15)
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	3.66 (13.38)	10.20 (104.00)	29.53 (871.87)
T <sub>4</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	3.53 (12.54)	10.06 (101.20)	29.23 (854.53)
T <sub>5</sub>	Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	4.84 (23.41)	10.70 (114.53)	27.36 (748.51)
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	3.67 (13.46)	8.80 (77.47)	19.39 (375.87)
T <sub>7</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	3.60 (12.94)	8.54 (73.03)	18.45 (340.27)
T <sub>8</sub>	Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT	4.84 (23.56)	4.86 (23.71)	15.58 (242.77)
T <sub>9</sub>	Black polythene mulch	3.40 (11.54)	12.40 (153.87)	27.96 (782.00)
T <sub>10</sub>	Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha	4.54 (20.58)	16.25 (264.13)	45.64 (2083.00)
	SEm±	0.12	0.17	0.14
	CD (P=0.05)	0.37	0.49	0.41

\*DAT= Days after transplanting \*\*The data in the parentheses have been subjected to square root transformation

And it was significantly lower than all the treatments. Among the herbicidal treatments T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg /ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (8.54 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (8.80 g/m<sup>2</sup>), were at par with each other, similarly these were also significantly at par with T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (10.20 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (10.06 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and recorded lower fresh weight. The treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (10.70 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (12.40 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (16.25 g/m<sup>2</sup>), produced lower weed fresh weight than Weedy check plot which was recorded maximum fresh weight (19.50 g/m<sup>2</sup>).

At 60 DAT the ranged from (2.84 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 52.50 g/m<sup>2</sup>) fresh weight was recorded. At 60 DAT, T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free) treatment presented significantly minimum fresh weight of weeds (2.84 g/m<sup>2</sup>) than all the treatments, followed by the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (15.58 g/m<sup>2</sup>) found

lower fresh weight of weed than rest of the treatment. Treatment T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (18.45 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (19.39 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (27.36 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (27.96 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (29.23 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (29.53 g/m<sup>2</sup>), and T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (45.64 g/m<sup>2</sup>) were also recorded significantly lower than the weedy check in which maximum fresh weight of weed is (52.50 g/m<sup>2</sup>).

Significantly lower fresh weight was noted in (T<sub>2</sub>) Weed free at 20 DAT and the highest fresh weight was observed in (T<sub>1</sub>) Weedy check plot at 20 DAT 40 DAT and 60 DAT, respectively. The lower fresh weight was found in all the herbicides treatments plot than weedy check (T<sub>1</sub>). It is due to mortality of weed by herbicides. Similar result found by Rahman *et al.* (2012) [11].

### 3. Weed dry matter at 20, 40, and 60 DAT

Table 3 reveals that different weed management practices showed significant effect on total dry matter of weeds.

At 20 DAT the weed dry weight ranged from (0.99 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 3.68 g/m<sup>2</sup>). The treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) Weed free treatment produced significantly lowest dry weight than the all other treatment. Among, the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (1.86 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (1.88 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (1.75 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (1.95 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (1.85 g/m<sup>2</sup>) were found significantly at par in respect to dry weight of weed at 20 DAT. Similarly the treatment (T<sub>10</sub>) Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha was also recorded lower dry weight of weeds than the (T<sub>1</sub>) Weedy check (3.68 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (3.62 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (3.64 g/m<sup>2</sup>), and in turn produced

almost similar dry weight of weeds.

At 40 DAT the dry matter weight ranged from (1.71 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 15.56 g/m<sup>2</sup>). The treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) Weed free treatment found significantly lower dry matter of weeds (1.71 g/m<sup>2</sup>) than all other treatments. However, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (4.26 g/m<sup>2</sup>) was reported lower dry matter than the rest of treatments and the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (4.70 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (4.91 g/m<sup>2</sup>) were at par with each other and produced lower dry weight than T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (5.30 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (5.37 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (5.84 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (8.43 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (11.55 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and (T<sub>1</sub>) Weedy check plot recorded maximum dry matter (15.56 g/m<sup>2</sup>).

**Table 3:** Effect of different weed management practices on dry matter (g) of weed in onion at 20, 40 and 60 DAT\*

Treatment	Treatment detail	Dry matter (g) of weed		
		20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (weedy check)	3.68 (13.57)	15.56 (242.03)	26.76 (716.19)
T <sub>2</sub>	Weed free	0.99 (0.98)	1.71 (2.98)	1.40 (2.06)
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	1.95 (3.88)	5.84 (34.16)	11.99 (143.71)
T <sub>4</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	1.85 (3.41)	5.37 (28.80)	11.05 (122.13)
T <sub>5</sub>	Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	3.62 (13.17)	5.30 (28.05)	10.87 (118.17)
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	1.88 (3.63)	4.91 (24.15)	8.16 (66.61)
T <sub>7</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	1.86 (3.48)	4.70 (22.16)	7.72 (59.72)
T <sub>8</sub>	Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT	3.64 (13.27)	4.26 (18.24)	7.27 (52.88)
T <sub>9</sub>	Black polythene mulch	1.75 (3.10)	8.43 (71.21)	13.60 (185.08)
T <sub>10</sub>	Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha	2.32 (5.40)	11.55 (133.51)	14.96 (223.96)
	SEm±	0.11	0.14	0.14
	CD (P=0.05)	0.34	0.41	0.43

\*DAT= Days after transplanting \*\*The data in the parentheses have been subjected to square root transformation

At 60 DAT the dry matter weight ranged from (1.40 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 26.76 g/m<sup>2</sup>), At 60 DAT all the weed management practices significantly lower the dry matter of weeds than (T<sub>1</sub>) Weedy check. The treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free) observed significantly minimum dry matter of weeds (1.40 g/m<sup>2</sup>) than all other treatment. The treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (7.27 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg /ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (7.72 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (8.16 g/m<sup>2</sup>) which was at par with each other, and found significantly lower dry matter over rest of the treatment. Treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (10.87 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (11.05 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (11.99 g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (13.60 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (14.96 g/m<sup>2</sup>) were also recorded lower dry matter than T<sub>1</sub> (Weedy check plot) and found maximum dry matter weight (26.76 g/m<sup>2</sup>).

Significantly lower dry weight was recorded which (T<sub>2</sub>) Weed free and maximum dry weight was noted (T<sub>1</sub>) Weedy check. All the weed management practices caused significant reduction in weed population compared with the weedy check. However, magnitude of reduction in dry weight varied depending on the control measures adopted. The periodical

weed density varied at different stages of the crop. At 20, 40 and 60 DAT, dry weight of weeds was increase but it was lower as compared to (T<sub>1</sub>) Weedy check in all stages. This might be due to the combined action of pre-planting and post-emergence herbicides used in onion. The primary mode of action of pendimethalin is to inhibit microtubule formation in cells of sus-ceptible monocot and dicot weeds which are an important part of the cell division process. As a result of restricted cell division, growth of the emerging weed seedling is prevented, eventuating in death due to lack of food reserves.

Similar results were reported by Hussain *et al.* (2008)<sup>[7]</sup> and Ghadage *et al.* (2006)<sup>[6]</sup>.

### 4. Weed control efficiency (%)

Data recorded on weed control efficiency are presented in Table 4

At 20 DAT, maximum weed control efficiency (73.11%) was obtained under T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free) than the rest of treatments and treatment T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (52.45%), T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (49.33%), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (49.83%), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (48.80%), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (46.86%), T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw

@ 20 q/ha) (36.84%), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (1.52%), T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (1.01%) were recorded higher weed control efficiency than control weedy check.

At 40 DAT, maximum weed control efficiency (89.03%) was obtained under T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free) which is superior than the rest of treatments. However, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (72.61%), T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (69.76), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (68.42%), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (65.96%), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (65.51%), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (62.45%), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (45.78%), T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (25.76%) of different management of weed control were higher weed control efficiency over the control plot (weedy check).

At 60 DAT, maximum weed control efficiency (94.77%) was obtained under T<sub>2</sub> (Weed free) treatment than the rest of treatments. The treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (72.84%), T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (71.13%), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (69.51%), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (59.38%), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (58.71%), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (55.21%), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (49.17%) and T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulch with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (44.09%) were also higher weed control efficiency than control weedy check plot and comparable with each other.

Weed control efficiency of different treatments ranged from

(1.01-73.11%) at 20 days after transplanting and (89.03-25.76%) at 40 DAT and (94.77- 44.09%) at 60 DAT. These results are in conformity with the studies conducted by Ghadage *et al.*, (2006) [6], Dalavai *et al.*, (2009) and Kalhapure and Shete (2013) [9].

### 5. Weed index (%)

Data recorded on weed index are presented in Table 5

Maximum weed index (%) was recorded under (T<sub>1</sub>) weedy check (71.11%) than the all other treatments. Treatments T<sub>10</sub> (Organic mulching with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha) (65.36%), T<sub>9</sub> (Black polythene mulch) (40.72%), T<sub>5</sub> (Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (31.72%), T<sub>3</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (23.10%), T<sub>4</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)) (21.80%), T<sub>8</sub> (Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT) (17.78%), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (10.64%), and T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) (8.76%) were also higher and remarkable weed index and comparable with each other.

Weed index is the indicator the reduction in the yield due to presence of the weeds. It was recorded lowest in weed free plots followed by T<sub>7</sub> (Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)), T<sub>6</sub> (Pendimethalin @ 1.750 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)) and maximum was in T<sub>1</sub> (weedy check plots) due to prominent weed-crop competition, suppression of crop plants by the emerging weeds and more utilization of nutrients and moisture by the weed canopy. Similar results were also reported by Kalhapure *et al.* (2014) [8], Ramalingam *et al.* (2013) [12]. They reported that weed free treatment provided the maximum weed index.

**Table 5:** Effect of different weed management practices on weed control efficiency (%) and Weed index (%) of onion at 20, 40 and 60 DAT\*

Treatment	Treatment detail	Weed control efficiency (%)			Weed index
		20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT	
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (weedy check)	-	-	-	71.11
T <sub>2</sub>	Weed free	73.11	89.03	94.77	0.00
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	46.86	62.45	55.21	23.10
T <sub>4</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence)	49.83	65.51	58.71	21.80
T <sub>5</sub>	Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	1.52	65.96	59.38	31.72
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin @ 1.75 kg/ha (pre emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	48.80	68.42	69.51	10.64
T <sub>7</sub>	Oxyfluorfen @ 1 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Quizalofop-ethyl @ 1 kg/ha (post-emergence)	49.33	69.76	71.13	8.76
T <sub>8</sub>	Two hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAT	1.01	72.61	72.84	17.78
T <sub>9</sub>	Black polythene mulch	52.45	45.78	49.17	40.72
T <sub>10</sub>	Organic mulching with paddy straw @ 20 q/ha	36.84	25.76	44.09	65.36

\*DAT=Days after transplanting

### References

- Anonymous, 2014-15. www.nhrdf.com
- Anonymous. Area, production and Productivity. Directorate Horticulture, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.), 2013.
- Anonymous. Area and production of onion in India. Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticultural Board, Ministry of Agriculture, GOI, Gurgaon, Haryana, 2014a, 164p. (www.nhb.gov.in)
- Channappagoudar BB, Biradar NR. Physiological studies on weed control efficiency in direct sown onion. Karnataka Journal Agricultural Science. 2007; 20(2):375-376.
- Dalavai BL, Kandasamy OS, Hanumanthappa M. Influence of herbicides and their application techniques on weed control efficiency yield and economics of transplanted onion. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009; 43(1):147-150.
- Ghadage HL, Kathepuri JV, Sankpal VY, Jawale SM. Integrated weed management in winter onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under irrigated conditions. Research on Crops. 2006; 7(1):275-278.
- Hussain Zahid, Marwat KB, Shah SIA, Arifullah SA, Khan NM. Evaluation of different herbicides for weed control in onion. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2008; 24(3):453-456.
- Kalhapure A, Shete B, Dhonde M. Weed management in onion by pre-planting and post-emergence herbicides for

- seed production. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2014; 46(2):142-145.
9. Kalhapure AH, Sheet BT. Effect of weed management practices on weed dynamics, weed control efficiency, bulb yield and economics in onion. *Journal of Agriculture Research and Technology*. 2013; 38(2):238-240.
  10. Marwat KB, Bakhtiar Gul, Muhammad Saeed. Efficacy of different herbicides for controlling weeds in onion in higher altitudes. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science*. 2005; 11(1-2):61-68.
  11. Rahman HU, Ullah K, Sadiq M, Javaria S, Ullah I, Rahman UH *et al.* Relationship between manual weeds removal timings and onion yield. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research*. 2012; 18(2):201-207.
  12. Ramalingam SP, Chinnappagounder C, Perumal M, Palanisamy MA. Evaluation of new formulation of oxyfluorfen (23.5% EC) for weed control efficacy and bulb yield in onion. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2013; 4:890-895.
  13. Sharma RC, Khandwe R. Response of weed control measures in kharif onion. *Research on Crops*. 2008; 9(2):348-349.