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Abstract

The experiment was laid out with eight varieties of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb Mansf) to evaluate superior variety against pest incidence under field conditions during summer season at Horticulture Instructional Farm, Department of Horticulture, C .P. College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat. The results showed that the minimum incidence of fruit fly was found with variety V1 (Sugar Baby) which was statistically at par with V2 (Arka Manik), V4 (Durgapura Lal- RW-177-3) and V3 (Asahi Yamato), respectively. The minimum incidence of red pumpkin beetle was observed in variety V2 (Arka Manik) whereas, maximum was recorded in variety V8 (GP-3). Among the varieties Arka Manik (V2) recorded the highest fruit yield per plant and yield per hectare.
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Introduction

Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb Mansf) is an annual vegetable crop which belongs to family cucurbitaceae. It is believed to be originated from Africa. It is cross pollinated in nature having 2n = 22 chromosome number. Fruits are climacteric and rich in lycopene. Watermelon has high nutritive value and rich in vitamin ‘C’ (antioxidant) which is good for health, low sugar and calories because of high per cent of water present in it. Due to the monoecious type the production of staminate flower is considerably more in numbers than pistillate flowers, therefore only female flowers contribute to the yield, accordingly it is important to study the possibility of bringing about a shift of sex in favour of the pistillate flowers. The sex expression in most of the cucurbitaceous crops, which have monoecious plants, is governed by genetically as well as environmental factors. Dhillion (1966) and Stanghellini *et al.* (2002) reported increased male: female ratio with increase in light intensity. The success of crop production depends upon suitable variety selection. In North Gujarat work done on varietal evaluation of watermelon is very scanty. As it is pre-requisite to identify first of all suitable variety with better performance under North Gujarat condition.

Materials and Methods

The research was carried out at Horticulture Instructional Farm, Department of Horticulture, C. P. College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Dantiwada, Gujarat. The experiment was carried out by application of Randomized Block Design (RBD) with four replications. There are eight varieties of watermelon taken with treatments. The seeds of these varieties were procured from various reliable sources *i.e* Indian Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi, Indian Institute of Horticulture Research, Bangalore, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Regional Station, Katrain (Kullu Valley) H. P. and Agricultural Research Station, Durgapura (Rajasthan). In general, 3 to 5 kg genetically pure seed is required per hectare for direct sowing. Two seeds were sown per dibble at desired spacing as per treatment. The farm yard manure (FYM) and fertilizer were applied at the rate of 30 tonnes per hectare and 100:50:50 NPK kg per hectare, respectively. The whole quantity of phosphorus and potassium was given as basal dose in the form of single super phosphate (SSP) and murate of potash (MOP), respectively. Whereas half quantity of nitrogen in the form of urea was applied as basal dose and remaining half dose after 30 days of sowing. A light irrigation was applied in each plot immediately after sowing. After seven days, gap filling was done for maintaining uniformity plant population. Subsequently irrigation, weeding,
interculturing and suitable plant protection measures were employed as per the package of practices for watermelon as and when required.

Results and discussion

Data on periodical pest incidence as influenced by the different varieties are presented in Table 1 and graphically depicted in Fig. 1. The minimum incidence of red pumpkin beetle was found with variety V2 (Arka Manik) i.e. 8.95 which was statistically at par with V1 (Sugar Baby) and V3 (Asahi Yamato) i.e. 9.83 and 10.00, respectively. Whereas, maximum incidence of red pumpkin beetle was observed with variety V8 (GP-3) i.e. 14.55. The minimum incidence of fruit fly was found with variety V1 (Sugar Baby) i.e. 3.05 which was statistically at par with V2 (Arka Manik) i.e. 3.13, V4 (Durgapura Lal- RW-177-3) i.e. 3.13 and V1 (Asahi Yamato) i.e. 3.40, respectively. Whereas, maximum incidence of fruit fly was found with variety V6 (Sonam) i.e. 5.95. The result revealed that among all varieties, the minimum incidence of red pumpkin beetle was observed in variety V2 (Arka Manik) whereas, maximum was recorded in variety V8 (GP-3). Among the varieties the minimum incidence of fruit fly was recorded with V1 (Sugar Baby) whereas, maximum was recorded with V6 (Sonam). The obtained results are verified with the resulted Thakur et al. (1994).

Fruit yield (kg/plant) were performed significantly by different varieties are presented in Table 1 and graphically traced in Fig. 2. Treatment V2 (Arka Manik) recorded highest (11.563 kg/plant) fruit yield per plant and which was statistically at par with V1 (Asahi Yamato) i.e. 10.308 kg per plant and V6 (Sonam) i.e. 10.218 kg per plant. The lowest fruit yield (7.183 kg/plant) was recorded with V8 (GP-3). Fruit yield (q/ha) were performed significantly by different varieties are presented in Table 1 and graphically sketched in Fig. 3. The highest fruit yield per hectare (578.13 q) was found with treatment V2 (Arka Manik) and which was statistically at par with V3 (Asahi Yamato) i.e. 515.38 q/ha and V6 (Sonam) i.e. 510.88 q/ha. The lowest yield per hectare (359.13 q) was noted with V8 (GP-3). Variety V2 (Arka Manik) recorded superior value of fruit yield per plant and yield per hectare whereas, variety V8 (GP-3) with lowest value of fruit yield per plant as well as yield per hectare. The difference with in varieties due to high dry matter partitioning toward fruits. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Yadav et al. (2005), Rolania et al. (2004), and Vashistha et al. (1983) in watermelon, Lawande et al. (1991) and Thakur et al. (1994) in bitter gourd, Singh et al. (1990) in pointed gourd, Sarnaik et al. (1999) in ivy gourd.

Table 1: Performance of different varieties with respect to pest incidence, yield fruit/ plant and yield/hectare.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pest incidence (%)</th>
<th>Yield of fruits (kg/plant)</th>
<th>Yield of fruits (q/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red pumpkin beetle</td>
<td>Fruit fly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1 (Sugar Baby)</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>7.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2 (Arka Manik)</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>11.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3 (Asahi Yamato)</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>7.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4 (Durgapura Lal- RW-177-3)</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>10.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5 (Durgapura- RW-187-2)</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>8.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6 (Sonam)</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>10.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7 (GP-42)</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>9.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8 (GP-3)</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>7.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Em. ±</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.D. at 5 %</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. %</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Performance of different varieties with respect to pest incidence.
Fig 2: Performance of different varieties with respect to yield of fruits (kg / plant).

Fig 3: Performance of different varieties with respect to yield of fruits (q / ha).
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