



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.03

TPI 2020; 9(11): 14-17

© 2020 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 14-09-2020

Accepted: 19-10-2020

**Priyobarta Singh Khumukcham**  
Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Pandit  
Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute  
of Agricultural Sciences,  
Bishnupur, Utlou, Manipur,  
India

**W Herojit Meetei**

Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Pandit  
Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute  
of Agricultural Sciences,  
Bishnupur, Utlou, Manipur,  
India

**Bibek Laishram**

Department of Agronomy,  
Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Bishnupur, Utlou,  
Manipur, India

**Surajkumar Sharma**

**Hajarimayum**  
Department of Agronomy,  
Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Bishnupur, Utlou,  
Manipur, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**Priyobarta Singh Khumukcham**  
Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Pandit  
Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute  
of Agricultural Sciences,  
Bishnupur, Utlou, Manipur,  
India

## Effect of integrated nutrient management on available macronutrient status in rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) var. M-27 cultivated soils of Utlou, Manipur

**Priyobarta Singh Khumukcham, W Herojit Meetei, Bibek Laishram and Surajkumar Sharma Hajarimayum**

DOI: <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2020.v9.i11a.5300>

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study “the Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on available macronutrient status in Rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) Var. M-27 cultivated soils of Utlou, Manipur” during *rabi* season of 2018- 2019 at the experimental field of Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bishnupur, Utlou, Manipur. Results revealed that integrated nutrient management markedly improved the available macronutrients in soil and highest availability was observed in T<sub>5</sub> treated with 75% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 25% RDN using Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* which is at par with T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>. This might be due to release of nutrients from organic sources thereby improved physical and biological properties of soil.

**Keywords:** Integrated nutrient management, rapeseed, macronutrient, vermicompost, *Azotobacter*

### Introduction

Rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) is a cruciferous oilseed crop and is originated from eastern Afghanistan adjoining part of India and Pakistan (Nand *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[16]</sup>. The seed and oil are used as condiment and preparation of pickles and flavouring curries and vegetables. Rapeseed is a major oilseed crop and it is mainly grown in *Rabi* season under poor management with imbalanced fertilization. The cost of production is increasing due to high prices of inorganic fertilizers. Therefore, the alternatives of chemical fertilizers are to be looked into just to reduce the cost of cultivation. The crops had an area of 6.51 million ha with a total net production of 7.67 million tonnes and with an average yield of 1179 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous, 2011) <sup>[1]</sup>. In Manipur rapeseed is grown during *Rabi* season after the harvest of *Kharif* rice, contributing about 80- 90% share in the total oilseed production of the state. Imbalanced nutrition is one of the important constraints toward higher mustard productivity, oil content and other quality parameters (Lal *et al.* 2016) <sup>[12]</sup>. Balanced combination of organic manures, bio-fertilizers and chemical fertilizer facilitate profitable and sustainable production (Singh and Siniswar, 2006) <sup>[22]</sup>. Therefore, integrated nutrient management approach underlines the basic concept of maintenance or adjustment of soil fertility and of plant nutrient supply at an optimum level for sustaining the desired crop productivity through optimization of the benefits from all possible sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner.

Keeping the above facts in view, a present investigation was carried out to study the “Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on available macronutrient status in Rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) Var. M-27 cultivated soils of Utlou, Manipur”.

### Materials and Methods

The field study was conducted during *rabi* season of 2018-19 at Research Farm of Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Utlou. The experimental site was situated at 24°43'54''N latitude and 93°51'31''S longitude at an altitude of 790 m above mean sea level. During the months of experiment, the mean maximum and minimum temperatures lies between 29.70 °C and 4.60 °C. The relevant physicochemical properties of the initial soil were presented in Table 1. Soil texture, pH, EC, organic carbon, cation exchange capacity (CEC), available N, P and K were determined following the standard procedure described by Jackson (1973) <sup>[10]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Initial soil characteristics of the experimental field

Soil characteristics	Results
Textural class	Clayey soil
Sand (%)	23.80
Silt (%)	27.50
Clay (%)	48.70
pH (1:2.5 soil: water ratio)	5.47
EC (1:2.5 soil: water ratio, $\text{dsm}^{-1}$ )	0.04
CEC [ $\text{cmol}(\text{p}^+) \text{kg}^{-1}$ ]	12.80
Organic carbon (%)	1.0
Available Nitrogen ( $\text{Kg N ha}^{-1}$ )	313.60
Available Phosphorus ( $\text{Kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ )	47.17
Available potassium ( $\text{Kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ )	268.80

### Treatment details

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 12 (twelve) treatments replicated thrice. The treatments were applied to rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L. var. M-27). The treatments used in the study were T<sub>0</sub>– Control, T<sub>1</sub>- 100% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea), T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDN using Vermicompost, T<sub>3</sub>- *Azotobacter*, T<sub>4</sub>- 75% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 25% RDN using Vermicompost, T<sub>5</sub>- 75% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 25% RDN using Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*, T<sub>6</sub>- 50% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 50% RDN using Vermicompost, T<sub>7</sub>- 50% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 50% RDN using Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*, T<sub>8</sub>- 25% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 75% RDN using Vermicompost, T<sub>9</sub>- 25% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 75% RDN using Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*, T<sub>10</sub>- 100% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 100% RDN using Vermicompost, T<sub>11</sub>- 100% RDN using Chemical fertilizer (urea) + 100% RDN using Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*. The recommended dose of NPK fertilizers with a ratio of 40:30:30 were applied as per treatment in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP respectively. However, a constant dose of phosphorus ( $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ), potassium ( $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ ) and half dose of nitrogen (N) as urea were applied as basal application a day before the date of sowing. Urea and Vermicompost are converted into 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of nitrogen content. The vermicompost was thoroughly mixed in soil as per treatment after final ploughing. The remaining dose of nitrogen was top dressed at pre-flowering stage. Seed treatment with *Azotobacter* was done by adding proper quantity of jaggery and shaken gently so that the adhesive spreads evenly on all the seeds, the required *Azotobacter* were sprinkled evenly over the seeds and continued shaking so that it forms coating over the seeds, the seeds were shade dried for 30 minutes before sowing. The various standard cultural operations were carried out as per recommended package of practices. Representative surface soil samples (0-20 cm) were collected at 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup> DAS and at harvest of rapeseed crop for the analyzing various chemical properties of soil using standard laboratory procedures.

### Statistical analysis

The analysis of variance method as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984) [5] was followed for statistical analysis and interpretation of the results. The significant of the different sources of variation was tested with the help of F test at 5% level of probability and the best treatment was selected by using DMRT.

## Results and Discussions

### 1. Available Nitrogen content in soil ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )

Data regarding the effect of INM on available nitrogen content in soil at various stages of rapeseed are presented in Table 2. Regardless of the treatments, available nitrogen content in soil increased up to 30<sup>th</sup> day after sowing (DAS) with exception in untreated control and then gradually decreased in each successive sampling days i.e. 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DAS and at harvest. However, the gradual decrease in soil available nitrogen content in untreated soil and with increase in the period of crop growth might be due to utilization of nitrogen, plant uptake (Hattab *et al.*, 2000) [8] and loss of nitrogen through volatilization (Reddy *et al.*, 1980) [20]. Differentiate among the different treatments significantly higher available nitrogen at 60<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup> DAS and at harvest was observed in T<sub>5</sub> which is statistically at par with T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> followed by T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>0</sub>. Further, the vermicompost, bio-fertilizer and chemical fertilizers alone or in combination were showed it's superiority in increasing available nitrogen over control (Sharma *et al.*, 2009) [21]. The increase may be attributed to higher microbial activity in the integrated nutrient management treatments which favored the conversion of the organically bound nitrogen into inorganic form (Panwar, 2008) [17].

**Table 2:** Effect of INM on available nitrogen content ( $\text{kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) in soil at various stage of rapeseed

Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T <sub>0</sub>	362.84 <sup>d</sup>	360.40 <sup>c</sup>	356.08 <sup>c</sup>	351.78 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	446.14 <sup>a</sup>	429.77 <sup>ab</sup>	409.59 <sup>ab</sup>	406.54 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	423.35 <sup>bc</sup>	423.32 <sup>ab</sup>	404.47 <sup>ab</sup>	404.21 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	415.82 <sup>e</sup>	414.84 <sup>b</sup>	398.64 <sup>b</sup>	397.95 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	438.81 <sup>ab</sup>	429.89 <sup>ab</sup>	410.11 <sup>ab</sup>	409.29 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	451.12 <sup>a</sup>	439.27 <sup>a</sup>	422.25 <sup>a</sup>	421.26 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	443.44 <sup>ab</sup>	434.30 <sup>ab</sup>	415.25 <sup>ab</sup>	413.76 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	442.27 <sup>ab</sup>	429.42 <sup>ab</sup>	410.78 <sup>ab</sup>	408.71 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	436.34 <sup>abc</sup>	427.26 <sup>ab</sup>	407.63 <sup>ab</sup>	406.60 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	444.54 <sup>ab</sup>	433.40 <sup>ab</sup>	414.48 <sup>ab</sup>	411.52 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	436.43 <sup>abc</sup>	426.86 <sup>ab</sup>	408.15 <sup>ab</sup>	406.90 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	449.83 <sup>a</sup>	435.86 <sup>ab</sup>	418.76 <sup>ab</sup>	414.23 <sup>ab</sup>
SE d ( $\pm$ )	9.30	9.12	8.76	8.072
CD ( $p=0.05$ )	19.29	18.92	18.16	18.08

Interaction means followed by the different letters in each column are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$  according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

### 2. Available Phosphorus ( $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ )

Data concerning to the effect of different treatments on available phosphorus content in the soil at various growing stages of rapeseed are presented in Table 3. Irrespective of different sources of nitrogen, the amount of available phosphorus increased up to 60<sup>th</sup> DAS followed by a gradual decline till harvest. More or less similar trend of available phosphorus accumulation at different stages of rapeseed growth was reported by Hadis *et al.* (2019) [6]. The decrease in soil available phosphorus with crop growth might be due to its utilization by the growing mustard crop (Bahl and Singh, 1997) [2]. Result further revealed that there was significantly higher accumulation of available phosphorus in soil treated with combined application of different nitrogen sources over control. These results were in conformity with Sharma *et al.* (2009) [21]. In general at all growth stages of rapeseed crop, available phosphorus content was observed to be the highest

as a result of application of 75% RDN using chemical fertilizer + 25% RDN through vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (T<sub>5</sub>) which remained at par with T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, and T<sub>6</sub>. This might be due to the fact that incorporation of organic manures increased phosphorus availability and this was attributed to the enhanced solubilization of native phosphorus and added phosphorus by the decomposition products of organic manures (Dotaniya and Datta, 2014 and Majumder *et al.*, 2017) [4, 13]. Similar results were also reported by Bhardwaj and Omanwar (1994) [3]. In addition to this the increase in available phosphorus content in soil might be due to higher phosphorus content of vermicompost (Reddy *et al.*, 1999) [19]. The release of organic acids during the decomposition process of vermicompost could be another reason for increase in phosphorus content in the soil (Raju and Reddy, 2000 and Meena *et al.*, 2015) [18, 14]. The increase in available phosphorus may be due to chelating effect of organic matter and organic matter lowered the Al-P and Fe-P in soil (Snehal *et al.*, 2019) [23].

**Table 3:** Effect of INM on available phosphorus content (kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil at various growth stages of rapeseed

Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T <sub>0</sub>	43.14 <sup>f</sup>	44.00 <sup>f</sup>	41.53 <sup>f</sup>	41.27 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	51.06 <sup>bcd</sup>	51.84 <sup>bcd</sup>	48.39 <sup>cde</sup>	45.49 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	49.01 <sup>de</sup>	50.27 <sup>de</sup>	48.03 <sup>de</sup>	45.32 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	48.61 <sup>e</sup>	49.63 <sup>e</sup>	47.56 <sup>e</sup>	44.69 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	51.67 <sup>bc</sup>	52.82 <sup>bcd</sup>	50.83 <sup>abc</sup>	47.39 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	55.51 <sup>a</sup>	55.86 <sup>a</sup>	52.86 <sup>a</sup>	51.43 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	51.21 <sup>bcd</sup>	53.01 <sup>bc</sup>	50.08 <sup>bcd</sup>	49.41 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	51.70 <sup>bc</sup>	52.99 <sup>bc</sup>	50.90 <sup>ab</sup>	49.72 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	50.53 <sup>bcd</sup>	51.19 <sup>cde</sup>	49.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	47.63 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	52.20 <sup>bc</sup>	53.20 <sup>bc</sup>	51.11 <sup>ab</sup>	50.44 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	50.09 <sup>cde</sup>	51.68 <sup>bcd</sup>	49.90 <sup>bcd</sup>	48.71 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	52.76 <sup>b</sup>	54.02 <sup>ab</sup>	52.01 <sup>ab</sup>	50.70 <sup>ab</sup>
SE d (±)	1.09	1.11	1.06	1.02
CD (p=0.05)	2.26	2.31	2.20	2.13

Interaction means followed by the different letters in each column are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$  according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

### 3. Available Potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O)

Scrutiny of the data in Table 4 showed the effect of integrated nutrient management on available potassium content in soil at various stages of rapeseed. Irrespective of different treatments, result revealed that available potassium content gradually increase up to 30<sup>th</sup> DAS followed by a decline till harvest. Similar trend of potassium availability was also reported earlier by Majumder *et al.* (2017) [13]. Further study of the data revealed that combined application of inorganic and organic sources of nitrogen significantly influenced available potassium content over control at different stages of crop growth. The higher availability of K in soil may be due to beneficial effect of organic manures on the reduction of potassium fixation; added organic matter interacted with K clay to release K from non-exchangeable fraction to the available pool. The reason attributed could be the organic and inorganic acids produced during decomposition of vermicompost which might have helped in the release of mineral bound insoluble potassium and also reduced the potassium fixation (Mohankumar and Gowda, 2010) [15]. This is also in corroboration with the findings of Lal *et al.* (1990) [11]. Comparing among the different treatments, higher available potassium was recorded in T<sub>11</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> on 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> DAS,

90<sup>th</sup> DAS and at harvest stage too. Harikesh *et al.* (2017) [9] also notified that INM treatments got the highest nutrient availability as compared to inorganic fertilizer application treatment.

**Table 4:** Effect of INM on available potassium content (kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil at various growth stages of rapeseed

Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T <sub>0</sub>	215.00 <sup>d</sup>	210.27 <sup>d</sup>	204.89 <sup>d</sup>	203.50 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	275.64 <sup>a</sup>	261.91 <sup>ab</sup>	252.72 <sup>abc</sup>	245.97 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	254.35 <sup>c</sup>	251.16 <sup>bc</sup>	250.17 <sup>bc</sup>	248.88 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	251.32 <sup>c</sup>	246.95 <sup>c</sup>	244.76 <sup>c</sup>	243.02 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	268.35 <sup>ab</sup>	261.47 <sup>ab</sup>	252.82 <sup>abc</sup>	250.60 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	276.49 <sup>a</sup>	267.33 <sup>a</sup>	264.43 <sup>a</sup>	263.63 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	269.83 <sup>ab</sup>	262.50 <sup>ab</sup>	259.01 <sup>ab</sup>	256.93 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	269.30 <sup>ab</sup>	261.66 <sup>ab</sup>	256.48 <sup>abc</sup>	254.58 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	262.34 <sup>bc</sup>	257.62 <sup>abc</sup>	255.08 <sup>abc</sup>	252.95 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	269.00 <sup>ab</sup>	264.31 <sup>ab</sup>	257.16 <sup>abc</sup>	254.92 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	259.44 <sup>bc</sup>	255.70 <sup>abc</sup>	253.54 <sup>abc</sup>	250.67 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	276.30 <sup>a</sup>	267.14 <sup>a</sup>	264.24 <sup>a</sup>	260.15 <sup>ab</sup>
SE d (±)	5.64	5.50	5.41	5.36
CD (p=0.05)	11.69	11.40	11.23	11.12

Interaction means followed by the different letters in each column are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$  according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

### Conclusion

The treatment receiving combined application of organic manure, chemical fertilizers and bio-fertilizers increased N, P and K content over the untreated control. This is due to the fact that addition of nutrient from chemical fertilizer and mineralization of organic fertilizer and with the help of bio-fertilizer (*Azotobacter*). So, highest accumulation of available macronutrient content in soil was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> which is at par with T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>. Therefore, the integrated use of inorganic fertilizer with organic manures and bio-fertilizer could be the better option in view of the above findings as well as the additional advantage of creating a favourable environment thereby improved physical and biological properties of soil.

### References

- Anonymous. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Co-operation, Ministry of agriculture, Government of India 2011.
- Bahl GS, Singh A. The inorganic soil phosphorus fractions and available P as influenced by green manuring, cropping and P fertilization. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 1997;45(1):19-23.
- Bharadwaj Y, Omamvar PK. Long term effect of continuous rotational cropping and fertilization on crop yields and soil properties-11. Effects on EC, pH, organic matter and available nutrients of soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 1994;42(3):387-392.
- Dotaniya ML, Datta SC. Impact of bagasse and press mud on availability and fixation capacity of phosphorus in an inceptisol of north India. Sugar Technology 2014; 16(1):109-112.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. New York Wiley. Interscience 1984.
- Hadis M, Meteke G, Haile W. Nutrient release pattern from Leptic Cambisols as influenced by vermicompost and inorganic fertilizer applications. Journal of the Soil

- Science Environmental Management 2019;10(1):12-20.
7. Harikesh Ali, Singh A, Kumar G, Shivam S, Yadav RP, Tiwari A et al. Effect of integrated nutrient management and plant geometry on soil properties and availability of nutrients under SRI technique of rice. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2017;6(5):86-89.
  8. Hattab Omar K, Natarajan K, Gopalswamy A. Effect of organic and inorganic nitrogen combination on rice yield and N uptake. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2000;48(2):398-400.
  9. Hazarika M, Sarma PK, Borah P, Baruah HC, Deka B, Moral G et al. Long Term Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Properties and Productivity of Toria (*Brassica campestris*) in an Inceptisol under Rainfed Upland Condition of North Bank Plains Zone of Assam. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research & Development* 2016;31(1):09-14.
  10. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. 1<sup>st</sup> edn. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 1973, 1-484.
  11. Lal S, Mathur BS, Sinha K. Effect of long-term fertilization, manuring and liming of an Alfisol on maize, wheat and soil properties-III. Forms of potassium. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 1990;38(1):21-26.
  12. Lal B, Rana KS, Priyanka Gautam, Rana DS, Meena BP, Meena RK. Productivity of Ethiopian Mustard: Chickpea Intercropping System Influenced by Moisture Conservation Practices and P and S Fertilization. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett* 2016.
  13. Majumder S, Halder TK, Saha D. Integrated nutrient management of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L. var. yellow sarson) grown in a typic haplaquept soil. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science* 2017;9(2):1151-1156.
  14. Meena BP, Kumar A, Lal B, Sinha NK, Tiwari PK, Dotaniya ML et al. Soil microbial, Chemical properties and crop productivity as affected by organic manure application in popcorn (*Zea mays* L. var. evarta). *African Journal of Microbiological Research* 2015;9(21):1402-1418
  15. Mohankumar AB, Gowda NC. Effect of different organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on available NPK, microbial density of the soil and nutrient uptake of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *An Asian Journal of Soil Science* 2010;5(2):291-294.
  16. Nand Kishor Maurya, Rajendra Singh, Joginder Singh, Rashmi Nigam Wajid Husan, Anant Kumar. Screening of rapeseed-mustard varieties against mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*). *South Asian Journal of Food Technology and Environment* 2018;4(2):709-716.
  17. Panwar AS. Effect of integrated nutrient management in maize (*Zea mays*)–mustard (*Brassica campestris* var. toria) cropping system in mid hills altitude. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2008;78:27-31.
  18. Raju RA, Reddy MN. Integrated management of green leaf, compost, crop- residue and inorganic fertilizers in rice (*Oryza sativa*)- rice system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 2000;45(4):629-635.
  19. Reddy DD, Rao AS, Reddy KS, Takkar PN. Yield sustainability and phosphorus utilization in soyabean-wheat system on vertisols in response to integrated use of manure and fertilizer phosphorus. *Field Crops Research*. 1999;62:181-190.
  20. Reddy KR, Khaleel R, Overcash MR. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Carbon transformations in a Coastal plain soil treated with animal manures. *Agricultural Waste Management* 1980;2:225.
  21. Sharma RP, Datt N, Chandekar G. Effect of Vermicompost, Farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in Okra– Onion sequence in wet temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2009;57(3):357-361.
  22. Singh R, Sinsinwar BS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* 2006;76:322-24.
  23. Snehal VR, Vaidya KP, Kapse VD, Dademal AA, More SS. Available nutrient status as influenced by integrated nutrient management in alfisol. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2019;8(4):823-828.