www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2020; 9(1): 185-188 © 2020 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 13-11-2019 Accepted: 15-12-2019

Prem Kumar R Veterinary Officer, Veterinary Dispension: Madanaballi Kale

Dispensary, Madanahalli, Kolar, Karnataka, India

Shwetha KS Veterinary Officer, Veterinary Dispensary, Banglore Rural, Karnataka, India

Pradeep Kumar V Veterinary Officer, Veterinary

Dispensary, Alabanur, Raichur, Karnataka, India

Sunitha R

Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Public Health and Epidemiology, Veterinary College, Gadag, Karnataka, India

Narasimhamurthy

Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Gynecology and Obstetrics, Veterinary College, Gadag, Karnataka, India

TG Honnappa

Professor, Department of Veterinary Gynecology and Obstetrics, Veterinary College, Banglore, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Prem Kumar R Veterinary Officer, Veterinary Dispensary, Madanahalli, Kolar, Karnataka, India

Semen characteristics of hallikar bulls

Prem Kumar R, Shwetha KS, Pradeep Kumar V, Sunitha R, Narasimhamurthy and TG Honnappa

Abstract

The present study was undertaken with the objective of establishing the normal spermiogram in Hallikar bulls based on 11,008 ejaculates from 25 Hallikar bulls over a period of 15 years (January 1999 to December 2013). The observed overall mean ejaculate volume, mass activity (0-5 scale) and sperm concentration based on 11,008 ejaculations were 4.66 ± 0.01 ml, 4.32 ± 0.01 and 1048.55 ± 3.64 million per ml respectively. The overall mean initial motility, post thaw motility, based on 11,008 ejaculations were 80.26 ± 0.11 per cent, 49.36 ± 0.07 per cent, respectively.

Keywords: Spermiogram, hallikar bulls, ejaculate volume, mass activity, sperm concentration

Introduction

Hallikar cattle is considered as one of the premier draught breed of India, popularly known as the champion of draught breeds and it is the pride cattle breed of Karnataka having a history of 600 years ^[31]. They are fast track animals used extensively for dry land agricultural operations and for transportation in rural areas. This breed is considered as the progenitor of the Amrithmahal, Khillar and Kangayam breeds ^[13] in the recent times, there is deterioration in the form, size, quality, growth, reproduction and production potentialities of Hallikar cattle breed due to changes in the utility and cropping pattern, breeding objectives and agro biodiversity of the breeding tract. The first step for the sustainable use of domestic animal genetic resources is the gathering of information about the genetic variability through characterization of breeds.

A proper exploitation of the genetic potential of the Hallikar bulls and their semen potential needs to be assessed. Such an assessment based on adequate data helps to know the requirement of bulls to cover the breedable cattle in a given area (Karnataka state) and also helps the Artificial Insemination programmers to plan a suitable semen production regime to cover the breedable cattle of the area and helps the management to fix the physiological norms of semen production for Hallikar bulls. Moreover, the semen quality traits of Hallikar bulls still lack a proper scientific documentation. There is no scientific data available on semen quality traits of Hallikar bulls. Hence, in view of these facts the present study was undertaken to establish the normal spermiogram in Hallikar bulls.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The present study was carried out by utilising the recorded data, on semen production traits of 25 Hallikar bulls for a period of 15 years (January 1999 to December 2013) at State Semen collection centre, Department of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services, Government of Karnataka, Hesseraghatta, Bangalore.

Data Collection

At the farm, all the bulls whose data utilized for the study were maintained under identical housing, feeding, management and health care according to minimum standard protocol (MSP) of Central Monitoring Unit, Government of India. The semen collection was done twice a week from individual bull and 2 ejaculates were obtained with an interval of 15 minutes. A total of 11,008 ejaculates data were collected during the investigation period. Records on semen production were obtained from the collection registers of individual bulls. The data on ejaculate volume, mass activity, sperm concentration, initial motility, post thaw motility were utilized.

Statistical Analysis

The data on 11,008 ejaculate samples from Hallikar bulls, during the period of investigation, were tabulated and analyzed for volume, mass activity, sperm concentration, initial motility, post thaw motility expressed as mean with standard error. The data were analyzed by method of least square analysis of variance ^[8].

Results and Discussion

The mean seminal attributes of 25 Hallikar bulls namely the volume, mass activity, sperm concentration, initial motility and post thaw motility are presented in Table-1.

Table 1: Spermiogram in Hallikar bulls (N= 11008). (Mean± SE)

S. No	Semen attribute	Mean ±SE
01	Volume (ml)	4.66 ± 0.01
02	Mass activity (0-5 Scale)	4.32 ± 0.01
03	Sperm concentration (10 ⁶ /ml)	1048.55 ± 3.64
04	Initial motility (%)	80.26± 0.11
05	Post-thaw motility (%)	49.36 ± 0.07

Volume

The ejaculate volume is an important inherited individual and family trait ^[28] and its assessment is paramount significance in preparation of frozen semen straws. The overall mean ejaculate volume recorded based on 11,008 ejaculates of 25 Hallikar bulls in the present study was 4.66 ± 0.01 ml (Table-1) and ranged between 0.40 to 13.00 ml. The mean ejaculate volume of Hallikar bulls observed in the present study are in close conformity with the values of 4.43 ± 0.04 ml ^[37], 4.28 ml ^[24] in Sahiwal bulls, 4.88 ± 0.19 ml ^[36] in Ongole bulls, 4.84 ± 0.01 ml ^[16] in Kankrej bulls, 4.32 ± 0.07 ml ^[38] in Red Sindhi bulls, 4.84 ± 0.16 ml^[32] in Gir bulls. However, the mean ejaculate volume recorded in the present study was lower than those values of 6.0 ± 0.22 ml ^[30] 9.80 ml ^[9] in Sahiwal bulls, 5.77 ± 1.75 ml ^[18] in Ongole bulls, 6.62 ml ^[11] in Kankrej bulls and 7.03 \pm 0.44 ^[20] in Gir bulls have been reported and higher than those reported lower mean ejaculatory volume of 3.36 ± 0.14 ml^[19] in Sahiwal bulls, 2.91 ± 0.06 ml $^{[25]}$ 3.25 \pm 0.15 ml $^{[6]}$ in Punganur bulls, 2.39 \pm 0.13 ml ^[21] in Ongole bulls, 3.55 ± 1.22 ml ^[41] in Kangayam bulls, 3.84 ± 1.29 ^[23] in Tharparkar bulls. 3.60 ± 0.40 ml^[7] in Nellore bulls.

Ejaculate volume is probably a breed characteristic, which depends upon a long list of factors such as age, body weight and size, scrotal size and weight, sexual stimulus, general health of the bulls, environmental factors, method and frequency of collection, familiarity with attendant, pooled volume, nutrition, season and management ^[15]. The differences in the mean volume of the ejaculate recorded in the present study may possibly attributed to the variation in the management, preparation of individual bulls prior to collection and to the functions of accessory glands which are androgen dependent. Variations in age and breed of bulls, seasonal effects and nutritional status can be attributed to these differences ^[28].

Mass activity (mass motility)

The mean mass activity of semen (0-5 scale) estimated in the present study was 4.32 ± 0.01 (Table-1) with range of 0.50 to 4.50. The mean mass activity recorded in the present study was higher than those reported the mass activity of 3.33 ± 0.14 ^[17] 2.32 ± 0.01 ^[4], 3.44 ^[9] 3.17 ± 0.07 ^[24] in Sahiwal bulls, 3.12 ± 0.16 ^[17] in Redsindhi bulls, 2.84 ± 0.06 ^[25], 3.26

 \pm 0.06 ^[6] in Punganur bulls, 2.50 \pm 0.70 ^[36] in Ongole bulls, 3.72 \pm 0.02 ^[16] in Kankrej bulls, 3.33 \pm 0.11 ^[20] in Gir bulls, 3.50 ^[5] in Tharparkar bulls, 3.80 ^[40] in Hariana bulls, 2.65 \pm 1.05 ^[7] in Nellore bulls and the results of mean mass activity observed in the present study is lower than the value of 4.80 \pm 0.40 ^[27] in Nellore bulls.

Variations in the mean mass activity as observed in the present study when compared to other reports could possibly be attributed to the subjective nature of evaluation assessed through naked eye which can vary from person to person and the equipment used may influence the observation. The variations among results of different studies can be attributed to differences in age of bulls at the time of study along with seasonal effects^[1].

Sperm concentration

The mean sperm concentration for Hallikar bulls in the present study was 1048.55 ± 3.64 million per millilitre (Table-1) and it ranged from 500 to 2473 million per milliliter. This observation is in close agreement with the mean sperm concentration of $1000.73 \pm 11.06 \times 10^{6}$ /ml^[37] in Sahiwal bulls, $1043.75 \pm 16.35 \times 10^{6}$ /ml ^[38] in Redsindhi bulls, 1008 x 106/ml [40] in Hariana bulls. However, several other studies have reported sperm concentrations values of $1212.81\pm$ 98.94 x 10⁶/ml ^[17] 1858.40 x 10⁶/ml ^[9] 1185.53 ± 4.17 x 10⁶/ml $^{[24]}$ in Sahiwal bulls, 1545 \pm 42.55 x 10⁶/ml $^{[25]}$ $1427.25 \pm 3.77 \text{ x } 10^{6}/\text{ml}$ ^[6] in Punganur bulls, $1176 \pm 31.58 \text{ x}$ 10^{6} /ml ^[36] in Ongole bulls, $1253.83 \pm 14.68 \times 10^{6}$ /ml ^[16] in Kankrej bulls, $1298 \pm 116.08 \times 10^{6}$ /ml^[17] in Red Sindhi bulls, $1608 \pm 53 \text{ x } 10^{6}/\text{ml}^{[20]}$ in Gir bulls, $1332 \pm 1.43 \text{ x } 10^{6}/\text{ml}^{[23]}$ in Tharparkar bulls, $1400 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{6}$ /ml^[34] in Hariana bulls, which were higher than those values recorded in the present study. The observed sperm concentration of Hallikar bulls as recorded in the present study was found to be higher than those reported values of $766.69 \pm 5.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ml^[4] in Sahiwal bulls, $497.04 \pm 22.37 \text{ x } 10^{6}/\text{ml}^{[21]}$ in Ongole bulls, 894.16 ± 112.66 x 10^{6} /ml^[12] in Tharparkar bulls.

The variations in the sperm concentration reported by other studies when compared to those observed in the present study may probably subscribed to the variations in age of the bull, scrotal circumference, sexual maturity, health status of the reproductive tract, plane of nutrition, season, frequency and method of semen collection and degree of sexual excitement etc ^[2, 10].

Initial motility

The overall mean initial motility recorded for Hallikar bulls in the present study was 80.26 \pm 0.11 per cent (Table-1) and ranged from 70.00 to 90.00 per cent. These findings are in accordance with the findings of 81.62 \pm 3.94 per cent ^[23] in Tharparkar bulls, 81.40 \pm 0.01 per cent ^[35] in Sahiwal bulls. Whereas, observed values were lower than that of 86.15 \pm 0.30 per cent ^[16] in Kankrej bulls, 86.41 \pm 0.66 per cent ^[33] in Hariana bulls. The observed sperm concentration was found to be higher than 76.73 \pm 0.43 per cent ^[24], 65.53 \pm 0.31 per cent ^[37], 68.80 per cent ^[9] in Sahiwal bulls, 72.85 \pm 0.71 per cent ^[6] in Punganur bulls, 68.40 \pm 1.33 per cent ^[20] 77.27 \pm 0.66 per cent ^[36] in Ongole bulls, 66.89 \pm 0.37 per cent ^[38] in Red Sindhi bulls, 71.50 \pm 0.89 per cent ^[20] in Gir bulls, 70.00 per cent ^[39] in Hariana bulls.

The variations in the mean initial motility as reported by others studies when compared to the values obtained for Hallikar bulls in the present study may possibly discounted for the subjective nature of evaluation, which is influenced by human elements involved in the evaluation. The difference in individual motility in various reports can be due to variations in handling of semen, number of bulls studied and environmental influences ^[3]. Moreover, the results can also vary from person to person, as sperm motility is usually assessed under microscope through naked eye.

Post thaw motility

The mean post thaw motility recorded in Hallikar bulls was 49.36 ± 0.07 per cent (Table-1) with a range of 30.00 to 60.00 per cent. These findings are in close agreement with those reported mean values of 50.14 ± 0.02 per cent ^[37] in Sahiwal bulls, 50.11 ± 0.03 ^[38] in Redsindhi bulls. The observed values were higher than those reported values of 42.19 ± 2.67 per cent ^[32] in Gir bulls, 42.73 ± 1.68 per cent ^[14] in Sahiwal bulls, 44.50 ± 13.50 per cent ^[27] in Nellore bulls. The observed mean was lower than those reported value of 57.85 ± 1.61 per cent ^[36] in Ongole bulls, 53.58 ± 0.59 and 57.21 ± 0.66 per cent ^[33] in Hariana bulls and Sahiwal bulls, respectively, 63.60 per cent ^[9] in Sahiwal bulls.

The variations in the post thaw motility reported by others when compared to the present study might be due to various freezing techniques employed during different stages of cryopreservation, since, the degree of cryoinjuries can vary with breed and the laboratory module employed for freezing besides age of the bull can affect post thaw motility. Further, freezing of semen reduced sperm motility irrespective of the breed ^[26]. Both freezing and thawing implicate tremendous alterations in cell water volume, which result considerable mechanical stress on the membrane and consequently reduce sperm motility (Hammerstedt *et al.*, 1990). Cryopreservation of bovine semen involves many critical steps such as extension, cooling, freezing, storage and thawing, which can also affect the sperm both physiologically and structurally ^[3].

Summary and Conclusion

The overall mean for seminal attributes like ejaculate volume, mass activity (0-5 scale) and sperm concentration based on 11,008 ejaculations were 4.66 ± 0.01 ml, 4.32 ± 0.01 and 1048.55 ± 3.64 million per ml, respectively. The overall mean initial motility, post thaw motility, based on 11, 008 ejaculations were 80.26 ± 0.11 per cent, 49.36 ± 0.07 per cent, respectively.

References

- 1. Ahmad M, Asmat MT, Rehman NU, Khan MZ. Semen characteristics of Sahiwal bulls in relation to age and season. Pakistan Vet. J. 2003; 23(4):202-206.
- AL-Hakim MK, Ali SBA, Singh BP. Study on semen characteristics of Karadi (Kurdish) bulls. Indian J Anim. Health. 1984; 23(2):163-169.
- 3. Bailey J, Morrie A, Cormier N. Semen cryopreservation: success and persistence in farm species. Can. J Anim. Sci. 2003; 83:393-401.
- 4. Bhakat M, Mohanty TK, Raina VS, Gupta AK, Khan HM, Mahapattra RK *et al.* Effect of age and season on semen quality parameters in Sahiwal bulls. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 2011; 43(6):1161-1168.
- 5. Bhosrekar MR, Razdan MN. Seminal attributes of Tharparkar bulls fed three different levels of digestible protein. Indian J Anim. Sci. 1973; 43(3):196-200.
- Bramhaiah KV, Rao VH, Rao AS, Naidu KV, Viroji rao ST. Semen Characteristics of Punganur Bulls. Indian J Anim. Res. 2013; 47(1):45-48.

- Brito LFC, Silva AEDF, Unanian MM, Dode MAN, Barbosa RT, Kastelic JP. Sexual development in earlyand late-maturing Bos indicus and Bos indicus -Bos Taurus crossbred bulls in Brazil. Theriogenology. 2004; 62:1198-1217.
- Harvey WR. Least squares analysis of data with unequal subclass number. Agri. Res. Services. USDA. Maryland, 1979.
- 9. Hossain ME, Khatun MM, Islam MM, Miazi OF. Semen characteristics of breeding bulls at the central cattle breeding and dairy farm of Bangladesh. Bang. J Anim. Sci. 2012; 41(1):1-5.
- Ijaz A, Hussain A, Aleem M, Yousaf MS, Rehman H. Butylated hydroxy toluene inclusion in semen extender improves the post thaw semen quality of Nili-Ravi buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). Theriogenol. 2009; 71:1326-13.
- Kerur VK. Studies on Semen characteristics in Gir, Kankrej, Jaffri, Surti and Murrah Breeds. Indian J Anim. Health. 1971; 10:119-121.
- Kulakarni RS, Bhosrekar M. Studies on seminal attributes of exotic and Zebu bulls. Indian J Anim. Sci. 1973; 43(3):183-186.
- Kumar SN, Jayashankar MR, Nagaraja CS, Govindhaiah MG, Saravanan R, Karthickeyan SMK. Molecular characterization of Hallikar breed using microsatellite markers. Asian-Aust. J Anim. Sci. 2006; 19(5):622-626.
- Mandal DK, Tyagi S, Mathur AK. Semen production performance of Sahiwal bulls. Indian J Anim. Sci. 2005; 75(1):17-19.
- 15. Nazir M, Semen evaluation and sperm morphology– Monograph on reproductive pattern of Riverine buffaloes and recommendations to improve their reproductive performance at small farmer level. Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad, Pakistan, 1988.
- Patel BR, Siddiquee GM. Physical and morphological characteristics of Kankrej bull semen. Vet World. 2013; 6(7):405-408.
- Pathak V. Studies on seminal characteristics and freezability of Sahiwal and Redsindhi Bull. M.Sc Thesis. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur. 2008.
- Raju MS, Rao AR. Note on the semen characteristics of cross-breed and pure-breed bulls. Indian J Anim. Sci. 1982; 52(12):1230-1232.
- Ramachandran N, Verma GS, Gupta AK, Raina VS, Mohanty TK, Singh MK. In-vitro assessment of seminal traits and fertility parameters in fresh semen of Sahiwal bulls. Indian J Anim. Reprod. 2006; 27(2):100-103.
- Rana CM, Dhami AJ. Physical attributes, intact acrosome, HOST test and Freezability of semen of Gir and Jafarabadi bulls. Indian Vet. J. 2004; 81:406-410.
- Rao KB, Naidu GV, Reddy KR, Rao VVVSP. Age at puberty and semen characters in Ongole bulls. Indian J. Anim. Reprod. 2000; 21(2):139-140.
- Rao AVN, Rao YNR. Seminal characteristics sperm freezability of cross breed bulls. Indian Vet. J. 1996; 73:1086-1088.
- Rao RM, Rao AR. Studies on semen characteristics of Tharparkar and Jersey bulls. Indian Vet. J. 1975; 52:889-900.
- 24. Ray K, Ghosh BB. Semen ejaculates characteristics, *In vitro* fertility and their interrelationships in Sahiwal bull semen. Iran J Appl. Sci. 2013; 3(3):483-489.
- 25. Reddy YR, Naidu PT. Semen characteristics of Punganur

bulls. Indian Vet. J. 2011; 88:92.

- Salmon S, Maxwell WMC. Frozen storage of ram semen II. Cause of low fertility after cervical insemination and methods of improvement. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 1995; 38:1-36.
- 27. Salvador DF, Andrade VJ, Vale Filho VR, Dias JC, Nogueira LAG, Fepmvz. Relationship between andrologic profile and semen freezing in two-year-old Nellore bulls, pre select by breeding soundness evaluation (BSE). Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia. 2008; 60(3):587-593.
- 28. Sane GR, Luktuke SN, Deshpande BR, Kaikini AS, Velhankar DP, Hukeri VB *et al.* Text book on reproduction in farm animals (Theriogenology), Varghese Publishing House, Bombay, 1982.
- 29. Sarder MJU. Scrotal circumference variation and semen characteristics of artificial insemination bulls. J Anim. Vet. Advan. 2005; 4:335-340.
- 30. Sarder MJU. Studies on semen characteristics of some Friesian cross and Sahiwal bulls for artificial Insemination. Pakistan J Biol. Sci. 2003; 6:556-570.
- 31. Shekar MC, Kumari JU, Karthickeyan SMK, Muthezhilan R. Assessment of with-in breed diversity in Hallikar cattle (*Bos indicus*) through microsatellite markers. Indian J Sci. Tech. 2011; 4(8):895-898.
- Shelke VB, Dhami AJ. Comparative evaluation of physico-morphological attributes and freezability of semen of Gir cattle (*Bos indicus*) and Jafarabadi buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) bulls. Indian J Anim. Sci. 2001; 71(4):319-324.
- 33. Singh B, Mohan G, Sahni KLA. Comparative study on the freezability of semen of cattle (native and exotic) and buffaloes. Indian J Anim. Sci. 1993; 63(11):1172-1173.
- Singh B, Singh BP, Tomar NS. Studies on semen characteristics of Hariana and Murrah bulls. Correlation between semen qualities. Indian J Dairy Sci. 1968; 21:97-102.
- 35. Singh SP, Pandit RK, Bhadoria HBS. Sexual behaviour and seminal characteristics in Jersey, Sahiwal and half-bred bulls. Indian J of Anim. Sci. 2000; 70(3):279-280.
- 36. Talluri TR, Rao MM, Rao BK, Naidu KV. Semen ejaculate characteristics and fertility in Ongole bulls. Indian Vet. J. 2011; 88(2):36-37.
- 37. Tiwari R, Mishra G, Singh RB, Rehman SU, Rathore KS, Saxena SK *et al.* Seasonal variation in ejaculate characteristics and semen production potential of Sahiwal bulls in tropical climate. Indian J Dairy. Sci. 2013; 66(4):366-368.
- Tiwari R, Mishra GK, Singh RB, Rehman SU, Rathora KS, Saxena SK, *et al.* Seasonal variation in the quality and freezability of Red Sindhi bull semen. Indian J Anim. Sci. 2012; 82(11):1344-1346.
- Tomar NS, Misra BS, Johari CB. Seasonal variations in reaction time and semen production, and prediction of some semen attributes on initial motility of spermatozoa in Hariana and Murrah bulls. Indian J Dairy. Sci. 1966; 19:87-93.
- 40. Tomar SS, Gupta HCL. Effect of Season on sex desire and semen quality of Hariana Bulls. Indian J Anim. Health. 1984, 37-40.
- 41. Veerapandian C, Cecilia C, Rajasekaran J, Quayam SA. Testicular biometry and seminal characteristics of Kangayam bulls. Indian J Anim. Res. 1992; 13(2):138-140.