www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2019; 8(11): 254-257 © 2019 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 04-09-2019 Accepted: 06-10-2019

Augustina Saha

Directorate of Plant Protection, Quarantine & Storage, Regional Plant Quarantine Station, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

VM Bhale

Department of Agronomy, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Shirshendu Samanta

West Bengal State Seed Corporation Ltd., Raiganj, West Bengal, India

Nutrient content as well as uptake of organic guar gum as affected by land configuration and nutrient management under rainfed condition

Augustina Saha, VM Bhale and Shirshendu Samanta

Abstract

The investigation entitled, "Nutrient content as well as uptake of organic guar gum as affected by land configuration and nutrient management under rainfed condition" was conducted during *kharif* season of 2013-14 at Agronomy farm, Dr. PDKV, Akola to study the production potential of guar gum under various land configuration and integrated nutrient management system. Seed and straw yields as well as N, P and K uptake by guar gum (seed and straw) were maximum under the treatment of Opening of furrow in each row and alternate rows compared to flatbed configuration. Nutrient content (N, P and K) in seed and straw was not influenced significantly due to land configuration. Significantly higher grain yield (270.17 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (588.35 kg ha⁻¹) was observed under the treatment of Opening of furrow in each row which was at par with Opening of furrow in alternate rows. Highest seed yield (281.71 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (598.07 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ than FYM-2.5 t ha⁻¹, Soybean compost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ and control treatments. Nutrient content (N, P and K) uptake by seed and straw was recorded maximum in application of Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹. FYM-2.5 t ha⁻¹ and Soybeancompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ were at par in terms of N, P and K uptake by seed and straw but were significantly superior to control treatments.

Keywords: FYM, guar gum, organic, soybean compost, vermicompost

Introduction

Guar or cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub], is a hardy and drought tolerant crop suitable for dry land farming. It is a multi-purpose legume crop cultivated mainly in the *kharif* season in arid environments. Guar seeds are a rich source of mucilage or gum, a natural hydrocolloid, which forms a viscous gel in cold water and used as an emulsifier, thickener, stabilizer in a wide range of food and industrial application (Marina *et al.*, 2007)^[4]. In India, Rajasthan is the leading state (70%) in the production followed by Gujarat, Haryana and Punjab. In India, guar occupies an area of 2.20 million hectares with a production of 6.0 Lakhs (Singh *et al.*, 2009)^[11]. As guar is a drought resistant crop and therefore, it can be grown successfully in areas where average rainfall is 30-40 centimeter. It is a crop preferring warm climate and grows well in the subtropics during summer. It cannot stand water logging conditions at all. Guar suits well under the marginal and sub marginal land and hence an experiment was conducted to see the suitability of guar gum under limited resources of Vidarbha region of Maharashtra.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2013-2014 at the farm of Agronomy Department, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. The soil was clayey with pH-7.8 having organic carbon 0.43%, available N 174.30 kg/ha, P₂O₅14.40 kg/ha and K₂O 374.33 kg/ha. The experiment consisted of twelve treatment combinations with three levels of land configuration (Flat bed, Opening furrow in each row @ 30-40 DAS and Opening furrow in alternate rows @ 30-40 DAS) and four levels of nutrient management (Control, FYM-2.5 t ha⁻¹, Soybean compost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ and Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in split plot design, allocating land configuration in main plots and nutrient management in sub plots and was replicated four times. Land configuration was done after 30 DAS while the organic sources of nutrient were applied before sowing of the seeds. Seeds of guar were dibbled all along the ridges according to recommended spacing of 45 cm.

Corresponding Author: Augustina Saha Directorate of Plant Protection, Quarantine & Storage, Regional Plant Quarantine Station, Kolkata, West Bengal, India Observations were recorded on vegetative parameters, seed yield, crude protein and gum content. Moisture retention in the soil after rains due to different treatments at the interval of thirty days period was worked out.

Results and Discussion Effect of land configuration

Highest seed yield (270 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with opening of furrow in each row which was at par with opening of furrow in alternate rows and found significantly higher than flatbed sowing. The increased seed yield was mostly attributed to more pod bearing and dry matter accumulation in the treatments. The land configuration benefitted to drain out excess moisture and keep soil condition healthy. Similar effect of modified land configuration has also been reported by Jogdande *et al.* (2003) ^[3], Patil *et al.* (2011) ^[6] and Saha *et*

al. (2017) ^[9]. Land configuration effect on N, P and K content in seed and straw does not reach to the level of significance. Opening of furrow in each row recorded maximum N, P and K content in seed and straw than other treatments. N, P and K uptake by seed and straw was significantly influenced by land configuration. Opening of furrow in each row recorded maximum N uptake (11.09 kg ha⁻¹), P uptake (1.14 kg ha⁻¹) and K uptake (9.59 kg ha⁻¹) in seed which as at par with opening of furrow in alternate rows but was significantly superior over flat bed. Similar trend was also observed in the N uptake (8.42 kg ha⁻¹), P uptake (0.47 kg ha⁻¹) and K uptake (9.74 kg ha⁻¹) by straw. Fairer availability of soil moisture might have promoted growth of root as well as their functional activity resulting in higher extraction of nutrients from soil environment to aerial parts.

Table 1: NPK uptake (kg ha-1) by guar seed at harvest as influenced by land configuration and nutrient management

Treatments	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	
A) Main plot (Land configuration)				
L ₁ (Flat bed)	9.61	1.06	8.35	
L ₂ (Opening of furrow in each row)	11.09	1.14	9.59	
L ₃ (Opening of furrow in alternate rows)	10.61	1.08	9.22	
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.25	0.012	0.26	
CD at 5%	0.88	0.043	0.92	
B) Sub-plot (Nutrient management)				
N ₀ (Control)	9.35	1.03	7.96	
N1(FYM-2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	10.62	1.11	9.28	
N ₂ (Soybeancompost-2.0 t ha ⁻¹)	10.50	1.09	9.04	
N ₃ (Vermicompost- 2.0 t ha ⁻¹)	11.28	1.16	9.93	
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.17	0.01	0.21	
CD at 5%	0.50	0.03	0.63	
C) Interaction (A x B)				
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.3	0.019	0.37	
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	
GM	10.44	1.09	9.05	

Table 2: NPK uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by guar Straw at harvest as influenced by land configuration and nutrient management

Treatments	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)					
A) Main plot (Land configuration)								
L ₁ (Flat bed)	6.23	0.37	7.63					
L ₂ (Opening of furrow in each row)	8.42	0.47	9.74					
L ₃ (Opening of furrow in alternate rows)	7.32	0.43	8.90					
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.29	0.011	0.23					
CD at 5%	1.01	0.035	0.71					
B)Sub-plot (Nutrient management)								
N ₀ (Control)	6.26	0.37	7.74					
N ₁ (FYM-2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	7.30	0.43	8.76					
N ₂ (Soybeancompost-2.0 t ha ⁻¹)	7.06	0.41	8.45					
N ₃ (Vermicompost- 2.0 t ha ⁻¹)	8.68	0.51	10.08					
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.23	0.010	0.22					
CD at 5%	0.67	0.032	0.65					
C) Interaction (A x B)								
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.40	0.019	0.39					
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS					
GM	7.32	0.43	8.76					

 Table 3: Mean seed and straw yield of guar (kg ha⁻¹) and nutrient (NPK) content (%) of guar seed and straw at harvest as influenced by land configuration and nutrient management

	Seed Straw		NPK content in guar seed		NPK content in guar straw			
Treatments	yield	yield	N content	P content	K content	N content	P content	K content
	(kg na ⁻)	(kg na ⁻)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
A)Main plot (Land configuration)						r	r	
L ₁ (Flat bed)	238.25	487.05	4.03	0.412	3.50	1.27	0.077	1.56
L ₂ (Opening of furrow in each row)	270.17	588.35	4.10	0.423	3.54	1.42	0.079	1.65
L ₃ (Opening of furrow in alternate rows)	261.63	551.61	4.05	0.414	3.52	1.33	0.078	1.61
SE(m) <u>+</u>	5.87	10.47	0.04	0.004	0.065	0.04	0.002	0.03
CD at 5%	20.33	36.23	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
B)Sub-plot (Nutrient management)								
N ₀ (Control)	226.36	495.78	3.95	0.408	3.37	1.27	0.076	1.55
N ₁ (FYM-2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	260.71	544.10	4.07	0.418	3.55	1.34	0.079	1.60
N ₂ (Soybeancompost-2.0 t ha ⁻¹)	258.94	531.39	4.07	0.413	3.49	1.32	0.077	1.58
N ₃ (Vermicompost- 2.0 t ha ⁻¹)	281.71	598.07	4.16	0.425	3.66	1.44	0.080	1.68
SE(m) <u>+</u>	4.05	10.01	0.02	0.003	0.06	0.03	0.001	0.02
CD at 5%	11.76	29.05	0.06	0.01	0.17	0.10	0.002	0.08
C) Interaction (A x B)								
SE(m) <u>+</u>	7.02	17.34	0.04	0.006	0.10	0.06	0.002	0.05
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
GM	256.68	542.34	4.06	0.416	3.52	1.34	0.078	1.61

Effect of nutrient management

Seed and straw yield was influenced significantly by various nutrient management practices. Highest seed yield (281.71 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (598.07 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ than FYM-2.5 t ha⁻¹, Soybean compost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ and control treatments. The increased seed and straw yield with Vermicompost might be due the fact that increased absorption of nutrients and their assimilation. Supply of N in balanced quantity enabled the guar plants to assimilate sufficient photosynthetic products and thus increased the dry matter accumulation. These findings are in close agreement with the results obtained by Dadema and Dongale (2004) ^[2], Patel *et al.* (2010) ^[5] and Ramawtar *et al.* (2013) ^[8].

The present study revealed that N, P and K content in seed and straw was influenced significantly by various nutrient management practices. N, P and K content in seed and straw was maximum in Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ (4.16 and 1.44% N, 0.425 and 0.080% P and 3.66 and 1.68% K in seed and straw, respectively) compared to FYM-2.5 t ha⁻¹, Soybean compost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ and control treatments. Increased N, P and K content in seed and straw was mostly due to higher nutrients content in Vermicompost compared to other sources. The findings are in accordance with those reported by Vasanthi and Subramanian (2004) ^[12], Singh *et al.* (2007) ^[10] and Chhipa *et al.* (2012) ^[1].

Nutrient management treatments were found to be significant in N, P and K uptake by both seed and straw. Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ recorded the maximum Nitrogen uptake (11.28 and 8.68 kg ha⁻¹), Phosphorus uptake (1.16 and 0.51 kg ha⁻¹) and Potassium uptake (9.93 and 10.08 kg ha⁻¹) by both seed and straw respectively, which was significantly superior over FYM-2.5 t ha⁻¹, Soybean compost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ and control treatments. The nutrient uptake is a function of yield and nutrient concentration in plant. Thus, this might be due to in general, the trend of nutrient uptake was very well resembled with dry matter accumulation and per hectare yield data of various treatments. The enhanced uptake of these nutrients in the corresponding treatments could be due to the increased and sustained availability of nutrients through organic fertilizers. The increased uptake might be also due to improvement in soil physical, chemical and biological health through application of organic fertilizers under different nutrient management practices which increased adequate availability of NPK in soil solution with better root growth, thereby increasing uptake of nutrients. The results of present investigation are in close agreements with Rajkhowa *et al.* (2003)^[7] and Vasanthi and Subramanian (2004)^[12].

From this research work, it can be concluded that land configuration of opening of furrow in each or alternate rows nourished with Vermicompost-2.0 t ha⁻¹ should be selected for getting maximum yield and also for improvement in nutrient content as well as uptake of organic guar gum under *rainfed* condition.

References

- 1. Chhipa BG, Chandra Atul, Gulati IJ. Residual effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of vegetable Cluster Bean. Progressive Horticulture. 2012; 44(1):116-121.
- 2. Dadema AA, Dongale JH. Effect of manures and fertilizers on growth and yield of okra and nutrient availability in lateritic soil of Konkan. Journal of Soil and Crops. 2004; 14(2):278-283.
- Jogdande VJ, Malvi GC, Dalal SR, Karunakar AP. Effect of different layouts and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of soybean. PKV Research Journal. 2003; 27(2):183-184.
- 4. Marina N, Jerez IT, Jihe S, Zhao PX, Dixon RA, May GD. Analysis of cDNA libraries from developing seeds of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoba* (L.) Taub), BMC Plant Biology. 2007; 7:62.
- 5. Patel CS, Patel JB, Suthar JV, Patel PM. Effect of integrated nutrient management on cluster bean seed production cv. Pusa Navbahar. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010; 6(1):206-208.
- 6. Patil DB, Mendhe SN, Kolte HS, Sathe HD. Effect of land configuration and irrigation management on yield attributes and economics of linseed. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2011; 21(1):86-89.
- 7. Rajkhowa DJ, Saikia M, Rajkhowa KM. Effect of vermicompost and levels of fertilizer on greengram.

Legume Research. 2003; 26(1):63-65.

- 8. Ramawtar A, Shivran C, Yadav BL. Effect of NP fertilizers, vermicompost and sulphur and quality of cluster bean and their residual effect on grain yield of succeeding wheat. Legume Research. 2013; 36(1):74-78.
- Saha A, Samanta S, Bhale VM. Effect of Land Configuration and Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Organic Guar Gum. Environment & Ecology. 2017; 35(2):799-801.
- 10. Singh SR, Nagar GR, Singh U. Productivity and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max.*) as influenced by bioinoculants and farmyard manure under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2007; 52(4):325-329.
- 11. Singh UP, Tripati SN, Natarajan S. Guar, Crop profile, 2009. Website:

http://www.igfri.res.in/crop_profile_guar.htm.

12. Vasanthi D, Subramaniam. Effect of vermicompost on nutrition uptake and protein content in blackgram. Legume Research. 2004; 27(4):293-295.