www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2018; 7(7): 686-689 © 2018 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 05-05-2018 Accepted: 07-06-2018

Shilpa Rana

Department Of Horticulture Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Saket Mishra

Department Of Horticulture Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Sudhir Mishra

Department Of Horticulture Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

VM Prasad

Department Of Horticulture Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence Shilpa Rana Department Of Horticulture Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and

Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of gibberellic acid and chitosan on physiochemical properties and shelf life of banana (*musa paradisiaca*) cv. g-9

Shilpa Rana, Saket Mishra, Sudhir Mishra and VM Prasad

Abstract

The GA₃ and Chitosan Coated Banana were evaluated for their physico-chemical properties during storage. Treatments were T_0 : Control, T_1 : Chitosan 1%, T_2 : Chitosan 1.5%, T_3 : Chitosan 2%, T_4 : Chitosan 2.5%, T_5 : GA₃ 100 ppm, T_6 : GA₃ 150 ppm, T_7 : GA₃ 200 ppm and T_8 : GA₃ 250 ppm. On the basis of evaluation of GA₃ and Chitosan coated banana, T_7 found maximum (0.051g) mean fruit weight, (6.02%) loss in weight, (13.367 ⁰Brix) TSS, (1.373 mg/100g) Vitamin C, (76.507%) Moisture and minimum (0.197%) Acidity, where as maximum (7.00 days) green life, (17.70%) Total sugar was found in T_8 and maximum (15.33 days) yellow life was found in T_6 after 18 days of coatings whereas minimum was found with T_0 (Control) in all the parameters on 9th day of coatings, In terms of Economics Maximum Net income (Rs. 46.75) and benefit cost ratio (1.95:1) was recorded in T_8 followed by T_7 with (39.75 Rs. Net Return and 1.92:1 Benefit Cost Ratio) and the minimum (Net Return – Rs. 1.25 and Benefit cost ratio – 1.01:1) was found in T_3 . T_7 was found significantly superior to all other treatments in most of the parameters followed by T_8 GA₃ and Chitosan coated Banana during storage.

Keywords: Banana, chitosan, ga3, coatings, shelf life

Introduction

The banana is characterized as a flavorful and nutritive fruit, being an excellent source of carbohydrates, fiber, potassium and vitamins (Osman *et al.*, 2008) ^[5]. Quality is an important factor in the marketing of banana, especially when intended for fresh consumption. Banana physical and chemical characteristics are influenced by several factors, such as edaphoclimatic conditions, fertilization, cultivar and planting and harvest time, however, to analyze them, assessing the quality of marketed fruits and whether these are within the standards required by consumers, is important that the most important attributes of the fruits, according to the consumer preferences at purchasing banana, are the flavor, shelf life and appearance (length, diameter and color). Therefore, studies on physical and chemical parameters related to fruit quality, such as length, diameter, weight, pulp and peel color, pulp firmness, soluble solids and titratable acidity, seeking to maintain the desirable characteristics required by market standards, is very important (Pathak *et al.*, 1999)

Banana is a quite popular tropical fruit, especially in commercial local trade. It contains a lot of nutrients and minerals which are very beneficial for health. Its vitamin C content which is regarded as a familiar antioxidant is relatively high of up to 15%. Bananas are usually harvested before fully mature for domestic consumption. Usually bananas are stored at room temperature. During storage, banana fruit is easily deteriorated due to the quick ripening process. (Marriott *et al.*, 1980)^[4].

Edible coatings are traditionally used to improve food conservation and appearance due to their environmentally friendly nature. They are obtained from both animal and vegetable or plant agricultural products. The type and concentration of edible components have important effects on the quality characteristics of coated fruits such as weight loss, pH, firmness, colour, reducing sugars, total sugars and Non-reducing sugars.

Recently, there have been many researches on edible coatings and films to diminish crop losses and maintain the quality of fresh fruit for a longer period. Edible coating is one of the methods of extending postharvest shelf-life. Many edible coating techniques to extend the shelf life and prolong freshness of fruits have been developed using polyethylene wax emulsion, bee wax, carnauba, candelilla, chitosan, and paraffin It has been observed that during refrigerated shipment of banana, changes in chamber temperature owing to variation in power supplies and cooling equipments efficiency lead to chilling damage and fruit losses. Hence, in order to reduce fruit losses information on the effect of storage temperature is crucial, especially when a new banana variety is to be transported over long distances. Studies were, therefore, carried out on the control of post-harvest losses by extending shelf life with the help of plant growth regulators and temperature conditions. (Lozel S., 2010) ^[3].

Materials and Methods

The Experimental was conducted in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 9 treatments and 3 replications in the Post-Harvest Laboratory of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad during the year 2017 - 2018.

Total number of treatments were nine *viz*. T_0 : Control, T_1 : Chitosan 1%, T_2 : Chitosan 1.5%, T_3 : Chitosan 2%, T_4 : Chitosan 2.5%, T_5 : GA₃ 100 ppm, T_6 : GA₃ 150 ppm, T_7 : GA₃ 200 ppm and T_8 : GA₃ 250 ppm. to estimation the shelf life of Banana, has stored for 18 days under ambient temperature.

Fruit selection

Banana were purchased from fruit market, of Allahabad on 02nd November, 2017 and stored in the Post-Harvest Laboratory of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad at room temperature. On 03rd November, 2017 Coatings was started.

Results and Discussion

The results of the experiment are summarized below,

- 1. In terms of mean fruit weight the treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found maximum (0.051g) mean fruit weight after 18 days of coatings followed by T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (0.042 g) mean fruit weight after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum Mean fruit weight (0.061 g) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage the fruits get fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017) ^[1] With Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 2. The treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) found maximum (7.00 days) green life after 18 days of coatings followed by T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) (6.00 days) after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum Green life (4.00 days) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully yellow in colour. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017) ^[1] with Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 3. The treatment T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (15.33 days) yellow life after 18 days of coatings followed by T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) (14.16 days) after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum Green life days (6.00 days) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) on 9th days of coatings, after 9th days storage it is fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017)^[1] With treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 4. The treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found maximum (6.02%) loss in weight after 18 days of coatings followed by T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) (4.90%) after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum weight loss (3.617%) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur

and Kaur (2017) $^{\left[1\right]}$ with Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.

- 5. The treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found maximum (13.367 ⁰Brix) TSS after 18 days of coatings closely followed by Treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) and Treatment T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (13.267 ⁰Brix) and (13.133 ⁰Brix) respectively after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum TSS ⁰Brix (12.717) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017) ^[1] with Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 6. The treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) found maximum (17.70%) Total sugar after 18 days of coatings closely followed by Treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) and Treatment T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (17.50%) and (17.467%) respectively after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum Total Sugar percent (16.433%) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017)^[1] with treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 7. The treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found maximum (1.373 g) Vitamin C after 18 days of coatings closely followed by Treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) and Treatment T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (1.307) and (1.303) g respectively after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum Vitamin C (mg/100g) (1.267 g) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017)^[1]. With Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 8. The treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found minimum (0.197%) Acidity after 18 days of coatings followed by Treatment T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) and Treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) with (0.253%) both, after 18 days of coatings, where as maximum Acidity percent (0.407%) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017) ^[1] with Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 9. The treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found maximum (76.507%) Moisture after 18 days of coatings followed by Treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) and Treatment T_6 (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (75.783%) and (74.953%) respectively after 18 days of coatings, where as minimum Moisture content of pulp percent (71.777%) was found with treatment T_0 (Control) after 9 days of coatings, after 9th days storage it becomes fully spoiled and found not fit for consumption. Similar findings were also reported by Kaur and Kaur (2017) ^[1]. With Treatment of Hot water + Ethrel 600 ppm.
- 10. Based on the calculations data shows that the Maximum Net income (Rs. 46.75) and benefit cost ratio (1.95:1) was recorded with treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm), followed by treatment T_7 (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) with (39.75 Rs. Net Return and 1.92:1 Benefit Cost Ratio) and the minimum (Net Return Rs. 1.25 and Benefit cost ratio 1.01:1) was found in treatment T_3 (Chitosan @ 2%).
- 11. Treatment T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) was found significantly superior to all other treatments in terms of economic returns of GA₃ and Chitosan coated Banana during storage.

Table 1: Effect of gibberellic acid and chitosan on mean fruit weight, green life (days) and yellow life days of banana (Musa paradisiaca) cv. G-9:

| Treatment | | Ν | lean fru | uit weigh | ıt (g) | | | | Gree | en life (da | ays) | | Yellow life (days) | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------|----------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|--------|--------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | 3 DAC | 6 DAC | 9 DAC | 12 DAC | 15 DAC | 18 DAC | 3 DAC | 6 DAC | 9 DAC | 12 DAC | 15 DAC | 18 DAC | 3 DAC | 6 DAC | 9 DAC | 12 DAC | 15 DAC | 18 DAC | |
| T ₀ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₁ | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 9.00 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₂ | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 8.66 | 11.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₃ | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 8.60 | 11.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T_4 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 8.80 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₅ | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 4.93 | 7.00 | 11.66 | 0.00 | |
| T ₆ | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 5.33 | 6.66 | 12.00 | 15.33 | |
| T ₇ | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 11.33 | 14.16 | |
| T ₈ | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 3.33 | 5.33 | 10.00 | 13.03 | |
| F-test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | |
| SE(d) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.44 | 0.77 | |
| C.D. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 1.71 | 1.32 | 1.11 | 0.85 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 1.05 | 1.31 | 1.63 | 0.94 | 1.63 | |

Table 2: Effect of gibberellic acid and chitosan on weight loss percent, TSS ^obrix and total Sugar (%) of banana (Musa paradisiaca) cv. G-9:

| Treatment | | I | Weight | loss perc | ent | | | | Т | SS ^o Brix | C C | | Total Sugar (%) | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | 3 DAC | 6 DAC | 9 DAC | 12 DAC | 15 DAC | 18 DAC | 3 DAC | 6 DAC | 9 DAC | 12 DAC | 15 DAC | 18 DAC | 3 DAC | 6 DAC | 9 DAC | 12 DAC | 15 DAC | 18 DAC | |
| T ₀ | 1.58 | 2.21 | 3.61 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.03 | 10.03 | 12.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.20 | 14.20 | 16.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₁ | 1.65 | 2.33 | 2.88 | 3.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.93 | 8.05 | 10.55 | 13.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.33 | 14.46 | 16.40 | 17.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₂ | 1.33 | 2.77 | 3.23 | 3.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.80 | 9.40 | 12.32 | 13.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.26 | 14.30 | 16.26 | 16.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₃ | 1.78 | 2.87 | 3.13 | 3.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 9.14 | 11.67 | 12.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.36 | 14.30 | 16.26 | 17.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T_4 | 1.61 | 2.68 | 3.38 | 3.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.33 | 11.10 | 12.10 | 13.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.93 | 13.40 | 16.06 | 17.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| T ₅ | 2.06 | 2.48 | 2.90 | 3.47 | 3.90 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 8.27 | 9.56 | 10.36 | 12.47 | 0.00 | 12.60 | 13.26 | 15.16 | 15.93 | 17.17 | 0.00 | |
| T ₆ | 1.76 | 2.55 | 3.01 | 3.60 | 4.03 | 4.76 | 6.80 | 7.97 | 8.96 | 9.51 | 11.73 | 13.13 | 12.26 | 13.23 | 15.06 | 15.66 | 17.00 | 17.46 | |
| T ₇ | 1.39 | 2.90 | 4.06 | 4.73 | 5.10 | 6.02 | 7.06 | 8.30 | 9.80 | 10.68 | 12.60 | 13.37 | 12.40 | 13.50 | 14.26 | 15.86 | 16.53 | 17.50 | |
| T ₈ | 1.51 | 2.13 | 2.94 | 3.63 | 4.20 | 4.90 | 6.73 | 8.20 | 9.50 | 10.63 | 11.93 | 13.27 | 12.06 | 13.20 | 14.16 | 15.93 | 17.04 | 17.70 | |
| F-test | NS | NS | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | |
| SE(d) | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.23 | |
| C.D. | N/A | N/A | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.92 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.94 | 1.04 | 0.44 | 0.49 | |

 Table 3: Effect of gibberellic acid and chitosan on vitamin C (MG/100 g), acidity (%), moisture Content (%) and benefit Ccost ratio of banana (Musa paradisiaca) cv. G-9:

| | Vitamin C (mg/100 g) Acidity (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit cost | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Treatment | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | ratio |
| | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | DAC | (Rs.) |
| T_0 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 1.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70.69 | 71.04 | 71.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.33:1 |
| T_1 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.4 | 0.430 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 71.59 | 72.00 | 72.73 | 73.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.14:1 |
| T ₂ | 0.30 | 0.56 | 0.89 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.453 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 71.90 | 72.15 | 73.27 | 73.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.04:1 |
| T ₃ | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 1.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.3 | 0.450 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70.54 | 70.78 | 71.20 | 72.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.01:1 |
| T_4 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.83 | 1.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.437 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 71.62 | 71.99 | 72.50 | 73.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.05:1 |
| T ₅ | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.64 | 0.81 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.387 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 72.76 | 72.93 | 73.47 | 74.05 | 75.12 | 0.00 | 1.52:1 |
| T ₆ | 0.30 | 0.49 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 1.17 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 0.383 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 73.19 | 73.61 | 74.40 | 74.82 | 74.95 | 74.95 | 1.84:1 |
| T ₇ | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.90 | 1.17 | 1.37 | 0.50 | 0.313 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 73.95 | 74.29 | 74.82 | 75.34 | 75.53 | 76.50 | 1.92:1 |
| T ₈ | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.81 | 1.13 | 1.30 | 0.90 | 0.370 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 73.40 | 73.84 | 74.21 | 74.87 | 75.09 | 75.78 | 1.95:1 |
| F-test | NS | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | |
| SE(d) | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.73 | 0.22 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.27 | |
| C.D. | N/A | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.040 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 1.54 | 0.47 | 0.95 | 1.26 | 0.75 | 0.57 | |

Conclusion

On the basis of present experimental findings it is concluded that T₇ (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) found maximum (0.051g) mean fruit weight, (6.02%) loss in weight, (13.367 ⁰Brix) TSS, (1.373 g) Vitamin C, (76.507%) Moisture and minimum (0.197%) Acidity, where as maximum (7.00 days) green life, (17.70%) Total sugar was found in T₈ (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) and maximum (15.33 days) vellow life was found in T₆ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm), after 18 days of coatings whereas minimum was found with T₀ (Control) in all the parameters on 9th days of coatings, In terms of Economics Maximum Net income (Rs. 46.75) and benefit cost ratio (1.95:1) was recorded in T_8 (GA₃ @ 250 ppm), followed by T₇ (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) with (39.75 Rs. Net Return and 1.92:1 Benefit Cost Ratio) and the minimum (Net Return - Rs. 1.25 and Benefit cost ratio -1.01:1) was found in T₃ (Chitosan @ 2%). T₇ (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) was found significantly superior to all other in most of the parameters followed by T₈ (GA₃ @ 250 ppm) GA₃ and Chitosan coated Banana during storage.

Acknowledgement

"Research is to see what everybody else has seen, and to think what nobody else has thought"

- Albert Szent-Syorgyi

(Hungarian Biochemist, 1937 Nobel Prize for Medicine, 1893-1986.

First and foremost I bow down before the "Almighty God" in gratitude and humility; whose unfailing love, grace and mercy is constantly showered in our lives. Unless the direction is clear it is difficult to traverse the path of success. Diligence coupled with determination is the best tool to carve an excellent future. Showers of blessings are poured in drop sand not in floods. Reflecting back the sands of time I realize that I have come a long distance and acknowledgment is the need of the hour.

Family is an integral part of our lives. I would like to thank my family for their continuous endearment, prayer& support throughout my academic life. I take this opportunity to formally thank my parents, M. S. Rana and Mrs. Suman Rana who, in their incompleteness made me complete and instilled in me a spirit of striving on. Also my brother Abhishek rana, my uncle Sh. S B Singh whose contribution cannot be overlooked who always bestowed me with necessary encouragement lessons.

Success is embarked by persistent earnestness, motivation and innovations. It is my proud privilege to express a deep sense of gratitude to my Advisor Dr. Saket Mishra Assistant professor, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Allahabad whose analytical suggestions, incitements and positive life lessons empowered me to move on.

With reverence, and acknowledgement from the core of my heart, I express hearty thanks towards the Chairperson of my advisory committee, Prof. (Dr.) Ritu Prakash Dubey, Department of Food, Nutrition and Public Health SHUATS, Allahabad for his invaluable suggestions and guidance for the betterment of my work.

I consider it a great privilege to thank to my Co- Advisor Prof (Dr.) V M Prasad, Head, Department of Horticuluture, SHUATS, for constant support, counseling and Encouragement.

Also special thanks is extended to members of my advisory committee Dr. Vijay Bahadur, Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture for instilling in me the confidence and moral support to do something new and Dr. Samir Topno, Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture.

Friends are an integral part of our college life. Special gratitude for the help, love and affection I got from my lovable friends especially Abdulraqueeb Abidin, Sudhir Mishra, Sandeep Kumar, Navitha damodaram, Mithun Tarafdar, Arun Patidar, Ravi Kumar, Ritika Agrahari, Deep Kusum, Chavi chaya and Bhargavi Mishra who were a constant reminder of the faith they had in me to successfully complete the task.

Last but not the least, a sincere gesture of thankfulness to those innumerable people who were apart of this task directly or indirectly. It is not for lack of gratitude but for the lack of space. I owe my heartiest thanks to them.

References

- Kaur M, Kaur A. Effect of post-harvest treatments on quality and shelf life of banana cv. Grand Naine, International Journal of Advanced Research. 2017; 5(12):521-526.
- 2. Kumar P. Effect of packaging methods, temperature and ethylene on shelf life and quality of banana. Msc Thesis, Department of Horticulture and Postharvest Technology Sher-E-Bangla Agricultural University Dhaka, 2006, 60.
- 3. Lozel S. Chemical dips and edible coatings to retard softening and browning of fresh-cut banana. Journal of Postharvest Technology and Innovation. 2010; (2):13-23.
- 4. Marriott J, Palmer J. Banana physiology and biochemistry of storage and ripening for optimum quality. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 1980; (13):41-88.
- 5. Osman HE, Abu-Goukh AA. Effect of polyethylene film lining and gibberellic acid on quality and shelf-life of banana fruits. University of Khartoum Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2008; 16(2):241-260.