www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2018; 7(7): 215-217 © 2018 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 21-05-2018 Accepted: 23-06-2018

Anjali Khare

ICAR-National dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India

Veena Mani ICAR-National dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India

Gaurav Thorat

ICAR-National dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India

Sachin Kumar

ICAR-National dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India

Correspondence Veena Mani ICAR-National dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India

## Effect of prebiotic supplementation on faecal characteristic on buffalo calves

#### Anjali Khare, Veena Mani, Gaurav Thorat and Sachin Kumar

#### Abstract

Ban on the antibiotic as a growth promoter European Union since January 1, 2006 prebiotic come in existence. Twenty eight Murrah buffalo calves were randomly selected and divided into four groups. All the four groups were fed as per ICAR (2013) feeding schedule except that these were additionally supplemented with 0, 8, 16 and 24 g/d chicory root powder (in the four respective groups i. e. CON, T1, T2 T3) for 90 days. Results showed that there is significant (p<0.05) decrease in Fecal, ammonia and faecal pH on supplementation. Faecal lactate is significantly increase in treatment group. Thus, it may be concluded that the supplementation of chicory root powder (8, 16 and 24g) may be useful for enhancing health status and performance of calves. As there is no significant difference observe in the treatment group in 8g and 16 g faecal score, faecal pH, so we can conclude that 8gm of chicory root powder beneficial for calves.

Keywords: prebiotic, calf, faecal pH, faecal lactate

#### Introduction

Major portion of India's economy is based on agriculture and animal husbandry in which milk production plays a vital role. India ranks first in milk production in the world. Milk production in India was around 155 million tonnes in 2015-16 according to NDDB and buffaloes contribute 56% the nation's milk production (FAO, 2004) <sup>[20]</sup>. The rural people are mostly dependent on agriculture for their livelihood and livestock provides additional support to them. For a lucrative dairy industry, calves, being future replacement stock of the herd, are an important asset and key determinants of the economic future of dairy farm. Hence, healthy young stock is indispensable for a successful and profitable dairy enterprise. But, calf health is a very critical factor affecting the welfare and economics of young stock, dairy and rearing enterprises. The maintenance of health and growth rate of calves is very important especially during first 2 to 3 months of age. It also decides the economics of replacement stock rearing and has immense bearing on early maturity and production of the animals (Ghosh and Mehla, 2012) <sup>[6]</sup>. Calf diarrhoea, in particular, is a significant health issue in dairy rearing enterprises, with 38% of producers reporting it to be a significant problem (Morrison *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[12]</sup>. Diarrhoea has been related to an increase of Coliform bacteria counts in the intestines and a decrease in Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria counts (Ouwehand et al., 2002)<sup>[14]</sup>. The increase of Coliform bacteria in the intestines may produce putrefactive substances and harm the host (Fujisawa et al., 2010)<sup>[5]</sup>. As a result, gut microbiota are important to the health maintenance and development of the host (Ng et al. 2009; Rowland et al. 2010) [17]. The development of antimicrobial resistance and transference of antibiotic resistance genes from animal to human microbiota (Salyers et al. 2004)<sup>[18]</sup> and ban on the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in the European Union since January 1, 2006 (EC, 2001) urged the scientist to find a suitable alternatives to antibiotics. To overcome these problems and to replace the use of antibiotics prebiotics came up as a good adjuvant to promote the health (Heinrich *et al.* 2003)<sup>[8]</sup>. Prebiotic supplementation has gained interest in recent years as a method to improve gastrointestinal health in livestock. It has been provided that prebiotic supplementation may be most effective in times of stress or increased pathogen exposure throughout the calf's lifetime (Ouirk et al. 2010) <sup>[15]</sup>. Inulin is one of the fructans, naturally occurring in many plants, mostly extracted from chicory root (Cichorium Intybus) or Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus). It is composed of oligo and polysaccharides, which give inulin its unique prebiotic properties (Samanta et al. 2013)<sup>[19]</sup>.

#### **Material and Methods**

#### Animal housing environment and dietary treatment

Twenty eight Murrah buffalo calves (7-10 d old and  $31 \pm 2.0$  kg of body weight), were randomly assigned into four groups with seven animals in each group. All the calves were fed a similar basal diet (ICAR 2013)<sup>[9]</sup>. with group 1 (T<sub>0</sub>) without any supplementation served as control while animals in Group II (T1), Group III (T2) and Group IV (T3) were supplemented with 8, 16, 24 g chicory root powder per calf/day respectively. The total duration of experimental period was of 120 days.

#### Housing and Environment

The study was conducted in the individual calf sheds of ICAR - National Dairy Research Institute Karnal, India. The calves were housed individually in well-ventilated pens.

#### **Feeding Management**

The diet comprised of concentrate mixture (maize, bajra, GNC, SBM, MOC, wheat bran, rice polish and mineral mixture. The animals were offered green fodder containing maize and jowar. All the calves had 24 hr access to ad libitum clean water. The feeding of milk was carried out twice a day. Whole milk fed to the calves at 1/10th of actual BW up to 2 weeks, 1/15th of actual BW in the third and fourth week, 1/20th of actual BW in the fifth and sixth week, and 1/25th in the seventh and eighth week of study. Calf starter was offered from the second week onwards. All the calves were fed ad libitum concentrate mixture and green fodder (Ramaswami *et al.*, 2005) <sup>[16]</sup>.

#### Faecal collection and procedure

Rectal fecal samples were collected at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 days to enumerate the faecal pH, ammonia and faecal microbial populations. Sterile gloves were used to obtain 10 to 12 g of feces following perianal cleansing with dilute Betadine solution. The pH of the samples before aliquoting of the faeces was determined directly with digital pH meter (pH Spear, Eutech Instruments, Klang Selangor, DE, Malaysia; pH range -1.00-14.00, resolution 0.01 pH, accuracy ±0.01 pH) specially designed for direct pH measurement of semisolid samples (Kore et al. 2009) [11]. Additional three aliquots were collected for determination of fermentative end products. About 2g of fresh faeces was diluted with 6 mL of 6 N HCl, centrifuged and was stored at -20oC for analysis of ammonia. The ammonia estimation was done by modified method of (Chaney and Marbach, 1962)<sup>[2]</sup>. Another aliquot of about 2g was diluted with an equal volume of distilled water and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm and the supernatant was stored at -20oC for analysis of lactate. Lactic acid was estimated as per method of (Baker and Summerson, 1941)<sup>[1]</sup>.

#### Statistical analysis

The experimental data generated were analysed by ANOVA using the statistical software program SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA. Data for parameters involving periodic collections were analyzed adopting repeated measures procedure using GLM of SPSS; the analysis included between-subjects main effect of treatment, withinsubjects main effect of period of sampling and interaction between the periods of sampling  $\times$  treatment. The effects were considered to be significant at p < .05.

### Result and Discussion

#### **Faecal Charecterstic**

The data of faecal pH were presented in table 1. It is evident from the pH values showed a declining trend in all the groups including control, however, decline was more pronounced in chicory root powder supplemented group. Gibson and Roberfroid. (1995) <sup>[7]</sup> observed that the pH reduced due to Bifidogenic mechanism of action which is based on selective fermentation of fructans by Bifidobacteria through synthesis of beta-fructosidases enzyme decomposing beta-2, 1 glycosidic bonds in inulin and oligofructoses. As a result of bifidogenic effect change of bacterial microflora in intestine, decrease in the number of harmful bacteria is observed. Their proliferation is inhibited by Bifidobacteria that produces short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) and lowers the pH of intestinal chyme, with concomitant adverse conditions for pathogens. This may also be the case in our study and plausible reason for reduction in faecal pH. Similar results were found by Chen et al. (2005)<sup>[3]</sup> who studied the effect of oligofructose and inulin and observed a reduction in the fecal pH in fresh feces during the first four weeks of production in diet containing oligofructose in broilers. Little or no effect on fecal pH was observed for the inulin treatment. However, Fujisawa et al. (2010)<sup>[5]</sup> observed that the fecal pH did not differ from those of the control group, when calves were fed prebiotic which is opposite to our findings.

#### **Faecal Metabolite**

Data of faecal lactate and faecal ammnia is presented in Table 1. There is significant (P<0.01) increase in faecal lactate concentration in treatment group as compare to control. Lactate concentration is highest in  $T_2$  then control ( $T_0$ ). Fecal ammonia concentration is also significant and similar trend was observed as lactate. Increased lactate concentration with lowering of ammonia concentration. So that minimum value is observed in T2 ( $4.30\pm0.10$ ) then control ( $4.97\pm0.09$ ) Increased lactate concentration with lowering of ammonia concentration result in lowering of pH, thus supporting the data obtained for pH too. Similar results were found in the study of (Fujisawa et al., 2010) <sup>[5]</sup> indicated that fecal ammonia (P<0.05) was significantly lower in the prebiotic supplemented group as compared to the control group. Our studies are also in agreement with the findings of (Chen et al. 2005) <sup>[3]</sup> who studied the effect of oligofructose and inulin and observed reduction in volatile ammonia concentration and faecl pH in fresh feces during the first four weeks of age in diet containing oligofructose. However, (Fujisawa et al. 2010) <sup>[5]</sup> observed that the fecal pH did not differ from those of the control group, when calves were fed prebiotic which is opposite to our findings.

**Table 2:** Effect of dietary supplementation of chicory root powder on faecal characteristics of Murrah buffalo calves

Attributes	Dietary group					Dowind mean	Significance				
	To	T1(8g)	T <sub>2</sub> (16g)	T <sub>3</sub> (24g)		Period mean	Т	Р	T*P		
Fecal pH											
0d	7.64±0.15	7.58±0.14	7.50±0.18	7.53±0.18	7.56 <sup>s</sup> ±0.08		0.011	< 0.001	1		
15d	7.46±0.05	7.28±0.28	7.18±0.16	7.21±0.13	7	.28 <sup>rs</sup> ±0.09					
30d	7.58±0.16	7.25±0.41	7.26±0.32	7.29±0.23	7	'.34 <sup>rs</sup> ±0.14					

45d	7.38±0.15	6.81±0.25	6.84±0.33	6.87±0.17		6.98 <sup>qr</sup> ±0.12						
60d	7.17±0.10	6.66±0.23	6.69±0.28	6.70±0.12		6.80 <sup>q</sup> ±0.11						
90d	6.52±0.11	6.12±0.31	6.20±0.26	6.30±0.23		6.29 <sup>p</sup> ±0.12						
Average	7.29 <sup>b</sup> ±0.08	6.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	6.94 <sup>a</sup> ±0.12	6.98 <sup>a</sup> ±0.11								
Lactate (µmol/g) of fresh feces												
0d	3.11±0.21	3.05±0.17	3.13±0.13	3.12±0.23	3	3.10 <sup>p</sup> ±0.18	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01			
15d	3.07±0.13	3.23±0.09	3.20±0.19	3.29±0.17	7	3.20 pq±0.16						
30d	3.07±0.22	3.40±0.13	3.41±0.19	3.41±0.15	5	3.32 <sup>r</sup> ±0.22						
45d	3.21±0.14	3.56±0.18	3.67±0.12	3.61±0.22		3.51 <sup>s</sup> ±0.24						
60d	3.23±0.20	3.83±0.19	3.89±0.16	4.05±0.23		3.75 <sup>t</sup> ±0.37						
90d	3.14±0.14	4.04±0.09	4.64±0.41	4.06±0.32		3.97 <sup>u</sup> ±0.60						
average	3.14 <sup>a</sup> ±0.18	3.52 <sup>b</sup> ±0.37	3.66°±0.56	3.59 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.42								
			Ammonia	(µmol/g) of fresh	feces							
0d	5.67±0.02	5.42±0.06	5.08±0.1	7 5.04±0	.22	5.30 <sup>s</sup> ±0.08	< 0.01	< 0.01	.121			
15d	5.22±0.10	5.03±0.06	4.90±0.14	4 5.12±0	.21	5.07 <sup>s</sup> ±0.07						
30d	4.98±0.19	4.69±0.14	4.53±0.1	1 4.55±0	.20	4.69 <sup>r</sup> ±0.08						
45d	4.60±0.27	4.49±0.15	4.11±0.0	7 4.57±0	.24	4.44 <sup>qr</sup> ±0.10						
60d	4.78±0.19	4.16±0.15	3.78±0.0	6 4.11±0	.10	4.21 <sup>q</sup> ±0.09						
90d	4.60±0.08	3.77±0.15	3.39±0.0	6 3.72±0	.10	3.87 <sup>p</sup> ±0.10						
average	4.97°±0.09	4.59 <sup>b</sup> ±0.10	4.30 <sup>a</sup> ±0.1	0 4.52 <sup>b</sup> ±(	).11							

Basal diet with no supplementation (T<sub>0</sub>) or supplemented with chicory root powder 8g (T<sub>1</sub>), 16 g (T<sub>2</sub>)) and 24g (T<sub>3</sub>)

<sup>a,b/pqrs</sup>Means bearing different superscripts in a row (a,b) or column (P,q,r,s) differ significantly (P<0.01)

<sup>\$</sup>Significant effects of dietary treatment (T), period (P) or their interaction (T\*P)

#### Conclusion

Chicory root powder has potential for improving gut health fecal pH and fecal metabolites of calves. So it could be conclude that 8g/d chicory root powder supplementation can reasonably be recommended for the calves for the overall health.

#### Reference

- 1. Barker SB, summerson WH. The colorimetric determination of lactic acid in biological material. J biol. Chem. 1941; 15:138-535.
- Chaney AL, Marbach EP. Modified reagents for determination of urea and ammonia. Clin. Chim. 1962; 8:130-132.
- 3. Chen YC, Nakthong C, Chen TC. Improvement of laying hen performance by dietary prebiotic chicory oligofructose and inulin. Int. J Poult. Sci. 2005; 4:103-108.
- Commission of the European Communities, Commission Recommendation. EC. Official J European Union L. 2001; 161:42-44.
- 5. Fujisawa T, Sadatoshi A, Ohashi Y, Orihashi T, Sakai K, Sera K *et al.* Influences of Prebio Support (mixture of fermented products of Lactobacillus gasseri OLL2716 and Propionibacterium freudenreichii ET-3) on the composition and metabolic activity of fecal microbiota in calves. Bio. Sci. Microflora. 2010; 29:41-45.
- 6. Ghosh S, Mehla RK. Influence of dietary supplementation of prebiotics (mannan- oligosaccharide) on the performance of crossbred calves. *Trop.* Anim. Health Pro. 2012; 44:617-622.
- 7. Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota introducing the concept of prebiotics. J Nutri. 1995; 125:1401-1412.
- Heinrichs AJ, Jones CM, Heinrichs BS. Effects of Mannan oligosaccharide or antibiotics in neonatal diets on health and growth of dairy calves. J Dairy Sci. 2003; 86:4064-4069.
- 9. ICAR. Nutrient Requirements of Cattle and Buffaloes. 3rd edn. Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi, India, 2013.
- 10. Kara C, Cihan H, Temizel M, Catik S, Meral Y, Orman

et al. Effects of Supplemental Mannan Α oligosaccharides on Growth Performance, Faecal Characteristics and Health in Dairv Calves. Asian Australas. J Anim. Sci. 2015; 28: 1599-1608.

- Kore KB, Pattanaik AK, Das A, Sharma K. Evaluation of alternative cereal sources in dog diets: Effect on nutrient utilization and hindgut fermentation characteristics. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2009; 89:2174–2180.
- 12. Morrison SJ, Dawson S, Carson AF. The effects of mannan oligosaccharide and Streptococcus faecium addition to milk replacer on calf health and performance. Livest. Sci. 2010; 131:292-296.
- Ng SC, Hart AL, Kamm MA, Stagg AJ, Knight SC. Mechanisms of action of probiotics: recent advances. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 2009; 15:300-310.
- Ouwehand A, Isolauri E, Salminen S. The role of the intestinal microflora for the development of the immune system in early childhood. Eur. J Nutr. 2002; 41:1/32-1/37.
- 15. Quirk GJ, Paré D, Richardson R, Herry C, Monfils MH, Schiller D *et al.* Erasing fear memories with extinction training. J Neurosci. 2010; 30:14993-14997.
- Ramaswami N, Chaudhary LC, Agarwal N, Kamra DN. Effect of lactic acid producing bacteria on the performance of male crossbred calves fed roughage based diet. Asian-Australas J Anim. Sci. 2005; 18:1110-1115.
- Rowland IR, Capurso L, Collins K, Cummings J, Delzenne N, Goulet O *et al.* Report of an expert meeting-London, 23 November 2009. Gut microbes. 2010; 1:436-439.
- Salyers AA, Gupta A, Wang Y. Human intestinal bacteria as reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes. Trends Microbiol. 2004; 12:412-416.
- Samanta AK, Jayapal N, Senani S, Kolte AP, Sridhar M. Prebiotic inulin: useful dietary adjuncts to manipulate the livestock gut microflora. Braz. J Microbio. 2013; 44:1-14.
- 20. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization Socioeconomic analysis and policy, 2004.