



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.03

TPI 2018; 7(4): 651-654

© 2018 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 02-02-2018

Accepted: 05-03-2018

Shylaja MVeterinary Assistant Surgeon,
Karimnagar, Telangana, India**Sanem Soma Sekhar Goud**Veterinary Assistant Surgeon,
Veterinary Dispensary,
Peddaharivanam, Kurnool
district, Andhra Pradesh, India**Krishnaiah N**Department of Veterinary Public
Health and Epidemiology,
College Veterinary Science,
PVNR TVU, Hyderabad,
Telangana, India

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of staphylococcus aureus isolated from milk and milk products

Shylaja M, Sanem Soma Sekhar Goud and Krishnaiah N

Abstract

The incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections are being common in veterinary field. This study was an attempt to know the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the *S. aureus* in the samples collected from Hyderabad. Samples comprising were 240 (30 each of raw milk, pasteurized milk, paneer, cheese, curd, khova, ice cream and pedha) samples, from which the colonies were isolated and grown in *S. aureus* selective mannitol salt agar plates. The antimicrobial sensitivity pattern of seven antibiotics was evaluated in this study. The antibiotics selected for the study were erythromycin (15 µg), Pencillin-G (25 µg), Ampicillin (10 µg), Oxacillin (1 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), Gentamycin (10 µg), Methicilin (10 µg), Streptomycin (10 µg), Vancomycin (30 µg) and Tetracyclin (30 µg). The maximum inhibitory zone was shown by Gentamycin (88%), followed by Ciprofloxacin (86%) and Erythromycin (64%) and the resistance was the maximum towards Pencillin G (98%) and Ampicillin (88%). This organism was intermediately resistant to Vancomycin (26%) and Streptomycin (14%). Hence it could be concluded that Gentamycin is the best cost effective drug with maximum inhibitory zone against *S. aureus* infections among the nine antibiotics studied.

Keywords: antimicrobial susceptibility, staphylococcus aureus, milk and milk products

Introduction

S. aureus is Gram positive cocci which is a facultative anaerobe. *Staphylococcus* was first identified in 1880 in Aberdeen, Scotland, by the surgeon Sir Alexander Ogston in pus from a surgical abscess in a knee joint. It is commonly present on skin flora and in nasal passage. It is one of the most common causes of nosocomial infections and can also cause post-operative infections (Shorr *et al.*, 2005) [28]. *S. aureus* is coagulase-positive; having golden yellow colonies. Local purulent infections: furuncles, carbuncles, bullous impetigo, wound infections, sinusitis, otitis media, mastitis puerperalis, otitis, post influenza pneumonia, sepsis. Toxins produced by *S. aureus* cause food poisoning, dermatitis exfoliativa and toxic shock syndrome. *Staphylococcus aureus* is considered the third most important cause of disease in the world among the reported food borne diseases (FBDs). A wide variety of foods support the growth of *S. aureus* and are ideal for enterotoxin production including: raw milk, dairy products, meat, meat products and ready-to-eat foods (Aydin *et al.*, 2011) [4]. The growth of *S. aureus* in foods may pose a potential public health hazard because many strains of *S. aureus* produce enterotoxins (SEs) which are the causative agents of staphylococcal food poisoning (SFP) (Peles *et al.*, 2007) [23].

SFP presents as a self-limiting gastrointestinal illness with emesis following a short incubation period (4hours or some times as low as so) after ingestion of food containing preformed enterotoxin(s). Vomiting is accompanied by other symptoms i.e. nausea, diarrhea, abdominal pain (Alouf *et al.*, 2003) [3].

Out of 24 different SEs that have been described, SEA, SEB, SEC, SED, and SEE are considered the classical SEs that have been studied and are better understood than the newly described SEs (SEG-SEU) (Sharma *et al.* 2000). TSST-1 and the staphylococcal enterotoxins are also known as pyrogenic toxin super-antigens (PTSAgs) (Dinges *et al.*, 2000) [10]. SEA and SEB are the most common SEs which can cause disease in very small amounts i.e. 100-200 ng/ml or 10-20 ng/ml respectively (Clarisse *et al.*, 2013) [6]. So rapid and sensitive detection is needed in order to diagnose the illness or identify the contaminated food correctly.

Antibiotic resistance leads to prolonged hospital stay, contaminated milk and milk products and increased costs in terms of treatment. In addition to these, it causes life threatening infections such as in cases of pyomyositis and chronic osteomyelitis. The majority of the MRSA strains worldwide have become resistant to multiple antibiotics including beta-lactams;

Correspondence**Shylaja M**Veterinary Assistant Surgeon,
Karimnagar, Telangana, India

tetracyclines, macrolides and more recently fluoroquinolones. Excessive use of penicillin antibiotics over the years has led to the development of resistant strains of bacteria that are no longer killed by other beta lactam antibiotics.

Antimicrobial agents are among the most commonly used and misused of all drugs. The inevitable consequence of the widespread use of antimicrobial agents has been the emergence of antibiotic resistant pathogens, fueling an ever increasing need for new drugs. However, the pace of antimicrobial drug development has slowed dramatically, with only a handful of new agents, few of which are novel, been introduced into clinical practice each year. Reducing the inappropriate antibiotic use is thought to be the best way to control resistance (Cookson and Phillips, 1998) [7].

First identification and isolation of the causative organism should be taken place in the microbiology laboratory. Once the microbial species causing the disease have been identified, a rational choice of the class of antibiotics likely to work in on the patient can be made (Henry, 2010) [16].

Materials and Methods

Isolation and Identification

First step done was the collection of samples, milk samples each of 50 ml were aseptically collected from local vendors, college farm and local private dairy farms, milk products from different parlours of co-operative and private sector dairies and local sweet shops in sterile vials. The samples were immediately brought to the laboratory after collection. In the laboratory the samples were inoculated in 7% sodium chloride solution and incubated at 37°C overnight. Later the prepared samples were subcultured on mannitol salt agar plates. The milk samples were directly inoculated into mannitol salt agar plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The presumptive colonies were picked up and were subjected to biochemical tests. The isolates were identified with standard tests used to identify *S. aureus* such as Gram stain, catalase and coagulase tests. After this, sample was inoculated on plates by four flame method and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours (Forbes, 2007) [13].

Antimicrobial susceptibility tests

Antibiotic sensitivity was performed by Disc Diffusion Method of Bauer *et al.* (1966) [5]. MH broth was inoculated with five colonies from plates and tubes were incubated at 37°C for 2-8hrs until achieving a turbidity equivalent to 0.5 on the Macfarlandt scale. After turbidity adjustment a sterile swab was introduced, pressed against the tube well in order to remove any excess liquid; and then seeded on the surface of a petri dish containing MH agar, rotating atleast twice. After the liquid was placed the disc was left at rest for five minutes to absorb any excessive humidity (Kumar and Kalpana, 2013) [21]. Then same commercially available antibiotic discs were gently and firmly placed on the agar plates, which were then left at room temperature for 1 hour to allow diffusion of the antibiotics into the agar medium. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Filter paper discs containing designated amount of the antimicrobial drugs obtained from commercial supply firms (Himedia Labs, Mumbai, India) were used. Antimicrobial susceptibility isolates was established by the disc diffusion assay with Muller- Hinton agar in accordance with French National antibiogram committee guidelines.

The antibiotic sensitivity of *S. aureus* was tested for antibiotics such as erythromycin (15 µg), Pencillin-G (25 µg), Ampicillin

(10 µg), Oxacillin (1 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), Gentamycin (10 µg), Methicilin (10 µg), Streptomycin (10 µg), Vancomycin (30 µg) and Tetracyclin (30 µg). The antimicrobial activity was present on the plates, was indicated by an inhibition zone. The diameter of the inhibition zones was measured in millimeter after 24 hours using a scale. An organism was interpreted as highly susceptible if the diameter of inhibition zone was more than 19 mm, intermediate if diameter was 15-18 mm and resistant if the diameter was less than 13 mm. The intermediate readings were considered as sensitive in the assessment of the data.

Results and Discussion:

240 milk and milk products samples tested, organisms isolated were identified as *Staphylococcus aureus*. The colonies were gram positive cocci, non-motile, non-capsular and non-sporulating organisms. They were tend to occur in irregular clusters resembling bunches of grapes. The coagulase test was positive and with golden yellow colonies. Percentage of antibiotic sensitivity ranged between 25-80 % (Table-1), for Gentamycin. Among them, Gentamycin was with highest inhibitory zone, followed by Ciprofloxacin and Erythromycin. The intermediate sensitivity was exhibited to Vancomycin and Streptomycin discs. The inhibitory zone was least for Pencillin-G.

The sensitivity of *S. aureus* to Gentamicin (88.0%) in the present study was less than the sensitivity of 100% (Suleiman *et al.*, 2013) [30], 91.7% (Aber *et al.*, 2010) [1], 90.0% (Beyene, 2016 and Thaker *et al.*, 2013) [31] and more than the resistance of 85.83%, 82.8%, 32.0% and 5.7% reported by Nazeer Nazer Islam (2014), Owuna *et al.* (2012), Brinda *et al.* (2010) and Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25] respectively. The resistance to Gentamicin was 4.0% in the present study, was less than the resistance (82.8%, 75.0%, 67.4%, 34.6%, 30.5%, 22.22%, 19.8% and 10.0%) reported by Owuna *et al.* (2015) [22], Jabber *et al.* (2011), Zouhairi *et al.* (2010) [33], De Medeiros *et al.* (2011) [9], Kumar *et al.* (2009), Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26], Lubna *et al.* (2010) and Thaker *et al.* (2013) [31] respectively. Very low resistance of 3.8% and 3.0% was reported by Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25] and Pu *et al.* (2011).

The resistance of *S. aureus* to Pencillin-G in the present study (98.0%) was more than the resistance (72.0%, 64.0% and 22.7%) reported by Shitandi and Milcah (2004), Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25] and Kumar *et al.* (2009) respectively. Higher resistance to Pencillin-G (100%) was reported by Beyene *et al.* (2016) and Thaker *et al.* (2013) [31]. An Intermediate resistance (2.0%) observed in the present study was less than 17.1% and 7.5% reported by Shitandi and Milcah (2004) and Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25] respectively.

The resistance of *S. aureus* to Tetracyclins was 46.0% in the present study, which was more than the resistance (42.85%, 37.9%, 36.7%, 30.0%, 26.1%, 22.22% and 11.0%) reported by Elbargisy *et al.* (2016), Shitandi and milcah (2004), kumar *et al.* (2009), Jabber *et al.* (2011), Alian *et al.* (2012), Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26] and Spanu *et al.* (2010) respectively, whereas higher resistance (100%, 67.0%, 59.2%, 58.7% and 52.8%) was reported by Yurdakul *et al.* (2013), Pu *et al.* (2011), De Medeiros *et al.* (2016), Lubna *et al.* (2015) and Elbargisy *et al.* (2016) respectively. The sensitivity of present study (46.0%) was almost similar to the sensitivity (45.0%) reported by Jaber *et al.* (2011) [14], whereas lower (17.6%) sensitivity was reported by Shitandi and Milcah (2004). Intermediate resistance (8.0%) observed in the present study was more than 25.0% and 24.5% reported by Jabber *et al.* (2011) and

Shitandi and Milcah (2004).

The sensitivity of *S.aureus* to Ciprofloxacin in the present study (86.0%) was more than the sensitivity (83.33%, 83.0%, 80.0%, 35.0% and 10.43%), which was reported by Jahan *et al.* (2015), Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25], Thaker *et al.* (2013) [31], Jaber *et al.* (2011) [14] and Nazneen Naham Islam *et al.* (2014) respectively. The resistance to Ciprofloxacin (14.0%) in the present study was less than the resistance of 77.5%, 45.2% and 22.22% reported by Nazeer Naham Islam (2014), De Medeiros *et al.* (2016) and Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26] respectively. The resistance in this study was almost similar to the resistance of 13.0% reported by Pu *et al.* (2011). Lower resistance (7.5%) was reported by Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25]. An Intermediate resistance (8.0%) observed in the present study was less than 25.0% and 24.5% reported by Jabber *et al.* (2011) and Shitandi and Milcah (2004) respectively.

The resistance of *S.aureus* to Oxacillin was 68.0% in the present study, which was less than the resistance 100%, 93.5% and 71.4%) reported by Ugwu *et al.* (2015), Zouhairi *et al.* (2010) [33] and Pesavento *et al.* (2005) respectively. Higher resistance than the present study (65.8%, 60.3%, 52%, 28.3%, 5.0% and 3.0%) was reported by De Medeiros *et al.* (2011), Daka *et al.* (2012), Brinda *et al.* (2010), Alian *et al.* (2012), Nemati *et al.* (2008), Abdel Halem *et al.* (2016) and Moon *et al.* (2007) respectively.

The resistance of *S.aureus* to Ampicillin was 88.0% in the present study, which was less than the resistance (100%, 96.0%, 91.1%, 68.0% and 42% reported by Nazeer Naham Islam (2014), Beyene (2016), Lubna *et al.* (2015), Pu *et al.* (2011) and Elbargisy *et al.* (2016) respectively. Lower resistance (40.0%, 36.1%, 33.33%, 25.97%, 20.8% and 16.0%) was reported by Thaker *et al.* (2013) [31], Spanu *et al.* (2010), Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26], Farzana *et al.* (2004), Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25] and Haran *et al.* (2012), respectively. The

sensitivity in the present study (64.0%) was more than the sensitivity (61.6%, 55.0%, 49.0%, 41.4%, 32.1% and 14.17%) reported by Shitandi and Milcah (2004), Jabber *et al.* (2011), Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25], Owuna *et al.* (2015) [22], Daka *et al.* (2012) and Nazeen Nahar Islam *et al.* (2014) respectively. Higher sensitivity of 96.10% was reported by Farzana *et al.* (2004).

The resistance of *S.aureus* to Streptomycin was 70.0% in the present study, was almost similar to the resistance (71.7%) reported by Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25]. Lower resistance of 60.0%, 44.44%, 38.1%, 26.6%, 10.0% and 5.6% was reported by Achi and Madubiike (2007), Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26], De Medeiros *et al.* (2011) [9], kumar *et al.* (2009), Thaker *et al.* (2013) and Aber *et al.* (2010) [1] respectively. The sensitivity in the present study (16.0%) was less than the sensitivity (94.0%, 86.1%, 79.3% and 60.0%) was reported by Beyene (2016), Aber *et al.* (2010) [1], Owuna *et al.* (2015) [22] and Thaker *et al.* (2013) [31] respectively.

The resistance of *S.aureus* to Vancomycin (64.0%) in the present study was less than the resistance (90.0%, 88.89% and 71.7%) reported by Jabber *et al.* (2011), Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26] and Zouhairi *et al.* (2010) [33] respectively, whereas Lower resistance (25.7%) was reported by Lubna *et al.* (2015). The sensitivity of the present study (10.0%) was less than the sensitivity (38.5%) reported by Daka *et al.* (2012).

The resistance of *S.aureus* to Methicillin was 76.0% in the present study, which was less than the resistance (84.8%) reported by Zouhairi *et al.* (2010) [33]. Lower resistance (66.67%, 7.8% and 3.8%) was reported by Sharma *et al.* (2015) [26], Shitandi and Milcah (2004) and Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25] respectively. The sensitivity in the present study (16.0%) was less than the sensitivity (100.0% and 98.2%) reported by Thaker *et al.* (2013) [31] and Seedy *et al.* (2010) [25]

Table 1: Antimicrobial sensitivity of *S. aureus* isolated from milk and milk products

S. No	Antimicrobial agent	Concentration (µg)	Pattern of antibiogram		
			Sensitive (%)	Intermediate (%)	Resistant (%)
1	Erythromycin	(30 µg)	32(64%)	12(24%)	6(12%)
2	Gentamycin	(10 µg)	44(88%)	4(2%)	2(4%)
3	Pencillin –G	(10U)	-	1(2%)	49(98%)
4	Tetracycline	(30 µg)	23(46%)	4(8%)	23(46%)
5	Ciprofloxacin	(5 µg)	43(86%)	0	7(14%)
6	Oxacillin	(1µg)	11(22%)	5(10%)	34(68%)
7	Ampicillin	(10µg)	4(4%)	4(8%)	44(88%)
8	Streptomycin	(10µg)	8(16%)	7(14%)	35(70%)
9	Vancomycin	(10µg),	5(10%)	13(26%)	32(64%)
10	Methicilin	(30µg)	8(16%)	4(8%)	38(76%)

Conclusion

From the present study it could be concluded that Gentamycin is the best antibiotic of choice, among the seven antibiotics studied against *S. aureus* infection, with the highest inhibitory zone and the cost effective of therapy.

References

1. Abera M, Demie B, Aragaw K, Regassa F, Regassa A. Isolation and identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine mastitic milk and their drug resistance patterns in Adama town, Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health.* 2010; 2(3):29-34.
2. Achi OK, Madubiik CN. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from retail ready-to-eat food in Nigeria. *Res J Microbiol.* 2007;

2(6):516-523.

3. Alouf JE, Müller-Alouf H. Staphylococcal and streptococcal superantigens: molecular, biological and clinical aspects. *International journal of medical microbiology.* 2003; 292(7):429-440.
4. Aydin A, Sudagidan M, Muratoglu K. Prevalence of staphylococcal enterotoxins, toxin genes and genetic-relatedness of foodborne *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated in the Marmara Region of Turkey. *International journal of food microbiology.* 2011; 148(2):99-106.
5. Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Tuck M. Antibiotics susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1966; 45:493-496.
6. Clarisse T, Michèle S, Olivier T, Valérie E, Jacques-Antoine H, Michel G, Florence V. Detection and

- quantification of staphylococcal enterotoxin A in foods with specific and sensitive polyclonal antibodies. *Food Control*. 2013; 32(1):255-261.
7. Cookson BD, Phillips I. Epidemic MRSA. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1998; 21(supplement c):57-65.
 8. Daka D, Yihdego D. Antibiotic-resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from cow's milk in the Hawassa area, South Ethiopia. *Annals of clinical microbiology and antimicrobials*. 2012; 11(1):1.
 9. De Medeiros ES, França CA, Krewer CDC, Peixoto RDM, de Souza Júnior AF, Cavalcante MB, Mota RA. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates from cases of mastitis in buffalo in Brazil. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*. 2011; 23(4):793-796.
 10. Dinges MM, Orwin PM, Schlievert PM. Exotoxins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Clinical microbiology reviews*. 2000; 13(1):16-34.
 11. Elbargisy RM, Rizk DE, Abdel-Rhman SH. Toxin gene profile and antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical and food samples in Egypt. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 2016; 10(13):428-437.
 12. Farzana K, Shah SNH, Jabeen F, Batool S. Antibiotic resistance pattern against various isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* from milk products Khoya and Burfi. *J Res. Sci*. 2004; 15:419-427.
 13. Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Weissfeld AS. Overview of Bacterial Identification Methods and Strategies. *Bailey & Scott's diagnostic microbiology*, 12th edition, Elsevier, U.K, 2004-2007, 258.
 14. Jaber Nawras N. isolation and biotyping of *staphylococcus aureus* from white cheese in basrah local markets bas. *J vet. res*. vol. 2011; 10(2):55.
 15. Jahan M, Rahman M, Parvej MS, Chowdhury SMZH, Haque ME, Talukder MAK, Ahmed S. Isolation and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* from raw cow milk in Bangladesh. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*. 2015; 2(1):49-55.
 16. Henry CF, Goodman. Gillman's, the Pharmacological basis of Therapeutics, General principles of antimicrobial therapy. 12th edition, New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010, 1369.
 17. Lubna S, Wells H, Fakhr MK. *Staphylococcus aureus* is more prevalent in retail beef livers than in pork and other beef cuts. *Pathogens*. 2015; 4(2):182-198.
 18. Moon JS, Lee AR, Kang HM, Lee ES, Kim MN, Paik YH, Koo HC. Phenotypic and genetic antibiogram of methicillin-resistant staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis in Korea. *Journal of dairy science*. 2007; 90(3):1176-1185.
 19. Nemati M, Hermans K, Lipinska U, Denis O, Deplano A, Struelens M, Haesebrouck F. Antimicrobial resistance of old and recent *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from poultry: first detection of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant strain ST398. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 2008; 52(10):3817-3819.
 20. Kumar R, Prasad A. Detection of *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus* in Milk and Milk Products in and around Pantnagar. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2009; 1(3):151-152.
 21. Kumar AR, Kalpana S. prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infection. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci*. 2013; 4(4):927-936.
 22. Owuna G, Abimiku RH, Nkene IH, Joseph GW, Ijalana OO. Isolation and Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* from Fresh, 2015.
 23. Peles F, Wagner M, Varga L, Hein I, Rieck P, Gutser K, Szabó A. Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from bovine milk in Hungary. *International journal of food microbiology*. 2007; 118(2):186-193.
 24. Pesavento G, Ducci B, Comodo N, Nostro AL. Antimicrobial resistance profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw meat: A research for methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Food Control*. 2005; 18(3):196-200.
 25. Seedy FR, El-Shabrawy M, Hakim AS, Syame SF, Osman NM. Advanced techniques used for isolation and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from mastitic buffaloes. *Global Veterinaria*. 2010; 8(2):144-152.
 26. Sharma L, Verma AK, Kumar A, Rahal Neha A, Nigam R. Incidence and pattern of antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical and subclinical mastitis in cattle and buffaloes. *Asian J Anim. Sci*. 2015; 9(3):100-109.
 27. Shitandi A, Mwangi M. Occurrence of multiple antimicrobial resistance among *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates from Kenyan milk. *Journal of Food Technology in Africa*. 2004; 9(1):23-25.
 28. Shorr AF, Kunkel MJ, Kollef M. Linezolid versus vancomycin for *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia: pooled analysis of randomized studies. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2005; 56:923-929.
 29. Spanu V, Viridis S, Scarano C, Cossu F, De Santis EPL, Cosseddu AM. Antibiotic resistance assessment in *S. aureus* strains isolated from raw sheep's milk cheese. *Veterinary research communications*. 2010; 34(1):87-90.
 30. Suleiman A, Zaria LT, Grema HA, Ahmadu P. Antimicrobial resistant coagulase positive *Staphylococcus aureus* from chickens in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences*. 2013; 11(1):51-55.
 31. Thaker HC, Brahmabhatt MN, Nayak JB, Thaker HC. Isolation and identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from milk and milk products and their drug resistance patterns in Anand, Gujarat. *Vet World*. 2013; 6(1):10-13.
 32. Ugwu IC, Anyanwu MU, Ugwu CC, Okoro JC. Isolation and detection of methicillin-resistant staphylococci in healthy broilers in Nsukka Southeast, Nigeria. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2015; 7(1):20.
 33. Zouhairi O, Saleh I, Alwan N, Toufeili I, Barbour E, Harakeh S. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus* species isolated from Lebanese dairy-based products/Résistance aux antimicrobiens des espèces du genre *Staphylococcus* isolées dans des produits libanais dérivés du lait. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*. 2010; 16(12):1221.