



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.03
TPI 2018; 7(1): 376-378
© 2018 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 21-11-2017
Accepted: 22-12-2017

D Vijayvergiya
Department of Horticulture,
Msc(Horticulture), R.A.K
College of Agriculture, Rajmata
Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Sehore, M.P, India

Dr. SA Ali
Principal Scientist and Head of
the Department, Department of
Horticulture, R.A.K College of
Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje
Scindia Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Sehore, M.P, India

MP Das
Ph. D Scholar, Department of
Vegetable Science, College of
Agriculture, Orissa university of
agriculture and technology,
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

P Ramgiry
Ph. D Scholar, Department of
Horticulture, Jawaharlal Nehru
Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh,
India

S Uikey
Msc (Horticulture), Department
of Horticulture, R.A.K College of
Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje
Scindia Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Sehore, M.P, India

Correspondence

MP Das
Ph. D Scholar, Department of
Vegetable Science, College of
Agriculture, Orissa university of
agriculture and technology,
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Effect of pre-emergence herbicides on weed control of kharif onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in vindhyan plateau of Madhya Pradesh

D Vijayvergiya, SA Ali, MP Das, P Ramgiry and S Uikey

Abstract

An experiment was conducted to find out practically convenient and economically feasible weed management practice in onion at the Horticulture Research Farm, R.A.K College of Agriculture, Sehore (M.P). The experiment comprised of ten treatments of pre-emergence herbicides with hand weeding and unweeded control. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Hand weeding treatment (three hand weeding at 25, 50, and 75 DAT) recorded significantly the lowest dry matter of weeds and the highest weed control efficiency. All the growth and yield attributes of onion *viz.*, plant height (64.93 cm), leaves width (1.51 cm), no. of leaves (8.67), neck thickness (1.11 cm), fresh bulb weight (70 g), polar diameter (5.48 cm), equatorial diameter of bulb (6.34 cm) and bulb yield (545.75 q ha⁻¹) were recorded maximum in hand weeding plots. The highest cost: benefit ratio was found with T₁₀ (Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC @ 1.25kg a.i./ha (PE) (1:6.88) followed by T₉ (Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC @ 1kg a.i./ha (PE) (1:6.78).

Keywords: Bulb yield, Herbicide, Onion, Pre emergence herbicide, weed control

Introduction

Onion belongs to the family Alliaceae is an important vegetable crop grown all over the world. Onion is regarded as a highly export oriented crop and earns a valuable foreign exchange for the country. India ranks second in area and production of onion in the world followed by China. In India major onion growing states are Maharashtra, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar and Gujarat. Onion is cultivated in an area of 1320130 hectare with a production of 20931250 MT and productivity 15.86 Tonnes/ha in India. In Madhya Pradesh, it is grown in about 118200 ha with a production of 2848000 MT and productivity 24.09 Tones/ha (N.H.R.D.F., 2015-16). Weeds are of great menace as they interfere with production of crop and add to the cost of cultivation. The reduction in crop yield has direct correlation with weed competition. Onion exhibits greater susceptibility to weed competition as compared to other crops due to its inherent characteristics such as their slow growth, small stature, shallow roots and lack of dense foliage. Generally, the bulb yield of onion reduced by 30-60% due to weed infestation. As weeds decrease the profitability of onion crop, therefore, weed must be controlled well in time. This situation makes it necessary to use herbicides for effective and timely control of weeds in this crop. Proper and timely weed control measures essential for good bulb development in onion. It is thus highly imperative to schedule suitable method of weed control by application of different herbicides for enhancing profits to onion growers of the country.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Research Farm, R.A.K College of Agriculture, Sehore (M.P) during kharif season of 2016-17. The soil in the study area belongs to a textural class of medium black and low in nitrogen relative to available phosphorus with a pH of 7.2 which is slightly alkaline. The experiment was laid out in randomized block Design with three replications and ten treatments (Table 1). The treatments included pre-emergence application of herbicides *viz.*

- T₁- Weedy check
- T₂- Hand weeding (at 25, 50, and 75 DAT)
- T₃- Pendimethalin 30% EC 1 kg a.i./ha PE
- T₄ Pendimethalin 30% EC 1.5 kg a.i./ha PE

T₅- Pendimethalin 30% EC 2 kg a.i./ha PE
 T₆- Pendimethalin 30% EC 2.5 kg a.i./ha PE
 T₇- Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.5 kg a.i./ha PE
 T₈- Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.75 kg a.i./ha PE
 T₉- Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1 kg a.i./ha PE
 T₁₀- Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1.25 kg a.i./ha PE
 Seven week old seedlings of onion (cv.Agrifound Dark Red) with a spacing of 15×10 cm were transplanted manually in 12th September of during the year of 2016. Observations were recorded on growth and yield parameters. The data so generated was statistically analysed.

Results and discussion

Effect on weeds: All treatments caused significant reduction in dry matter of weeds as compared to unweeded control (Table 1). Significantly lower dry matter of weeds and higher weed control efficiency were observed under hand weeding treatment followed by treatments Oxyfluorfen 23.5%EC 1.25 kg a.i./ha (PE) and Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE). This is attributed to the effective control of weeds under these treatments, which reflected on less number of weeds and ultimately lower weed biomass. The Control plot (without hand weeding and chemical herbicides) recorded the highest dry matter of weeds and the lowest weed control efficiency, where is due to uncontrolled condition favoured luxuriant weed growth leading to increased dry matter accumulation. These results are in line with those reported by Chattopadhyay *et al.* (2016) [4], Vishnu *et al.* (2015) [12], Kalhapure and Shete (2013) [6], Bharathi *et al.* (2011) [2], and Ushakumari *et al.* (2001) [10].

Effect on crop: All the weed management treatments were significantly superior over control in respect of all growth and yield attributes (Table 2 and 3) and bulb yield (Table 1). Significantly the highest growth attributes (*viz.*, plant height, no. of leaves, neck thickness, bolters and yield attributes (bulb diameter and fresh bulb weight) and bulb

yield were observed in hand weeding treatment. However, spray of Oxyfluorfen 23.5%EC 1.25 kg a.i./ha (PE) and Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE) was at second place in respect of all these attributes. Whereas, significantly the lowest all growth & yield attributes and bulb yield were reported under Control plot (without hand weeding and chemical herbicides) followed by the under application of Pendimethalin 30% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE). It might be due to less weed crop competition throughout crop growth period by manual weeding, which in turn maintain the soil fertility status by way of removing less plant nutrients through weeds and ultimately have favourable effect on growth parameters and yield attributes. These findings are in close conformity with those reported by Bharathi *et al.* (2011) [2], Kalhapure *et al.* (2013) [7], Bhutia *et al.* (2005) [3], Vashi *et al.* (2011) [11], Gandolkar *et al.* (2015) [5] and Kumar *et al.* (2014) [8].

Economics: From the economic point of view, the highest cost of cultivation was recorded under hand weeding treatment (at 25, 50, 75DAT), with all the treatments. The highest gross income 408750₹/ha was obtained in treatment hand weeding (at 25, 50, 75DAT). Where highest net return was recorded in treatment Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC @ 1.25 kg a.i./ha (PE) 343604 ₹/ha followed by hand weeding treatment (at 25, 50, 75DAT) which has net return ₹341381. The highest cost: benefit ratio was found with Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC @ 1.25kg a.i./ha (PE) closely followed by Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC @ 1kg a.i./ha (PE) and T₆ (Pendimethalin 30% EC @ 2.5kg a.i./ha (PE)). This is attributed to lower cost of cultivation in these treatments compared to other treatments. The lowest cost: benefit ratio was found with control plot (without hand weeding and use of chemical herbicides) over rest of the treatments due to lower bulb yield. Looking to the economics results, chemical weed control in onion is significantly effective and beneficial. Similar findings were also reported by Chattopadhyay *et al.* (2016) [4], Vishnu *et al.* (2015) [12] and Saini and Walia (2012) [9].

Table 1: Effect of different weed management treatments on dry matter of weeds, weed control efficiency, bulb yield and economics

Treatment	Dry matter of weeds (g/0.25m ²)	WCE (%)	Bulb Yield (q)	Gross return	Net Return (ha-1)	B:C ratio
T ₁ =Control plot (without hand weeding and chemical herbicides)	86.67	0.00	306.82	229500	180137	1:4.64
T ₂ =Three hand weeding at 25, 50 and 75 DAT	6.00	100	545.75	408750	341381	1:6.06
T ₃ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE)	70.67	17.08	366.77	274500	223899	1:5.42
T ₄ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	54.33	27.12	409.97	306750	255531	1:5.98
T ₅ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 2 kg a.i./ha (PE)	51.67	30.84	462.87	346500	294667	1:6.68
T ₆ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 2.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	50.00	49.07	472.57	354000	301549	1:6.74
T ₇ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	38.33	34.19	470.81	352500	299527	1:6.65
T ₈ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.75 kg a.i./ha (PE)	36.33	42.38	484.03	363000	308208	1:6.62
T ₉ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE)	32.00	50.92	512.25	384000	327406	1:6.78
T ₁₀ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5%EC 1.25 kg a.i./ha (PE)	28.67	57.61	536.05	402000	343604	1:6.88
SEM±	2.47	0.00	21.80	-	-	-
C.D. at 5% level	7.34	100	64.77	-	-	-

Table 2: Efficiency of different weed management treatments on growth parameters of onion at harvest

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves per plant	Neck thickness (cm)	Yield of unmarketable bulbs/ha (q)	Yield of marketable bulbs/ha (q)
T ₁ =Control plot (without hand weeding and chemical herbicides)	59.93	7.20	0.92	17.48	289.34
T ₂ =Three hand weeding at 25, 50 and 75 DAT	64.93	8.67	1.11	10.83	534.91
T ₃ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.27	7.33	0.97	13.17	353.60
T ₄ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.40	7.40	0.98	12.33	397.97
T ₅ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 2 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.53	7.93	0.99	12.00	451.21
T ₆ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 2.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.73	8.33	1.05	11.67	460.24

T ₇ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	61.47	7.93	1.00	11.92	458.89
T ₈ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.75 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.13	8.20	1.01	11.83	472.20
T ₉ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.20	8.23	1.07	11.67	500.58
T ₁₀ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1.25 kg a.i./ha (PE)	62.87	8.27	1.09	11.33	524.72
SEm±	0.61	0.30	0.03	0.39	21.69
C.D. at 5% level	1.81	0.89	0.11	1.16	64.44

Table 3: Growth parameters and yield attributes as influenced by different weed management treatments in onion.

Treatment	No. of Bolting /plot	Bolting (%)	Equatorial diameter of bulb (cm)	Polar diameter of bulb(cm)	weight of bulb /plant (g)	weight of bulbs /plot (kg)
T ₁ =Control plot (without hand weeding and chemical herbicides)	22.67	8.97	5.31	4.83	59.20	11.60
T ₂ =Three hand weeding at 25, 50 and 75 DAT	14.33	5.67	6.34	5.48	96.53	20.63
T ₃ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE)	21.00	8.31	5.51	4.86	71.47	13.87
T ₄ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 1.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	20.00	7.92	5.71	4.96	72.47	15.50
T ₅ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 2 kg a.i./ha (PE)	18.67	7.39	5.80	4.99	78.40	17.50
T ₆ =Pendimethalin 30% EC 2.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	15.67	6.20	6.27	5.17	96.53	17.87
T ₇ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.5 kg a.i./ha (PE)	19.00	7.52	5.99	4.86	77.87	17.80
T ₈ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 0.75 kg a.i./ha (PE)	18.67	7.39	6.02	5.21	89.07	18.30
T ₉ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1 kg a.i./ha (PE)	18.33	7.26	6.31	5.27	92.80	19.37
T ₁₀ =Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC 1.25 kg a.i./ha (PE)	13.67	5.42	6.33	5.28	96.00	20.27
SEm±	1.81	0.69	0.20	0.11	5.96	0.82
C.D. at 5% level	5.40	2.05	0.58	0.33	17.71	2.45

References

- Anonymous. National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation. Nashik, Maharashtra, 2016.
- Bharathi S, Rao AS, Surya Kumari S. Effect of weed management practices on weed control and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in vertisols. J. Res. Angra. 2011; 39(1-2):10-13.
- Bhutia DT, Maity TK, Ghosh RK. Integrated weed management in onion. J. Crop and Weed. 2005; 1(1):61-64.
- Chattopadhyay N, Mahalanabish S, Hore JK, Maity TK. Effect of different herbicides on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Journal of Crop and Weed. 2016; 12(1):112-115.
- Gandolkar K, Halikatti SI, Patil PS, Pattarand PL. Effect of sequential application of herbicides for weed management in drill sown onion (*Allium Cepa* L.) Under Rainfed Condition. *Bioinfolet - A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences*. 2015; 12(3):748-755.
- Kalhature AH, Shete BT, Bodake PS. Integrated weed management in onion (*Allium cepa*). Indian J. Agron. 2013; 58(3):408-411.
- Kalhature AH, Shete BT. Effect of weed management practices on weed dynamics, weed control efficiency, bulb yield and economics in onion. J. Agric. Res. Tech. 2013; 38(2):238-240.
- Kumar U, Prasad B, Chandra G. Effect of different herbicides on growth, yield and weed flora of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Journal of Hill Agriculture. 2014; 5(2):207-210.
- Saini MK, Walia US. Effect of land configuration and weed management in onion (*Allium cepa*). Indian J. Agron. 2012; 57:275-278.
- Ushakumari K, Reddy IP, Reddy MD, Neeraja G. Effect of spacing and weed control method on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Indian J. Weed Sci. 2001; 33(3-4):222-224.
- Vashi JM, Patel NK, Desai DT. Evaluation of the different herbicide for controlling weed in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Veg. Sci. 2011; 38(1):119-120.
- Vishnu V, Asodaria KB, Suthar A. Weed management in rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Agric. Sci. Digest. 2015; 35(2):130-133.