



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.03
TPI 2018; 7(5): 159-163
© 2018 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 11-03-2018
Accepted: 14-04-2018

Sonu
Department of Animal
Nutrition, Lala Lajpat Rai
University of Veterinary and
Animal Sciences, Hisar,
Haryana, India

ZS Sihag
Department of Animal
Nutrition, Lala Lajpat Rai
University of Veterinary and
Animal Sciences, Hisar,
Haryana, India

Parveen Kumar Ahlawat
Department of Animal
Nutrition, Lala Lajpat Rai
University of Veterinary and
Animal Sciences, Hisar,
Haryana, India

Rajesh Dalal
Department of Animal
Nutrition, Lala Lajpat Rai
University of Veterinary and
Animal Sciences, Hisar,
Haryana, India

Correspondence
Sonu
Department of Animal
Nutrition, Lala Lajpat Rai
University of Veterinary and
Animal Sciences, Hisar,
Haryana, India

Effect of replacement of soybean meal with DDGS on the performance and digestibility of broilers supplemented with phytase enzyme

Sonu, ZS Sihag, Parveen Kumar Ahlawat and Rajesh Dalal

Abstract

A study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy and utilization of distillers dried grain solubles (DDGS) as a replacer of soybean meal with or without phytase enzyme supplementation on the performance and digestibility in broiler chickens. The experimental work was conducted on 280 commercial broiler chickens randomly divided into 7 dietary treatments having two replicates (twenty birds in each replicate) in each treatment. Maize- soybean meal based diet was offered to control group (T₁) which was formulated according to BIS (2007) recommendations, while treatment groups T₂, T₃ and T₄ were supplemented with 15, 30 and 45% DDGS; T₅, T₆, and T₇ were supplemented with 15, 30 and 45% DDGS along with phytase enzyme @ of 0.25%. The feed intake of birds fed with 45% DDGS was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher as compared to other treatment groups. Overall body weight gain of birds fed 45% DDGS replaced with soybean meal was significantly ($P<0.05$) lower as compared to other dietary treatments. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) at higher level of DDGS replacement was significantly ($P<0.05$) poorer as compared to control as well as other diets. Dry matter metabolizability and nitrogen retention values did not vary significantly ($P<0.05$) even by the supplementation of enzyme. Gross energy metabolizability also did not differ significantly ($P<0.05$) in all dietary treatments at lower level of DDGS replacement. No adverse effect of replacement of DDGS was noticed even at higher level on performance and digestibility.

Keywords: Broiler, digestibility, distillers dried grains solubles (DDGS), phytase enzyme, performance

Introduction

In present scenario the poultry farming is gaining strength at fast pace of development all over world especially in India. In poultry production, the most important input cost is the feed accounting up to 70% of the total production cost. There is a big gap between availability and demand of the poultry feed in India. The availability of high-quality diet at least cost is an important factor for the expansion of the poultry industry. Poultry need a steady supply of energy, protein, essential amino acids, minerals and vitamins for maximum performance, faster gain and better health. Traditionally, soybean meal is used as a main protein source in poultry feeding but the cost of soybean meal is soaring. The situation highlights the imperative need of exploration and incorporation of the wide range of unconventional sources of protein. Distillers' dried grain with solubles (DDGS) is one of by-product obtained from the production of ethanol from grain after fermentation of the starch^[16]. During fermentation process, the non-fermentable components which are rich in various essential nutrients such as protein, fat, fiber, vitamins and minerals are recovered in a highly concentrated form (approximately 3 fold) as distillers dried grains with solubles^[9, 14, 4]. The level of fiber is one of the concerns with respect to use of DDGS in poultry diets due to the potential anti-nutritive factors and dilution of the diet^[6]. DDGS inclusion in poultry feed is proving multi-beneficial in terms of improving bird's health, performance and food product quality. Intensive efforts during recent years have been noted to improve the nutritive value of feedstuffs and limited the harmful effects of dietary NSP in poultry diets through the use of various exogenous enzymes^[15] (Williams *et al.*, 2014). The use of enzymes in DDGS supplemented diet is one of the latest method to alleviate the negative effects linked to the high level of anti-nutritive factors, as well as to overcome limitations on DDGS levels in the diets of mono-gastric animals^[17]. Supplementation of phytase in cereal-based diets often results in amplified phytate-bound phosphorus and other minerals accessibility for birds^[10]. So, the present study was undertaken to investigate the effect of different levels of DDGS supplemented with phytase enzyme on the

production performance and digestibility of the broiler chickens.

Material and method

The present study was conducted for a period of 42 days with an objective to see the effect of phytase and distillers dried grain solubles on the performance, digestibility as well as metabolizability of birds at Poultry Shed of Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, Haryana (India). Proximate principles of the feed have been done as per AOAC (2007) [2]. A total of 280, day old broiler chicks were kept hygienically on deep litter system in separate pens and brooding was done at 35°C during the first week and distributed into seven groups having 40 birds in each group. Further each group was sub divided into two replicates with 20 birds in each replicate. Seven diets were formulated for each growth period and Maize-soy based basal diet was formulated as per BIS (2007) specifications [4] to meet out nutrient requirements. The ingredient and chemical composition of the basal diet has been shown in Table 1. The CP and ME requirements during starter (0 – 3 weeks) and finisher (3 – 6 weeks) phases are 23.18 and 21.32%; and 3000 and 3175 kcal/kg, respectively. The control group (T₁) was formulated by incorporation of maize and

soybean while chicks in treatment groups T₂, T₃ and T₄ were fed basal diet with DDGS @ 15, 30 and 45% replaced for soybean meal, respectively. Treatment groups T₅, T₆ and T₇ were formulated by addition of phytase enzyme @ 25g/100kg of feed in treatment group T₅, T₆ and T₇ respectively. Vaccination was done against prevailing diseases adopting a standard protocol. The weekly record of the feed offered and residual amount was maintained for each replicate to calculate the feed consumption per bird. To calculate body weight gain every bird was weighed individually at weekly intervals and record was maintained up to 6 weeks of age. Calculation of Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) for each replicate was calculated as follows: FCR=Total feed consumed (g)/Total body weight gain (g). A metabolism trial of four days was conducted at the end of growth period in which two birds from each replicate were randomly selected. Preliminary period of three days was given so that the birds were adapted to the new system of housing and management, after that a collection of representative samples was done regularly in same plastic bottles and bottles were kept in deep freeze to determine moisture and nitrogen contents. Feed offered and weighing records were maintained on daily basis during the whole study.

Table 1: Ingredient and chemical composition (% DM basis) of basal diet.

| Feed ingredient | Starter diet | Finisher diet |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Maize (kg) | 57 | 63 |
| Soybean (kg) | 31 | 25 |
| Fish meal (kg) | 8 | 7 |
| Vegetable oil (kg) | 2 | 3 |
| Mineral mixture (kg) | 2 | 2 |
| Feed additive (gm) | 320 | 320 |
| Phytase Enzyme (gm) | 25 | 25 |
| Chemical composition | | |
| CP % | 23.18 | 21.32 |
| CF % | 4.42 | 3.26 |
| EE % | 3.84 | 5.15 |
| Total ash % | 8.78 | 8.74 |
| Metabolizable energy** (Kcal/kg) | 3000.30 | 3174.25 |

*Feed additives include Meriplex-20g, Vitamin, Ventrimix-25g, Coccidiostat (Dinitro-0-Toluamide)-50g, Choline chloride-50g, Lysine-50g, DL-methionine-100g, CTC-25g, 25g of enzyme phytase.

** Calculated values (Singh and Panda, 1988) [11]

Nutrients availability for each replicate was calculated by dividing the amount of retained nutrients (ingested nutrients – excreted nutrients) with the amount of ingested nutrients. Likewise dry matter retention was also calculated. The gross energy of oven dried feed samples and excreta samples were estimated by following standard procedure using Bomb Calorimeter. The gross heat of combustion in calories per gram of the material was computed by substituting values in the equation: Gross heat of combustion (cal/g)= $t \times w - (C1+C2+C3)/M$, Where, t (Rise in temperature), w (Water equivalent), M (Weight of sample), C1 (Correction in calories for heat of formation of acid), C2 (Correction in calories for heat of combustion of fuse wire), C3 (Correction in calories for heat of combustion of thread, 27.73 cal/20 cm.). From these estimated gross energy values of feed and excreta sample, the metabolizable energy (ME) was worked out by putting the values in equation given by Hill and Anderson (1958) ME = E diet – E excreta - N×8.22. Gross energy metabolizability (%) was estimated as follows: Nitrogen corrected metabolizable energy (Kcal/kg)/ Gross energy of

dry feed (Kcal/kg)×100. Data was analysed statistically using general linear model procedure of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 20th version [20]. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study the differences among treatment means and they were compared by using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) as modified by Kramer (1956) at P<0.05 [5].

Result and discussion

The data pertaining to feed intake in broiler chicken at 6 weeks of age under different dietary treatments are presented in Table 2. The statistical analysis of data revealed that overall feed intake did not differed significantly (P<0.05) between different dietary treatments. During 5-6 week of growth period feed intake in dietary treatment group T₄, T₇ containing 45% DDGS with and without phytase enzyme was significantly higher (P<0.05) as compared to the other groups. Similar research findings were also reported [3] as the feed intake was increased at higher level of DDGS which could be attributed to the higher NSP at increased DDGS replacement levels.

Table 2: Effect of feeding different dietary treatment containing various levels of DDGS with Phytase enzyme on feed intake (g/bird) of broiler birds during different growth period.

| Treatments | 0-2 week | 3-4 week | 5-6 week | 0-6 week |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| T ₁ | 352.05±3.04 | 1288.08±16.59 | 2448.27 ^b ±25.63 | 4088.40±46.15 |
| T ₂ | 342.41±1.43 | 1281.45±13.15 | 2433.73 ^{bc} ±28.94 | 4057.59±32.37 |
| T ₃ | 345.09±2.75 | 1254.13±11.86 | 2428.85 ^{bc} ±23.16 | 4028.07±35.56 |
| T ₄ | 360.22±2.42 | 1376.73±13.26 | 2588.18 ^a ±25.41 | 4293.13±49.03 |
| T ₅ | 346.87±1.71 | 1279.92±18.81 | 2426.67 ^{bc} ±29.19 | 4053.46±44.81 |
| T ₆ | 348.70±4.23 | 1223.93±16.64 | 2310.95 ^c ±35.44 | 3883.58±56.73 |
| T ₇ | 365.30±2.51 | 1359.17±24.07 | 2561.07 ^a ±37.91 | 4242.54±46.32 |

Means bearing different superscripts in a column, differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

The average body weight gain of birds during different experimental period is depicted in Table 3. Body weight gain of different DDGS replaced groups having phytase enzyme differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) comparable control and with each other. The result so obtained showed that body weight gain at the end of 2nd week was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in basal diet as compared rest of the diet as during this period no effect of DDGS and enzyme was reported. Body weight gain during 6th week is significantly higher in T₁, T₂, T₃, T₅, and T₆ as compared to the diet containing 45% DDGS with or

without enzyme. During 6th week the body weight gain is significantly (0.05) higher in treatment containing 30% DDGS supplemented with enzyme phytase as compared to other dietary treatments. Overall body weight gain of groups T₄ and T₇ were significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower as compared to control and with other groups. This might be due to fact that at lower replacement of DDGS, there appeared to be sufficient nutrients metabolism from the soybean meal protein and DDGS to support the normal growth resulting in no harmful effect on growth performance of broiler birds.

Table 3: Effect on average body weight gain (g/bird) of broiler chickens during different growth period under different dietary treatments having DDGS supplemented with phytase enzyme.

| Treatments | 0-2 wk | 3-4 wk | 5-6 wk | 0-6 wk |
|----------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 237.06 ^a ±8.34 | 838.47±12.05 | 1359.23 ^b ±22.62 | 2434.76 ^b ±33.45 |
| T ₂ | 224.67 ^b ±7.75 | 847.44±17.27 | 1350.34 ^b ±25.90 | 2422.45 ^b ±34.95 |
| T ₃ | 227.58 ^{ab} ±9.88 | 847.41±15.75 | 1392.02 ^b ±29.18 | 2467.01 ^b ±49.01 |
| T ₄ | 216.04 ^c ±8.29 | 789.26±13.61 | 1264.07 ^c ±26.01 | 2269.37 ^c ±46.33 |
| T ₅ | 226.56 ^{ab} ±9.94 | 841.44±18.19 | 1352.13 ^b ±30.69 | 2412.97 ^b ±31.24 |
| T ₆ | 227.55 ^{ab} ±10.8 | 831.14±13.02 | 1452.28 ^a ±27.79 | 2520.13 ^{ab} ±36.36 |
| T ₇ | 212.95 ^c ±9.55 | 788.16±14.74 | 1269.05 ^c ±28.04 | 2269.28 ^c ±46.73 |

Means bearing different superscripts in a column, differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

The result of the present study depicted that the broiler birds fed during different growth period showed better FCR in the dietary treatments containing 30% DDGS supplemented with phytase enzyme. The overall feed conversion ratio of the dietary treatments T₂, T₃, T₅ and T₆ having 15% and 30%

DDGS was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower than T₄ and T₇ but were comparable to control group. The poor feed conversion ratio was observed in the groups fed with 45% DDGS as the feed intake was higher and body weight was lower.

Table 4: Feed conversion ratio (FCR) during different growth period under different dietary regime.

| Treatments | 0-2 week | 3-4 week | 5-6 week | 0-6 week |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| T ₁ | 1.48 ^{ab} ±0.01 | 1.53 ^b ±0.02 | 1.80 ^c ±0.03 | 1.68 ^b ±0.04 |
| T ₂ | 1.52 ^b ±0.01 | 1.51 ^{ab} ±0.01 | 1.80 ^c ±0.02 | 1.67 ^{ab} ±0.02 |
| T ₃ | 1.51 ^{ab} ±0.02 | 1.47 ^a ±0.02 | 1.74 ^b ±0.01 | 1.63 ^{ab} ±0.04 |
| T ₄ | 1.52 ^b ±0.02 | 1.74 ^c ±0.04 | 2.04 ^d ±0.03 | 1.89 ^d ±0.06 |
| T ₅ | 1.53 ^b ±0.01 | 1.52 ^{ab} ±0.02 | 1.67 ^a ±0.02 | 1.60 ^{ab} ±0.05 |
| T ₆ | 1.52 ^b ±0.01 | 1.47 ^a ±0.02 | 1.64 ^a ±0.05 | 1.57 ^a ±0.02 |
| T ₇ | 1.51 ^{ab} ±0.01 | 1.72 ^c ±0.04 | 2.01 ^d ±0.03 | 1.86 ^d ±0.04 |

Means bearing different superscripts in a column, differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

The statistical analysis of data revealed that dry matter metabolizability percent values of the different groups varied non-significantly from the control group with the replacement of soybean meal with DDGS. Results of DM digestibility have been shown in Table 5. The groups where 45% soybean meal was replaced with DDGS had numerically lower DM metabolizability but there was no significant ($P < 0.05$) variation among different group. It showed that birds utilized DM less efficiently at higher level of DDGS. Nitrogen

retention percentage also did not differ significantly ($P < 0.05$) from the control group. A gradual pattern of decreasing N retention at higher replacement (i.e. 45% DDGS) level of soybean meal was observed. This declining pattern in N retention might be because of the ability of the birds to retain more nitrogen from the soybean meal source as compared to the DDGS origin. The results were similar in accordance with the study [13] as corn DDGS negatively affected the N retention and DM metabolizability.

Table 5: DM metabolizability and nitrogen metabolizability of the experimental birds under different dietary treatments

| Treatments | DM Metabolizability (%) | N ₂ retention (%) |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 63.93±0.92 | 61.79±0.40 |
| T ₂ | 63.32±0.49 | 61.17±0.41 |
| T ₃ | 62.62±0.69 | 60.78±0.22 |
| T ₄ | 59.81±0.80 | 58.32±0.34 |
| T ₅ | 62.78±0.85 | 61.74±0.43 |
| T ₆ | 62.86±0.76 | 60.15±0.41 |
| T ₇ | 59.61±0.82 | 58.14±0.46 |

Gross energy metabolizability percent values (Table 6) of rations in broilers of the dietary treatment group T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇ (65.76, 64.12, 63.88, 65.68, 64.17 and 63.90% respectively) did not differ significantly ($P<0.05$) from the control group (64.94%). No significant ($P<0.05$) effect was noticed due to addition of phytase enzyme on GE metabolizability. The GE metabolizability decreased

numerically with the increase of DDGS level in the diet. Similar results were also reported [8], as no significant improvement in GE digestibility was noticed by the addition of exogenous enzymes to diet formulated by 30% DDGS but there was significant ($P<0.05$) improvement in GE digestibility of control diet having corn soybean meal as compared to diet having 30% DDGS.

Table 6: Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg) and percent gross energy metabolizability in experimental birds fed with different levels of DDGS replaced diet containing phytase enzyme.

| Treatments | Gross energy of feed (kcal/kg) | Gross energy of excreta (kcal/kg) | Nitrogen corrected metabolizable energy (kcal/kg) | Gross energy metabolizability (%) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 4893.63 | 1687.72 | 3178.30±40.12 | 64.94±2.41 |
| T ₂ | 5062.21 | 1705.65 | 3329.40±42.88 | 65.76±4.35 |
| T ₃ | 5245.14 | 1854.54 | 3363.07±44.90 | 64.12±1.47 |
| T ₄ | 5386.34 | 1917.71 | 3440.66±34.95 | 63.88±6.26 |
| T ₅ | 5060.88 | 1708.56 | 3324.42±45.45 | 65.68±1.43 |
| T ₆ | 5244.82 | 1851.24 | 3366.05±43.32 | 64.17±3.29 |
| T ₇ | 5381.21 | 1914.56 | 3439.04±48.07 | 63.90±3.38 |

Conclusion

It can be concluded that upto 45% replacement of DDGS can be done without any harmful effect on performance and digestibility of the broiler chickens. Phytase enzyme supplementation improved the performance of birds at 30% DDGS replacement levels. The effect of enzyme supplementation did not improve the digestibility and metabolizability of different dietary group.

References

- AAFCO. Official publication of the Association of American Feed Control Officials, Inc. Oxford, IN. 2002.
- AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis, 18th edn. Association of Official analytical Chemists, Washington, DC., USA, 2007.
- Barekatin MR, Choct M, Iji PA. Xylanase supplementation improves the nutritive value of diets containing high levels of sorghum distillers' dried grains with solubles for broiler chickens. Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture. 2013b; 93:1552-1559.
- BIS. Requirement for chicken feeds. IS: 1374-2007, New Delhi.
- Duncan DB. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics. 1956; 11:1-42.
- Emiola IA, Opapeju FO, Slominski BA, Nyachoti CM. Growth performance and nutrient digestibility in pigs fed wheat distillers dried grains with solubles-based diets supplemented with a multicarbohydrase enzyme. Journal of Animal Science. 2009; 87:2315-2322.
- Lázaro RM, Garcia MJ, Aranibar, Mateos GG. Effect of enzyme addition to wheat-barley and rye-based diets on nutrient digestibility and performance of laying hens. British Poultry Science. 2003; 44:256-265.
- Min YN, Liu FZ, Karimi A, Coto C, Lu C, Yan C *et al.* Effect of Rovabios Max AP on performance, energy and nitrogen digestibility of diets high in distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in broilers. Int. J Poultry Science. 2011; 10:796-803.
- NRC. National Research Council, Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. National Academy of ingredient of poultry diets, World's Science. Washington, D.C, 1994.
- Sebastian S, Touchburn SP, Chavez ER. Implications of phytic acid and supplemental microbial phytase in poultry nutrition: a review. World's Poultry Science Journal. 1998; 54:27-47.
- Singh KS, Panda B. Poultry nutrition. Kalyani publishers, New Delhi- Ludhiana, 1988, 82-93.
- SPSS version 17.0. Statistical packages for Social Sciences, Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Illinois, USA, 2008.
- Swiatkiewicz S, Arczewska-Wlosek A, Jozefiak D. Feed enzymes, probiotic, or chitosan can improve the nutritional efficacy of broiler chicken diets containing a high level of distillers dried grains with solubles. Livestock Science. 2014b; 163:110-119.
- Weigel JC, Loy D, Kilmer L. Feed co-products of the dry corn milling process, Renewable Fuels Association and National Corn Growers Association. Washington, DC, and St. Louis MO, 1997.
- Williams MP, Brown B, Rao S, Lee JT. Evaluation of beta-mannanase and non-starch polysaccharide-degrading enzyme inclusion separately or intermittently in reduced energy diets fed to male broilers on performance parameters and carcass yield. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2014; 23:715-723.
- Youssef AW, Abd-El-Azeem NA, El-Daly EF, El-Monairy MM. The impact of feeding graded levels of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) on broiler performance, hematological and histological parameters.

Asian Journal of Poultry Science. 2013; 7(2):41-54.

17. Zijlstra RT, Owusu-Asiedu A, Simmins PH. Future of NSP degrading enzymes to improve nutrient utilization of co-products and gut health in pigs. *Livestock Science*. 2010; 134:255-257.