Anxiolytic Effect of a Novel 5-HT$_3$ Receptor Antagonist (4-Phenylpiperazin-1-yl) (Quinoxalin-2-yl) Methanone (4a) in a Battery of Behavioral Models for Anxiety in Mice.
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1. Introduction

Anxiety is a psychological behavioral disorder which drastically sticks to the mood, emotion and fear. Till today, benzodiazepines (BZD) serve as a choice of drug for anxiety which replaced barbiturates because of their safety and effectiveness [1-2]. But, prolonged use of BZD leads to some serious side effects like, tolerance, physical and psychological dependence, withdrawal symptoms and ataxia [3]. Further, it was thought that the anxiolytic agents exerting effect through neuronal system and not directly binding to BZD receptors could provide a better therapeutic agents for anxiety disorder. One of the neurotransmitter, serotonin has been studied with this concern for a long time.

The role of serotonin in anxiety profile has been studied previously and it is well accepted that serotonin plays a crucial role in anxiety disorder [4-6]. 5-HT$_3$ serotonergic receptors because of its selective ligands, is identified and characterized in the brain tissues [7-8]. The physiological role of 5-HT$_3$ receptors and its ligands as a therapeutics for the mental disorder has not been yet understood clearly. Two decades early, some useful work was initiated for 5-HT$_3$ receptor antagonist as anti-anxiety agents. But, due to selectivity issues, the work in this area was not proved at mechanistic level. 5-HT$_3$ receptors antagonists like ondansetron, granisetron, zacopride and tropisetron has been reported for some promising results in animal models of anxiety [9-10].
Clinical reports of 5-HT3 antagonist have shown some promising results. Preliminary clinical studies of ondansetron are being reported for reducing the symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) \[11\]. These promising reports are of quiet importance as the classical BDZ are mostly ineffective in treating this disorder \[12\-13\]. Another 5-HT3 receptor antagonist tropisetron was found to be effective in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder \[14\]. Further, literature survey reveals that ondansetron reduces the intensity of panic attacks \[15\] and panic symptoms in panic disorder patients \[16\]. However, some contraindicatory reports on ondansetron for panic disorder have also reported earlier \[17\] and as yet, no 5-HT3 receptor antagonist are marketed for treatment of any anxiety disorder \[18\].

On the basis of above literature we designed and synthesised the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist (4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl) (quinoxalin-2-yl) methanone (4a) with an optimal log P (2.84) and pA2 value (7.3), greater than ondansetron (6.9) was chosen for screening in a chronic model of depression. Our previous finding have dealed with the screening of 4a in acute models of depression \[19,20\].

2.2 Drugs and Chemicals:
4a was synthesized by medicinal chemistry group of the Institute. Ampoules of diazepam were procured from BITS Medical Services. Vehicle used for formulation was distilled water. The test compound 4a as well as standard diazepam were prepared freshly in distilled water on the day of experiment and injected in intra-peritoneal cavity (i.p.) at a dose volume of 10 ml/kg for mice.

2.3 Chemistry of 4a:
Chemistry of compound 4a (fig.1) is reported in our earlier publications \[19,20\].

2.4 Behavioral assays:
2.4.1 Elevated plus maze (EPM):
The elevated plus maze was similar to the one described by Rodgers et al. \[21\]. Briefly, it consisted of two open and two closed arms (all arms: 20x4x12 cm) made of wooden blocks elevated at a height of 25 cm from floor, which was lighten with 60 watt bulb through a height of 100cm. Each mouse was placed in the central square (5 cm×5 cm) facing an open arm and allowed to explore the maze for 5min of test period. The parameter measured was the time spent and number of entries in open arm. The maze was cleaned with dilute alcohol in between two test sessions to get rid of residual odor.

2.4.2 Open Field Test (OFT):
The open field exploration was performed as described previously by Redmond et al. \[22\]. The apparatus consisted of a circular (90 cm diameter) arena with 75 cm high aluminum walls and white floor equally divided into 10 cm squares. A 60 W light bulb was positioned 90 cm above the base of the arena, which was the only source of illumination in the testing room. During the test, each animal was individually placed in the center of the open field apparatus and the following parameters were recorded for 5 min by trained observer unaware of the specific treatments, number of central and peripheral ambulation score, number of rearing episodes and fecal pellets. Each mouse was transported, one hour before to the testing room using the home cage. After each test, the
apparatus was sprayed with dilute alcohol and wiped thoroughly to eliminate the residual odor. Testing was performed in a temperature, noise and light controlled room.

2.4.3 Light and Dark Model (LD):
The method developed by Crawley and Goodwin (1980) [23], based on the natural aversion of animal for brightly lit places was adopted. Briefly, in a two compartment box, one dark and one brightly lit, the time spent in the light compartment and the crossings between the light and dark compartment was recorded for a test time of 5 min. After each test, the apparatus was sprayed with dilute alcohol and wiped thoroughly to eliminate the residual odor. Testing was performed in a temperature, noise and light controlled room.

2.4.4 Hole Board Test (HBT):
The apparatus was composed of a gray wooden box (50 cm×50 cm× 50 cm) with four equidistant holes 3 cm in diameter in the floor. The centre of each hole was 10 cm from the nearest wall of the box. The floor of the box was positioned 15 cm above the ground and divided into squares of 10 cm×10 cm with a water resistant marker. An animal was placed in the center of the hole-board and allowed to freely explore the apparatus for 5 min. The numbers of squares crossed, the number of head-dipping and fecal contents were recorded. A head dip was scored if both eyes disappeared into the hole [24].

2.5 Statistical Analysis:
One specific group of animal was assigned to one specific drug treatment condition and each group comprised of six (n=6) animals. All the values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test using GraphPad InStat 3. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results
3.1 Effect of 4a on EPM:
The anxiolytic activity of 4a was evaluated using EPM model. The exploratory parameters; number of entries and time spent in open arms were significantly increased by 4a as compared to the vehicle control group P<0.05. Further, the effect of the 4a was dose dependent (2 and 4 mg/kg i.p.) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>No. of entries in open arm</th>
<th>Time in open arm (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.56</td>
<td>67.33 ± 6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>6.17 ± 1.08*</td>
<td>106.33 ± 7.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (4 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>7.83 ± 1.66**</td>
<td>134.67 ± 9.76**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>15.17 ± 1.38**</td>
<td>171.67 ± 10.13**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M., *P<0.05, **P<0.01 as compared with vehicle control group to 4a treated animals, n = 6/group.

3.2 Effect of 4a on OFT:
4a dose dependently (2 and 4 mg/kg i.p.) increased the number of central and peripheral ambulation along with the time spent in central area and number of rearings, significantly as compared to vehicle control group. P<0.05 was consider as statistically significant (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>No. of peripheral ambulation</th>
<th>No. of central ambulation</th>
<th>Time in central area (s)</th>
<th>No. of rearings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>88.67 ± 7.54</td>
<td>3.83 ± 0.54</td>
<td>6.33 ± 1.20</td>
<td>3.67 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>135.67 ± 8.91*</td>
<td>11.50 ± 2.23*</td>
<td>17.00 ± 2.00*</td>
<td>11.00 ± 1.44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (4 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>166.00 ± 18.54**</td>
<td>19.50 ± 2.43**</td>
<td>25.50 ± 4.60**</td>
<td>13.67 ± 2.11**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>187.33 ± 8.53**</td>
<td>29.17 ± 2.06**</td>
<td>28.33 ± 2.58**</td>
<td>26.17 ± 1.64**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M., *P<0.05, **P<0.01 as compared with vehicle control group to 4a treated animals, n = 6/group.
3.3 Effect of 4a on LD model:
4a dose dependently (2 and 4 mg/kg i.p.) reduced the latency to enter dark chamber whereas, increased the number of transitions and time in light chamber significantly compared to vehicle control group. P<0.05 was consider as statistically significant (Table 3).

### Table 3: Effect of 4a on LD model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Latency to enter dark chamber (s)</th>
<th>Time in light chamber (s)</th>
<th>No. of transitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>29.50 ± 3.89</td>
<td>59.00 ± 11.11</td>
<td>6.83 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>19.17 ± 1.97*</td>
<td>113.83 ± 10.43**</td>
<td>12.50 ± 1.67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (4 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>14.83 ± 1.82**</td>
<td>136.00 ± 11.42**</td>
<td>14.17 ± 1.14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>6.17 ± 1.17**</td>
<td>175.67 ± 4.36**</td>
<td>21.17 ± 1.42**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M., *P<0.05, **P<0.01 as compared with vehicle control group to 4a treated animals, n = 6/group.

3.4 Effect of 4a on HBT:
4a dose dependently (2 and 4 mg/kg i.p.) increased the number of crossing and the head dips, significantly as compared to vehicle control group. P<0.05 was consider as statistically significant (Table 4).

### Table 4: Effect of 4a on HBT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>No. of head dips</th>
<th>No. of crossings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>9.67 ± 1.12</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>16.67 ± 1.99*</td>
<td>19.50 ± 1.61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a (4 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>23.83 ± 2.12**</td>
<td>27.00 ± 4.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam (2 mg/kg i.p.)</td>
<td>37.00 ± 1.90**</td>
<td>58.67 ± 3.04**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M., *P<0.05, **P<0.01 as compared with vehicle control group to 4a treated animals, n = 6/group.

4. Discussion
Anxiety is a psychological disorder, which alters the behavior of an individual. Taking into view, about the current status of anxiety, it is the time for researcher to look into it quiet seriously and to come up with novel therapeutics. Hence, with this perspective, the present study was designed to investigate the anxiolytic profile of a novel 5-HT3 receptor antagonist 4a by using behavioral models of anxiety in mice like, EPM, OFT, LD and HBT.

EPM is one of the most etiologically valid models for screening anxiolytics as it introduces an animal to an entirely new environment, open spaces and fear of balancing on a narrow platform [25]. It is well established that a substance showing anxiolytic activity raises the time spent and entries in open arm. Accordingly in the present study, 4a increased the number of entries and the time spent in open arms, which is an indicator of anxiolytic potential of 4a.

OFT is another reliable model for anxiety, where an animal is again introduced to a new and wide open space which leads to anxiety like feeling in animals triggered by two factors: individual testing and agoraphobia [26]. Anxiety is confirmed in animals as it moves to the periphery more. With this aspect, 4a increased the crossing centrally as well as peripherally, along with the time in central area of apparatus proving its anxiolytic effect.

LD model is a model for anxiety which is highly practiced at laboratory levels. In this model anxiety like behavior in animal is seen because of the novel environment and light which leads spontaneous exploratory behavior in animals [27]. It is reported that an animal spending more time in light chamber along with increased transition number is considered to show anxiolytic activity.
In the present study, 4a increased the latency to enter the dark chamber, time spent in light chamber and number of transitions (that refers to the entry of the animal from one chamber to another). The increased latency to enter the dark chamber when initially kept in brightly illuminated light chamber indicates the anxiolytic effect of the compound as the onset of anxiety of the animal is decreased. Further, the increased time spent in light chamber indicated less anxiety behavior and increased transitions showed increased exploratory behavior of the animal treated with 4a, suggesting anti-anxiety activity of 4a.

HBT is a model for anxiety, where an animal is introduced to an unfamiliar environment and is used mostly to assess anxiety, emotionality and response to stress [28]. The head-dipping behavior reflects the sensitivity towards the changes in emotional state of the animal and provides information that a fearless state in animals may be reflected by the raised head dipping behavior [29]. Likewise, 4a successfully raised the head dipping behavior and the score if visiting the squares on the hole board apparatus indicating the anxiolytic activity of 4a.

The mechanism perspective of 5-HT_{3} antagonist for anxiolytic effect is still not cleared. Well, the information on role of serotonin in the anxiety and related disorder is now cleared. Some of the reports earlier suggests, that 5-HT_{3} antagonist by blocking the post-synaptic receptors, makes serotonin available to act on other 5-HT receptors like 5-HT_{1} and 5-HT_{2} [30,31].

Conclusively, the present study aimed at investigating the anxiolytic potential of 4a in animal models, namely EPM, OFT, LD and HBT with standardized behavioral parameters. Based on the results obtained, we say that, exposure to the pre-clinical model produced anxiety behavior in animals which was significantly attenuated by 4a. Hence, 4a can be a potential drug candidate for anxiety disorder. Our further finding will deal with the molecular aspect involved with anxiolytic potential of 4a.
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